



## WHAT FORM MIGHT YOUR COLLABORATION TAKE?

From investments and sales arrangements through to full-on commercial partnerships or even mergers and acquisitions, there are many routes by which companies can share technology, expertise and innovation. The route you choose will be determined by what you want to get out of the relationship, and where your partners’ interests lie. Increasingly, for example, we are seeing the rise of corporate venturing or corporate-backed technology incubators and accelerators, where participant companies may receive anything from training and mentorship through to office space, investment and contracts. GlaxoSmithKline, Qualcomm, Medtronic, AstraZeneca, Roche, Nestlé, Merck and Novartis are among those with funds aimed at healthcare innovation. For other market participants, collaboration might be more about working with a peer to bring in new expertise or build scale.

## CHOOSING SOURCES OF FUNDING THAT MATCH YOUR PROJECT

“All money is not fungible”, claimed one of our panellists, and by this he meant that companies in fundraising mode need to be aware that different sources of capital come with different types of strings attached.

In the field of health, for example, there are any number of grants available to entrepreneurs and scientists. With no capital to pay back and no shareholder dilution, a grant may seem an ideal funding route for any growing company eligible for one. Applying for grants, however, and reporting on how the money is being spent, is time-consuming and eats up valuable management time. Grants are also often closely aligned to specific activities, leaving very little room for manoeuvre in a company that may wish to pivot a number of times before settling on a final value proposition for its business model or product. Corporate venturing vehicles or venture capital funds do come with shareholder

dilution, which may not be desirable. The potential upside is that they often bring expertise to the business that can be highly valuable. A further consideration will be the length of the investment runway – ie the period of time during which an investor will leave funds invested before expecting a return on capital or, at least, before expecting to see an investee company starting to generate revenue. The length of the investment runway is a particular consideration in R&D intensive projects, which may require significant investment before they turn cash positive. Meanwhile a co-development arrangement with a larger partner may see the junior player compromising on the speed with which they can build and scale their own business because they must instead focus on customisations for the commissioning partner. Partnering and commercial alliances between peers may also throw up other questions – for example, around exclusivity.

Understanding your own goals and having a clear route-map for reaching them will help you choose the partner who best suits your needs.

## WHAT DOES SUCCESS LOOK LIKE AND HOW WILL IT BE REWARDED?

Understanding the scope of the collaboration is also critical. Being clear about what is in and out of scope, defining “success”, and aligning incentives (including financial incentives) is essential. Economic terms will likely depend on stage of activity. At product development stage, financial incentives might be based on a fee for services, FTE reimbursement or a “cost plus” model (typically direct material/labour and overhead costs plus mark-up percentage). Other options might include some sort of shared risk/reward model, or a model where pricing is based on hitting milestone or other performance-related markers to trigger payments. Later on, when an innovation is being commercialised, financial incentives might be based on revenue sharing, royalties or value or outcomes-based pricing.

