

Study of Cost Awards in Investment Treaty Arbitrations up to 31 May 2020

Master Table

Matthew Hodgson and Daniel Hrkca, Allen & Overy LLP

Start date	Date of Award	Parties	Historical conversion rate	Amount in dispute	Arbitral Institution	Number of Arbitrators	Intentionally blank	Intentionally blank	Claimant's Legal Costs	Respondent's Legal Costs	Tribunal's Costs	Result	Intentionally blank	Award on Costs	Paragraphs dealing with Costs	
22-Oct-2008 (notice)	18-Apr-13	Abengoa S.A. y COFIDES S.A. v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/09/2	USD 1 = MXN 12.973	USD 68,999,725.32 (MXN 846,445,330.41) plus interest (para. 493)	ICSID (AF)	3. Alexis Mourre (President), Eduardo Siqueiros Twomey, Juan Fernandez Armas			USD 2,898,686.73 (converted from MXN 35,558,078.30) (para. 792)	N/A (para. 791)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent asked to pay a total of 491,809,534.54 Mexican Pesos (USD 46,114,976) comprising of: i) 403,093,533 pesos as compensation for expropriation; ii) 42,451,144 pesos as compensation for lost profits; iii) 7,878,107.77 pesos in additional costs; iv) 17,541,737 pesos as compensation for devolution of VAT; v) 20,857,952.77 pesos as compensation for costs plus interest on the amounts above. (para. 794-796)		Respondent ordered to pay half of Claimant's legal and tribunal costs. USD 1,700,288.80 (converted from MXN 20,857,952.77) (para. 791)	5 (paras 788 - 793)	
28-Oct-2011 (RFA)	17-Apr-13	Accession Mezzanin Capital L.P. and Danubius Kereskedelmi Vagyonkezelo Zrt. V Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/3)	N/A	N/A Not stated in the award (and neither on UNCITAD or other websites)	ICSID	3. Arthur W. Rovine (President), Marc Lalonde, Zachary Douglas			USD 2,197,979.70 (para. 193)	USD 1,658,411.04 (para.194)	USD 390,688.04 (para. 195)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 203(1))		The Tribunal decides (para. 202): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	11 (paras 192-202)	
1-Oct-08	7-Dec-12	Achmea B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL PCA Case No. 2008-13 (Formerly Eureko B.V. v. The Slovak Republic)	USD 1 = EUR 0.7228	USD 83,721,832.09 (converted from EUR 64,700,000 (para. 306))	UNCITRAL	Prof. Vaughan Lowe (President); V. V. Veeder, Prof. Albert Jan Van den Berg			USD 3,759,911.70 (converted from EUR 2,905,350.64) for the liability and quantum phase (para. 351)	N/A	USD 878,852.34 (converted from EUR 679,177.09 (para. 345))	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 28,597,368.48 (EUR 22,100,000) (para. 352)		The Tribunal decides (para. 352): (1) The Respondent shall pay USD 3,759,911.70 (EUR 2,905,350.64) as the Claimant's legal costs relating to the merits and quantum phase; and (2) The Respondent shall pay USD 285,679.01 (EUR 220,772.74) as the Claimant's share of the merits phase of the arbitration.	15 of 352 (paras 337-351)	
6-Feb-13	20-May-14	Achmea B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL PCA Case No. 2013-12	USD 1 = EUR 0.7300 USD 1 = GBP 0.6937	N/A Not disclosed in the award but claims quantified at USD 83,000,000 (converted from EUR 72,000,000) as per the UNCITAD website (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/519)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Dr. Laurent Levy (Presiding Arbitrator), John Beechey, Pierre-Marie Dupuy			USD 782,948.71 (USD 782,948.71 (equivalent to EUR 571,552.56 (arrived from EUR 547,725.78 + EUR 23,826.78) (para. 274))	USD 1,847,516.08 (equivalent to EUR 1,348,696.96) (para. 277)	USD 475,618.82 (converted from USD 465,372.30 (EUR 339,721.78) + USD 10,246.62 (GBP 6,083.42) (para. 284))	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 291)		The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,861,266.21 (para. 291). (1) Claimant shall bear the entire arbitration costs USD 475,618.82 (USD 465,372.30 (converted from EUR 339,721.78) + USD 10,246.62 (converted from GBP 6,083.42)); and (2) Claimant shall also bear 75% of all of the Respondent's costs of legal representation, i.e. an amount of USD 1,385,637.29 (converted from EUR 1,011,515.22)	17 (paras. 274-291)	
20-Feb-12	27-Jan-16	Active Partners Group Limited v Republic of South Sudan, PCA Case No. 2013/4	USD 1 = EUR 0.8797	USD 248,518,537.00 including interest; alternatively USD 218,556,798.00 (para. 314(5))	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Philippe Pinsole (President), Richard Ormwaia, Karel Daele			USD 6,348,104 (the total of USD 1,740,000 + USD 750,000 + USD 3,878,104) (para. 412) (the above figure includes success based portion)	N/A Respondent did not submit the details of the costs incurred (paras 313, 413)	USD 252,151.39 (converted from EUR 221,817.58 (arrived from EUR 99,311.48 + EUR 40,480.00 + EUR 62,048.40 + EUR 21,514.37 + EUR 37,713.33 + EUR 750.00))	Claimant wins on the merits in the amount of USD 59,897,785.16 (USD 35,770,243.33 + USD 4,127,541.83) (para. 418.3 and 418.4)		Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 863,413.24 (para. 418): (1) USD 86,190.24 (converted from EUR 75,821.55) as compensation for the Claimant's advance of the costs of the arbitration; (2) USD 777,223.00 as compensation for the Claimant's reasonable legal costs.	4 (paras. 310 - 313)	
7-May-03	2-Oct-06	ADC Affiliate Limited and ADC & ADMC Management Limited v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16	N/A	Alternative amounts submitted based on three different valuation approaches: USD 68,423,938 (Time of Expropriation Approach), USD 78,227,279 (Restitution Approach), or USD 99,722,439 (Unjust Enrichment Approach), each sum inclusive of interest (para. 243) n.b. Tribunal applied Chorzow, rejecting the Claimants' claim under the unjust enrichment approach and concluding that the restitution approach was appropriate (para. 499-500).	ICSID	3. The Hon. Charles Brower, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, Neil Kaplan CBE QC (President)			USD 7,623,693 in Claimant's costs and expenses of the arbitration, minus USD 395,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 7,228,693 (para 527)	USD 4,380,335 in Respondent's costs and expenses of the arbitration minus USD 390,000 ICSID advance + USD 4,030,335 (para 528)	USD 700,000 paid by parties to ICSID as deposit for Tribunal fees and expenses (para. 527-528)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay to ADC Affiliate Ltd. the sum of USD 55,426,973 and to ADC & ADMC Management Ltd. the sum of USD 20,773,027, i.e. total award of USD 76,200,000 (para. 543). n.b. Tribunal considered that no pre-award interest had accrued as the damages calculation was based on the value of the expropriated investments as of the date of the award (para. 520).		Respondent ordered to pay to the Claimants the sum of USD 7,623,693 in full satisfaction of both Claimant's costs including costs advanced to ICSID (para. 542). n.b. Tribunal considered that no pre-award interest had accrued as the damages calculation was based on the value of the expropriated investments as of the date of the award (para. 520).	19 of 354	
05.12.2011 (RFA)	3-Nov-15	Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)	N/A	USD 273,000,000 (para. 41(b)) plus interest	ICSID	3. Professor David A R Williams QC (President), Judge Charles N Brower, J Christopher Thomas QC			USD 16,080,749.93 (para. 469) (assuming Claimant has made the ICSID payments identical to the Respondent i.e. USD 449,965) (Total costs of Claimant including ICSID payments = USD 15,530,714.93 (para. 469))	USD 5,335,095.52 (lawyer fees and disbursements) + USD 1,784,819.90 (witness and consultancy fees) + USD 7,119,915.42 (para. 479)	N/A Not clear. Respondent paid USD 449,965 in Tribunal costs and presumably Claimant paid the same (para. 479). Thus, this rounds up to USD 899,930.	Respondent won on merits, all of Claimants claims dismissed (para. 474).		Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 6,877,410.24 (para. 480) This amounts to 75% of the Respondent's total costs (including Tribunal costs)	13 (paras 469-481)	
21.07.2000 (notice)	9-Jan-03	ADF Group Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1	N/A	N/A	ICSID	3. Judge Florentino P. Feliciano (President), Prof. Armand deMeester, Ms. Carolyn B. Lamm			N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed: some were declared inadmissible, the others failed on the merits (para. 199).		Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses. Costs of the proceedings (including Tribunal fees) should be shared on a fifty-fifty basis (para. 200)	1 of 200	
06.07.2007 (RFA)	23-Sep-10	AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erdem Rt v. The Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/22	USD 1 = EUR 0.7492320515	N/A n.b. Claimants claimed to have invested approximately USD 280,800,597 in the Hungarian electricity sector (para 6.2.2), and to have suffered price cuts of 43% and 35% resulting in direct loss of revenue for AES Tisza and being declared in default under loan documentation in respect of EUR 98 million project finance loan facilities (para 4.2.4-4.2.5). However, the Claimant does not appear to have quantified its damages. The alleged expropriation was of revenues rather than the whole investment.	ICSID	3. M. Claus Wernner von Wobeser (President), Prof. Brigitte Stern, J. William Rowley QC			USD 8,787,993.70 in total costs "including" legal fees and expenses (para 15.1). It is not entirely clear whether this sum is inclusive or exclusive of the Claimant's ICSID/Tribunal advance of USD 459,945. It is assumed from the award's wording that it was inclusive, i.e. that total legal fees and expense amounted to USD 8,328,048.70.	USD 5,522,883 in total costs "including" legal fees and expenses (para 15.2). It is not entirely clear whether this sum is inclusive or exclusive of the Respondent's ICSID/Tribunal advance of USD 460,000. It is assumed from the award's wording that it was inclusive, i.e. that total legal fees and expense amounted to USD 5,062,883.	USD 897,839.04 including arbitrators' fees, Tribunal expenses, Secretariat admin fee and use of Centre (para 15.3).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as there was no breach of the Treaty (para 16.1).		Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat costs to be borne equally. Parties shall pay their own costs and expenses (para 15.3).		
31.08.2005 (RFA)	26-Jul-08	African Holding Company of America, Inc. and Societe Africaine de Construction au Congo S.A. R.L. v. Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/21	N/A	N/A	ICSID	3. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), O. L. O. de Witt Wijnen, Dominique Ghaey			N/A	N/A	USD 140,000	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 44).		Each party to pay its own legal and other expenses and to bear half the arbitration costs. Respondent to reimburse Claimant for USD 70,000 advance to Centre paid by Claimant paid on Respondent's behalf (para 125).	N/A	
17-Jul-06	11-Dec-08	Aguytia Energy, LLC v. Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/13	N/A	USD 91,100,000 (para. 31 - see footnote 7)	ICSID	3. Robert Brower (President), William Rowley QC, Claus von Wobeser			N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimant's claim dismissed on the merits (p. 56)		The Tribunal decides (p. 56): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	5 (paras. 98-102)	
11-Mar-11	15-Jul-13	AHS Niger and Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. v. Republic of Niger, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/11	USD 1 = EUR 0.7869	N/A	ICSID	3. Fernando Manilla-Serrano (President), Patrick Hubert, Gaston Kerfack-Douglas			USD 205,878.92 (converted from EUR 157,907.08) (para. 162)	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent directed to pay EUR 4,641,592.15 (USD 6,051,698.40) as damages.		Respondent to bear 100% of the costs of the arbitration and must also contribute up to EUR 118,000 (USD 153,848) (representing nearly 75% of the total legal fees) towards Claimant's legal costs (para 157).	8 of 167	
03.05.2001 (RFA)	7-Oct-03	AMS Capital Partners, Inc. and CISC Tena Real Estate Company v. Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/6	N/A	USD 13,500,000 plus interest until the date of payment (para. 12.1.7)	ICSID	3. Fall S. Nazman (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Dr. Branko Valenti			N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant wins on the merits in the amount of USD 5,950,330 (para 118) (Comprising: (1) USD 3,560,000 and (2) USD 2,390,330)		The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,250,000 to cover legal and tribunal costs (para 118, para. 5)	1 (on p.117)	
16-Jul-08	08-Jul-12	Alpigi Elektrik B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/13	N/A	N/A Redacted but UNCITAD says USD 100,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/312)	ICSID	3. Prof. William W Park (President), Hon. C Lalonde, Prof. Brigitte Stern			USD 8,284,624 (para. 422)	USD 4,439,540.77 (para. 423)	N/A Not clear - claimant advanced USD 449,960 and respondent advanced USD 450,000.	Respondent won on jurisdiction (para. 423).		The Tribunal decided that (para. 424): (1) each party should bear its own legal fees; and (2) the costs of the arbitration shall be divided on an equal basis.	6 (para 419-424)	
10.05.2004 (RFA)	19-May-10	Alasdair Ross Anderson and others v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3	N/A	N/A n.b. Although Claimants appeared to be claiming for amount of lost deposits (para 28), this sum was not quantified. USD 405 million was deposited in total by all depositors, not just the Claimants (para 22).	ICSID	3. Dr. Sandra Morelli Rico (President), Prof. Jeswald W. Salacuse, Prof. Raúl E. Vinueza			N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 61).		Costs of proceedings including fees and expenses of arbitrators and Secretariat to be borne equally between Parties. Each party to bear its own costs and expenses.	3 of 66	
2-Feb-99	26-Jun-01	Alex Genin, Eastern Credit Limited Inc. and A.S. Baltoli v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2	N/A	USD 1,639,344 plus estimated potential worth of "USD 50,000,000 - USD 70,000,000", i.e. total of USD 71,639,344 (para. 98-99)	ICSID	3. M. L. Yves Fortier QC (President), Prof. Meir Hecht, Prof. Albert Jan Van den Berg			N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on merits, as Claimants failed to prove that Respondent's agents had acted in violation of BIT (para 375). Counterclaim also dismissed (para 378).		Each party shall bear all of its own costs and expenses, and arbitration costs including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of ICSID facilities to be borne by Claimants and Respondent, respectively, in equal shares (para 383).	6 of 385	
1-Jun-07	8-Nov-10	Alpha Projektholding GmbH v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/16	N/A	Claimed in Memorial: USD 10,085,000 in net present value as of 2004, plus interest at average 12-month LIBOR rate until February 2009, totalling USD 12,100,000 (para 476). Claimed in Reply: USD 9,467,000 in net present value as of 2004, plus interest at average 12-month LIBOR rate until February 2009, totalling USD 11,400,000 (para 476).	ICSID	3. Hon. Davis R. Robinson (Chairman), Dr. Stavros A. Alexandrov, Dr. Yoram Turbowicz			N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,972,232 in total damages, plus annually compounded interest from 1 July 2004 to February 2009 at 9.11% (being the risk-free rate plus market risk premium, i.e. not the rate requested by the Claimant), resulting in total damages of USD 5,256,782 owing as at 31 December 2010 (para 513-514).		Each party shall bear its own legal fees and one half of the total arbitration costs, but with an adjustment of costs associated with challenge. Claimant's share of USD 30,000 shifted to Respondent (para 516).	2 of 517	
19-May-08	5-Mar-11	Alps Finance and Trade AG v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	N/A	N/A (amounts in para 140 redacted)	UNCITRAL	3. Hans Stuber, Bohuslav Klein, Prof. Antonio Crivellari (Chairman)			N/A (amounts in para 258 redacted)	N/A (amounts in para 258 redacted)	N/A (amounts in para 255 redacted)	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction.		Respondent indemnified in full. Claimant ordered to pay Respondent's share of Tribunal's costs, and 100% of Respondent's legal costs (para 269).	18 of 270	
15-Jan-81	5-Jun-90	Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1	N/A	USD 15,000,000	ICSID	3. Rosalyn Higgins, Marc Lalonde, Per Magid			N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 2,696,330 to the Claimant plus non-compounded interest of 6%.		Each party to bear its own costs, and the costs of the arbitration to be split equally.		
25-Jan-83	21-Feb-97	American Manufacturing and Trading, Inc. v. Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/83/1	N/A	USD 21,574,405 in compensation, plus 8% on that sum since 23 September 1991 and for sum of USD 305,368 since 30 January 1993 i.e. USD 21,879,773, plus interest (para 3.06). n.b. Unclear from the wording whether the USD 305,368 is further principal sum or a portion of the USD 21,574,405 on which interest is to be paid, or a sum of interest itself. It has been assumed that it is a further principal sum.	ICSID	3. Somporn Sucharitkul (President), Herbert Gotting, Kebe Mbaye			N/A n.b. Claimant claimed expenses including USD 126,500 for Lloyds reports, and other expenses and fees (para 3.06).	N/A	N/A	USD 209,657.82 in total costs of proceedings (page 42).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,000,000 inclusive of interest (pages 41-42).		Each party to bear its own legal fees and expenses, and an equal share of the arbitration costs, including Tribunal fees and expenses. Additionally, Respondent to pay Claimant USD 104,828 representing one half of the costs of proceedings for which advances made by Claimant.	N/A
26-Jul-10	19-Dec-13	Anatolie Stati, Gabriel Stati, Ascom Group SA and Terni Raf Trans Trading Ltd v Kazakhstan, SCC Case No. V (116/2010)	USD 1 = EUR 0.7316	USD 1,049,078,000 (para. 199) plus interest	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Prof. Karl-Heniz Böckstiegel (Chairman), David R. Hugh QC, Prof. Sergei N. Ledebev			USD 16,525,543.92 (USD 17,950,992.87 - USD 1,425,448.95) (para. 1865)	USD 17,478,518.6 (para. 1872)	USD 1,461,824.74 (converted from EUR 1,069,470.98) (para. 1865)	Respondent to pay to Claimants a net amount of USD 487,485,191 plus interest. (NB: the total compensation calculated by the Tribunal amounted to USD 508,130,000 but from this sum the Tribunal deducted the Claimants' debts amounting to USD 10,444,899 to reach this final figure of compensation) (para. 1869)		The Tribunal orders the Respondent to pay USD 16,071,864.96 (page 414): 1) Respondent shall pay to Claimants 50% of Claimants' costs of legal representation (amounting to USD 8,975,496.60); 2) Respondent shall bear 3/4 of the arbitration costs to be determined by SCC and sent to parties after the award. On the basis that tribunal costs are USD 1,461,824.74, 75% of these costs is USD 1,096,368.56.	24 (paras 1862-1865)	

6-May-14	10-Mar-17	Anglia Auto Accessories Ltd v Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2014181	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9372 US\$ 1 = CZK 23.3921	N/A	ICC	3. Dr. Yas Barlamant (President), Prof. August Reinsch, Prof. Philippe Sands QC	N/A	USD 17,969.02 (converted from EUR 16,842.36 (para. 310)) EUR 8,715 + EUR 208 + EUR 840 + EUR 4,581.36 + EUR 2,498 Note: the claimant's legal fees are not quantified - the above figure appears to represent disbursements only.	USD 183,917.99 (para. 315) USD 179,928.66 (converted from CZK 4,557,430.91) + USD 3,089.33 (EUR 2,895.63) CZK 4,557,430.91 (CZK 4,329,800.80 + CZK 120,852.85 + CZK 99,861.85 + CZK 6,915.81) EUR 2,895.63 (EUR 2,290.68 + EUR 604.95)	USD 141,573.97 (converted from EUR 132,696.91 (para. 323)) EUR 53,123 + EUR 30,938 + EUR 31,938 + EUR 384.91 + EUR 16,313	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 323)		The Tribunal decides (para. 323): (1) Each party shall bear its own legal costs; and (2) Each party shall pay half of the costs of the arbitration.	19 (paras. 304-322)
7-Oct-14	9-Mar-17	Ansung Housing Co., Ltd v People's Republic of China, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/25	US\$1 = KRW 1137.2153 US\$1 = EUR 0.9448 US\$1 = CNY 6.9105	N/A	ICSID	Prof. Lucy Reed (President), Dr. Michael Hayes, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 374,661.68 (converted from KRW 54,340 + KRW 433,772,145) (para. 147)	USD 661,606.33 (USD 6,471 + USD 377,424.64 (converted from EUR 356,090.80) + USD 287,704.69 (converted from CNY 1,850,000)) (para. 154)	USD 139,521.09 (para. 167)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione temporis (para. 143)		Claimant ordered to pay Respondent USD 668,460.79 The Tribunal decides (para. 169): 1) Claimant ordered to pay USD 69,760.55 on account of the Respondent's contribution to tribunal costs; and 2) USD 488,700.24 (USD 4,863.25 + USD 283,088.48 (converted from EUR 267,443.10) + USD 200,778.51 (converted from CNY 1,387,500)) to cover 75% of the Respondent's legal fees	25 (paras. 145-169)	
5-Feb-10	7-Feb-14	Antoine Abou Lahoud and Leila Bouniehl-Abou Lahoud v Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/4	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7346	USD 22,250,000 (para. 179) plus interest (of which USD 763,978 for destroyed property, USD 841,022 for profits the Claimants could have obtained from orders received, USD 17,645,000 representing value of Claimants' enterprise and USD 3,000,000 compensation for moral damage)	ICSID	3. Prof. William Park (Chairman), Karim Haloz, Marie-Anndee Ngwe	USD 911,257.17 (USD 526,229.10 + USD 19,889.05 (EUR 14,863.96) + USD 26,901.16 + USD 108,850 + USD 112,940.38 (EUR 82,866) + USD 4,483.84 (EUR 3,293.83) + USD 132,163.64) Flat fee of USD 267,000 plus success fee of 15% from amounts received (1,728,194 x 0.15 = USD 259,229.10) (para. 658)	USD 651,887.49 (para. 658)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 1,728,174 plus interest in compensation (para. 664)		The Tribunal decides (para. 663): 1) 75% of arbitration costs shall be paid by Respondent, 25% by Claimants. 2) Respondent shall pay its own legal and other fees and shall pay 50% of Claimants' legal and other fees	23 (paras 641-663)	
18-Dec-05	10-Feb-09	Antoine Goetz et consorts v République du Burundi, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/3 - Assard	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7346	USD 178,000,000 (para 480)	ICSID	3. Prosper Weil (President), Mohammed Bedjaoui, Jean-Denis Bredin	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Parties settled and the Respondent agreed to pay the Claimant USD 2,989,636 to be paid in 12 instalments (5 interest at 6%) (page 527). The settlement was incorporated into the award.		The Tribunal decided (page 526): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. As the costs were all advanced by the Claimant, the Respondent is ordered to reimburse the Claimant for its share. Late payment will be subject to interest at 8% (page 527).	2 (para. 7 on page 526 and para. 8 on page 527)	
6-Mar-12	25-Aug-14	Apotex Holdings Inc. and Apotex Inc. v The United States of America (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/1)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7575	USD 1,580,000,000 (para. 234) However, quantum phase bifurcated and therefore full details not discussed (para. 235)	ICSID	3. V.V. Veeder (President), J. William Rowley, John R Cook	USD 7,117,273.57 (para. 10.17) (sum of USD 6,717,342.57 (converted from EUR 5,088,387) + USD 295,450 + USD 112,205 + USD 37,276)	USD 1,222,584.38 (para. 10.24)	Not disclosed, however a total of USD 750,000 (USD 700,000 + USD 50,000) lodgng fees advanced by the parties in total. (para. 10.17 and 10.24)	Claimant loses on the merits (para. 12.1).		The Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,785,054.38 (para. 12.1): (1) USD 1,222,584.38 for the Respondent's legal costs; (2) half of the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs, i.e. Claimant ordered to pay 75% of all Tribunal costs of USD 582,500 (75% of USD 750,000, on the assumption that the Tribunal costs equal the amount advanced by the parties).	35 (paras 10.1 - 10.35)	
21-Sep-07	16-Jun-13	Apotex Inc. v The Government of the United States of America, UNCITRAL, ICSID Case No. UNCT/10/2	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7575	USD 8,000,000 (para. 104)	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Toby T. Landau QC (Presiding Arbitrator), Hon. Fern M. Smith, Clifford M. Davidson	N/A	USD 525,814 (para. 345)	USD 277,863.62 (para. 355)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 358)		Claimant shall reimburse the Respondent USD 995,279.91 in the following amounts (para. 358): 1) USD 525,814 in respect of the Respondent's legal costs; and 2) 50% of the Respondent's share i.e. USD 69,465.91 of the arbitration costs.	14 (paras 344-357)	
4-Aug-04	21-Nov-07	Anchor Daniels Midland Company and Teva & Lytle Ingredients Americas, Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/5	N/A	N/A (amount in para 280 redacted)	ICSID	3. Bernardo M. Cremades (President), Arthur W. Rovine, Eduardo Siqueros T.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay to the Claimants the sum of USD 33,819,091 as principal plus interest on this sum for each month of the period from the date the damage was calculated (31 Dec 2005) until the payment is effectively made, at a rate equivalent to the yield for the month, as the simple interest rate paid on U.S. Treasury Bills.		Respondent ordered to pay USD 54,972.40 representing 1/3 of the Claimant's fees and expenses, and to bear the cost of its own fees and expenses. Respondent shall also bear 60% of the arbitrator's fees and expenses and the charges for the use of the facilities of the Centre (para. 116).	3 of 340	
20-Jul-87	27-Jun-90	Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3	US\$ 8,067,368 plus either P's assumption of a guarantee or payment in escrow of USD 888,000, plus interest at rate of 10% from 28 Jan 1987 (paras 9 + 112).	ICSID	3. Dr. Ahmed Sadek El-Koshari (President), Prof. Berthold Goldman, Dr. Samuel K.B. Asante	USD 164,917.20 in fees and expense incurred in preparation and presentation of Claimant's case (para 116). n.b. This excludes amounts which the Tribunal considered had not been proven necessary in connection with the proceedings.	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 460,000 plus interest of 10% from 9 July 1987 to date of payment (page 572).		Respondent ordered to pay USD 54,972.40 representing 1/3 of the Claimant's fees and expenses, and to bear the cost of its own fees and expenses. Respondent shall also bear 60% of the arbitrator's fees and expenses and the charges for the use of the facilities of the Centre (para. 116).	1 of 116		
30-Oct-07	17-Sep-10	Astaldi S.p.A. v Republic of Honduras, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/32	USD 6,020,735.48 (pages 9, 11) USD 5,589,148.06 + USD 451,587.42	ICSID	1. Eduardo Sancho González (Sole Arbitrator)	USD 637,951.95 (para.84)	N/A	N/A	Not stated on the Award.	Claimant win on certain claims - USD 5,488,695.91		The Tribunal decided that all costs were to be borne by the Respondent in the amount of USD 637,951.95 (para. 84 or page 116)	3 (paras 84-85)	
28-Feb-08	18-May-10	ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Company v. The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/2	USD 5,906,828.30 plus interest (paras 44 + 83)	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C. Q.C. (President), Prof. Dr. Ahmad Sadek El-Koshari, Prof. W. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Claimant allowed to continue with arbitration in accordance with its Arbitration Agreement, but claim regarding annulment of Final Award declared inadmissible for lack of jurisdiction. No award of compensation.		All Tribunal and institutional fees and expenses shall be borne equally, and parties shall bear their own legal costs.	N/A	
8-Apr-08	8-Oct-09	Austrian Airlines v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	N/A	UNCITRAL (ad hoc)	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), The Honorable Charles N. Bower, Dr. Voljken Trapp	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 140).		All costs and fees to be borne equally and each party to bear its own legal and other costs (para 146).	5 of 147	
23-Jun-00	23-Sep-03	Autopista Concesionada de Venezuela, C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela ICSID Case No. ARB/00/5	On 30-Sep-95, US\$ 1 = VEB 169.785 On 23-Sep-03, US\$ 1 = VEB 1,599	ICSID	3. Prof Kaufmann-Kohler, Prof Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, Dr Bernardo Cremades	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay Bs. 2,055,288,000 plus interest calculated under the "bank rate" method chosen by Claimant according to the Concession Agreement (para 387), i.e. USD 12,889,929. Compound interest not awarded (para 397). Exchange rate to be used in the event of conversion = Bs 170/US\$1 (paras 421-422).		Each party shall bear its own legal expenses, and 50% each of the arbitration costs (para 425).	3 of 425	
4/17/2003	8-Apr-13	AWG Group Ltd. v The Argentine Republic, Award, UNCITRAL (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19)	USD 34,100,000 (Loss for AWG = USD 19.8 million + USD 14.1 million + USD 0.2 million) (para. 21)	UNCITRAL (see para. 106)	3. Jeswald W. Salacuse (President), Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Professor Pedro Nikken.	USD 1,215,947.82 (para.110) [This figure is for total expenses. No breakdown is provided vis. arbitration costs / legal costs]	Total amount (including the amount spent in the Suez/Vivendi claims) = USD 2,691,444.90 (para. 21)	N/A	Not mentioned	Claimant won on merits in the amount of USD 20,967,809 (para 105)		The Tribunal decides (para. 116): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimants and Respondent shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras 110 - 113)	
13-Jul-06	8-Sep-09	Azpetrol International Holdings B.V., Azpetrol Group B.V., Azpetrol Oil Services Group B.V. v. Republic of Azerbaijan, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/15	N/A	ICSID	3. Judge Florentino P. Feliciano (President), Judge Charles N. Bower, Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, Q.C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as there was no "legal dispute" under ICSID Convention or "dispute" under the ECT (para 106).		Each party to bear its own legal costs; costs of the arbitration to be borne in equal shares (para 107).	2 of 107	
23-Oct-01	14-Jul-09	Azurix Corp. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12	Alternative amounts claimed in Memorial: \$566,400,000 using actual investment method; \$516,900,000 \$484,600,000/ \$483,900,000 \$482,200,000 using book value method (depends on date used); or minimum of \$552,900,000 using unjust enrichment method (para 411). Additional AR\$120 million of accounts receivables also claimed (para 412). Interest claimed on all damages at average rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit compounded semi-annually (para 439). Claimed post-hearing: minimum of USD 608,414,000 (para 414). Tribunal ignored this later submission when it came to awarding damages (para 416).	ICSID	3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), The Honorable Marc Lalonde P.C., Dr. Daniel Hugo Martins	USD 7,900.00 in costs for preparation, registration and participation in proceedings (para 432). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal and/or Institutional Costs.	USD 7,900.00 (para. 432)	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 165,240,753 plus compounded semi-annually interest at average rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit during that period i.e. at 2.44% from 12 March 2002 to 30 June 2006 (para 442).		Each party to bear its own costs and counsel fees, and the Respondent shall bear the fees and expenses of the arbitrators and the costs of the ICSID Secretariat except for USD 34,496, which shall be borne by the Claimant (para 441).	1 of 442	
4/15/2002	27-Aug-09	Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A.Ş. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29	Claimed in Reply: USD 684,902,841 plus pre- and post-award compound interest (para 99). Claimed post-hearing: USD 494,600,000 million plus 8% compound interest (para 100).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as Respondent found not to have breached the Treaty (para 486).		Parties to bear the costs of the arbitration in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own legal and other costs (para 490).	1 of 490	
20-Jan-05	19-Jun-07	Bayview Irrigation District et al. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/05/1	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Vaughan Lowe, Prof. Ignacio Gómez-Palacio, The Honorable Edwin Meeuse II	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 124).		Each Party to bear its own costs, and Tribunal costs divided equally between the Parties (para 125).	1 of 125	
7/30/2015	9/30/2017	Berkowitz v. Costa Rica Arnon C. Berkowitz, Brett E. Berkowitz, Trevor B. Berkowitz v. Republic of Costa Rica ICSID Case No. UNCT/13/2	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7866549939	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Dean Ronald A. Cass, H.E. Mr. Mohammed Yusef Zafer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. EUR 7,200,000 awarded for immovables, EUR 800,000 for movables, and EUR 200,000 for disturbance reparation (EUR 20,000 per Claimant) totalling EUR 8,200,000, i.e. USD 10,694,005, plus 10% interest compounded every six months (paras 132, 136, 138 + 146).		Each party to bear its own costs of representation. Fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the charges of ICSID to be borne by Respondent (para 147).	1 of 148	

11-Jun-10	28-Jul-15	Bernhard von Pezold and others v. Republic of Zimbabwe, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/15	US\$ 1 = ZAR 12.5693, US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6405	USD 153,598,717 (para. 88 onwards) plus interest Breakdown: Declaratory relief + restitution + compensation of USD 37,372,172 + USD 7,186,302 + USD 4,222,481 + USD 13,000,000 (moral damages) + USD 48,817,761.60 (restitution) [Possibly typo in award shows this figure without a decimal point] + USD 5,000,000 (moral damages) = USD 153,598,717 (paras. 88 et seq.)	ICSID	3. Yves Fortier (President), David A.R. Williams, Michael Hwang	USD 13,269,978 (para. 965) Breakdown: (converted from GBP 4,985,719.92) + USD 844,612.45 (legal fees) + USD 104,009.25 + USD 29,653.74 + USD 152,659.77 (converted from GBP 37,778.20) (disbursements) + USD 1,799.38 (converted from GBP 1,152.51) + USD 34,348.17 (converted from GBP 22,000.00) + USD 39,033.00 + USD 55,192.82 (converted from GBP 35,351.00) + USD 4,012,457.58 (converted from GBP 2,569,979.08) + USD 36,350.47 (converted from ZAR 456,900) + USD 61,124.60 + USD 1,272.84 (converted from ZAR 16,000.00) + USD 15,075.81 (converted from ZAR 189,493.62) (expert fees) + USD 4,300 + USD 3097.58 (converted from GBP 1,964) + USD 512 + USD 2449.69 (converted from GBP 1,569) + USD 45,912.7 (converted from GBP 29,407.09) + USD 21,808.82 (converted from GBP 13,840.45) + USD 14,164.23 (converted from GBP 9,080) + USD 1,108 + USD 986.48 (converted from GBP 375) + USD 1,980 + USD 2,273.22 (converted from GBP 1,456) + USD 1,000 (expert disbursements) (para. 965 et seq.) = USD 13,269,977.75 (para. 965 et seq.)	USD 1,369,531 (para. 983) Breakdown: USD 1,177,836 + USD 6,450 + USD 53,817 + USD 131,428 + USD 1,369,531 (para. 983)	USD 1,311,452.70 (para. 999)	Respondent found to have breached Treaty and Claimants awarded USD 64,896,339. (return of title to various properties + USD 27,446,539 + USD 29,263,498 + USD 7,186,302 + USD 1,000,000) (para. 1020.2 and 1020.5)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 14,663,476 (para. 1010). 1) This comprises USD 12,132,822.22 (converted from GBP 7,771,072.63) + USD 1,792,229.39 + USD 52,899.32 (converted from ZAR 662,393.62) as the legal costs. 2) In addition, the claimants' share of the arbitration costs, i.e. USD 705,726.35.	26 (para. 986-1011)
4/25/2003	24-Dec-07	BG Group Pte. v. Republic of Argentina, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5057651297	USD 238,100,000 plus semi-annually compounded interest at average interest rate applicable to USD six-month certificates of deposit (para. 68)	UNCITRAL	3. Alejandro M. Garro, Albert Jan van den Berg, Guillermo Aguilar Avaray C. (President)	USD 624,390 and GBP 3,448,773 in legal fees and expenses i.e. USD 7,443,312 (para. 465).	N/A	USD 848,571.44 in Tribunal fees, USD 202,596.32 in Tribunal expenses (including fees and expenses of Administrative Secretary and translation costs), USD 99,312.50 in administrative fee paid to ICSID as custodian, USD 126,025.74 in costs of Preliminary Conference and evidentiary hearings, i.e. total Tribunal and Institutional Costs of USD 1,236,500 (paras 461-462).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 185,265,465.85 in damages plus interest at the average interest rate applicable to USD six-month certificates of deposit, compounded semi-annually (para 457).	Respondent to bear 70% of arbitration costs, being USD 865,550 in total or an additional USD 247,300, and 70% of Claimant's legal fees, being USD 5,210,318, resulting in a payment to the Claimant of USD 247,300 for arbitration costs and USD 437,073 (plus GBP 2,414,141.10 for legal costs (para 460 + 466).	9 of 467
10-Feb-88	30-Jun-90	Biloune and Marine Drive Complex Ltd v. Ghana Investments Centre and Government of Ghana Award on Jurisdiction and Liability dated 27-Oct-89; Award on Damages and Costs dated 30-Jun-90	US\$ 1 = DEM 1.6618/ GBP 0.5732	Alternative amounts submitted: USD 689,961 using investment value method, or USD 1,584,504 using lost profits method, of which USD 1,571,828 was claimed by Mr Biloune as 99.2% shareholder (Section C of BICL case summary).	UNCITRAL (ad hoc)	3. Judge Stephen M. Schwebel (Chairman), Prof. Don Wallace, Jr., Monroe Leigh, Esq.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Tribunal calculated damages on basis of Mr. Biloune's actual investment in MCLL plus interest and costs. Respondents ordered to pay USD 334,637.46, GBP 61,811.67, DM 430.35 and USD 256,721.07 (representing GHC 46,790,882.85) (i.e. total of USD 709,454 plus simple interest at LIBOR rate.	Each party to bear its own costs and fees as well as half of the advance payments to ICSID.	N/A
29-Mar-05	15-Jul-11	Bonder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A	UNCITRAL	Hani Danelius (President), Prof. Jurgen Creutzig, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (para. 486).	The Tribunal decides (para. 489): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 of 495 (paras 487-495)
2-Nov-05	24-Jul-08	Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v. United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22	N/R	Alternative amounts calculated using "net investment" approach: USD 19,099,209 assuming 20% lost return, or USD 20,158,775 assuming 25% lost return (para 751).	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Toby Landau, G.C., Gary Born	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim failed at merits stage on causation, or alternatively on quantum. Tribunal considered that Respondent's violations of BIT had not caused the loss and damage referred to and quantified by Claimant (para 788).	Each party to bear its own legal costs, and the costs of the arbitration borne in equal shares (para 813). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Gary Born.	5 of 814
25-Jun-12	26-Apr-17	Blue Bank International & Trust (Barbados) Ltd. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/29	N/R	N/A Not disclosed in the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed as USD 200,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/468)	ICSID	3. Christer Söderlund (President), Prof. George Bermann, Loretta Malintoppi	USD 1,924,345.66 (para. 202)	USD 1,709,295 (para. 203)	USD 792,902.24 (para. 210)	Respondent win on jurisdiction (para. 215).	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay USD 2,802,197.24 (para. 215) (1) The Claimant shall pay the Respondent the amount of USD 1,709,295 representing its legal costs and expenses, and (2) The Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration, namely USD 792,902.24.	15 (paras 200-214)
4-Feb-14	27-Dec-16	Bisum S.A., Jean-Pierre Lecorier and Michael Stein v. Italian Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/3	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9563	USD 196,381,888.52 (converted from EUR 187,800,000 (para. 48(b)) plus interest	ICSID	3. James Crawford (President), Dr Stanimir Alexandrov, Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 4,097,697.56 (converted from EUR 3,880,337.92) (para. 413)	N/A Respondent did not submit the details of the costs incurred (para. 415)	USD 608,821.38 (para. 420)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 423)	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 29,410.69 (para. 423): (1) Each party shall bear its own legal costs, and (2) Respondent to pay USD 29,410.69 to the Claimant as costs of the arbitration.	13 (paras 410-422)
12/3/2007	25-Oct-12	Bosh International, Inc. and B&P Ltd Foreign Investments Enterprise v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/11	US\$ 1 = UAH 8.172997	UAH 6,866,700 claimed in damages including compensation in respect of future lost profits (i.e. USD 816,688 (para 227)). n.b. Possible that this represents only part of the claim. NPV of the project was estimated at USD 9-11 million (para 38).	ICSID	3. Dr Gavin Griffith QC (President), Prof. Philippe Sands QC, Prof. Donald McRae	USD 1,322,770 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (para 289)	USD 814,920 plus UAH 17,331 (estimated at USD 2,101 by Tribunal) (i.e. total costs of USD 917,021 excluding ICSID fee (para 289).	N/A n.b. Respondent paid USD 303,945 in ICSID fees, and it appears that Claimant also paid an advance, but this has not been quantified (para 289).	Respondent win. Claims failed on merits due to lack of attribution (para 184).	Claimants ordered to pay a 1/6 share of the Respondent's costs (excluding Respondent's share of ICSID fees), being USD 150,000 (para 292).	6 of 292
14-Feb-08	2-Aug-11	Brandes Investment Partners, LP v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno (President), Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as the BIT did not contain Respondent's consent to ICSID jurisdiction (para 118).	Each party to bear its own fees and expenses. Fees and expenses of the Arbitral Tribunal and of ICSID to be borne on an equal basis (para 120).	2 of 121
4-May-10	19-Dec-14	British Caribbean Bank Ltd. v. Government of Belize, PCA Case No. 2010-18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8174, US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6407	USD 45,170,733.86 (para. 116(2)) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Professor Albert Jan van den Berg (Chairman), John Beechey, Rodrigo Oreamuno	USD 2,846,852.00 (converted from GBP 1,823,337.39) (para. 316)	USD 523,738.91 (para. 323)	USD 618,422.88 (converted from EUR 505,498.90 (para 316))	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 44,787,442.96 (para. 328 (i) - (iii)) This amount comprises: (sum of USD 1,624,496.39 + USD 1,399,850.43 + USD 41,773,096.04)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 3,024,073.96 (para. 328 (i) - (iii)) (1) USD 482,153.88 as reimbursement of the amount the Claimant has paid in Tribunal costs. (2) USD 2,541,919.98 as reimbursement for the Claimant's legal costs.	10 (paras 318-327)
16-Jun-11	28-May-13	Burimi srl and Eagle Games s.h.a v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/16	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7724	USD 10,244,465 (para. 87(3)) plus interest of "approximately USD 2.4 million"	ICSID	3. Daniel M. Price (President), Prof. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. Ibrahim Fadallah	USD 526,929.05 (converted from EUR 407,000) (para. 156)	USD 451,652 (converted from EUR 348,856) (para. 155)	USD 186,550.59 (para. 158)	Claimants' claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (page 35)	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 544,877.30 (page 35): 1) Claimants shall pay to Respondent USD 63,225.30 for Respondent's arbitration costs (i.e. Claimant responsible for 100% of arbitration costs); and 2) Claimants to pay USD 451,652 (converted from EUR 348,856) for Respondent's legal costs and expenses in this arbitration.	12 (paras 154-165)
21-Apr-08	7-Feb-11	Burlington Resources Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/5 (Formerly Burlington Resources Inc. and others v. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petroleos del Ecuador (PetroEcuador))	N/R	USD 1,515,603,095 (para. 132) including pre-award interest	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Brigitte Stern, Stephen Dwyer	USD 45,671,235.14 (para. 597)	USD 25,917,574.43 (para. 616)	USD 6,200,000	Denies Respondent's request for Reconsideration of the Decision on Liability. Respondent asked to pay to the Claimant USD 379,602,267 + interest (para. 635)	The Tribunal decides (para. 635): (1) Each party will bear its own legal costs; (2) Respondent will bear 65% of the costs of arbitration and Claimant will bear 35%; and (3) Claimant shall pay to the PetroEcuador (Respondent No. 2) USD 48,589.72 for its legal costs.	46 (paras. 589-634)
10/23/1995	13-Jan-97	Cable Television of Nevis Ltd. and Cable Television of Nevis Holdings Ltd. v. The Federation of St. Christopher (St. Kitts) and Nevis, ICSID Case No. ARB/95/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. G. Arthur A. Maynard, Rex McKay S.C., Woodbine A. Davis G.C (President)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 8.02)	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses (para 8.03). Fees and expenses of Tribunal members and ICSID charges to be paid in equal shares (para 8.04).	N/A
16-Mar-05	28-Jan-08	Canadian Cattlemen For Fair Trade v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	Claimed in various notices of arbitration by the various Claimants: USD 235,000,000 in total (para 5).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Dr. Böckstiegel (Chairman), James Bacchus, Lucinda A. Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because there was no "investment" under NAFTA (para 233).	Each Party to bear 50% of the costs of arbitration, and its own costs of legal representation (paras 231-232).	9 of 234
9-Jul-02	19-Jul-07	Canfor Corporation v. United States of America, Terminal Forest Products Ltd. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL Decision on Preliminary Question dated 06-Jun-06; Joint Order on the Costs of Arbitration and for the Termination of Certain Arbitral Proceedings dated 19-Jul-07 n.b. US requested consolidated of proceedings on 7 March 2005; the NAFTA Article 1126 Tribunal was established on 6 May 2005 and Tembec withdrew from the consolidated proceeding on 10 January 2006 (para 85). There were no previous NAFTA Article 1120 proceedings in Terminal (para 159).	N/R	Canfor claimed USD 250,000,000 (para 18 of Consolidation Order); Terminal claimed USD 90,000,000 (para 24 of Consolidation Order); Tembec claimed USD 200,000,000 (para 21 of Consolidation Order). n.b. For the purposes of our calculations, only the figures relating to Tembec have been used, as figures relating specifically to the other two Claimants were not available. n.b. US requested consolidated of proceedings on 7 March 2005; the NAFTA Article 1126 Tribunal was established on 6 May 2005 and Tembec withdrew from the consolidated proceeding on 10 January 2006 (para 85). There were no previous NAFTA Article 1120 proceedings in Terminal (para 159).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (Presiding Arbitrator), Prof. Armand L.C. de Méstral, Davis R. Robinson Esq. Tembec: USD 101,052 for presenting case on costs and USD 2,019.38 in disbursements as of 13-Oct-06 claimed by Tembec in February 2007, i.e. USD 103,071.38 (para 74 + 104). n.b. Legal costs for the other two claimants were not available. Art 1126 proceedings: Total of USD 72,164.73 claimed in legal fees of in-house attorneys. n.b. This last sum has not been taken into account in our calculations because Respondent's legal costs vis-a-vis Canfor in the Art 1120 proceedings were not available.	Canfor: USD 94,728.68 in total legal costs claimed against Tembec (USD 50,086.71 for Art 1120 proceedings; USD 24,054.91 for one-third of consolidation proceedings; USD 20,579.06 for presenting case on costs) (para 179-183). Art 1126 proceedings: USD 865,509.59 in Tribunal fees and expenses of USD 930,294.80 and ICSID admin charges of USD 65,214.79) for Phases I, II and III (para 175). n.b. Portion of Art 1126 proceedings relating to Tembec estimated using Tribunal's apportionment, i.e. USD 329,614.50 in total (para 170 + 176) Total costs of Tembec Art 1120 and Art 1126 proceedings = USD 363,844	V/a-vis Tembec: USD 94,728.68 in total legal costs claimed against Tembec (USD 50,086.71 for Art 1120 proceedings; USD 24,054.91 for one-third of consolidation proceedings; USD 20,579.06 for presenting case on costs) (para 179-183). Art 1126 proceedings: USD 865,509.59 in Tribunal fees and expenses of USD 930,294.80 and ICSID admin charges of USD 65,214.79) for Phases I, II and III (para 175). n.b. Portion of Art 1126 proceedings relating to Tembec estimated using Tribunal's apportionment, i.e. USD 329,614.50 in total (para 170 + 176) Total costs of Tembec Art 1120 and Art 1126 proceedings = USD 363,844	Tembec Art 1120: Total Tribunal fees and expenses of USD 34,329.15 (Tribunal fees of USD 26,190 plus ICSID admin charges of USD 8,139.15) (para 162). Art 1126 proceedings: USD 372,823.25 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and Administrative Secretary (para 156).	Tembec unilaterally withdrew from the consolidated proceeding on 10 January 2006, before the jurisdictional hearing (para 85). Canfor (in January 2007) and Terminal (in June 2007) reached separate agreements with the Respondent to terminate proceedings with each party bearing its own costs (para 153). Tembec to bear arbitration costs in both Art 1120 proceedings (para 163) and Art 1126 proceedings between it and US (para 152). Tembec to contribute towards the US's legal costs. Arbitration costs Fees and expenses of the Art 1126 Tribunal (para 170): Phase I (from establishment of Tribunal to withdrawal of Tembec) to be borne 1/3 by Tembec (bearing 100% of the Tembec allocation), 1/3 by US (bearing 50% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation), 1/6 by Canfor and 1/6 by Terminal (each bearing 25% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation). Phase II (from withdrawal of Tembec to date of Tribunal's Decision on Preliminary Question) to be borne 25% each by Canfor and Terminal 50% by US. Phase III (from Decision on Preliminary Question to date of Joint Order) to be borne 90% by Tembec (bearing 100% of the Tembec allocation), 2.5% by Canfor and 2.5% by Terminal (each bearing 25% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation) and 5% by US (bearing 50% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation).	Whole Award	
6/16/2008	5-Jun-12	Caratube International Oil Company LLP v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/12	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 1,005,700,000 in damages, plus 3.7% interest compounded quarterly from 31 January 2008 to date of award, amounting to total of USD 1,121,400,000 (para 2 + 122). Claimed in Reply: USD 1,149,000,000 plus interest at 3.7% per annum compounded quarterly (para 122). Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: USD 1,145,000,000 plus interest at 3.7% per annum compounded quarterly (para 124).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), Dr. Gavin Griffith QC, Arbitrator Dr. Kamal Hossain, Arbitrator	USD 5,848,988.25 total costs (paras 473 + 486)	USD 14,725,206.71 in professional, witness and expert fees and expenses (paras 480 + 485)	ICSID deposits of USD 950,000 paid by Respondent, and of USD 975,000 paid by Claimant (including USD 25,000 ICSID registration fee), totaling USD 1,925,000 (para. 485-486).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to satisfy burden of proof (paras 468-469).	Total arbitration costs per party for Art 1126 Claimant to pay Respondent USD 3,200,000, comprising USD 3,000,000 for Respondent's legal costs and USD 200,000 in recovery of part of ICSID deposit (para 495).	24 of 495
18-Mar-11	15-Apr-13	Caraveli Cotacuse Transmisora de Energia S.A.C. v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/19	N/R	USD 26,389,851 including pre-award interest (para. 105).	ICSID	3. Luiz Otavio Baptista (President), Alexis Moura, Horacio A. Gilgera Nadin	N/A Not disclosed	USD 2,672,691.82 (para. 184)	N/A USD 425,000 paid by the Respondent (para. 184). Amount paid by Claimant unclear.	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on merits. (para 185)	Claimant to bear its own costs and expenses and pay Respondent for its costs - both arbitration and legal costs - amounting to USD 3,087,691.82 (paras. 184-185)	1 (para.186)

30-Aug-05	18-Sep-09	Cargill, Incorporated v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/052	N/R	USD 123,810,000 "brought to present value considering the time value and opportunity cost of money", with 46.77% attributed to CdM (Cargill's wholly owned Mexican enterprise) and 53.23% attributed to Cargill (para 435). Alternative amount of USD 100,000,000 submitted by Claimant to eliminate the effect of antidumping duties period (para 450). Tribunal adopted this Alternative Damage Model as the basis for its calculation of damages (paras 465 + 538.)	ICSID	3. Dr. Michael C. Phyllis (President), Prof. David D. Caron, Prof. Donald M. McRae	USD 3,350,846 in legal representation and assistance costs (para 561).	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 77,328,240 plus interest at the U.S. Monthly Bank Loan Prime Rate, compounded annually (paras 5, 540 + 544).	Respondent to bear all arbitration costs and half of the Claimant's legal costs (para 547).	4 of 562
16-Dec-01	1-Jan-04	CCL v. Republic of Kazakhstan, SCC Case 122/2001	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8310320232	EUR 178,802,338, estimated by Tribunal at around USD 219,000,000 (or USD 215,265,276 according to actual conversion rate at date of Award) (page 124).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Norway (Chairman), James H. Carter, Christer Soderfund	N/A	N/A	EUR 123,000 i.e. USD 146,009 for cost of the arbitration (page 124).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as there was no legal basis for finding expropriation or damage (pages 175-176).	Parties to bear costs of Arbitration and Arbitration Institute in equal shares (page 176).	
7-Nov-02	17-Sep-03	CGC Group plc v. Republic of Seychelles, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/14	US\$ 1 + GBP 0.5675470551	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: GBP 2,103,379.32 i.e. USD 3,708,088 plus further amounts owed under guarantees not yet calculated by the Claimant (para 20). Claimed subsequently: principal, interest and premiums owing under the guarantees amounting to GBP 2,446,701.38 i.e. USD 4,311,811, plus further interest accruing at GBP 611 per year from 22 August 2003 on loan agreements and the guarantees (para 62).	ICSID	1. Sir Anthony Mason, AC KBE	GBP 134,295.15 sought in "indemnity costs" i.e. USD 219,804 (para 63). n.b. It appears sufficiently certain that this sum represents legal fees and disbursements only - see wording of para 63 and of the final Award on page 22.	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay GBP 1,771,096.75 as principal owing under the guarantees and GBP 672,915.45 as interest owing under the guarantees, totalling GBP 2,444,012.20 or USD 4,306,472, plus interest at a daily rate of GBP 611 from 25 August to 17 December 2003, plus further interest provided for by the loan agreements until date of payment (para 62).	Respondent to bear Claimant's legal fees and disbursements of GBP 100,000 i.e. USD 176,197, and to pay USD 40,000 to the Claimant in reimbursement of fees and expenses paid to the Tribunal and Centre (para 63). n.b. Unclear whether the USD 40,000 payment represented full reimbursement of the Tribunal's fees and expenses, or whether this was only partial reimbursement of a larger advance made by the Claimant. This figure has therefore been excluded from our costs awards calculations.	1 of 63
20-Jul-14	26-Jul-16	CEAC Holdings Limited v. Montenegro (ICSID Case No. ARB/14/6)	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.9106	N/A Not disclosed in award (see para. 40) but according to GAR, Claimant claims "more than" USD 658,978,583 (converted from EUR 600,000,000). http://giblattributals.com/review.com/articles/1033243/montenegro-faces-new-icsid-claim	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanouka (President), William W. Park, Brigitte Sten	USD 2,530,931.63 (para. 215)	USD 950,772.63 (converted from EUR 865,678.51) (para. 219).	USD 446,125.32 (para. 222)	Tribunal decides it has no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (para. 212). Respondent win on jurisdiction. Tribunal finds that CEAC does not have a "seat" in Cyprus and does not qualify as an "investor" for purposes of Article 13(b) of the BIT, and finds that it lacks jurisdiction to hear this case.	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,222,279.92 (para. 223). The amount comprises (para. 223): (1) the Claimant shall pay all of the Tribunal's costs (USD 446,125.32) and therefore shall reimburse the Respondent for its share already paid; (2) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent USD 776,614.20 (converted from EUR 707,105.71 - which represents the Respondent's legal costs minus the costs incurred in connection with the Preliminary Objections Phase; (3) the Respondent's request for interest on any costs awarded to it is rejected.	12 (paras. 214-225)
9/28/2006	17-Sep-09	Cementownia "Nowa Huta" S.A. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/062	N/R	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 4,648,157,411 plus interest (para 24 + 123). Claimed in Memorial: minimum of USD 4,000,000,000, to be quantified in quantum phase (paras 45 + 156).	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Honourable Marc Lalonde, P.C., O.C., O.C., J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 873,198.70 in legal advisor and notary fees plus USD 415,251.25 in disbursements i.e. USD 1,288,449.95 (paras 87 + 173).	USD 3,859,053.35 in legal fees plus USD 1,045,768.71 in disbursements, i.e. USD 4,904,822.06 (para 90 + 173).	USD 400,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and of ICSID Secretariat (para 178).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed in its entirety on jurisdictional grounds, as Claimant (i) was unable to prove that it owned an "investment" and (ii) had brought its claim fraudulently and in bad faith (para 179).	Claimant to bear all ICSID costs, resulting in a USD 325,000 payment to the Respondent, and all Respondent's legal costs of USD 4,304,822.06 (para 178). Tribunal calculates total payment to be made by Claimant to Respondent as USD 5,304,822.06 (para 179).	7 of 179
2/19/2013	7-Mar-17	Carvin Investissements S.A. and Rhone Investissements S.A. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/2	N/R	N/A Not clear from the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed was USD 300,000,000 http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/526	ICSID	3. Alexis Mourre (President), Ricardo Ramirez, Andres Jana	USD 1,388,860 (para. 704)	USD 1,860,621 (para. 709)	USD 1,061,906.95 (para. 711)	Claimant win on the merits but no damages awarded (para. 726).	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay USD 1,046,487.24 (para. 726). (1) Claimant must pay its share of the arbitration costs (plus 50% of Respondent's share, and (2) Claimant must pay its own legal costs plus 50% of Respondent's costs (para. 715).	22 (paras 704-725)
25-Apr-97	29-Dec-04	Ceskoslovenska Obchodni Banka, a.s. v. Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4	US\$ 1 + SKK 28.593762	Claimed in Memorial: SKK 24,659,907,271 for principal and interest due under loan agreement plus SKK 5,064,537,568 for additional losses, plus interest in each case; sums to be quantified at later date for lost productive management time and professional fees and expenses i.e. minimum of SKK 33,724,463,229 or USD 1,179,433,655 (para 38). Claimed in final submission: SKK 32,443,747,030 in actual damage, SKK 7,857,193,540 in lost additional gains, i.e. 40,300,940,576 or USD 1,409,431,210 (para 39).	ICSID	3. Prof. Hans van Houfte (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Andreas Bucher	USD 16,351,846 including all costs and expenses of the proceeding (para 369).	USD 14,314,236.17 in total costs associated with proceeding including attorney's fees and expenses (para 370).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay SKK 24,736,361,842 (representing SKK 13,178,227,533 in principal plus SKK 11,618,154,309 in accumulated interest) i.e. USD 867,195,504, plus further interest at 4.19% from 1 to 29 Dec 2004 (para 351 + 374).	Claimant to bear total amount of Tribunal and ICSID fees and expenses, resulting in a payment to the Respondent of USD 225,000 (para 176). Claimant to bear one half of the Respondent's expenses, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 334,037.50 plus EGP 194,350 plus EUR 14,765 (para 177). Tribunal further held that the three original individual claimants were jointly and severally liable to the Respondents for 20% of the total amount owed by the Claimant, i.e. USD 66,807.50 plus EGP 38,870 plus EUR 2,863 in respect of Respondent's expenses, and USD 45,000 in respect of Tribunal and ICSID fees and expenses (para 178).	5 of 374
8-Aug-02	27-Oct-06	Champion Trading Company, Ameritrade International v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9	US\$ 1 + EGP 5.739513/ EUR 0.786052	Claimed by original claimants in Request for Arbitration dated 29 May 2002: minimum of USD 100,000,000 plus interest at 10% compounded quarterly (para 33). Claimed in Reply dated 30 Oct 2005: USD 73,034,234 plus interest for Champion Trading and USD 292,136,897 for Ameritrade International plus interest, totalling USD 365,171,121 for the remaining two Claimants (para 37). n.b. Originally the claimants were Champion Trading Company, Ameritrade International, Inc., James T. Wahba, John B. Wahba and Timothy T. Wahba. Claim by the three Wahba claimants was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction on 21-Oct-03.	ICSID	3. Mr Robert Briner (Chairman), Mr Yves Fortier, Q.C., Prof. Laurent Aynès	USD 283,121.71 for jurisdictional phase and USD 1,089,158 for merits phase, i.e. USD 1,372,279.71 excluding ICSID advances (paras 166-168). n.b. Tribunal calculates total as USD 1,375,279 (para 169). n.b. This is for the two corporate Claimants, not including the original individual claimants.	Jurisdictional phase: USD 170,000 plus EGP 236,021.11 plus EUR 9,738.30 (para 167). Merits phase: USD 548,075 plus EGP 152,679.88 plus EUR 19,791.48 (para 168). Total: USD 718,075 plus EGP 388,700.88 plus EUR 29,529.78, i.e. USD 823,366.	USD 450,000 in advance payments made by parties for Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID expenses and administrative fee (para 166).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent had failed to discharge burden of proof (para 164).	Claimants to bear total costs of the arbitration at USD 688,219 (para 272). Claimant to bear one half of Respondent's fees and costs at CAD 2,899,233.80, i.e. USD 2,625,038 (para 273).	14 of 178
28-Apr-11	21-Jan-18	Charrane B. V. and Construction Investments Sarr v Spain (06/2012)	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.9230	N/A Redacted	SCC	3. Alexis Mourre (President), Guido Santiago Tavel, Claus Won Wobeser	N/A Redacted	N/A Redacted	N/A Redacted	Claimant lost on the merits	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,425,804.1 (para 566-570). (1) Claimant to reimburse Respondent in the amount of USD 1,274,302.61 (converted from EUR 1,176,181.31) on account of legal costs; (2) Claimant to reimburse Respondent in the amount of USD 150,866.30 (USD 145,833.30 (EUR 134,604.14) + USD 5,195) on account of tribunal's costs.	13 (paras 560-572)
17-Oct-02	2-Aug-10	Chemtura Corporation (formerly Champion Corporation) v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 + CAD 1.0227240304	Originally claimed: USD 83,139,672 plus compound interest payable from date of expropriation (para 94). Claimed in Reply: USD 78,593,520 plus compound interest (para 95).	UNCITRAL (ad hoc, NAFTA)	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (Chairperson), The Honourable Charles N. Brower, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 1,294,640 in legal and other costs (para 268).	CAD 5,778,467.60 in fees and expenses i.e. USD 5,650,675 (paras 268 + 273).	USD 688,219 in total (para 269-270).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as the Respondent had not breached Articles 1105, 1103 or 1110 of NAFTA (para 267).	Claimant to bear total costs of the arbitration at USD 688,219 (para 272). Claimant to bear one half of Respondent's fees and costs at CAD 2,899,233.80, i.e. USD 2,625,038 (para 273).	6 of 273
21-Dec-06	31-Aug-11	Chevron Corporation (USA) and Texaco Petroleum Company (USA) v. Republic of Ecuador, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 34877	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.6941603334	As assessed for Partial Award: for TexPet USD 587,823,427 in damages, or between USD 1,484,000,000 and USD 1,605,000,000 including accumulated interest first at simple rate and after date of denial of justice at annual compound rate of 11.41% equal to Ecuador's cost of capital (para 53 + 356). Claimed on 10-Dec-10: after tax principal amount of USD 523,866,429, plus pre-award compound interest until 31-Dec-10, to a total of USD 649,786,333 (paras 205 + 338). n.b. Tribunal used the figures reached by the Claimants' expert as the basis for its calculation of damages, though applied a different interest rate (para 276).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Karl-Henrz Böckstiegel (Chairman), The Honourable Charles N. Brower, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 12,390,265.97 in costs of legal representation and assistance (para 355). n.b. Claimants also submitted USD 1,203,962.11 as "costs of the arbitrator" (para 355). It is not clear whether these are amounts paid to the Tribunal, and so this sum has not been added to the USD 12,390,265.97 submitted as Claimant's legal costs.	USD 17,836,463 in costs of legal representation and assistance (para 361). n.b. Respondent also submitted USD 40,468 and EUR 850,000 as "costs of the arbitrator" (para 361). It is not clear whether these are amounts paid in respect of Tribunal Costs, as these costs are stated to include USD 28,290 incurred in the production of eleven witness for cross-examination at the Hearing on the Merits (para 362). As this is insufficiently certain, we have not added these further amounts to the USD 17,836,463 submitted as Respondent's legal costs.	EUR 1,346,910.22 in arbitrators' fees; EUR 291,690.78 in other tribunal costs including court reports, hearing rooms, meeting facilities, travel, bank charges and other expenses; EUR 155,940 in PCA fees for registry services i.e. total Tribunal and Institutional Costs of USD 2,595,198 (paras 369-370).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 77,739,896.94 in direct damages and pre-judgment interest, plus additional pre-award annual compound interest at New York Prime Rate until 31-Aug-11, to a total of USD 96,365,365.17 (para 349-350).	Each Party to bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (as well as expenses of witness and experts), and tribunal costs to be divided equally (para 376).	23 of 376
22-May-12	6-Dec-16	Churchill Mining and Planet Mining v. Indonesia (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/14 and 12/40)	N/R	N/A Not clear from the award but according to UNCTAD - USD 1,315,000,000 This award deals with an application from the Respondent to dismiss the Claimant's claims on the basis of forged documents. (para. 106) http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/452	ICSID	3. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Michael Hwang, Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 4,084,021.34 (para. 536)	USD 12,328,704.18 (para. 533)	Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 557(4))	All Claimant's claims dismissed based on unauthentic documents (para. 557)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 9,446,528 (para. 557). This amount comprises: (1) USD 800,000 to reimburse the Respondent's share of Tribunal costs, and (2) USD 8,646,528 as 75% of the Respondent's legal costs.	24 (paras. 533-556)
2/22/2000	14-Mar-03	CME Czech Republic B.V. v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	Claimed in Statement of Claim Respecting Quantum and in Reply Respecting Quantum: USD 506,900,000 (para 31). Claimed in Skeleton Quantum Arguments dated 04-Nov-02 (reduction to reflect receipt of payments awarded in ICC arbitration): USD 498,200,000, plus interest at Czech statutory rate of 12% per annum since 8 August 1999 (para 31 of Final Award).	UNCITRAL	3. Dr. Wolfgang Kühn (Chairman), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Mr. Ian Brownlie C.B.E. QC	N/A	N/A	Partial Award: USD 1,086,498.86 in Tribunal fees and expenses (para 622 of that award). Final Award: USD 1,351,203.44 in Arbitrators' fees, disbursements and costs (page 161 of that award). Total for both Awards = USD 2,447,702.30	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 269,814,000 plus interest at 10% since 23 February 2000 (paras 620 + 649).	Partial Award (determining liability). Respondent to refund USD 750,000 being a portion of Claimant's legal fees and disbursements (para 621 of that award). Respondent to bear two-thirds of Tribunal costs and expenditures, being USD 730,999.24 in total or an extra USD 182,749.81 (para 624 of that award). Final Award (determining quantum). Each Party to bear its own out-of-court fees and expenses. Tribunal fees and costs to be borne equally (para 649 of that award).	2 of 650 [Final Award only]

26-Jul-01	12-May-05	CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8	N/R	USD 261,100,000 as fair market value on 17 August 2000 in the event that Respondent decides to take title to shares, or USD 243,600,000 in the event that title to the share remains with CMS (para 398)	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), The Honorable Marc Lalonde P.C. O.C. G.C. H.E. Judge Francisco Rezek	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 133,200,000 to the Claimant plus simple interest at the annualized average rate of 2.51% of the United States Treasury Bills for the period August 18, 2000 to 60 days after the date of the Award, or the date of effective payment if before, applicable to both the value loss suffered by the Claimant and the residual value of its shares. Claimant to transfer ownership of TGN shares to Respondent, upon payment by Respondent of additional USD 2,148,100 - producing total of USD 135,348,100 (paras 468-469). However, the interest on the residual value of the shares shall cease to run upon written notice by Argentina to the Claimant that it will not exercise its option to buy the Claimant's shares in TGN. After the date indicated above, the rate shall be the arithmetic average of the six-month U.S. Treasury Bills rates observed on the aforementioned date and every six months thereafter, compounded semi-annually.	Each party shall bear its own fees and expenses. The arbitration costs, including the fees of the members of the Tribunal, shall be borne equally (para 472).	1 of 472
2-Jul-09	14-Mar-11	Commerce Group Corp. and San Sebastian Gold Mines Inc. v. Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/17	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Nado, J. Christopher Thomas, G.C.	USD 145,120.59 in attorney fees and expenses paid for and/or incurred by Claimants (para 130).	USD 790,399 excluding legal fees and costs incurred before July 2010 i.e. when the Tribunal was constituted (para 131).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimants had not raised any causes of action under the Foreign Investment Law (para 128).	Each party shall bear its own legal fees and expenses.	11 of 140
26-Dec-06	21-Nov-00	Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Compañía General de Aguas v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/13 - VIVENDI I	N/R	Over USD 300,000,000 (page 2).	ICSID	3. Judge Francisco Rezek, President Judge Thomas Buergerthal Mr. Peter D. Toddoff	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as there was no basis for holding that the Respondent had breached its obligations under the BIT (para 82).	Each party shall bear its own expenses. Fees and expenses of Tribunal and charges for use of Centre to be borne equally (para 96).	4 of 96
29-Aug-03	20-Aug-07	Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/13 - VIVENDI II	N/R	USD 316,923,000 in damages, plus interest compounded from 27 November 1997 (para 3.2.4).	ICSID	3. J. William Rowley QC (President), Prof. Carlos Bernal Vera, Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler.	Jurisdictional phase: USD 701,961.08 in attorney fees and other costs excluding ICSID payments plus USD 4,312.15 in transportation costs for hearing, i.e. USD 706,273.23 (para 10.1.2). Substantive phase: USD 5,978,612.78 (para 10.1.4). Total for both phases, including transportation costs = USD 6,684,885.01	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 105,000,000 plus interest at 6%, compounded annually, on the amount of USD 51,000,000.00 as from 28 August 1997 until the date of payment, and on the further amount of USD 54,000,000.00 as from 5 September 2002 until the date of payment.	Respondent shall pay to Claimants the sum of USD 701,961.08, being their reasonable legal and other costs for the jurisdictional phase, plus 6% simple interest from date of Award to date of payment (para 10.2.6). Parties to bear their own costs and counsel fees for the substantive phase, and to bear equally the arbitrators' fees and expenses and cost of ICSID Secretariat, together with any related costs or charges for use of the ICSID facilities (para 10.2.6).	N/A
2-Jun-95	17-Feb-00	Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena S.A. v. Republic of Costa Rica ICSID Case No. ARB/96/11	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: fair market value of USD 41,200,000, excluding interest and other amounts, as far and full compensation for expropriation of the Property (paras 29 + 57). Claimed in Reply: USD 40,337,750 plus compound interest as of date of expropriation on 5 May 1979 (paras 38 + 57). "Worst case scenario" claims were USD 22,200,000 and USD 33,400,000 (para 58).	ICSID	3. Yves Fortier, Prof. Sir Eithur Lauerpacht and Prof. Prosper Weil	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 16,000,000 by way of compensation for the expropriation (this represents principal and adjusted compound interest for over 20 years) (para 107).	Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses, and the costs of the proceeding including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of ICSID facilities to be borne in equal shares (para 109).	3 of 111
28-Jun-00	22-Dec-03	Consortium R.F.C.C. v. Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/08	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8056333254	EUR 72,186,174.35 inclusive of interest, i.e. USD 89,601,773 (para 20).	ICSID	3. Maître Robert Briner (President), Maître Bernardo M. Cremades, M. le Professeur Ibrahim Fadallah	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits (para 110).	Each party shall bear its own costs and fees, and the costs of the arbitration proceedings shall be borne equally.	2 of 113
3-Feb-03	10-Jan-05	Consortio Groupement L.E.S.I. - DIPENTA v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/08	N/R	N/A (see page 15, para 7)	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Maître André Faurès, Prof. Emmanuel Gallard	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction.	Each party shall bear its own fees and expenses. The arbitration costs, including the fees of the members of the Tribunal, shall be borne equally. Consequently the Respondent shall pay the Claimant USD 45,050 in reimbursement of its advance payment for the costs of the arbitration.	1 of 43
17-Jan-03	5-Sep-08	Continental Casualty Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/9	N/R	Minimum of USD 69,000,000 for violation of contractual and expropriation obligations (para 22). n.b. USD 3,500,000 claimed in respect of LETE losses, the only claim which succeeded (para 305)	ICSID	3. Prof. Giorgio Sacerdoti (President), Mr. V.V. Veeder, Lic. Michel Nader	USD 3,323,849.91 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (FN 445).	USD 844,776.43 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (FN 445).	N/A	Claimant win. Claims based on FET and on umbrella clause failed either due to defence of necessity or due to lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (paras 304-305). Claimant succeeded on breach of FET claim in respect of LETEs. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,800,000 plus compound interest at the rate for USD 6 month LIBOR plus 2 per cent compounded annually from 1 January 2005 until payment (paras 305 + 315).	Each party to bear its own expenses of presenting and preparing its case, and half of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre's facilities and services (para 319).	3 of 320
2-Feb-10	21-May-13	Convia Callao v Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/2	N/R	USD 104,438,504 plus interest (para. 242)	ICSID	3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Eduardo Zuleta and Brigitte Stern	USD 2,504,937 (USD 2,829,937 - USD 325,000 for ICSID fees) (para. 677)	USD 3,869,978.53 (USD 4,109,978.53 - USD 300,000 for ICSID fees) (para. 678)	USD 1,025,017 as total costs advanced by both the parties (para. 681 (3)) Net Clear - Claimant spent USD 600,017.00 (USD 475,017.00 on administrative expenses and USD 125,000.00 on additional ICSID expenses) and Respondent paid USD 425,000.00 (USD 300,000.00 on ICSID costs and USD 125,000.00 additional payment).	The Tribunal dismissed all claims. (para. 681 (3))	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay 50% of the Respondent's costs (including both party and tribunal costs) in the amount of USD 1,117,485.27 (para. 681).	12 (paras. 669-680)
21-Jan-11	15-Mar-16	Copper Mesa Mining Corporation v Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-2)	N/R	USD 69,700,000 (para. 1.124(d)) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. V.V. Veeder QC (President), Bruno Simma, Bernardo Cremades	USD 2,639,264.20 (para. 9.4)	USD 5,704,179.92 (para. 9.4)	USD 1,063,515.05 (para. 9.5)	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5)	The Tribunal decides (para. 9.10): (1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	10 (paras. 9.1-9.10)
10-Jun-14	31-May-16	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3)	N/R	USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) plus interest	ICSID	3. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Fernando Mantilla-Serrano, J. Christopher Thomas	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274)	USD 1,685,991.00 (para. 274)	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para. 274)	The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant did not satisfy conditions required under DR-CARTA Article 16.10.1, and at present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims	(1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs, (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	9 (paras. 271-279)
2/17/2011	4-Apr-18	Crystallex International Corporation v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2)	N/R	USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest	ICSID	3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes, Prof. John Y. Gotanda	USD 30,493,635 (para. 948)	USD 14,322,826 (para. 950)	USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950).	Respondent ordered to pay Claimant damages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 961).	The Tribunal decides (para. 960): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	13 (paras. 948-960)
8/2/2004	22-Aug-12	Daimler Financial Services AG v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/1	N/R	EUR 272,000,000, i.e. USD 339,147,670 (FN 267)	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Belo Jaimeiro	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 296)	The costs of the arbitration to be split evenly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs.	3 of 286
16-Jul-10	16-May-14	David Minnotta & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/10/1	N/R	N/A Claims not quantified in the Award but stated to be USD 35,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/388)	ICSID (AF)	3. Vaughan Lowe, QC (President), Professor Maurizio Merlendon, GC, Professor Eduardo Silva Romero	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not clearly mentioned but Claimant was asked to reimburse Respondent USD 931,051.23 for the legal costs (para. 216)	USD 573,380.12 (para. 215)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217)	The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,791,121.41: 1) Claimants should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses i.e. USD 573,380.12; and 2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent a total of USD 1,217,741.29 as part of its legal costs	4 (paras 213-216)
8/2/2005	6-Feb-08	Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17	N/R	Sums totalling OMR 96,409,954, i.e. USD 250,395,948, plus interest at 7% from date amounts were due (para 81) 0.683059677 n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Jan Paulsson, Prof. Ahmed S. El-Kholi	USD 717,191 in Salaries fees and expenses including those of Mr. Fadallah, GBP 21,209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. JH Scott, OMR 75,500 (USD 196,089) in KPMG fees, OMR 4,147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant for visit by counsel, expert and witnesses to Muscat, OMR 3,981 plus EUR 18,172 (USD 10,339 + 26,604) in expenses incurred by Claimant for representatives and witnesses for hearing) (para 300). i.e. total of USD 1,002,569	USD 471,534.82 in legal costs (para 301). n.b. Respondent excluded costs borne by Yemeni Government for its own costs and time spent participating in proceedings.	USD 225,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance on costs (para 300). USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSID for administrative expenses of proceedings including Tribunal fees and expenses (para 301). i.e. Total of USD 425,000 advanced to ICSID	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 1,000,000 for moral damages including loss of reputation (without pre-award interest), plus an amount in Omani Ryal equivalent to YER 3,585,446,554 at exchange rate of Omani Central Bank as of 9 August 2004 with simple interest at 5% per annum from 9 August 2004 (paras 253, 291 + 295-296). i.e. USD 20,409,753. n.b. On 9 August 2004, YER 3,585,446,554 was equal to OMR 7,473,337. On the date of the award OMR 7,473,337 was equal to USD 19,409,753.	Claimant to pay Respondent 70% of the arbitration costs. On basis of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 297,500 was borne by Respondent and USD 127,500 by Claimant, i.e. Respondent bore an extra 20% or USD 85,000. Claimant to pay an additional USD 400,000 to the Respondent for legal expenses (para 304).	6 of 304
29-Apr-11	17-Aug-15	Detroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25)	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3688	USD 5,080,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and second Notice of Intent) In addition, see paras 182, 209 on the award for jurisdiction)	PCA/UNCITRAL	3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Hon. Michael Chertoff, Vaughan Lowe	N/A Not disclosed.	USD 2,638,105.34 (converted from CAD 3,453,015.96) (para. 22)	Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 557(4))	The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the Claimant's case (para. 340 of the Award on Jurisdiction).	Claimant ordered to pay USD 1,625,849.95 (para. 61). This amount comprises: (1) the Claimant shall pay 2/3 of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,358,168.16); (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs (USD 267,681.79).	61 (it is an Award on Costs) (paras. 1-61)
17-Feb-09	31-Oct-12	Deutsche Bank AG v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/02	N/R	USD 60,368,993 plus interest (para 8(2)).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Mr. Maabsoom Ali Khan, Prof. David A.R. Williams QC	USD 7,995,127.36 submitted in Statement of Costs including legal fees and expenses (para 376).	USD 2,822,435.11 submitted in Statement of Costs including legal fees and expenses (para 377).	USD 960,928.72 including arbitrators' fees, Tribunal expenses, Secretariat admin fee and charges for use of Centre facilities (para 578).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 60,368,993 plus interest based on a nine-month LIBOR rate as of 9 December 2008, plus a market-based funding spread based on credit risks associated with DB, based on DB's one-year credit default rate, of 1.12% (paras 574-575).	Respondent to pay USD 7,995,127.36 representing the full amount of the Claimant's legal fees and expenses. Costs of the arbitration to be borne by parties in equal parts (para 590).	15 of 590
8/25/2004	18-Aug-08	Duke Energy Electroquil Partners & Electroquil S.A. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID No. ARB/04/19	N/R	Claimed in Reply: USD 24,720,904 in damages resulting from unlawful conduct, in the alternative, USD 19,283,434 for impairment of investment value, plus further USD 358,954 in damages resulting from denial of justice; each amount inclusive of annually compounded interest until 31 December 2000; i.e. maximum of USD 25,079,858 inclusive of interest (para 106).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Dr. Enrique Gómez Pinzón, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,875,566 plus interest at the simple active rate quoted by Central Bank of Ecuador from the date on which each fine became due and payable until full payment. For BIT breach relating to late invoice payments, Respondent ordered to pay interest at a simple active rate quoted by the Central Bank of Ecuador on the sum of USD 98,980.84 between 28 August 2002 and the date on which this sum was actually paid by the Respondent (para 491).	Parties shall bear the costs of the arbitration equally and each party shall bear its own legal and other costs (para 491).	2 of 491
4-Dec-09	28-Jun-16	Dunkeld International Investment Limited v Belize (PCA Case No. 2010-13)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9248 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7921	USD 175,378,241 (para. 182) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), John Beechey, Rodrigo Oreamuno	USD 4,713,624.76 (para. 353)	USD 939,592.92 (para. 358)	USD 679,930.67 (para. 349)	The case ended in settlement - the Respondent paid the Claimant USD 166,952,643 (USD 96,935,233 (para. 362(d)) plus interest of USD 72,017,410) (para. 362(e)).	The Tribunal decides (paras. 362(g) and (h)): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	23 (paras. 339-361)

6-Dec-04	12-Apr-07	Eastern Sugar B.V. (Netherlands) v. Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 0082004	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7486494963	Claimed on 1 July 2005: EUR 95,858,000, plus bi-annually compounded interest at 100 basis points above 6-month EURIBOR (para 20 of Partial Award). Claimed on 15 March 2006: EUR 109,078,000 plus bi-annually compounded interest at 12% as Eastern Sugar's cost of capital, or in the alternative 100 basis points above 6-month EURIBOR, i.e. USD 145,699,691 excluding interest (para 21 of Partial Award). Claimed on 8 September 2006: EUR 88,537,000 on 8 September 2006 plus bi-annually compounded interest at 12% as Eastern Sugar's cost of capital, or in the alternative 100 basis points above 6-month EURIBOR, i.e. USD 118,262,285 excluding interest (para 22 of Partial Award). n.b. Tribunal deemed submission on 8 September to be Claimant's final Prayers for Relief (para 23 of Partial Award). However, it noted that the EUR 109,078,000 submitted on 15 March was the "high mark" (para 380 of Partial Award).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Mr. Robert Vothera, Prof. Emmanuel Galliard and Dr. Pierre A. Karer (President)	EUR 3,398,130.33 in representation costs, i.e. USD 4,486,287 (para 381 of Partial Award). n.b. Claimant also claimed an additional EUR 1,500 in costs for the Stockholm Institute. This has been excluded from Party Costs and added to Tribunal Costs.	EUR 1,242,486.90 in representation costs, i.e. USD 1,699,638 (para 381 of Partial Award). n.b. Respondent also claimed an additional EUR 1,500 in costs for the Stockholm Institute. This has been excluded from Party Costs and added to Tribunal Costs.	EUR 856,710.50 in arbitrator's fees and expenses, i.e. USD 1,144,353 (para 5 of Final Award). EUR 3,000 paid by parties to Stockholm Institute, i.e. USD 4,007 (para 381 of Partial Award). = total fees of Tribunal and Institute amounting to USD 1,148,360	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay EUR 25,400,000, i.e. USD 33,927,758, plus simple annual interest at 7 percentage points above repo rate published by Czech National Bank from 31 July 2006 to date of payment (paras 368, 374 + 376 of Partial Award). Of party representation costs (note that this includes payments to the Stockholm Institute). Respondent to pay 30% of Claimant's party representation costs (EUR 1,010,786) and Claimant to pay 70% of Respondent's party costs (EUR 870,791), resulting in balance payment of EUR 139,995 by Respondent towards Claimant's costs (para 383 of Partial Award). i.e. Claimant bore an extra USD 228,871 (EUR 171,343.90) in arbitration costs but was reimbursed USD 186,997 (EUR 139,995) by Respondent towards party representation costs, resulting in a net costs award of USD 41,874 in favour of Respondent. n.b. There appears to be a small error in Tribunal's calculations - 30% of Claimant's party representation costs would be EUR 1,010,286, not EUR 1,010,786.	6 of 384	
31-Jul-09	19-Sep-13	ECE Projektmanagement v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL PCA Case No. 2010-5	US\$1 = EUR 0.7532	USD 93,320,499.20 (converted from EUR 70,289,000 (para. 1.33))	UNCITRAL/PCA	Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC (President); Andreas Bucher; Christopher Thomas QC	USD 1,249,607.81 (converted from EUR 941,204.60 (para. 6.7))	USD 4,886,793.31 (converted from EUR 3,454,742.99)	USD 1,407,589.52 (converted from EUR 1,060,196.43 (para. 6.4))	Respondent win on the merits (page 382).	The Tribunal decides (para. 6.76): (1) the Claimants shall pay USD 3,260,175.29 (converted from EUR 2,455,564.03) being 65% of the Respondent's costs of legal representation; and (2) the Claimants shall pay USD 492,656.33 (converted from 371,098.75) being an additional 35% - making USD 1,196,451.09 or 65% in total - of the Respondent's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 6.76): (1) the Claimants shall pay USD 3,260,175.29 (converted from EUR 2,455,564.03) being 65% of the Respondent's costs of legal representation; and (2) the Claimants shall pay USD 492,656.33 (converted from 371,098.75) being an additional 35% - making USD 1,196,451.09 or 65% in total - of the Respondent's costs.	76 (para 6.1 - 6.76)
14-Jun-05	8-Oct-09	EDF (Services) Limited v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/13	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6766121564	USD 132,574,000 plus interest, based on DCF method (para 110).	ICSID	3. Prof. Piero Bernardini (President), Mr. Arthur W. Rovine, Mr. Yves Derains	USD 2,761,308.90 and EUR 3,878,294.82 in "arbitration costs", i.e. USD 6,197,691 (para 321). n.b. May include ICSID advances.	USD 18,574,642.14 in "arbitration costs" (para 321). n.b. May include ICSID advances.	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits: although there was attribution there was no contractual breach and therefore no State responsibility in international law for violation of umbrella clause (para 319).	Claimant to pay USD 6,000,000 on account of Respondent's legal fees and other costs. Parties to share equally all fees and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID administrative charges (para 329). n.b. Dismissing opinion on costs from Mr. Arthur W. Rovine.	9 of 330
16-Jun-03	11-Jun-12	EDF International S.A., SAUR International S.A. and León Participaciones Argentinas S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15	N/A	Originally USD 153,690,000 plus interest (para 717). Revised to USD 147,800,000 plus interest based on Claimant's own bid, or USD 125,200,000 plus interest based on second highest bid (para 880)	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Prof. Jesús Remón, Prof. William W. Park (Presiding)	USD 15,786,490.54 in total costs including inter alia fees and expenses of international and Argentine counsel, expert fees, and ICSID payments (para 878). n.b. The amounts of ICSID payments made by Claimant were not quantified, but given that the total costs of the arbitration were quantified by the Tribunal, we have taken half that sum (i.e. USD 815,648) and deducted this from total costs, reducing the Respondent's amount to USD 14,970,842.	USD 3,640,566.77 in total costs including inter alia expenses of legal team, expert fees, and ICSID payments (para 879). n.b. The amounts of ICSID payments made by Respondent were not quantified, but given that the total costs of the arbitration were quantified by the Tribunal, we have taken half that sum (i.e. USD 815,648) and deducted this from total costs, reducing the Respondent's amount to USD 2,824,918.	USD 1,631,297.95 in total costs of arbitration including arbitrators' fees and expenses and ICSID administrative expenses (para 1346).	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 116,138,430 plus interest compounded annually at rate for the ten year U.S. Treasury Bonds for the period from 31 December 2001 until date of payment (para 1182).	Each side to bear its own legal expenses, including fees for attorneys and experts. Arbitration costs, including the fees of the arbitrators and the administrative expenses of the Centre, to be divided on an equal basis (para 1345).	N/A
13-Dec-13	4-May-17	Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energia Solar Luxembourg S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/36	US\$1 = EUR 0.9117, US\$1 = GBP 0.7742	USD 229,242,075.24 (EUR 209,000,000) (para. 431)	ICSID	3. Prof. John R Crook (President); Dr Stamen Alexandrov; Campbell McLachlan	USD 5,587,966.21 (GBP 4,287,446.99) (para. 482) N.B. Includes advances to ICSID	USD 3,163,803.77 (EUR 2,884,439.90) (para. 482) N.B. Includes advances to ICSID	USD 896,159.58 (para. 483)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 140,397,060.44 (EUR 128,000,000).	The Tribunal decides (para. 485): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the costs of the arbitration.	6 (paras 479-485)
6-Jun-03	31-Oct-11	El Paso Energy International Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15	N/A	USD 228,200,000 using DCF method; USD 210,000,000 using transactions method; plus interest "at commercially reasonable rate from the date of expropriation" (para 689, 692).	ICSID	3. Prof. Lucius Caflisch (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 7,950,823 excluding ICSID fees and expenses (para 749).	USD 546,456.71 excluding ICSID fees and expenses (para 749)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 43,030,000 to the Claimants, plus semi-annually compounded interest at LIBOR plus 2% from January 1, 2002 until the date of full payment (para 732).	The Parties shall bear all their own legal costs and expenses. The Parties shall bear equally the costs and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID (para 751).	4 of 752
13-Aug-07	25-Nov-15	Electrabel S.A. v Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9421, US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6818	N/A Declaratory relief and unspecified damages (para. 89) Quantum phase bifurcated.	ICSID	3. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Brigitte Stern, V. V. Veeder (President)	USD 8,068,281 (para. 230) (sum of first phase: USD 5,583,470 (assumes a missing 0 in a typo in the award) and second phase: USD 1,873,190.51 (converted from EUR 1,764,732.78), USD 177,877 (converted from GBP 117,737.26) and USD 433,744.85 (para.230))	USD 10,695,733 (para. 230)	N/A	Respondent won on merits. No declaratory relief ordered (para. 227)	The Tribunal decides (para. 236): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs.	9 (paras 228 - 236)
12-Sep-13	16-Mar-17	Eli Lilly and Company v The Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, ICSID Case No. UNCT/14/2	US\$1 = CAD 1.3316	USD 378,488,134.57 (converted from CAD 500,000,000) (para. 95) plus interest	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President); Sir Daniel Bethlehem QC, Gary Born	USD 8,968,098 (USD 8,128,444 + USD 839,562) (para. 449)	USD 4,454,416.85 (USD 3,438,916.28 (converted from CAD 4,575,260.92) + USD 1,015,499.77 (converted from CAD 1,352,239.60)) (para. 453)	USD 749,697.97 (para. 457)	Claimants claims of arbitrariness and discrimination dismissed (para. 442)	Claimant ordered to pay in total USD 3,715,681.04. The Tribunal decides (para. 458): (1) Claimant ordered to pay USD 374,848.99 representing the Respondent's share of tribunal costs. (2) USD 3,340,832.05 (converted from CAD 4,448,625.32), representing 75% of the Respondent's legal fees and disbursements (para. 460)	18 (paras. 443-460)
17-Mar-09	16-Nov-12	Elamas S.A. v. Republic of Honduras (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/4)	N/A	Claim: USD 11,546,088.81 plus interest (para 190). Counterclaim by Respondent: USD 16,545,075 plus interest, minus amount for evicted guarantees, i.e. USD 12,790,766.53 (para 195 + 202).	ICSID	1. Dr. Enrique Gómez Pinzón	USD 524,982.96 in fees and expenses of Cremades & Asociados; USD 79,987.59 in technical reports; USD 68,718.60 in transport and accommodation costs for the hearing; USD 77,830.79 in other costs associated with proceedings; USD 187,141.54 in indirect, expert and financial expenses, i.e. total of USD 938,431.48 (para 866)	USD 230,729.09 for legal fees of Dorsey & Whitney and USD 42,368.42 in expert fees, i.e. USD 273,097.51 (paras 202 + 870)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay sums totalling USD 8,076,498 (USD 5,075,995.19 minus USD 5,500 for Claimant benefit) (para 886).	Respondent to pay USD 214,720.40 towards Claimant's legal expenses in respect of the jurisdictional phase and the counter-claim phase (paras 871-872). Otherwise, each party to bear its own fees and expenses. Costs and expenses of the proceedings, including Tribunal fees, to be divided equally between the parties (para 886).	19 of 886
18-Jul-07	13-Nov-00	Emilio Agustín Maffei v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/17	US\$ 1 = ESP 193.0455969418	N/A n.b. It appears likely that part of the claim was for ESP 30,000,000, i.e. USD 155,404, plus interest - but there is no reference to actual amount claimed, which may have been higher (paras 72, 94-96).	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Judge Thomas Buergenthal, Mr. Maurice Wolf	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay ESP 30,000,000, i.e. USD 155,404, in compensation, plus interest compounded annually since 4 February 1992 at LIBOR rate amounting to ESP 27,641,265.28, totalling ESP 57,641,265.28, i.e. USD 296,669 (para 95-97).	Each of the parties shall bear the entirety of its own expenses and legal fees for its own counsel. Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of the Centre to be borne equally by the parties (para 98). Each party to bear entirety of its own expenses and counsel fees (para 99).	2 of 100
28-Oct-11	16-Apr-14	Emis International Holding, B.V. and others v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/2	N/A	N/A Claims not quantified in the award due to the bifurcation of the proceedings.	ICSID	3. Campbell McLachlan QC (President), Marc Lalonde PC QC, Christopher Thomas QC	USD 2,594,404.60 (para. 263)	USD 1,866,421.00 (para. 263)	USD 331,267.32 (USD 67,846.13 + USD 243,421.19) (para. 263)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 265).	The Tribunal decides (para 265): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (paras 256-264)
13-Dec-04	2-Jun-09	Empresa Eléctrica del Ecuador Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/9	N/A	USD 328,578,182.18 (irreconcilable amounts submitted by Claimant, see para 46)	ICSID	3. Judge Bernardo Sepúlveda (President), Mr. John Rooney, Prof. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 136)	Each Party shall pay an equal portion of the costs and expenses. Each Party shall assume its own costs and expenses of representation (para 137).	1 of 137
24-Dec-02	7-Feb-06	Empress Lucchetti S.A. and Lucchetti Peru S.A. v. Peru, ICSID No. ARB/03/14	N/A	N/A n.b. Total amount invested by Claimants in Peru was more than USD 150,000,000 (para 17). Claimants sought compensation for consequential damage and loss of earnings associated with the investment (para 24)	ICSID	3. Judge Thomas Buergenthal (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Mr. Jan Paulsson	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as it did not fall within scope of Respondent's consent to international adjudication under the BIT (para 62).	Each Party to pay one half of the arbitration costs and bear its own legal costs.	N/A
14-Mar-03	3-Feb-06	EnCana Corporation v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3491, UNCITRAL	N/A	Reimbursement of denied tax credits of USD 80,000,000 (para 1).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Crawford, Dr. Grigera, Mr. Thomas	N/A	N/A	USD 594,182.69 in Tribunal fees and expenses; USD 4,180.20 in Secretary fees and expenses; USD 39,152.19 in hearing room, court reporting and translation costs; USD 48,272.96 in LCIA charges, i.e. USD 685,788.04 in total (para 203).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, except for expropriation claim, which was rejected on the merits as the State's actions were not considered to amount to expropriation in breach of the relevant BIT article (paras 167-168, 178 + 199).	Respondent to bear costs of arbitration and reimburse Claimant for sum of USD 330,267.44 deposited with LCIA as deposit holder in connection with arbitration costs. Otherwise, each party to bear its own costs of representation (para 202 + 204).	4 of 204
8-Jul-10	23-Oct-13	Energogalians TOB v. Republic of Moldova, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = MDL 12.8750	USD 73,649,928.47 (converted from MDL 948,242,829.08) (para 41).	UNCITRAL	Dominic Pellet (President); Michael Yarevich Sarantsev; Viktor Kornelevich Volchinskiy	USD 200,000	N/A	USD 343,679.28	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay a total of USD 44,048,962.72 (converted from MDL 562,860,395 (MDL 195,547,212 + MDL 357,916,008 + MDL 39,417,175)).	The Tribunal decides: (1) Respondent shall pay USD 200,000 as the Claimant's legal costs; and (2) Respondent shall pay USD 340,000 as the costs of the arbitration.	10
6-Aug-12	13-Jun-14	Enkev Behner B.V. v. Republic of Poland, PCA Case No. 2013-01 29 Apr 2014. First Partial Award: 13 June 2014. Final Award on Costs	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7394	N/A Quantum of damages not disclosed. (the UNCTAD website verifies this: http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/660)	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	3. VV Veeder (President), Albert Jan van den Berg, and Klaus M Sachs	USD 1,633,431.18 (converted from EUR 1,355,639.02) (para. 32)	USD 935,867.70 (converted from EUR 691,980.58) (para. 33)	USD 999,616.22 (EUR 450,000 - EUR 6,644.51 remaining deposit - para. 59)	Claimant loses on merits (para. 384 of the Partial Award available here: http://www.itlaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw0208.pdf)	(1) each party shall pay the costs of the arbitrator they each appointed. (2) each party shall pay 50% of the other Tribunal costs.	60 (1-60 it is a costs award)
26-Feb-01	22-May-07	Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13	N/A	USD 882,918,216 using unjust enrichment approach, USD 472,823,217 using book value approach, or USD 362,016,802 using the DCF method (para 348).	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego-Vicuña (President), Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, Mr. Pierre-Yves Tschanz	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 168,200,000 plus semi-annually compounded interest at 6 month average LIBOR rate plus 2 per cent for each year, or proportion thereof, beginning on 1 January 2002 until the date of dispatch of the Award (paras 400-402).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and arbitration costs to be borne in equal shares by the parties.	1 of 453
27-Oct-97	26-Jul-01	Eudora Amanda Ojguin v. Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5	N/A	n.b. Used conversion rate at date of investment (30 June 1995), at US\$ 1 = PYG 1,798,1995, plus applicable adjustment for devaluation of guarans from June 1995 to effective payment date, plus interest on that amount at rate agreed in TDIs, plus damages incurred due to failed payments of principal (para 63).	ICSID	3. Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno (President), Mr. Francisco Rezek, Mr. Eduardo Mayra Alvarado	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits, as requirements for "expropriation" were not met (para 83-84).	Each party shall pay one half of the fees for these proceedings and the entire cost of their representation.	1 of 85
11-Feb-03	19-Aug-05	Eureko B.V. v. Republic of Poland	N/A	N/A n.b. Consortium's investment totalled nearly 700,000,000 (para 41).	Ad hoc arbitration under Netherlands-Poland BIT	3. Mr. Fortier, Judge Schwebel, Prof. Rajski	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Decision on award deferred (page 86).	Respondent to bear both Claimant's costs and Tribunal's costs (para 261).	1 of 262
3/8/2007	13-Aug-09	Europe Cement Investment & Trade S.A. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/2	N/A	"An amount exceeding" USD 3,900,000,000 (para 26).	ICSID	3. Prof. Donald M. McLean, Dr. Laurent Lévy, Dr. Julian D.M. Lew QC (President)	USD 1,011,204.18 in legal costs and expenses (para 183).	USD 3,907,383.14 in legal fees and disbursements (para 182)	USD 259,480 in total disbursements made by ICSID (para 186).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed in its entirety for lack of jurisdiction, the Tribunal concluding that the claim to jurisdiction had been based on a fraudulent assertion of ownership (para 188).	Claimant to pay USD 3,907,383.14 representing Respondent's full legal expenses and costs, plus USD 129,740 for Respondent's share of arbitration costs (para 188).	5 of 186
23-Nov-09	20-Aug-14	European American Investment Bank AG (Austria) v. Slovak Republic, PCA Case No. 2010-17	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7522	USD 178,970,307.82 (para. 32 of Second Award on Jurisdiction - http://www.itlaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw4227.pdf) (converted from EUR 131,400,000)	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Sir Christopher Greenwood (President), Brigitte Stern, Alexander Petasche	USD 2,090,434.82 (paras 20-21) (converted from EUR 1,573,470.29)	USD 6,962,703.19 (paras 20-21) (converted from EUR 5,233,299.19)	USD 1,371,012.55 (para. 19) (converted from EUR 1,031,961.15)	Respondent wins on jurisdiction (pages 5, 6)	This amount comprises: (1) USD 759,645.09 to reimburse the Respondent for the Tribunal costs paid (converted from EUR 571,785.10). (2) USD 1,328,550.55 to contribute for the Respondent's legal costs (converted from EUR 1,000,000).	64 (paras. 1-64 - it was an award on costs)

17-Feb-03	2801/2010 Award on costs	European Media Ventures SA v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = GBP 0.6195	N/A	UNCITRAL	Lord Mustill (President), Sir Christopher Greenwood QC, Dr Julian Lew QC		N/A	USD 2,276,674.74 (converted from GBP 1,410,400 (para. 8))	USD 393,778.24 (converted from GBP 243,945.62 (para. 22))	Respondent win on the merits (para. 94 of Award on Liability).	The Tribunal decides: (1) the Claimant shall pay USD 646,682 (GBP 400,000) as a contribution to the Respondent's legal costs (para. 20); and (2) the Claimant shall pay two thirds of the Tribunal costs, i.e. USD 262,518.82 (GBP 162,630.41 (para. 23)).	27
6/17/1996	9-Mar-96	Fedax N.V. v. Republic of Venezuela, ICSD Case No. ARB/96/3	N/R	Claimed in request for arbitration: USD 998,990 in outstanding capital plus USD 80,071.63 in costs riding interest, i.e. USD 979,021.63 including interest (para 16)	ICSID	3. Prof. Vicuna (President), Prof. Hebl, Mr. Roberts B. Owen		N/A	N/A	USD 100,300 in institutional expenses including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre facilities (para 34).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 998,990 representing principal of promissory notes due, plus USD 161,245.14 in regular and penal interest due on the notes, i.e. USD 700,195.14 in total principal and interest (para 32).	Each party to bear the entirety of its own expenses and legal fees for its own counsel, and an equal share of the institutional expenses (para 34-35).	2 of 63
10/30/2001	17-Jul-01	Fremont's Fund Insurance Company v. United Mexican States, ICSD Case No. ARB(AF)/02/01	N/R	Claimed in Notice of Arbitration and Memorial on Merits: USD 60,600,600 plus interest (paras 15 + 107)	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Andreas F. Lowenfeld, Mr. Alberto Guillermo Saavedra Olivarría, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President)		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Although Claimant had demonstrated injury and loss of investment, this did not amount to expropriation. Claim relating to preliminary question lost on a "technicality" and dismissed on merits, and other claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (paras 217-218 + 221).	Each Party to bear its own costs. Parties to share Tribunal's costs in equal shares (para 220-221).	2 of 226
6-Jan-14	12-Aug-16	Fleming DutyFree Shop Private Limited v Poland	US\$1 = EUR 0.8951 US\$1 = PLN 3.19277	USD 91,200,770.86 (para. 42) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Hans van Houfte (President), John Townsend, Wolfgang Kunin		USD 2,368,293.16 (Converted from EUR 2,119,859.21 (EUR 2,544,695.21 - EUR 425,000) (para. 94d)) total costs minus Tribunal costs)	USD 520,423.16 (USD 519,394.36 + USD 1,028.80) (converted from PLN 1,985,468.81 + EUR 1,149.37) (para. 95d) total costs minus Tribunal costs)	USD 926,626.51 (paras. 97f) (EUR 829,422.49 (arrived from EUR 850,000 - EUR 20,577.51) (para. 97f))	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 20,900,882.88 (para. 97e(v))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant: USD 1,704,868.88 (para. 97e(v)) 1) This amount comprises 60% of the Claimant's legal costs. 2) The parties shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs (para. 97e(v))	30 (paras. 946-975)
9-Aug-10	18-Nov-14	Flughafen Zürich A.G. and Gestión e Ingeniería IDC S.A. v. Venezuela	US\$1 = CHF 0.9593 US\$1 = CHF 20.7774	USD 82,285,140.27 plus interest (USD 42,133,124.28 (CHF 40,376.173) for Flughafen Zürich + USD 40,152,015.99 (CHF 24,002,048.029) for Gestion e Ingeniería IDC) (para. 51)	ICSID	3. Juan Fernández-Arnesto (President), Henri Alvarez, G.C., Raúl E. Vinuesa		USD 3,880,720 (para. 981)	USD 3,385,122 (para. 984)	Not clear, USD 950,000 advanced in total by the parties	Claimants win in the amount of USD 19,928,261 (page 205)	Respondent ordered to pay Claimant: USD 2,399,000 (para 205) 1) USD 1,874,000 in legal costs; and 2) USD 525,000 in Tribunal's costs	23 (paras 979-1001)
19/2014	15-Dec-14	Formister Enterprises Limited (Cypriot) v. Czech Republic	US\$1 = CZK 24.1118 US\$1 = EUR 0.9019	USD 36,327,264.20 plus interest (converted from CZK 803,232,139) (para. 36(1)) plus interest @ 5.038% per year from 1 November 2002 on USD 36,327,264.20	UNCITRAL	3. Martin Hunter, August Reinsch, Paolo Patocchi (President)		N/A Claimant did not claim any costs (para. 120).	USD 82,614.95 (converted from CZK 1,813,432.65) (para. 99)	USD 24,940.76 (converted from EUR 20,000.00) (para. 119)	Claimant's claims withdrawn without prejudice (p. 28).	The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 40,839.77 (p. 28): (1) USD 15,899.00 (converted from CZK 340,222.79 + CZK 11,320) for the Respondent's legal costs (para. 112); (2) USD 24,940.77 (converted from EUR 20,000) to reimburse the Respondent for the Tribunal costs.	34 (paras 90 -123)
3-Aug-11	8-Apr-13	Franck Charles Arif v. Republic of Moldova, ICSD Case No. ARB/11/23	US\$1 = MDL 12.4000 US\$1 = EUR 0.7664	USD 36,371,678.25 (converted from EUR 27,962,700) (para. 305) plus interest	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades (President) Dr. Bernard Hanotiau, Dr. Rolf Kreiser		N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant win on the merits. The Tribunal ordered Respondent (para. 633): (1) to pay USD 629,470 (converted from MDL 6,565,429) (if Claimant elects to accept restitution) (2) if Claimant elects to reject restitution, then Respondent shall pay damages of USD 2,833,672 (converted from MDL 35,136,294)	The Tribunal decides (para. 633): (1) Each Party shall bear equally the costs and expenses of the arbitration; and (2) Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and costs.	5 (para 628-633)
10-Oct-95	7-Jul-96	Franz Sedemeyer v. Russian Federation, SCC	US\$1 = DEM 1.8158656960 SEK 0.0023078923	USD 7,649,637.61 and DEM 494,430 being the value of expropriated investments and property, in each case plus interest at 30% or alternatively 12.18% from 25 November 1996 or alternatively from date of Award; i.e. principal sums excluding interest totalling USD 7,821,800 (para. (j)-(l) of Section III)	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	4. Mr. Magnusson, Mr. Wachler, Mr. Zykan, Mr. Sandeep		SEK 1,570,275 in Claimant's costs of the arbitration, i.e. USD 184,048 (Section III)	N/A	SEK 1,168,573 in Arbitrators' fees and expenses plus SEK 220,346 in Secretary's fees and expenses, i.e. USD 171,630 (page 118).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,365,000 in compensation for investments under the Treaty, plus interest at 10 % p.a. from 25 November 1996 until date of payment (paras 3.5 + 3.6.3).	Each Party to bear its own litigation costs, plus the costs of its own Tribunal member, i.e. Claimant liable for Dr. Wachler's costs of SEK 344,967 and Respondent liable for Professor Zykan of SEK 363,606. Cost of the Chairman and remaining costs, totaling SEK 680,346, to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 3.7). Total arbitration costs borne by Claimant = SEK 685,140, i.e. USD 84,865 Total arbitration costs borne by Respondent = SEK 703,779, i.e. USD 86,969 n.b. There appear to be a couple of minor errors in the figures and calculations given in the Award: (1) Tribunal calculates the total amount borne by Respondent as SEK 685,140, which doesn't reflect that Professor Zykan's costs as fixed by the Tribunal are higher than Dr. Wachler's; (2) Respondent is ordered to pay SEK 495,000 to the Claimant, which would mean the Claimant would have paid SEK 705,000 for arbitration costs and the Respondent only SEK 695,000 (see paras 3 + 5 of Section VI).	N/A
30-Mar-11	10-Dec-14	Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines [I], ICSD Case No. ARB/11/12	US\$1 = EUR 0.8048 US\$1 = GBP 0.6374	USD 510,000,000 (footnote 72)	ICSID	3. Piero Bernardini (President), Stanimir Alexandov, Albert Jan van den Berg		USD 16,867,681.48 (para. 521) (USD 12,386,291.80 + USD 232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) + USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32)) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	USD 16,375,989.13 (para. 524)	USD 1,341,946.93 (para. 529)	Tribunal dismissed claim due to lack of jurisdiction (para. 530)	The Tribunal decides (para. 528): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000	10 (paras. 520-529)
17-Sep-03	16-Aug-07	Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSD Case No. ARB/03/25	N/R	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 292). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C. Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Reisman		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction <i>ratione materiae</i> as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (para 408).	Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribunal fees and expenses and administrative fees for the use of the Centre to be paid in equal share by each party (para 406).	1 of 406
3-Dec-07	12-Nov-10	Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = CAD 1.0081 US\$1 = EUR 0.7300	USD 20,000,000 (para. 184)	UNCITRAL	David Williams QC (President), Henri Alvarez QC, Christoph Schreuer		USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000) (para. 531f)	USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,546.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538))	USD 969,571.08 (EUR 415,786.89 (para. 545f))	Respondent win on the merits (para. 547).	The Tribunal decides (paras 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent.	16 of 547 (paras 531-546)
28-Sep-01	3-Mar-06	F.W. ON Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSD Case No. ARB/01/14	N/A	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment", i.e. there was lack of jurisdiction. The Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction and of substance together (para 102 + 214).	Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs of the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (para 214).	1 of 214
2-Oct-02	15-Nov-04	Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL	N/A	n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Michael Reisman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims failed on merits as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been "expropriated" (para 133).	Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amounts paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to be divided equally (para 136).	3 of 136
18-May-11	19-Dec-16	Garamit Koza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSD Case No. ARB/11/29)	N/R	USD 46,100,000 (para. 404)	ICSID	3. John M. Townsend (President), George Constantin Lambrou, Laurence Boisson de Chaboumes		N/A	N/A	USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)).	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant.	The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 362,500 : 1) Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 362,500 (para. 453(c)). This amounts to 50% of the Claimant's Tribunal costs. 2) Each party shall bear its own legal costs.	11 (paras. 442-452)
10/24/2008	31-Mar-11	GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSD Case No. ARB/08/16	US\$1 = EUR 0.7049001929 UAH 7.9600000381	USD 30,654,961.44 and EUR 141,689.38, i.e. USD 30,855,667 , plus interest at LIBOR three-month US Dollar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 87)	ICSID	3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern		EUR 1,309,084.74 plus USD 315,016.44 plus interest claimed in Submission on Costs, i.e. USD 2,172,137 (para 358).	USD 1,595,337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission on Costs (i.e. USD 1,995,878 (para 359)).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entirely on merits due to lack of liability (paras 357 + 365).	Claimant to bear entirety of costs in the matter, and to reimburse Respondent for all its costs, being USD 1,595,337.47 plus UAH 4,300, i.e. USD 1,595,878 (para 366).	9 of 567
9-Mar-05	16-Jun-10	Gempius S.A., SLP S.A. and Gempius Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States; Talusud S.A. v. United Mexican States, ICSD Nos. ARB(AF)/04/2 and ARB(AF)/04/4	N/R	USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 340 million pesos) or alternatively USD 34,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million pesos), 29% of such sum for Talusud and 20% for Gempius Claimants (para 1-12).	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier QC QC, Eduardo Magallon Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President)		USD 2,314,031.74 claimed by Gempius and USD 3,046,641.48 by Talusud, i.e. USD 5,360,673.22 total (para 17-6 + 17-26). n.b. Appears to include ICSD advances.	USD 2,853,437.66 in costs of external legal counsel, expert fees and disbursements, witness expenses, administrative expenses and other expenses relating to hearing (para 17-12).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Gempius and USD 6,468,721 to Talusud, i.e. principal sums totalling USD 10,941,885, plus compound interest from 24 June 2001 to 31 December 2009 with yearly rests amounting to USD 1,867,599 for Gempius and USD 2,698,907 for Talusud, i.e. total principal and interest of USD 15,508,381 , plus compound interest on principal sums from 1 January 2010 until full payment at 2% per annum (paras 18-5 to 18-7).	Respondent to bear Claimants' costs in full (arbitrator marginally rounded down) of USD 6,460,000, being USD 2,375,000 for Gempius and USD 3,075 for Talusud (paras 7-27 + 18-6). Respondent to bear all "other" arbitration costs in full without recourse to Claimants (para 18-9).	27 of 810
21-Jul-00	16-Sep-03	Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Ukraine, ICSD Case No. ARB/00/9	N/R	USD 7,687,518,868 claimed in compensation for unlawful indirect and direct expropriation and for moral punitive damages (para 51).	ICSID	3. Dr Eugen Salpius, Dr Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jan Paulsson (President)		N/A On 12 July 2001 Claimant submitted claim for legal defence expenses of USD 2,358,768.473 (para 5.1). n.b. This figure is unusually large and has been excluded from our calculations for this reason.	USD 739,309.80 in "contract payments of lawyers and experts services and expenses for business trips" Tribunal considered this amount to be uncorroborated and vastly overstated (para 24.8).	N/A Respondent paid USD 265,000 in costs to ICSD (para 24.8). n.b. As it is not clear whether Claimant also paid a deposit to ICSD, this figure has been excluded from our calculations.	Respondent win. Claim rejected on merits, as Respondent's acts not considered tantamount to expropriation in breach of the BIT (para 23.1).	Claimant ordered to pay USD 365,000 towards Respondent's costs, representing full amount of USD 265,000 payment by Respondent to ICSD, plus a contribution of USD 100,000 towards Respondent's legal fees (para 24.8).	8 of 310
29-Sep-11	16-Aug-16	Getma v Guinea (ICSD Case No. ARB/11/29)	US\$1 = EUR 0.8867	USD 303,955,551.37 (EUR 269,515,614 (EUR 108,428,125 + EUR 160,087,489 + EUR 1,000,000)) (para. 107) including pre-award interest (para. 402)	ICSID	3. Vera Van Houfte (President), Bernardo Cremades, Pierre Teclier		USD 1,950,612.38 (para. 470) EUR 1,729,519.33 (EUR 961,148.49 + EUR 484,770.84 + EUR 283,600)	USD 1,838,597.61 (para. 472) EUR 1,630,284.41 (EUR 1,485,799.05 + EUR 140,000 + EUR 4,229.36)	USD 822,036.39 (para. 474)	Respondent liable for certain claims and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 506,184.73 (EUR 248,834 + EUR 200,000) (page 194-195)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 345,000 (page 195). (comprising 40% of the Tribunal costs advanced by the Claimant)	10 (paras. 469-478)
21-Jul-03	8-Jun-09	Glamis Gold Ltd. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A n.b. Claimant's total expenditures amounted to investment of more than USD 18,600,000 in Imperial Project through 1997 (para 98) with total reclamation costs of USD 98,500,000 (para 367).	UNCITRAL	3. Michael K. Young, Prof. David D. Caron, Kenneth D. Hubbard		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as Claimant failed to establish that Respondent's acts fell short of customary international law minimum standard of treatment (para 624-630).	Claimant to pay 2/3 and Respondent 1/3 of the arbitral costs. Each Party to bear its own costs of representation (para 883).	3 of 638
5/21/2009	1-Dec-10	Global Trading and Globelex v Ukraine, ICSD Case No. ARB/09/11	N/A	N/A Not mentioned but UNCTAD says USD 35,000,000 http://investmentdisputehub.unctad.org/DS/Details/369	ICSID	3. Sir Franklin Berman (President), Emmanuel Gaillard, Christopher Thomas QC		N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	Claims dismissed on the merits (para. 58).	No order for costs made (para. 59).	1 (para. 59)
21-Oct-09	22-Sep-14	Gold Reserve Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSD Case No. ARB(AF)/09/1	US\$1 = EUR 0.7797	USD 1,735,124,200 (para. 6(4)) plus interest	ICSID	3. Pierre Marie Dupuy, David A.R. Williams, Piero Bernardini (President)		USD 20,442,628 (para. 861)	USD 12,815,260.16 (para. 861) (total arrived from USD 12,788,517.23 + USD 26,742.93 (converted from EUR 20,851.46))	Not disclosed.	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 713,032,000 plus interest (para. 863(i))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 6,000,000 as part of the Claimant's legal costs (para. 863). The Tribunal also decided (para. 863): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 (paras 857-862)

5-Apr-06	28-Dec-09	Government of the Province of East Kalimantan v. PT Kaltim Prima Coal, Rio Tinto plc, BP p.l.c., Pacific Resources Investments Limited, BP International Limited, Sangatta Holdings Limited, Kalimantan Coal Limited, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/13, Award on Jurisdiction	N/R	USD 469,333,921.56 plus interest from May 2003 until payment (para 65)	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabriella Kaufmann-Keller (President), Mr. Michael Hwang, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 218). n.b. Here the Respondent was the investor, not the State.	Each party to bear its own legal fees and other costs. Costs, fees and expenses of the Tribunal and Centre to be borne equally by Claimant on one hand and Respondents on the other (paras 220-221).	2 of 221
12-Mar-04	12-Jan-11	Grand River Enterprises Six Nations Ltd. et al. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A n.b. Previous amount of USD 38,000,000, revised down to 34,000,000. In respect of Canada plant was withdrawn by Claimant at hearing due to expert's concerns about accuracy (para 86).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Prof. James Anaya, Mr. John R. Crook	USD 3,917,376.67 (para 241). n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	USD 2,792,692.23 (para 241). n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims by Grand River Enterprises, Jerry Montour and Kenneth Hill dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as claimants had no investment in the US. Claims by Arthur Montour Jr. dismissed on merits, as Respondent's conduct held not to be in breach of NAFTA (para 238).	Each Party shall bear its own costs of representation and half of the costs and expenses of the proceedings (para 246).	9 of 347
3/8/2012	4-Dec-15	Grupo Francisco Hernandez Contreras v. Republic of Equatorial Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/2)	US\$ 1 = XGF 602.7804	USD 1,194,492.6 (para. 73)	ICSID	3. Juan Bernardo Sepúlveda Amor (Chairman), Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Prof. Raul E. Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	USD 804,355.22 (para. 269)	The Tribunal decided that it lacked jurisdiction with respect to the dispute. (para. 277)	The Tribunal decides: 1) The parties shall bear its own costs. 2) The parties are obliged to pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the services of the Centre, thus the Respondent shall reimburse the Claimant USD 203,655.58. (para. 277)	11 (paras. 265 to 276)
24-Nov-10	31-Jan-14	Guaracachi America, Inc. and Rurelec PLC v. The Plurinational State of Bolivia, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011-17	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7404	USD 142,961,538 (USD 142,300,000 + USD 661,535 (para. 4))	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Dr. José Miguel José (Presiding Arbitrator), Manuel Conthe, Dr. Raúl Emilio Vinuesa	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	USD 1,031,293.36 (USD 1,085,025.65 - USD 53,732.29) (para. 621-622) Total deposits = USD 1,085,025.65 (USD 950,000 + USD 135,025.65 (converted from EUR 100,000)) Unexpended balance = USD 53,732.29	Respondent asked to pay Rurelec compensation in the sum of USD 28,927,582 plus interest. Claims of GAI dismissed but expropriation claim made by Rurelec upheld.	The Parties shall bear their own legal costs and equally bear the costs incurred in connection with this arbitration (page 208)	5 (paras 618-622)
2-Aug-12	22-Sep-15	Guardian Fiduciary Trust, Ltd. Fk/a Capital Conservator Services & Loan, Ltd. v. Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/31)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8974 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6511	USD 20,000,000 (para. 4) (reduced from EUR 600,000,000)	ICSID	3. Veijo Heiskanen (President), Andreas Bucher, Brigitte Stern	USD 190,114.79 (para. 145) USD 1,340,885 + USD 62,971 (Converted from GBP 40,999.50) (para. 141)	USD 1,403,884.89 (para. 141) USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 1,108,884.89 (para. 141)	N/A Not clear. Respondent paid USD 175,000 in Tribunal costs and presumably Claimant paid the same (para. 141).	Respondent won on jurisdiction (para 152).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,123,084.27 (para. 152). The Tribunal decides (para. 151-152) (1) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent 80% of the Respondent's legal costs i.e. 1,123,084.27 (USD 1,072,708 + USD 50,376.29 (converted from GBP 32,800)). (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	11 (paras 141-151)
24-Sep-07	18-Jun-10	Gustav F W Hamster GmbH & Co KG v. Republic of Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/24	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6762503126	Maximum of USD 174,876,435 n.b. Original amount of up to EUR 141,467,586.30, i.e. EUR 33,045,231.29 for year 2002, EUR 27,884,000 from 2003 to 2005, EUR 13,398,555 from 2002 to 2005, future profits EUR 37,788,000 to EUR 67,042,000 (para 78).	ICSID	3. Prof. Brigitte Stern (President), Mr. Bernardo Cremades, Mr. Toby Landau Q.C.	GBP 697,801.45 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,031,899, minus USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 726,899 (para 359).	GBP 2,326,712.84 in total costs, i.e. USD 3,440,609, minus USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 3,135,609 (para 359).	USD 610,000 + advances paid by both parties to ICSID (para 359).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, either due to lack of attribution, or where there was attribution, because the relevant acts did not amount to violation of the BIT (para 362). Counterclaim also rejected (para 358).	Parties to bear the arbitration costs in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and other costs (para 361)	3 of 362
3-Jun-10	2-Mar-15	Hassan Awel, Enterprise Business Consultants, Inc. and Alfa El Corporation v. Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/13)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8026 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6005	USD 384,190,689.35 (converted from EUR 345,100,000) (para. 445-450) plus interest This figure includes principal claim (EUR 307.7 million) and additional claim (EUR 37.4 million) but not alternative claim (EUR 101.9 million).	ICSID	3. Piero Bernardini (President), Dr. Rudolf Dozer, Hamid G. Gharavi	USD 3,977,614.2 (para. 524) (USD 2,656,066.35 (converted from EUR 2,373,460.89) + legal fees + EUR 34,136.45 as expenses + EUR 502,356.10 (as costs for Respondent's experts and consultants) (para. 525).	USD 5,964,216.62 (para. 525)	N/A Not clear. Assuming each party bears half the Tribunal costs and that the Respondent is claiming all its Tribunal costs, plus Tribunal costs + USD 600,897.22 + 2 * USD 1,321,374.44 as fees and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID (para 525)	Claimants win on the merits in the amount of USD 1,539,767.96 (converted from EUR 1,543,176.59 + EUR 147,352.00 (para. 532))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,539,767.96 (para. 532). The Tribunal decides (para. 532) (1) Respondent shall Claimant pay USD 1,539,767.96 (USD 1,000,000 + EUR 482,336.65) to cover legal costs. (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (para.523-531)
8-Mar-05	3-Jul-08	Helwan International Hotels AS v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/05/19	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6366148568 EGP 5.33344011176 / GBP 0.504418327	EUR 41,786,406 claimed in damages, i.e. USD 65,638,440, plus interest, or, in the alternative, other remedies and declarations requested plus EUR 10,850,000 in damages (para 89).	ICSID	3. Mr Yves Derans (President), Prof. Rudolf Dozer, Mr Michael Lee	GBP 2,503,867 requested in total, i.e. USD 4,863,870 (para 171). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 742,556 plus EUR 116,133 plus EGP 668,610.32 requested in total i.e. USD 1,050,341 (para 172).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Arbitration failed to prove liability and/or causation (para 168-170)	Each party shall bear the expenses incurred by it. Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 174).	4 of 174
8/1/2011	15-Dec-14	Hesham Talaat M. Al-Warrag v. Republic of Indonesia	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6387 US\$ 1 = SGD 1.3122	USD 11,100,475.97 (para. 649-655) Claimant's claim: USD 10,448,925.62 (USD 5 million (damages) + USD 1,100,475.97 (converted from GBP 702,874) (expenses incurred with defence of asset seizure) + USD 5 million (moral damages) (para. 649-650)) NB: Respondent's counterclaim: USD 360,735,638 (para. 655)	UNCITRAL	3. Bernardo M Cremades (President), Michael Hwang, Fall S Nariman	USD 2,805,637.01 (para. 677) (does not include GBP 471,000 arbitration costs)	USD 6,403,612 (para. 678) (comprises USD 5,500,000 + USD 150,000 + USD 625,000 + USD 50,000 + USD 10,589 + USD 68,023)	USD 3,604,098.24 (para. 677-679) This amount appears to cover the Tribunal's fees and amounts paid to SIAC. Comprising: GBP 471,000 (i.e. USD 727,435.41) (listed in Claimant's costs - para. 677) + SGD 1,258,848.65 (i.e. USD 959,268.95) (listed in Respondent's costs - para. 678) + SGD 2,503,072.97 (i.e. USD 1,807,393.98) (listed as advances to SIAC - para. 679).	Claimant loses claim on merits. Respondent loses counterclaim on merits (para. 683).	The Tribunal decides (para. 638): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	10 (673-682)
17-Dec-08	23-May-11	HICEE B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oct-11	Conversion rate on 23-May-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7134852627 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7261907885	N/A	UNCITRAL	3. Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC, Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomka	N/A	N/A	EUR 389,495 in Tribunal fees, EUR 27,726.44 in Tribunal expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44,465 in fees of the Registry, i.e. total of USD 647,286 (FN 196 of PA). Further Tribunal fees and expenses of EUR 60,182.26 and further PCA costs of EUR 6,620 relating to Claimant's challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, i.e. total of USD 91,997 (para 8 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,083	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant was not an "investor" and/or did not have investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA).	Claimant to bear 6/10 of the arbitration costs, i.e. EUR 277,011.86 or USD 388,262, and Respondent to bear 4/10 of the arbitration costs, i.e. EUR 154,674.58 or USD 258,834, resulting in payment of EUR 46,168.64 by Claimant to Respondent (para 152 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to arbitrator and fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, i.e. EUR 60,807.26 (or USD 91,997 (para 8 of Supplementary and Final Award)).	11 of 162
7-Nov-07	20-Dec-14	Hochhoff Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31	N/R	USD 157,200,000 (para. 311) The Claimants' experts valuations ranged from USD 105.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311).	ICSID	3. Professor Vaughan Lowe Q.C (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 9,233,768 (para. 331)	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (para. 328, 333). Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,000 plus interest from 29 December 2014 (para. 104(3) of the Final Award).	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 7,125,316.65 (1) The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 6,925,316.50 (para. 331) plus USD 200,000 (see para 104(4) of the Final Award) (not mentioned whether this includes both legal costs and tribunal costs).	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award)
4-Nov-05	17-Dec-16	Hrvatska Elektroprivreda D.O. v. Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9264	USD 31,848,181.33 (converted from EUR 29,472,307) (see para. 258) plus interest	ICSID	3. David A.R. Williams (President), Charles Bower, Jan Paulsson	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribunal expert phase) + interest from the date of the Final Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate + 2% compounded monthly (para.589)	USD 8,562,919.47 (para. 592) USD 9,171,844.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,524,125.58 (EUR 6,487,339.60 + EUR 563,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) (para.592)	N/A Total Tribunal costs not clear - Respondent claimed USD 608,616.83 (converted from EUR 563,214.01 (para. 592)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,598,227.79 (plus interest) Breakdown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,598,482,2113 (para.518) (converted from EUR 19,987,200) + interest at the average 6-month EURIBOR rate + 2% semi-annually compounding from 1 July 2002 III date award debt satisfied (paras 545 - 546)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 10,000,000 (plus interest) (para. 612-613). This amount includes costs of the arbitration and the legal costs incurred by the Claimant.	33 (paras. 581 - 613)
16-May-02	7-Jul-04	Hussain Nuaman Soufiani v. United Arab Emirates, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/7	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Dr. Akham El Kholby	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84).	Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat, to be borne two-thirds by Claimant and one-third by Respondent. Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses (para 85).	1 of 86
4/17/2009	17-Aug-12	Iberdrola Energía, S.A. v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Eduardo Zuleta (President), Rodrigo Oreamuno, Yves Derans	USD 4,221,427.66 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 5,312,107 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Most claims (expropriation, violations of FET standard, obligation to provide full protection and security) dismissed on jurisdiction. Claim for denial of justice dismissed on merits, as Claimant failed to prove that Respondent had committed an act of denial of justice (para 508).	Claimant to bear all costs incurred by the Respondent, in the sum of USD 5,312,107 (para 518).	10 of 518
20-Dec-10	8-Mar-16	İçkale İnşaat Limited Şirketi v. Turkmenistan, (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/24)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9070	USD 566,770,430.29 plus interest (USD 62,067,030.33 + USD 475,289,500 + USD 29,413,899.96 (converted from EUR 26,678,407.26) (para. 148)	ICSID	3. Dr. Veijo Heiskanen (President), Carolyn B. Lamm and Prof. Philippe Sands QC.	USD 2,847,482.22 (USD 1,086,148 + USD 1,761,334.22 (converted from EUR 1,615,652)) (para. 404)	USD 8,737,603 (para. 405)	N/A Not clear - Respondent advanced USD 525,000 (para. 405)	The Tribunal decides to dismiss all claims against the Respondent based on the merits of the dispute (para. 411).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,747,521 (para. 411). The Tribunal decides (para. 411): (1) the Claimant shall pay 20% of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,747,521); (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	8 (para. 403-410)
26-Jun-09	10-Feb-12	ICS Inspection and Control Services Limited (United Kingdom) v. Argentine Republic, PCA Case No. 2010-9	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7583352432	Principal amounts totalling USD 11,039,248.81 plus interest of USD 14,237,762.29, i.e. total of USD 25,277,011.10 in principal and interest (para 245).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Dr. Santiago Torres Bernárdez, The Honorable Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	EUR 234,500 in arbitrators' fees and expenses, plus EUR 62,852.22 in other tribunal expenses (travel, translation, courier deliveries) and all other costs relating to the arbitration; EUR 64,135 for PCA's registry fees, i.e. USD 476,685 (para 331-333).	Respondent win. All claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant had failed to comply with mandatory 18-month litigation prerequisite under the BIT (para. 329-327).	Respondent to bear arbitration costs and to reimburse the Claimant EUR 180,743.61 (para 338). Parties to bear their own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 343).	16 of 343
7/25/2007	21-Jun-11	Impregilo S.p.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/17	N/R	Claimant's experts considered that the total value of Impregilo's investment in ASGA, including compound interest, was USD 119,342,503 as of October 2008 (paras 372 + 381). n.b. Claimant alleged expropriation, unfair treatment and discrimination (para 182).	ICSID	3. Judge Hans Danielson (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation of USD 21,294,000 plus interest compounded annually at 6% from 11 July 2000 until the date of payment (para 381-384).	The Tribunal decides (para. 385): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	1 of 385
21-Jul-03	2-Aug-06	Inteyna Váñezkeltana S.L. v. Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26	N/R	USD 107,532,329 as agreed damages, plus annual interest at 8.7% from 10 November 2002; USD 15,000,000 as damages for expropriation, plus interest of SVC 131,250,000, i.e. USD 15,000,000, plus interest at 8.7% from 29 April 2003 (para 44). i.e. USD 122,532,329 in total principal sums, or USD 137,632,329 including quantified interest, plus further 8.7% interest.	ICSID	3. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Burton A. Lundy, Claus von Wobeser	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 337).	Claimant to bear all arbitrators' fees and expenses and administrative fees for use of the Centre. Each party to bear its own legal fees (para 338).	1 of 339
5/28/2008	1-Mar-12	Itmaris Pevestroika Sailing Maritime Services GmbH and Others v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/8	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.638034162	N/A (amount of damages in para 311 redacted)	ICSID	3. Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov (President), Prof. Bernardo Cremades, Mr. Noah Rubins	EUR 910,333.47 in costs of legal representation plus EUR 1,131,496.53 in other costs including honoraria paid to experts, i.e. USD 3,200,143 (para 41).	USD 669,144.71 in costs of legal representation plus USD 642,727.75 in other costs including honoraria paid to experts, i.e. USD 2,056,087 (para 42).	N/A n.b. USD 425,000 paid by Claimant as advance payment to ICSID (para 41). Not addressed whether Respondent also made advance payments to ICSID, and so this figure has been excluded.	Claimant win. Amount of damages awarded reduced. Counterclaim dismissed on merits (para 432).	Each party to bear its own costs, fees and other expenses (para 438).	5 of 438

1-Aug-02	26-Jan-06	International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v. United Mexican States, UNCITRAL	N/R	Claimed in Notice of Arbitration: USD 100,000,000, plus pre-award interest at rate to be fixed by Tribunal, plus tax consequences (para 8).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Lic. Agustín Portat Alonso, Prof. Thomas W. Wilde, Prof. Dr. Albert Jan van den Berg (President)	USD 1,163,375.20 in legal fees and expenses (para 210).	USD 1,602,065.84 in legal fees and expenses (para 211).	USD 405,620 in fees of arbitrators plus USD 90,632.08 in disbursements of the arbitration including rent of hearing rooms, travel, hotel accommodation and court reporters, in total USD 995,252.08 (para 221).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent held not to have violated any of the NAFTA articles relied upon by Claimant (para 209).	All costs to be allocated on a Claimant 3/4 - Respondent 1/4 basis. Claimant to reimburse Respondent for USD 1,126,549.38, representing 3/4 of the Respondent's legal representation costs (para 220). Claimant to reimburse Respondent for USD 126,313.02 in respect of deposits made for arbitrators' fees and disbursements of the arbitration (para 221).	12 of 222
23-Oct-08	29-May-12	InterTrade Holding GmbH v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2009-12	USD 1 = EUR 20.36 USD 1 = CZK 20.36 USD 1 = GBP 0.639 USD 1 = CYP 20.4011	USD 105,424,575.42 (converted from EUR 84,424,000) (para. 38)	UNCITRAL	Yves Fortier QC (President), Henri Alvarez QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 2,932,794.38 (USD 1,852,267.76 (CHF 1,781,511.15) plus USD 455,145.42 (EUR 384,480.65) plus USD 625,291.18 (GBP 400,061.30)) (para. 271)	USD 4,891,751.32 (USD 2,622,237.76 (EUR 210,000) plus USD 4,689,513.56 (CZK 95,671,235.09) (para. 272)	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (para. 277).	The Tribunal decides (para. 276): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 of 277 (para 271-276)
14.06.2012 (RFA)	14-Aug-15	Inversion y Gestion de Bienes, IGB, S.L. and IGB18 Las Rozas, S.L. v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/17)	USD 1 = EUR 0.9000	N/A Redacted (para. 168)	ICSID	1. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (Sole Arbitrator)	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	The Tribunal dismissed all claims. (para. 214)	The parties shall bear its own costs and pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the services of the Centre. (para. 214)	3 (para. 210 to 213)
14-Feb-07	26-Jun-09	Investment v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	USD 1 = EUR 0.7136	N/A	UNCITRAL	Dr Michael Pyles (President); Christopher Thomas QC, Prof. Piero Bernardini	USD 8,267,721.41 (EUR 5,899,846) (para. 560) - N.B. includes Tribunal costs.	USD 5,768,934.98 (EUR 4,116,712) (para. 560) - N.B. includes Tribunal costs.	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (page 133).	The Tribunal decides (para. 578): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	19 of 578 (para 560-578)
2-Aug-05	11-Dec-13	Ioan Micula and others v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20	USD 1 = RON 3.4037 USD 1 = EUR 0.7822	USD 822,871,893.70 (RON 2,655,350,000) (para. 891) plus interest Note - The above amount is the damages claimed as per Method A. There are Methods B & C as well for alternative claims.	ICSID	3. Dr. Laurent Lévy (President), Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov, Prof. Georges Abi-Saab	USD 24,162,732.88 (converted from EUR 18,409,213) (para. 1324)	USD 15,087,047.98 (converted from EUR 11,499,347.97) (para. 1325)	Unclear, but the sum advanced by both parties is USD 2,995,000 (paras. 1324-1325)	Respondent to pay USD 110,595,301.87 (converted from RON 376,433,220) as damages plus interest (which is a substantial sum) (para. 1329)	The Tribunal decides (para. 1329): 1) Each party shall bear 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses; 2) Each party shall bear its own costs.	6 (para 1323-1328)
2-Aug-05	3-Mar-10	Ioannis Kardassopoulos and Ron Fuchs v. Republic of Georgia, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/05/18 and 07/15	USD 1 = GBP 0.6617599992	Reduced calculation in Post-Hearing Brief: USD 30,200,000 being the reduced weighted average calculated by Claimant's quantum expert as proxy for FMV of Claimants' 50% stake in GTL (para 542-543). n.b. Damages claimed at hearing were not fully quantified. Mr Kardassopoulos and Mr Fuchs both claimed "no less than the fair market value of [Mr Kardassopoulos'] investments on the 'Valuation Date' and further damages of USD 137,901 each (para 66).	ICSID	3. Mr. L. Yves Fortier, C. C. O. O., C.C. (President), Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Prof. Vaughan Lowe QC	USD 7,842,297.68 in total fees and disbursements for both Claimants, including USD 1,449,070 in expert fees and advances on arbitration costs (para 681). n.b. Includes ICSID advances.	GBP 3,075,844.22 in total legal fees plus GBP 627,641.07 in expert fees and other disbursements and expenses, i.e. USD 5,996,494 (para 682).	N/A n.b. GBP 343,919.84 paid by Respondent on account of ICSID fees (para 682). However, Claimants also paid advances which remained unquantified. Therefore, this figure has been excluded.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sums of USD 15,100,000 to each of the two Claimants, i.e. USD 30,200,000 as total principal sum; plus compound interest from 20 February 1996 to 28 February 2010 in the amount of USD 30,024,736.83 in each case, resulting in total sums of USD 45,124,736.83 payable to each Claimant or USD 90,249,473.66 payable in total (para 693). Respondent pay post-award interest at LIBOR rate plus 4%, compounded semi-annually (para 679).	Respondent to bear both Claimants' total costs of the arbitration proceedings of USD 7,942,297, including legal fees, expert fees, administrative fees and Tribunal fees (para 692).	14 of 693
10/21/2004	22-Sep-05	Iurii Bogdanov, Agurdino-Invest Ltd and Agurdino-Chimia JSC v. Republic of Moldova (I), SCC Case No. 093/2004	USD 1 = MDL 12.5800004005 / EUR 0.62303066915	Normal value of Transferred Assets, being MDL 621,021. i.e. USD 49,266, plus interest, and reimbursement for moral damages (para 4 + para 5.1).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	1. Guiditta Cordero Moss	N/A	N/A	EUR 19,457 in fees and costs of sole arbitrator plus EUR 6,000 for administrative fee of Arbitration Institute, i.e. USD 30,932 (para 7.2).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sum of 310,000 lei or USD 24,642, plus interest at various rates 19 June 2001 to 31 March 2005 amounting to 384,896 lei, totalling 694,896 lei or USD 55,238 including interest (para 7.1).	Respondent to bear 100% of arbitration costs at EUR 25,457. i.e. USD 30,932 in total or an extra USD 15,466 (para 7.3). Each party to bear its own costs and expenses including legal costs (para 7.4).	2 of 100
6-May-14	10-Mar-17	Ivan Peter Busta and James Peter Busta v Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2015/014	USD 1 = EUR 0.9372 USD 1 = CZK 23.3001 USD 1 = GBP 0.8225	USD 2,487,257.74 (converted from CZK 63,000,000) (para. 5)	SCC	3. Dr. Yas Banfilatem (President); Prof. August Reinisch; Prof. Philippe Sands QC	N/A USD 17,804.72 (converted from EUR 16,688.36) (para. 444) EUR 8,715 + EUR 104 + EUR 370 + EUR 420 + EUR 4,581.36 + EUR 2,498 CZK 6,480,026.97 (CZK 5,743,648.37 + CZK 652,632.16 + CZK 3,250 + CZK 70,794.44 + CZK 9,702) EUR 7,476.99 (EUR 6,872.04 + EUR 604.95) Note: the claimant's legal fees are not quantified - the above figure appears to represent disbursements only.	USD 263,810.45 (para. 440) USD 265,833.29 + USD 7,977.16 CZK 6,480,026.97 (CZK 5,743,648.37 + CZK 652,632.16 + CZK 3,250 + CZK 70,794.44 + CZK 9,702) EUR 7,476.99 (EUR 6,872.04 + EUR 604.95)	USD 265,176.66 (para. 440) USD 265,057.08 + USD 121.58 (converted from EUR 248,438 + GBP 100) EUR 99,394 + EUR 650 + EUR 1,000 + EUR 59,636 + EUR 59,636 + EUR 1,000 + EUR 27,122)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 458)	The Tribunal decides (para. 458): (1) Each party shall bear its own legal costs; and (2) Each party shall pay half of the costs of the arbitration.	20 (para. 438-457)
23-Dec-03	6-Nov-08	Jain de Nul N.V. and Dredging International N.V. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/04/13	USD 1 = EUR 0.7845999781 / GBP 0.6329353757 / EGP 5.5322130782	Claimed in Request for Arbitration dated 23-Dec-03: USD 74,000,000 plus USD 2,890,370 plus USD 4,500,000, i.e. USD 81,390,370 in total, plus compound interest on those amounts at 8% from 30 June 1993 until date of payment (para 8). Claimed in Statement of Claim dated 15-Mar-05: USD 75,531,040 plus EUR 3,307,008.47, i.e. USD 80,746,152 in total, plus interest (para 18). Claimed in Second Post-Hearing Brief: USD 73,631,040 plus EUR 3,307,008.47 plus USD 2,900,000, i.e. USD 80,746,152 in total, plus interest compounded quarterly at 8% p.a. from 30 July 1998 in respect of USD amounts and 30 July 1998 for EUR amount (para 115).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Pierre Mayer, Prof. Brigitte Stern	EUR 2,342,305.40 and USD 352,000 in total costs, i.e. USD 3,337,562 (para 277). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	EGP 253,089.05 plus GBP 8,500 plus EUR 874,803.65 plus USD 373,182.50 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,547,385 (para 277). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits, as Claimants failed to establish that Respondent had breached the BIT (para 276). Respondent's claim for payment by Claimants of USD 5,000,000 dismissed (para 281).	Parties to bear arbitration costs in equal shares. Each party shall bear its own costs and legal fees (para 280).	5 of 282
28-Mar-06	23-Apr-12	Jan Oostergel and Theodora Laurentius v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	USD 1 = SKK 22.7204168185 / EUR 0.7617354854 n.b. Date used for SKK conversion was 16 January 2009 (i.e. last available SKK date)	Claimed in Statement of Claim: SKK 7,520,335,505 plus EUR 18,129,833.79, i.e. USD 364,795,308, plus interest at 8% from 31 December 2007 until date of payment (para 106). Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: SKK 405,600,592.84 plus SKK 555,000,000, plus SKK 383,698,841 plus SKK 15,000,000, in total SKK 1,389,300,433.84 i.e. USD 59,827,267, plus compound interest at 8% from 14 April 2003 until date of payment (para 105).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Mikhail Wadimirov, Dr. Vojtech Tripi	EUR 1,481,855 in legal costs and expenses plus EUR 237,606.76 in "external costs", i.e. USD 2,221,039 (para 324)	EUR 12,439,240.48 in costs of arbitration, i.e. USD 16,330,131 (para 326).	EUR 796,258.93 in Tribunal fees and expenses including court reporters and interpreters plus VAT of EUR 69,985.50, i.e. USD 1,137,186 (paras 331-335).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed as Respondent held not to have breached the BIT articles relied on by the Claimant (para 322).	Claimants to bear entirety of arbitration costs of EUR 796,258.93 plus related VAT of EUR 69,985.50, i.e. USD 1,137,186 (para 341). Claimants to contribute EUR 2,000,000, i.e. USD 2,625,583 towards Respondent's legal and other costs (para 340).	18 of 372
11-Sep-06	28-Mar-11	Joseph Charles Lemire v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/18	N/R	Various calculations based on different scenarios: USD 30,489,000, USD 40,402,000, USD 46,651,000 or USD 43,617,000 (para 124). Alternative valuation used by Claimant in response to Respondent's criticisms: USD 26,791,000, USD 35,303,000, USD 40,698,000, or USD 38,066,000 (para 223).	ICSID	3. Prof. Juan Fernández-Arnesto (President), Mr. Jan Paulsson, Dr. Jürgen Voss	USD 1,339,427 for international and Ukrainian counsel, damages expert, and expenses (para 365).	USD 4,427,814 in fees and disbursements of international and Ukrainian counsel and of EBS (para 367). n.b. Only USD 1,549,180 had been paid at point of quantification (para 368).	USD 424,921 paid by Claimant to ICSID and Tribunal plus USD 400,000 paid by Respondent as advance on costs, i.e. USD 824,921 (para 365).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 8,717,850 in damages (para 297). Claim for moral damages rejected (para 344).	Respondent to reimburse Claimant for USD 750,000, being a proportion of the reasonable costs and expenses of pursuing the arbitration (para 383). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Dr. Jürgen Voss.	20 of 384
22-Apr-13	12-Jan-18	Joseph Houben v Republic of Burundi (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/7)	N/R	USD 9,092,581 Two possible expropriation dates meant two proposed valuations (para. 46): Primary position: USD 9,092,581 (plus interest from this date at a rate of 13.57%) Alternative position: USD 5,389,371 (plus interest from 2007 expropriation date at a rate of 13.57%)	ICSID	3. Gilbert Guillaume (President), Yas Banfilatem, Brigitte Stern	USD 201,371.25 (para. 29)	USD 134,872.67 (para. 29)	USD 399,879.00 Claimant paid an advance of USD 199,909.00 and the Respondent paid an advance of USD 199,970.00 (para. 29)	Tribunal finds that Burundi breached article 3 (FPS) and article 4 (reparation). However, Claimant only awarded USD 209,340.00 plus interest from the 2010 expropriation date at 6-month LIBOR + 2% (para. 260)	The Tribunal decided (para. 259): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal fees.	2 (para. 29 and 259)
26-Feb-03	6-Aug-04	Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11	USD 1 = GBP 0.5416766616	GBP 2,500,000, i.e. USD 4,615,274, plus interest, plus the full value of bank guarantees if not released, being GBP 9,605,228, i.e. maximum amount in dispute USD 22,347,877 excluding interest (paras 17 + 24).	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Mr. William Laurence Craig, Judge C.G. Weems-Sentry	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of ICSID jurisdiction.	Each Party to pay one half of the arbitration costs and to bear its own legal costs.	N/A
1/10/2011	2-Mar-15	Khan Resources Inc., Khan Resources B.V., and Cauc Holding Company Ltd. v. The Government of Mongolia (UNCITRAL), PCA Case No. 2011-09	USD 1 = EUR 0.8926	USD 326,000,000 (para. 277) damages of USD 255,000,000 (para. 259) plus interest of USD 71,000,000 (para. 277)	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Bernard Hanotiau (Chairman), L. Yves Fortier, David A. R. Williams	USD 12,997,403.68 (para. 427)	USD 7,097,172.80 (+ USD 7,116,701.80 + USD 335,720 (converted from EUR 300,000 - Tribunal costs of USD 365,255) (para 284, 437)	USD 710,610.60 (para. 436)	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 8,074,143.51 (para. 451 (ii)) This amount includes arbitration costs (USD 355,255.00) + expert costs (USD 1,127,271.96) + disbursements (USD 530,680.20) + other costs (USD 84,204.53) and legal costs (USD 6,991,731.82) (para. 450).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant's USD 8,074,143.51 (para. 451 (v)).	24 (para. 427 - 450)
30-Dec-09	2-Jul-13	Kılıç İsaat v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/1)	N/R	N/A Not clear from award but UNCTAD says USD 300,000,000 claimed. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/386	ICSID	3. William Rowley QC (President), William W Park, Philippe Sands QC	USD 1,583,386 (para. 9.1.3)	USD 4,002,583 (para. 9.1.4) difference between total costs and ICSID fees = USD 4,227,583 - USD 225,000)	USD 816,176.40 (para. 9.2.3 - p. 94)	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 10.1.1)	The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent's USD 1,464,278.05 (para. 10.1.1 - page 97): (1) USD 1,000,645.75 to indemnify the Respondent for 50% of its legal costs (50% of what the Tribunal considered were reasonable costs - see para. 9.2.9). (2) half of the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs (70% of €18,176.40 = USD 463,632.30).	17 (para 9.1.1 - 9.2.10)
7/21/2014	27-Jul-16	Kristian Almas and Geir Almas v Poland, PCA Case No 2015-13	USD 1 = NOK 8.9532 USD 1 = EUR 0.9101	N/A Not clear from award (quantum submissions reserved see para. 86) but according to UNCTAD: USD 24,800,000. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/663	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. James Crawford (President), Ola Mestad, August Reinisch	USD 711,533.38 (para. 285)	USD 210,467.17 (para. 293) (total costs minus arbitration costs)	USD 266,831.53 (para. 303)	Claimant's claims dismissed on the merits (para. 312)	Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 102,014.48 (para. 311) This amount comprises the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs. Each party ordered to pay their own legal costs (para. 308).	27 (para. 285-311)
24-Apr-09	17-Oct-13	KT Asia Investment Group B.V. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/8	N/R	USD 1,500,000,000 (para. 54)	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Ian Clark, Q.C., Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 2,184,456.31 (para. 225)	USD 5,802,042.39 (para. 225)	N/A Not clear (Respondent incurred ICSID fees of USD 300,000, Claimant did not indicate how much it paid towards the costs of the arbitration proceedings)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 229)	The Tribunal decides (para. 229): (1) Each party shall bear 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses; (2) Each party shall bear its own costs.	5 (para. 224-228)
2/15/2005	12-Nov-08	L.E.S.I. S.p.A. et ASTALDI S.p.A. v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Bernard Hanotiau, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard	N/A	N/A	USD 734,000 (i.e. USD 239,000 + USD 140,000 paid by the Claimants; and USD 135,000 + USD 220,000 paid by the Respondent).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits.	The parties shall bear their own costs, and split the cost of the arbitration equally between them.	N/A
31-Jan-02	26-Jul-07	LG&E v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1)	N/R	USD 268,000,000 plus pre-award and post-award interest and costs (para. 15)	ICSID	3. Dr. Tallana B. de Maseket (President), Judge Francisco Roca, Professor Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A Figures not disclosed.	N/A Figures not disclosed.	N/A Figures not disclosed.	Claimant wins on merits and awarded USD 57,400,000 (para. 115)	The Tribunal decides (para. 114): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (para. 110-114)
23-Feb-06	2-Sep-11	Lhanance Holdings Co. Limited v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/8	N/R	USD 10,100,000,000 (para 562).	ICSID	3. Mr Michael Huang S.C. (President), Mr Henri C. Alvarez Q.C., Sir Franklin Berman Q.C.	USD 18,999,523 in legal fees and USD 6,282,033 in other expenses, i.e. total of USD 24,381,556 (para 558)	USD 29,699,521 in legal fees and USD 10,002,896.76 in other expenses, i.e. total of USD 39,702,417.76 (para 559)	Approximately USD 1,268,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and of ICSID Secretariat (para 568).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to show it had an investment (para 570.1).	Claimant to bear arbitration costs resulting in a payment of USD 602,500 in reimbursement of the Respondent's advance on half the costs (para 569). Claimant to pay USD 15,000,000 towards Respondent's legal fees and out-of-pocket expenses (para 567).	13 of 570
16-Jul-07	22-Jun-10	Liman Caspian Oil BV and NCL Dutch Investment BV v Republic of Kazakhstan (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/14)	N/R	N/A Paragraphs on relief redacted (see Sections F.1. and F.11.) but UNCTAD says the compensation claimed was USD 200,000,000 http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/248	ICSID	3. Karl-Heinz Böckhoff (President), Kai Hobler, James Crawford	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	Respondent wins on merits (Section K.2 on p. 101)	The Tribunal decides (paras. 467-468): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (para. 465-468)
31-Oct-05	26-Mar-08	Limited Liability Company Amto v. Ukraine, SCC Case No. 080/2005	USD 1 = EUR 0.6344856154	EUR 14,999,194 and USD 594,902, i.e. USD 24,234,830, plus interest at 8% (para 32).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Bernardo M. Cremades (President), Per Runeland, Christer Soderlund	N/A	N/A	EUR 331,845.75 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 39,330 in SCC Institute administrative fee and expenses, i.e. USD 585,003 (section X).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as Claimant failed to establish liability and/or attribution (para 114-115). Respondent's counterclaim also dismissed (para 118).	Each party to bear its own costs for legal representation and other expenses. Each party liable for 50% of the Arbitration Costs (para 122).	4 of 122

27-Nov-09	18-Apr-02	Link-Trading Joint Stock Company v. Department for Customs Control of the Republic of Moldova, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 3,458,812.25 in compensation for damages and lost profits resulting from expropriation (para 9).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Buriana, Jeffrey Hertzfeld (President), Ivan Zylkin	N/A	USD 144,422.89 in attorney fees and expenses, legal expert fees, and other expenses (para 94).	USD 120,000 for Tribunal fees - actual costs exceeded the but Tribunal decided not to charge for additional amount above security deposit (para 96).	Respondent win. Claims failed on the merits, as Claimant had not been able to show the causal link necessary to prove expropriation (para 91).	Arbitration costs borne by the Claimant (para 96). Respondent's counsel fees and expenses and legal expert fees (para 95) (though this is stated as USD 22,500 in the dispositive).	4 of 96
29-Jul-08	26-Jun-03	Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Sir Anthony Mason, Judge Abner J. Mikva, Lord Mustill	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Both Claimants' claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (page 69).	Each party to bear its own costs, and shall bear equally the expenses of the Tribunal and Secretariat to be borne equally (para 240 + page 70).	1 of 240
19-Mar-10	17-May-13	Luligerzo Bosca v. Lithuania, UNCITRAL	US\$1 + EUR0 7801	USD 266,995,308.29 (converted from EUR 207,971,000 (para. 105))	UNCITRAL	Marc Lalonde (President), Daniel Price, Brigitte Stern	USD 5,824,822.17 (converted from EUR4,580,421.21 - conversion performed in award, para. 305)	USD 628,419.78 (converted from EUR 490,230.27 (para. 306))	USD 876,261.75 (converted from EUR 683,571.79 (para. 325))	Claimant win, but able to recover direct costs only, which had already been recovered (para. 329).	The Tribunal decides (para. 329): (1) Respondent to pay 80% of Claimant's costs, amounting to USD 4,287,100.33 (converted from EUR 3,344,366.97); and (2) Respondent to pay 100% of the arbitration costs, namely USD 876,261.75 (converted from EUR 683,571.79)	25 of 329 (paras 304-328)
2-Oct-12	22-Dec-18	Lundin Tunisia v Republic of Tunisia (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/36)	N/R	N/A Not disclosed.	ICSID	3. Azzedine Kettari (President), Emmanuel Gaillard, Anna Joubin-Bret	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	The Tribunal decides (p. 25-26): The Tribunal held that Tunisia was liable for the Public Entity's debt but rejected moral and punitive damages. No award amount is disclosed (pages 25-28)	(1) each party to pay 50% of Tribunal costs. (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	11 (paras. 390-401)
12/16/2002	31-Jul-07	M.C.I. Power Group L.C. and New Turbine Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID No. ARB/02/6	N/R	In previous court lawsuit before Ecuadorian courts, Claimant claimed USD 25,000,000 in damages for breach of contract (para 327)	ICSID	3. Prof. Raül E. Vinuesa (President), Judge Benjamin J. Greenberg QC, Prof. Jane Karickhoff C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Claimants had failed to prove violation of standards of fair and equitable treatment (para 373)	Each party to pay half the costs and expenses incurred by the Centre, and to bear its own costs and expenses for legal representation (para 372)	1 of 373
30-Sep-04	17-May-07	Malayan Historical Salvors Sdn Bhd v Government of Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10	N/R	Claimant claimed to be contractually entitled to 70% of USD 2.98 million amount realized at auction, but to have received only USD 1.2 million, i.e. outstanding amount of USD 886,000 due to Claimant, plus further (unquantified) amount due for Claimant's share of best obtainable value of items valued at USD 400,000 (paras 13-14)	ICSID	1. M. Michael Hwang, S.C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant did not have an "investment" (para 147)	Each Party shall bear one half of the arbitration costs. Each Party shall bear its own legal costs (para 150).	1 of 151
21-Oct-08	7-Feb-11	Malicos Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/08/18	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.7375044179	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Luiz Otávio Baptista, Maître Pierre-Yves Tschanz	EUR 239,734.14 in total expenses, i.e. USD 325,061 (para 145). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 489,773.60 in total expenses (para 145). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as Respondent's action held not to be an expropriatory measure (para 143).	Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID costs to be borne by both parties in equal shares. Each party to bear its own costs and legal fees and expenses.	5 of 148
8-Jul-11	30-Mar-16	Mamidoi Jettoli Greek Petroleum Products Societe Anonyme S.A. v. Republic of Albania (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/24)	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.7011	N/A Not clear from the Award but UNCTAD suggests the Claimant claimed USD 23,000,000. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/402	ICSID	3. Prof. Knäuper (President), Yas Banifatemi, Steven A. Hammond	USD 1,286,309.79 (converted from EUR 902,603.58) (para. 830))	USD 763,103.045 (converted from EUR 528,452.40 (para. 831))	USD 844,990.46 (para. 836)	Tribunal accepts jurisdiction but rejects Claimant's claims on merits (para. 839)	The tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay USD 149,759.14 (para. 835): 1) Respondent ordered to reimburse the Claimant USD 149,759.14 (para. 835) for the tribunal's costs. This is because the applicable treaty requires the parties to bear the costs of the arbitrator if has appointed (plus 50% of the President's costs). Respondent was therefore ordered to pay the sums it owed. 2) Each party shall pay its own legal costs.	9 (paras 830-838)
25-Jan-08	16-May-12	Marion Unglaube and Reinhard Unglaube v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/08/1 and ARB/09/20	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.755937810	N/A n.b. Claimant claimed compensation for losses of value of expropriated properties, but losses do not appear to be quantified in Award (para 98)	ICSID	3. Mr. Judd Kessler (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Dr. Bernardo Cremades	EUR 1,735,239.42 plus USD 1,165,749.30 in total costs, i.e. USD 3,374,875 (para 329).	USD 1,647,969.08 in total costs (para 329).	USD 876,815.94 in Tribunal fees and expenses and costs for use of Centre (para 329).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 3,100,000 in compensation, plus semi-annually compounded interest at 5-year US Treasury Bill rate from 1 January 2006, amounting to USD 965,900.33 as of date of Award, i.e. USD 4,065,900.33 in total principal and interest (para 318 + 320)	Each party to bear its own legal and other costs and expenses. Parties to share equally the Tribunal fees and expenses and costs for use of Centre (para 331).	5 of 332
30-Apr-99	16-Dec-02	Marvin Feldman v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1	US\$ 1 + MXN 10.195109055	USD 50,000,000 plus undefined interest (para 24) revised down to USD 30,381,938 plus undefined interest by the memorial stage (para 190)	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Konstantinos D. Kerameus (President), Mr. Jorge Covarrubias Bravo, Prof. David A. Gantz	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay MXN 9,454,627.50, i.e. USD 928,350, plus simple interest from date rebates should have been paid at interest rates on Mexican Government Federal Treasury Certificates with 28 days' maturity, amounting to MXN 7,495,429.47 as of the date of the award, i.e. total of USD 1,663,646 including pre-award interest assuming payment on date of award (para 205)	Each party shall be responsible for its own legal fees and related costs. Arbitration costs to be borne equally by the parties (para 208 + 213)	1 of 213
4/6/2007	12-May-11	Meerapfel v CAR (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19)	N/R	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	ICSID	3. Azzedine Kettari (President), Francois TKint, Marie-Madeleine Mborantsoo	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached its contractual obligations and awarded the Claimant undisclosed damages (para. 456)	The Tribunal decided (para. 455): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 453-455)
5-Jan-09	2-Aug-10	Merlin J. Howard, Centurion Health Corp. & Howard Family Trust v. Government of Canada	US\$ 1 + CAD 1.0227240304	USD 160,000,000 claimed in damages for loss of value of investments, loss of business opportunities, fees and expenses, loss of goodwill, and loss of profits (paras 6-7). Order for the Termination of the Proceedings and Award on Costs dated 02-Aug-10; Correction of Order for the Termination of Proceedings and Award on Costs dated 09-Aug-10	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. H.E. Judge Peter Tomka (President), Prof. Marjorie Florestal, Mr. Henri Alvarez QC	N/A	CAD 227,651.68 in legal fees and CAD 4,667.99 in disbursements for consultant fees, travel, and court and document costs, i.e. USD 327,168 (para 78).	USD 29,525.32 in Tribunal fees and office expenses; USD 8,380.13 in cost of services provided by PCA (comprising USD 8,078.25 in fees of Tribunal Secretary and USD 303.88 in expenses for express courier deliveries), i.e. USD 37,905.45 (para 70-72).	Proceedings terminated at Respondent's request in accordance with Article 41 of UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, due to Claimant's failure to pay deposit required by the Tribunal (para 63-64). Claimant to pay CAD 4,667.99 towards Respondent's costs of legal and other assistance, i.e. USD 4,564 (para 82)	Claimants to bear all Tribunal fees and expenses and costs of assistance required by Tribunal in the amount of USD 37,905.45 (para 77). Claimant to pay CAD 4,667.99 towards Respondent's costs of legal and other assistance, i.e. USD 4,564 (para 82)	18 of 83
17-Apr-15	6-Aug-16	Manzes Middle East and Africa S.A. & Aviation Handling Services International Ltd v Senegal (ICSID ARB/15/21)	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.9026	USD 46,125,824.29 (EUR 23,339,289 + EUR 2,286,755 + EUR 7,622,450 + EUR 4,573,470 + EUR 3,811,225) (para 6)	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Hamid Gharavi, Pierre Mayer	USD 652,098.04 (converted from EUR 588,583.69) (para. 160)	USD 699,151 (converted from EUR 631,053.69) (para. 159)	USD 300,895.37 (para. 161)	The tribunal declined jurisdiction to hear the claims (para. 165)	USD 999,246.37 Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 699,151 as part of Respondent's legal costs (para. 165). Claimant also ordered to pay 100% of the Tribunal costs of 300,095.37 (but it had already advanced the whole amount).	6 (paras. 159-164)
25-Sep-06	31-Mar-10	Merrill & Ring Forestry LP. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, ICSID Administered Case	US\$ 1 + CAD 1.0155148296	Losses in connection with Article 1102 breach claimed at CAD 16,804,068; in the alternative CAD 16,804,068 claimed for Article 1105 breach; in addition, or in the alternative losses due to Article 1106 breach estimated at CAD 18,756,272 and for Article 1110 breach at CAD 18,682,368, totaling maximum amount claimed of CAD 52,242,708 (i.e. USD 51,444,855 (para 250).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Prof. Kenneth W. Dam, J. William Rowley QC	N/A	N/A	USD 29,525.32 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 138,595.25 in charges and expenses of the administering institution, i.e. USD 969,500 (Section IV).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as damages were not proven to Tribunal's satisfaction and/or Respondent had not been shown to have breached the FET standard (para 266)	Each party to bear arbitration costs equally, and to pay for its own costs (para 271)	2 of 271
4-Oct-11	24-Mar-16	Mesa Power Group LLC v Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2012-17	US\$ 1 + CAD 1.0276 US\$ 1 + EUR0.8959	USD 583,768,168.73 (converted from CAD 775,000,000) (para. 44)	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohle (President); Tracy Landau G.C., The Hon. Charles N. Brower	USD 8,518,585.47 (para. 695)	USD 4,601,538.08 (converted from CAD 6,109,001.95) (para. 694)	USD 1,731,797.05 (converted from EUR 1,551,343.80) (para. 706)	The Tribunal decides to dismiss all claims against the Respondent based on the merits of the dispute. (para. 706) Respondent win on the merits. Decides that Respondent has not acted in breach of NAFTA. Tribunal recalls that the Claimant advanced a large number of procedural requests in the course of this arbitration. While it has its right to do so, many of these requests unnecessarily burdened the arbitral process and were decided against the Claimant.	In total: Claimant ordered to pay USD 2,548,994 (para. 706) The Tribunal decides (para. 706): (1) The Claimant shall pay 100% of the arbitration costs (USD 1,168,532); (2) The Claimant shall pay its own legal costs and 30% of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,380,461.74).	23 (paras. 683-705)
30-Dec-06	30-Aug-00	Metalcold Corporation v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1	N/R	Alternative amounts submitted: USD 90,000,000 using discounted cash flow analysis to establish fair market value of investment; USD 20-25,000,000 using actual investment value (para 114). An additional USD 20-25,000,000 was claimed for negative impact on other business operations. This claim was disallowed by the Tribunal (para 115). i.e. Maximum amount claimed of USD 115,000,000	ICSID	3. Prof. Sir Eithu Lauterpacht QC CBE (President), Mr Benjamin R. Civiletti, Mr José Luis Siqueiros	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 14,605,000, inclusive of pre-award interest at 6% compounded annually (para 131).	Each party shall bear its own costs and fees, and half the advance payments made to ICSID (para 130)	1 of 131
3-Feb-03	6-Jun-08	Metlpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/03/5	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 9,567,769 for consequential damages and lost profits, plus USD 3,000,000 for moral damages, plus USD 2,870,330.81 for loss of chance, plus USD 2,800,000 for other damages related to economic, financial and legal advisory services, i.e. amounts totalling USD 17,838,099.81 (para. 124-125 + 149).	ICSID	3. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Duncan H. Cameron, Jean Paul Chabaux	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as damage not proven (para 233).	Each party to bear its own costs (para 253).	1 of 235
4-Feb-10	4-Oct-13	Metaltch Ltd. v. The Republic of Uzbekistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/3	N/R	USD 173,962,628 (para. 108)	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohle (President), John M. Townsend, Claus von Wobeser	USD 1,112,966.86 (para. 414)	USD 7,435,954.96 (para. 414)	USD 1,150,000 (advance payments made by each party). Unclear whether the entire advance was used as costs of arbitration. (para. 414)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 423).	The Tribunal decides (para. 423): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (paras 414-422)
3-Dec-99	3-Aug-05	Methanex Corporation v. United States of America	N/R	USD 970,000,000 plus interest and tax payable (para 32 of Part II, Chapter G; para 2 of Part IV, Chapter A). Partial Award dated 07-Aug-02; Final Award dated 03-Aug-05	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. J. William F. Rowley, Prof. W. Michael Reisman, V.V. Veeder (President)	USD 11-12,000,000 in legal costs (para 12, Part V).	USD 2,989,423.76 in legal costs (para 12, Part V).	USD 1,500,000 in total costs of the arbitration (para 8, Part V).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and/or on the merits (Part IV, Chapter F, Page 2, para 5-6).	Claimant to reimburse Respondent for legal costs of USD 2,989,423.76 plus USD 1,071,539.21 for Respondent's share of interim deposits paid for arbitration costs including interest of USD 21,539.21 (para 6 + 13, Part V)	N/A
19-Nov-99	12-Apr-02	Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling Co. S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/6	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 34,000,000 plus interest (para 64). Claimed in Reply Memorial: USD 42,240,000 plus compound interest from the time of taking of the investment (para 64, 79 + 119).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Karl-Heniz Böckstiegel (President), Prof. Piers Bernardini, Prof. Don Wallace	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,190,430 in compensation, plus compound interest from 1 January 2000 to 30 days after date of Award amounting to USD 1,558,970, i.e. total award of USD 3,749,400 (paras 172, 175 + 178).	Tribunal and ICSID costs to be borne in equal portions by each Party. Each Party to bear its own legal fees and costs (para 176).	2 of 178
29-Jul-99	15-Mar-02	Mihaly International Corporation v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Sompong Sucharitkul (President), Hon. Andrew Rogers, David Suragar	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction (para 61-62).	Costs of proceedings including fees and expenses of the Arbitrators and the Secretariat shall be shared by the Parties in equal portion. Each Party to bear its own costs for legal fees and for preparation of the written and oral proceedings (para 63)	1 of 63
6-Dec-12	4-May-16	MINS B.V. and Recuperio Credito Acilindo N.V. v Montenegro (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/8)	US\$1 + EUR0 8704	N/A Not clear from award but IAREporter article says "more than" USD 87.7 million (converted from EUR 72 million). http://www.iareporter.com/articles/montenegro-to-face-second-known-investment-treaty-claim-this-time-over-bankrupt-steelworks/	ICSID	3. Andrea Rigo Sureda (President), Emmanuel Gaillard, Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	USD 697,873.64 (para. 373)	The Tribunal found there to be a breach of FET standard but Claimant had not shown loss, so no damages (page 128). The Tribunal dismissed all other claims on the merits.	Claimant ordered to pay USD 697,873.64 (para. 128) The Tribunal decides (page 128-129): (1) each Party shall pay for its own costs; (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs.	5 (paras. 371-375)
7-Sep-12	20-Feb-15	Mobil Investments and Murphy Oil Corporation v Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/07/4 (Redacted)	US\$ 1 + CAD 1.2508	N/A Claimed damages redacted (see, e.g., para. 151). However, according to UNCTAD, USD 59,100,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/271)	ICSID/NAFTA	3. Hans van Houde (President), Professor Merit E. Janow, Professor Philippe Sands QC	USD 8,204,365.48 (para. 171)	USD 4,288,868.22 (para. 172)	Not clear: (up to USD 1,076,000 - as each party has advanced USD 525,000 and claimant has paid a lodging fee of USD 25,000) (paras 171-172)	Claimants win on merits in the amount of USD 13,829,876.05 (para. 179 (a) and (b))	The Tribunal decides (para. 178(c)): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	7 (para.171 - 177)
27-Mar-11	22-Mar-13	Mohamed Abdulmohsen Al-Kharafi & Sons Co. v. Libya and others	N/R	USD 2,055,530,000 (p. 17, para. 24)	Ad-hoc (Unified Agreement for the Investment of Arab Capital in Arab States)	3. Abdel Hamid El-Ahdab (Chairman), Ibrahim Fawzi, Mohamed El-Kamoud El-Half	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	USD 1,940,000 (p. 385)	The Tribunal found that the defendants "committed contractual and delictual faults ascertaining their contractual and legal liability", and ordered them, to pay USD 935,000,000 in damages (para 392)	The Tribunal decided (p.385): (1) each party shall bear its own legal costs; (2) the defendant should pay 100% of the tribunal costs.	1 (p. 385)

30-May-08	8-Jun-10	Mohammad Ammar Al-Bahouth v. Republic of Tajikistan, SCC Case No. V (04/2008)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8331938149 / SEK 8,045172324	USD 27,780,000 plus USD 55,160,000 plus USD 87,220,000 plus USD 58,300,000, i.e. principal amounts totalling USD 228,460,000, plus compound interest of USD 240,010,000 as marginal rate plus reference rate from 2 July 2001 to 30 October 2009, i.e. principal amounts plus interest totalling USD 468,470,000 (para 35).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Jeffrey M. Herzfeld (Chairman), Dr. Richard Happ, Prof. Iva S. Zykin	First phase: EUR 1,108,000 in costs including legal fees Second phase: EUR 36,500 in disbursements plus USD 21,299.81 in legal representation plus USD 35,084.04 in expert costs (paras 112-113). i.e. total of USD 1,427,613	N/A	N/A	EUR 481,582 in Tribunal fees and expenses, EUR 63,395 plus SEK 8,125 in SCC Arbitration Institute administrative fee and expenses; i.e. total of USD 631,088 (para 122).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for failure on quantum; despite Respondent's established liability and ongoing breach of the BIT, Claimant failed to prove damages suffered and no Tribunal had no basis on which to assess damages (paras 98-99).	Respondent to pay EUR 300,000, i.e. USD 360,060, towards Claimant's costs (para 119). Respondent to reimburse Claimant 50% of arbitration costs, such as 50% being EUR 202,488 plus SEK 4,063, i.e. USD 315,544 (paras 121 + 123).	18 of 123
6-May-99	11-Oct-02	Monder International Ltd. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2	N/R	No less than USD 50,000,000 plus interest (para 2).	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Sir Ninian Stephen (President), Prof. James Crawford, Judge Stephen M. Schwebel	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed, some for lack of jurisdiction and others on the merits as the decisions of the US courts were held not to violate NAFTA (paras 154 + 157).	Each party to bear its own costs. Expenses of the Tribunal and the Secretariat to be borne equally.	2 of 159	
26-Jun-01	25-May-04	MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. & MTD Chile S.A. v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7	N/R	N/A n.b. Unclar what the total sum claimed was. Claimant's appraisers valued Mr. Fontaine's land at USD 34,385,487 (para 45). Claimant claimed USD 8,782,000 in simple interest at 6% (para 230). Aggregate expenditures considered eligible for damages calculation by Tribunal amounted to USD 21,489,588.32 (para 241).	ICSID	3. M. André Rigo Sureda (President), Marc Lalonde, Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,871,322.42 plus compound interest at LIBOR rate from 5 November 1998 until date of full payment (para 253). Damages were reduced because Claimants had failed to protect themselves from inherent business risks (para 246).	Parties to bear all their own expenses and fees, and to share equally the fees and expenses incurred by ICSID and the Tribunal (para 252).	1 of 253	
21-Sep-11	10-Feb-17	Murphy Exploration & Production Company International v. Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-16)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8757	USD 473,290,000 (USD 118,300,000 + USD 354,990,000) (paras. 428 and 432)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Yves Derains, Kaj Holzer	USD 4,473,915.28 (para. 528)	USD 6,790,360.27 (para. 532)	USD 1,514,311.50 (paras 528, 532)	The Tribunal awarded the Claimant USD 19,971,309 (para. 548)(i) (converted from EUR 3,726,950.00)	Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,289,768.96 (para. 548) (converted from EUR 3,726,950.00). This amount comprises 75% of the Claimant's costs, including legal costs and tribunal costs. N.B. Tribunal ordered that the parties should each bear their own costs of the very first stage of the arbitration.	Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,289,768.96 (para. 548) (converted from EUR 3,726,950.00). This amount comprises 75% of the Claimant's costs, including legal costs and tribunal costs. N.B. Tribunal ordered that the parties should each bear their own costs of the very first stage of the arbitration.	24 (paras. 524-547)
3-Mar-08	15-Dec-10	Murphy Exploration and Production Company International v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/04	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Neco, Dr. Raúl E. Vinueza	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as the negotiation period under the BIT had not yet elapsed (para 161).	Each party to pay one half of Tribunal's fees and expenses and of charges for use of Centre facilities. Each party to bear its own legal fees and other costs (para 161).	2 of 161	
2/14/2011	3-Apr-14	National Gas S.A.E. v. Arab Republic of Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/7)	N/R	N/A Declaration of jurisdiction - the proceedings were bifurcated (para. 36 and 52). The amount in dispute not disclosed in the award but the UNCTAD website says USD 38 million: http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/440	ICSID	3. VV Veeder QC (Chairman), Yves Fortier, Brigitte Stern	Figures on costs not disclosed.	Figures on costs not disclosed.	Figures on costs not disclosed.	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 158).	Claimant ordered to pay all Tribunal costs (amount not disclosed) (para. 157). Parties to pay their own legal costs (para. 157).	5 (paras 153-157)	
25-Apr-03	3-May-08	National Grid plc v. Argentine Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 59,069,583 for loss of fair market value of Claimant's investment, plus USD 22,321,139 for opportunity cost of lost equity, plus USD 31,009,278 for historical return on equity owed to Claimant, i.e. amounts totaling USD 112,400,000 (paras 263-265).	UNCITRAL	3. Alejandro Miguel Garro, Judd L. Kessler, Andrés Rigo Sureda (President)	N/A	N/A	USD 1,074,155.46 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 267,681.84 in expenses prior to administration by ICSID Secretariat and in administration fees and expenses of ICSID Secretariat i.e. USD 1,341,837.30 in total (para 296).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 38,800,000 in compensation, plus interest at rate of LIBOR plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 25 June 2002 to 31 October 2008 at an amount of USD 14,782,439.25, bringing the total actualised damage figure to USD 53,582,439.25 plus further interest (noted in table of Award) (para 295 + 294).	Respondent and Claimant to bear 75% (USD 1,006,378) and 25% (USD 335,459), respectively, of Tribunal fees and expenses and costs of administration of ICSID Secretariat, i.e. Respondent bears an extra 25% or USD 335,459. Each party to bear its own legal costs (para 296).	1 of 296	
3-Aug-06	24-Nov-10	Nations Energy Corporation, Electric Machinery Enterprises Inc. and Jamie Jurado v. Republic of Panama, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/19	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Sr. Alexis Moure (President), Dr. José María Chilton Medina, Dr. Claus von Wobeser	USD 1,798,289.58 (para 705).	USD 10,364,183.56 (para 706).	USD 661,089.72 in fees and expenses of members of arbitral tribunal and expenses of its assistant; USD 65,000 for use of the Centre; USD 157,021.79 in costs incurred by Centre, i.e. USD 883,091.50 in total (para 296).	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on the merits as Claimants had not been able to prove indirect expropriation (para 231).	Claimants to bear arbitration costs in full, i.e. an additional 50% on USD 441,545.75 (para 710) and to contribute 40% of Respondent's costs and expenses, being USD 4,145,673.42 (paras 713-714).	N/A	
8/21/2001	12-Oct-05	Noble Ventures Inc. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/11	N/R	USD 143,531,000 plus applicable tax gross-up plus interest compounded from 31 July 2001 (para 35).	ICSID	3. Prof. Kurt-Henck Böckstiegel (President), Sir Jeremy Lever KCMG QC, Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 3,145,210.27 in total costs (para 231). n.b. Possible that this includes Tribunal Costs.	USD 8,930,868.05 in total costs (para 231). n.b. Possible that this includes Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as they failed on liability (para 229).	Each party shall bear its own legal and other expenses. Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 236).	6 of 236	
17-Feb-06	23/11/2009. (Award on costs)	Nordzucker v. Poland, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.6681	USD 230,053,366.93 (converted from EUR 153,700,000 (para. 34))	UNCITRAL	Vera Van Houfte (President), Prof. Andreas Bucher, Dr. Maciej Tomaszewski	USD 3,925,061.58 (converted from EUR 2,622,333.64 (para. 74))	USD 1,817,530.89 (converted from EUR 1,214,292.39 (para. 74))	USD 849,049.54 (converted from EUR 567,250 (paras 77-78))	Claimant win on the merits but no damages awarded (para 19)	The Tribunal decides (para 86-87): (1) each party shall bear its own legal costs, except the Claimant shall pay USD 198,271.52 (EUR 71,000) to the Respondent as the cost of Respondent's supplementary expert report; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	21 (para 87-87)	
1-Oct-08	30-Aug-10	Nova Scotia Power Incorporated (Canada) v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.788607356	N/A	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), D. John Beechey, Prof. Philippe Sands QC	USD 2,152,966 (para 6 of Award on Costs).	USD 1,584,135 (para 7-8 of Award on Costs)	EUR 171,088 in tribunal costs plus EUR 33,920 in institutional costs, i.e. USD 260,135 in total (para 22-23 of Award on Costs).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction (para 152 of Decision on Jurisdiction).	Claimant to pay 100% of arbitration costs and to contribute USD 850,179 towards Respondent's legal costs (para 20, 38-39 of Award on Costs).	N/A	
2-Nov-10	30-Dec-14	Nova Scotia Power Incorporated v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/1	N/R	N/A Not disclosed.	ICSID	3. Hans Van Houfte (president), David Williams, Raul Emilio Vinueza	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Respondent win on jurisdiction (para. 150).	The Tribunal decides (para. 153): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs. (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	2 (paras 151-152)	
12/11/2001	16-Dec-03	Nykomb Synergics Technology Holding AB v. Republic of Latvia, SCC	US\$ 1 = SEK 7.3228310297 / LVL 0.332051321 / EUR 0.8112741255	Claimed in Statement of Claim (and restated in Brief No. III) as primary prayer for relief: amounts totalling LVL 7,097,880, i.e. USD 13,161,031, plus 6% interest on amounts from 17 September 1999 to 28 February 2000; 16 September 2002 respectively (para 1.2.1, page 2). Claimed in Brief No. I dated 21-Mar-03 as secondary prayer for relief: LVL 3,484,740.70, i.e. USD 6,461,875, plus 6% interest on amounts from 17 September 1999 to 28 February 2000 respectively (para 1.2.1, page 2). Claimed in Brief No. III dated 09-Sep-03: LVL 7,097,880, i.e. USD 13,161,031, plus interest as before, restated as primary prayer for relief, and secondary prayer for relief adjusted to LVL 3,686,188, i.e. USD 6,835,196, plus interest as before (para 1.2.1, page 3).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Bjørn Haug (Chairman), Rolf A. Schütze, Johan Gemardt	SEK 8,354,000 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,140,816 (para 6.1).	SEK 6,435,270 plus LAT 229,174 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,303,748 (para 6.1).	EUR 209,915 in Tribunal fees and costs, plus VAT of EUR 13,066 for Mr. Johan Gemardt and EUR 5,596 for Mr. Rolf A. Schütze; EUR 20,840 in fees of Arbitration Institut, i.e. USD 312,500 (para 6.3). n.b. There was an additional plus 25% VAT on Claimant's portion, i.e. an additional EUR 2,618 payable by the Claimant only (para 6.3). This would increase total Tribunal Costs USD 315,727.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay LVL 2,000,000 towards Claimant's legal costs, i.e. USD 273,118. Each party to bear 50% of amounts due to arbitrators and Arbitration Institute. Claimant to pay an additional EUR 2,618, i.e. USD 3,227, in 25% VAT on its part of Arbitration Institute's administrative fee (para 6.3).	Respondent ordered to pay SEK 2,000,000 towards Claimant's legal costs, i.e. USD 273,118. Each party to bear 50% of amounts due to arbitrators and Arbitration Institute. Claimant to pay an additional EUR 2,618, i.e. USD 3,227, in 25% VAT on its part of Arbitration Institute's administrative fee (para 6.3).	N/A	
21-May-08	29-Jul-14	GAO Tshetu v. Ukraine, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 1,144,000,000 (para. 51(a))	UNCITRAL	Prof. Orrego Vicuna (President), Charles Brower, Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	USD 2,046,368.12 (para. 640)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 112,000,000 (para. 642).	The Tribunal decides (para. 641): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	2 of 641 (paras 640-641)	
11-Nov-02	1-Jul-04	Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Administered Case No. UN 3487	N/R	Claimed in post-hearing Memorial: USD 80,263,930 in reimbursement of VAT paid up to 31 December 2003 (including interest of USD 19,225,707), plus USD 12,300,000 in future damages, i.e. USD 201,563,930 in total, plus unquantified amounts for refunds of VAT paid from 1 January 2004 (paras 20-21 + 203).	UNCITRAL (LCIA)	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuna (Presiding Arbitrator), Hon. Charles N. Brower, Dr. Patrick Barrera Sweeney	N/A	N/A	USD 981,433.38 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus costs, USD 32,611 in costs of administration, i.e. USD 994,044.38 in total (para 73).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sum of USD 71,533,049 plus simple interest of USD 3,541,296 to a total amount of USD 75,074,345, plus further simple interest on total sum at 2.75% per annum from 1 January 2004 to date of Award (para 211-212).	Respondent to pay 55% of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 326,724.40 in total (or an extra USD 29,702.21), and Claimant to pay 45%, i.e. USD 207,319.98. Each party to bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 216).	1 of 216	
17-May-06	5-Oct-12	Occidental Petroleum Corporation and Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/11	N/R	N/A n.b. In their Request for Arbitration, Claimants requested damages in amounts to be determined at the hearing, which they believed would exceed USD 1,000,000,000 (para 109). Claimants later contended that damages should be equal to the full fair market value of the investment, but do not appear to have quantified this (para 554). USD 201,000,000 was claimed in consequential damages (para 789).	ICSID	3. M. L. Yves Fortier CC QC (President), M. David A.R. Williams QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 1,769,623,800 plus interest at 4.168% compounded annually from 16 May 2006 until date of Award (paras 825 + 848). Respondent's counterclaims dismissed.	Each party shall bear its own legal costs. Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID administrative charges to be borne equally as between the Claimants and the Respondent (para 874).	6 of 876	
7-Sep-11	10-Mar-16	Oi European Group B.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/29)	N/R	USD 929,544,714 (para. 82(3))	ICSID	3. Juan Fernandez-Armesto (President), Francisco Ortega Vicuna, Alexis Moure	USD 14,306,376 (para. 956)	USD 4,754,730 (para. 959-960)	USD 1,000,000 (para. 958 - 959)	Claimant wins on the merits and awarded USD 372,461,982 (compensation) + interest (para. 984 (5) - (6))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant's USD 6,760,000 (para. 984(6)). This includes the Claimant's costs of the proceedings (USD 500,000) and legal costs (USD 5,250,000) (para. 984 (6)).	23 (para. 954 - 976)	
15-Dec-03	19-Nov-07	OKO Pankki Oyj, VTB Bank (Deutschland) AG and Sampo Bank plc v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/66	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.822395557 / DEM 2,2630280334	According to calculations below, total maximum amount claimed would be USD 25,199,160 for outstanding principal amount of damages including interest to 15 July 2004, plus USD 1,758,913 in expenses and refund of sale proceeds excluding interest, i.e. USD 26,958,073 (1) USD 3,959,529.67 plus DEM 14,179,564.12 claimed by Baras as outstanding principal amount of damages, i.e. USD 10,225,278 in principal only, plus contractual default interest from 16 December 1992 until 16 November 2001 at USD 2,156,672.47 and DEM 21,583,748.76, plus 6% interest compounded annually from 16 November 2001 amounting to USD 3,199,658.78 and DEM 21,891,728.82 as at 15 July 2004, totalling USD 9,315,858.92 plus DEM 35,763,312.88, i.e. USD 25,199,160 in principal and interest (paras 300-307) (2) In the alternative and as secondary claim, default interest claimed at annual compound rate of 6% p.a. from 16 December 1992 until date of payment, amounting to USD 3,316,678.14 and DEM 6,130,097.25 as at 15 July 2004, i.e. USD 2,708,803 in interest. Note that it is unclear whether this is intended to replace the claim for contractual default interest as well as the 6% interest. If this replaces both interest calculations, this would bring total alternative amount for principal and interest to USD 12,934,061 (para. 308). Additional amounts claimed: EUR 1,200,000 in expenses incurred prior to arbitration proceedings for legal fees stamp duties, in-house counsel, and USD 622,143.32 as refund of sale proceeds, i.e. USD 1,758,913 in total, plus annual compound interest on both amounts at 6% from 20 August 2002 and 20 February 2002 respectively (paras 309-310).	ICSID	3. M. O.L.O. de Wit Wijnen (President), M. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Mr V.V. Veeder QC	EUR 1,815,785.37 in fees and costs of external and internal counsel, witness fees and costs paid directly by Claimant, i.e. USD 2,661,507 (para 370).	N/A	N/A	USD 410,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat (para 375).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay monetary damages of USD 3,837,801.49 plus EUR 7,320,315.80 (to be divided equally between three Claimants), i.e. USD 14,567,633, plus interest on these sums at 6% per annum compounded annually from 16 November 2001 to 15 November 2007 at an amount of USD 1,606,193.27 and EUR 786,882.50, totalling USD 17,243,920 in principal and interest, plus further interest on the amounts of USD 1,606,193.27 and EUR 786,882.50 at 6% per annum compounded annually from 16 November 2007 until date of payment (para 376). Respondent also ordered to pay further monetary damages of USD 622,143.32 and EUR 1,200,000 for Claimant's expenses incurred prior to arbitration proceedings (to be divided equally between three Claimants), i.e. USD 2,381,056, plus interest at 6% per annum compounded annually until date of payment as from 20 August 2002 for the EUR amount and as from 20 February 2002 for the USD amount (para 376). i.e. Total monetary damages of USD 16,948,689 excluding interest, or USD 19,624,976 including quantified interest on the first set of damages.	Respondent to pay EUR 1,500,000 towards Claimant's legal costs, i.e. USD 2,198,641, plus post-award interest (para 374). Respondent to bear full amount of arbitration costs, amounting to USD 410,000 in total, i.e. an extra USD 205,000 (para 376).	8 of 376
11/3/2010	5-Sep-13	Öner Ocak and Sarder Elmektepe v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/22	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7692	USD 2,623,863.20 (converted from EUR 2,050,000 (para. 162))	ICSID	3. William W. Park (President), Professor Brigitte Stern, Dr. Nicolas Herzog	USD 287,800 (para. 159)	USD 1,846,086.33 (converted from EUR 1,407,087.00) (para. 160, 171)	USD 307,029.77 (para. 277)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 274).	The Tribunal decides (para 276-277): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	11 (paras 265-277 excluding 274-275)	
5/29/2010	28-May-13	OPIC Karimun Corporation v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/14	N/R	N/A Not disclosed in the award	ICSID	3. Doug Jones AO (President), Dr. Guido Santiago Tawil, Philippe Sands QC	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 181).	The Tribunal decides (para. 181): (1) The Parties shall bear on an equal basis the fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal/ICSID, and (2) Each party shall bear the fees and expenses incurred by it in relation to this proceeding.	1 (para 180)	

24-Oct-12	31-May-17	Onascom TMT Investments S.A. v. v. Peoples Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/35	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8992	USD 2,834,800,000 (para. 501, 506) Other heads of damages not quantified in the award (paras 509-516), although UNCTAD's Investment Policy Hub states that the claim was for USD 5 billion. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/493	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabriella Kaufmann-Keller (President); Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg; Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 20,673,811.30 (para. 578)	USD 5,816,332.63 (para. 579) USD 5,685,622.02 + USD 131,210.61 (converted from EUR 116,764.32)	USD 1,349,950 (USD 674,975 + USD 674,975) (para. 578-579)	Claimant's claims dismissed as the Tribunal held that it did not have jurisdiction (para. 587)	Claimant ordered to pay to the Respondent USD 3,683,391.31 (para. 587) (1) USD 2,908,416.31 (USD 2,842,811.01 + USD 65,605.30 (EUR 58,382.16) as part of its legal costs; (2) USD 674,975 (Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs)	9 (paras. 577-585)
Aug-11	17-Dec-15	Oxus Gold plc v Republic of Uzbekistan	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9254	Claimant claims in the amount of USD 1,485,000,000 (para. 133) Explanation: adding all primary claims only, i.e., not counting alternative claims; Respondent counterclaims in the amount of USD 109 million (para. 135)	UNCITRAL	3. Pierre Tercier (Chairman); Brigitte Stern; Marc Lalonde	USD 8,746,369.53 (para. 999) USD 9,546,369.53 - USD 800,000 Costs and expense of Tribunal	USD 14,923,900.50 (para. 1014) USD 15,672,696.10 + USD 31,178.41 (converted from EUR 78,892.50) - USD 778,978 Costs and expenses of Tribunal = USD 14,923,900.50 (para. 1014)	USD 2,297,768.70 (para. 1028)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 10,299,572 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%, compounded annually) (para. 396) Tribunal found it lacked jurisdiction over the Respondent's counterclaims (Award, paras. 3-5)	The Tribunal decides (para. 1046) (1) each party to pay 50% of Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	44 (para. 993 - 1046)
30-Apr-09	14-Oct-18	Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/12	N/R	USD 284,000,000 (para. 3.16)	ICSID	3. V.V. Veeder QC (President); Prof. Brigitte Stern; Prof. Dr. Guido Santagiulio Tassi	USD 9,971,803.47 (includes the USD 2,500,000 as success fee) (para. 11.4)	USD 11,910,696 (para. 11.5)	USD 1,878,348.01 (para. 11.8)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 12.1)	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 8,000,000 (para. 56) (1) each party shall pay their own tribunal costs; and (2) Claimant to pay Respondent USD 8,000,000 for their legal costs.	20 (11.1 to 11.20)
1-Aug-07	30-Jul-09	Pantechniki S.A. Contractors & Engineers (Greece) v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7110150633 / ALL 92.1400000000	Claimant originally submitted claim for USD 4,893,623.93 on 29 May 1997 in Albania. It later accepted the General Road Directorate's valuation of its losses on 21 January 1998 of USD 1,821,796 plus ALL 25,890,356 (paras 14-15). Claimant commenced litigation in Albanian courts on 31 May 2001 on the basis of this settlement (para 21). Assuming that this was also the amount being claimed before ICSID, this would make the total amount claimed USD 2,192,785	ICSID	1. Jan Paulsson	EUR 154,523 in total costs, i.e. USD 217,327 (para 103). i.b. Unclear whether this includes ICSID deposits.	EUR 269,657 in total costs, i.e. USD 379,256 (para 103). i.b. Unclear whether this includes ICSID deposits.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims rejected on the merits, where Claimant was unable to prove breach of the BIT, or declared inadmissible due to Claimant's election to assess the Albanian courts (para 105).	Each party shall bear its own costs and 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses and ICSID charges (para 105).	2 of 104
11-Mar-05	11-Sep-07	Parketings-Compagniet AS v. Republic of Lithuania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8	US\$ 1 = NOK 5.6784113477 / EUR 0.7226363364	NOK 175,400,000, i.e. USD 31,065,027, plus interest at NIBOR rate compounded monthly from 22 January 2004 to date of payment (see para 213).	ICSID	3. Dr. Julian Lew QC, The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Dr. Laurent Lévy (President)	EUR 2,458,993.33 in total costs excluding ICSID deposit, i.e. USD 3,402,899 (para 458)	EUR 1,144,124.68 in total costs excluding ICSID deposit, i.e. USD 1,683,265 (para 459)	Parties paid each paid deposits of EUR 198,591.42 to ICSID in respect of Tribunal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 644,095 in total deposits (para 458-459)	Respondent win. Claim dismissed as Respondent's conduct had not amounted to expropriation or involve a violation of its obligations under the BIT (para 447 + 459).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses. Costs and expenses of the Tribunal to be paid equally by both parties (para 454)	8 of 485
6-Oct-09	8-Feb-04	Patrick H. Mitchell v Congo (Case No. ARB/99/7)	N/R	N/A This was an excerpt and the amount Claimants asked for was not provided in the excerpt)	ICSID	3. Andreas Bucher (President); Yawovi Agboyibo and Marc Lalonde	USD 206,560 (see para. 99). However, this includes arbitrators' fees, which appears to be treated differently to the costs of the Tribunal.	USD 307,907.50 (see para. 99). However, this includes arbitrators' fees, which appears to be treated differently to the costs of the Tribunal.	USD 180,000 (added up from para. 100)	Claimant won on the merits in the amount of USD 750,000 (para. 93)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 95,000 (para. 100) This amount comprises: (1) USD 35,000 to contribute to the Claimant's legal costs; (2) USD 60,000 in compensation for the Claimant's share of the Tribunal's costs.	4 (paras 98-101)
21-May-10	27-Jun-16	Peter E. Allard v Government of Barbados (PCA Case No. 2012-06)	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3081	USD 22,189,887.95 (para. 48)	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Gavan Griffith QC (President); Andrew Newcombe; Michael Reisman	USD 1,241,133 (para. 283)	USD 5,850,857 (para. 283)	USD 1,134,323 (para. 280)	The Claimant's claims are all dismissed (p. 91)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 3,675,306 (para. 316) (USD 567,162 for Tribunal costs and USD 2,508,144 for legal costs)	39 (paras. 278-316)
7-Oct-09	19-Sep-11	Peter Franz Vocklinghaus v. Czech Republic	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7324 / US\$ 1 = CZK 17.6410	USD 14,001,259.41 (converted from CZK 251,196,595 (para. 34))	UNCITRAL	John Beechey (President); Bohuslav Klein; Maître Laurent Lévy	N/A	USD 4,504,640.61 (converted from CZK 80,817,757.15 (para. 213))	USD 347,618.45 (converted from EUR 254,586.75 (para. 212))	Respondent win on the merits (para. 214).	The Tribunal decides (para. 214): (1) The Claimants shall pay USD 4,504,640.61 (converted from CZK 80,817,757.15) as the Respondent's legal costs; and (2) The Claimants shall pay USD 347,618.45 (converted from EUR 254,586.75) as the costs of the arbitration.	3 of 214
1-Sep-03	26-Mar-06	Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic, SCC Case No. 126/2003	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7744103850 / SEK 7.070620991	USD 1,507,812.60 in compensatory damages, plus interest from 25 December 1998; USD 2,376,339.60 in lost profits, plus interest from 4 March 1999; USD 200,800 in outlays and related expenses incurred since 1998 in pursuing contractual performance (including travel costs, accommodation expenses, overheads and local courts and solicitors fees paid in the Kyrgyz Republic; and travel and accommodation expenses in relation to the 2002 UNCITRAL arbitration in Stockholm); plus interest from 1 September 2003; i.e. principal sums totalling USD 4,084,652.20 excluding interest (Section VI, pages 18, 36-37 +87).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Mr. Hans Danielus (Chairman); Prof. Ove Bring; Mr. Jeroen Smets	N/A	N/A	EUR 131,832 plus SEK 16,458 in arbitrators' fees and expenses inclusive of VAT; EUR 15,942 in administrative fee of the Arbitration Institute, i.e. total of USD 193,149 (para 10)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 1,130,899, plus interest at an annual rate to be determined according to UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts as from 25 December 1998 until payment is made (para 9(d)(h)).	Each party to bear one half of the amounts due to the arbitrators and the Arbitration Institute. Each party shall bear its own costs (para 10)	N/A
2-Mar-00	13-Feb-03	Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 1,499,143 as principal debt owed, plus USD 83,020 in increased legal costs for proceedings in Kyrgyzstan, i.e. USD 1,582,163, plus pre-award interest amounts from 15 February 1999 to 30 June 2002 (para 2.1).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Kij Hober (President); Dr. Ahmed S. El-Kosheri; Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A USD 672,746 in "legal fees for the court actions in Kyrgyzstan and these arbitration proceedings" (para 2.1). i.b. It is not clear (a) how much of this amount represents the cost of the arbitration proceedings, or (b) whether this includes advance deposits on account of Tribunal fees. This figure has therefore been excluded from our calculations.	USD 323,527 in costs and expenses of legal representation (para 2.2 + 5.4).	USD 231,504 in fees and disbursements of the arbitrators (para 5.4)	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant had not made a "foreign investment" (para 5.3.3.3).	Claimant ordered to pay USD 373,527 in reimbursement of Respondent's full legal costs of USD 323,527 and advance deposit of USD 60,000. Arbitration costs borne in full by Claimant having paid USD 150,000 in advance deposits - shortfall of USD 31,504 made up by interest accrued on the advance deposits (para 5.4).	N/A
22-Feb-10	8-Jul-18	Philip Morris Brands Sarl, Philip Morris Products SA and ABAL Hermanos SA v Uruguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/7)	N/R	USD 22,267,000 (para. 12)	ICSID	3. Piero Bernardini (President); Gary Born; James Crawford	USD 16,906,045.46 (para. 583) - this includes the advances paid to ICSID.	USD 10,319,833.57 (para. 583) - this includes advances paid to ICSID.	USD 1,485,714.08 (para. 589)	The Claimant's claims are all dismissed (para. 590).	The Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 7,442,857.04 (para. 590): (1) USD 7,000,000 to cover the Respondent's legal costs; (2) reimburse all amounts advanced by the Respondent to ICSID (unclear how much advanced, but assumed 50% of Tribunal fees of USD 1,485,714.08)	8 (paras. 582-589)
16-Mar-99	27-Nov-00	Philippe Grusin v. Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/3	N/R	Claimant claimed to have suffered losses of entire USD 2,360,000 investment in securities listed on the KLSE, which he argued constituted an "investment" under the relevant BIT entitling him to recover the amount of his losses from the Respondent (paras 8.1-8.3). CZK 951,048,000 for losses relating to CAC; unquantified compensation for losses relating to loss of BP; unquantified compensation for all other BIT breaches; and compensation for corporate expenses including over CZK 2,000,000 in legal fees paid by BP in connection with customs assessments, i.e. minimum of USD 46,903,513, plus further unquantified damages (para 51).	ICSID	1. Gavan Griffith QC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction under ICSID Convention (para 26.4).	Each party should pay one-half of Tribunal fees and expenses of the Tribunal and charges for the use of Centre facilities. Each party pay its own expenses (para 27.12).	1 of 28
23-Mar-06	15-Apr-09	Phoenix Action Ltd. v. Czech Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/5	US\$ 1 = CZK 20.3193307025	USD 1,000,000 (para. 12)	ICSID	3. Prof. Brigitte Stern (President); Prof. Andreas Bucher; Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto	USD 1,612,279.13 in legal fees and expenses (para 148).	CZK 21,417,199.13 in legal costs, i.e. USD 1,054,631 (para 148).	USD 356,000 in ICSID costs, being the fees and expenses of the Tribunal members and the ICSID Secretariat, excluding lodging fee (para 152).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have a "protected investment" under the Washington Convention and the Israeli/Czech BIT (para 145).	Claimant to bear all ICSID costs estimated at USD 356,000, resulting in a payment to the Respondent of USD 190,000. Claimant also to pay Respondent's legal fees and expenses of CZK 21,417,199.13, i.e. USD 1,054,631 (para 152).	5 of 152
8-Nov-06	4-Aug-10	Piero Foresti, Laura de Carl & Others v. Republic of South Africa, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/01	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7600211259	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Vaughan Lowe QC (President); The Hon. Charles N. Brower; Mr. Joseph M. Matthews	EUR 4,374,200.11 in total costs and fees, i.e. USD 5,755,366 (para 97). i.b. May include ICSID advances made by parties in period leading up to discontinuance.	EUR 5,333,148.91 in fees and costs (excluding costs of Mr Nhal who had engaged in bribery), i.e. USD 7,017,194 (para 98). i.b. May include ICSID advances made by parties in period leading up to discontinuance.	N/A	Proceedings discontinued at Claimant's request pursuant to Article 50 of ICSID Arbitration Additional Facility Rules (para 79 + 133).	Claimants to pay EUR 400,00 towards Respondent's legal costs and associated expenses, as well as fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the Centre, i.e. USD 526,301 (para 133).	51 of 133
7-Sep-12	30-Apr-18	Ping An Life Insurance Company of China, Limited and Ping An Insurance (Group) Company of China, Limited v Kingdom of Belgium (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/29)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8951	USD 1,127,248,282.14 (para. 89) (converted from EUR 1,009,000,000 - as the compensation requested including interest)	ICSID	3. Lord Collins of Magesbury (President); Professor Philippe Sands; David A.R. Williams	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 240)	The Tribunal decides (para. 240): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 (paras 234 - 239)
6-Jan-03	27-Jul-08	Piama Consortium Limited v. Republic of Bulgaria, ICSID No. ARB/03/24	N/R	Claimed in Memorial on basis of DCF method: USD 122,258,000 in compensation, plus compound interest at a commercial rate from 15 December 1999 (para 153). i.b. Unclear whether this amount includes amounts of USD 10 million (para 159), or USD 23 million referred to in Claimant's Post-Hearing Submission on the Merits. It has been assumed that it does.	ICSID	3. Carl F. Salans (President); Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg; V.V. Veeder	USD 4,677,821.79 in legal and other costs excluding ICSID advances (para 310).	USD 13,243,367 in legal and other costs excluding ICSID advances (para 310).	USD 803,866.04 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; USD 144,195.79 in ICSID administrative charges and expenses; i.e. total of USD 948,061.82 (para 312)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits; Tribunal denied ECT protections to the Claimant because it had obtained its investment in Bulgaria by means of fraudulent misrepresentation. In any event, Respondent had not breached its obligations under the ECT (para 336 + 321).	Claimant to bear all Tribunal's fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID's administrative charges of USD 919,065 (with shortfall of USD 28,078.82 being made up by interest accrued on parties' advances to ICSID), resulting in payment of USD 480,000 to Respondent (in account of its advance on costs (para 311-312 + 324). Claimant to pay USD 7,000,000 towards Respondent's legal fees and other costs (para 323-324).	18 of 325
8/10/2012	21-May-15	Pisapetro Peru Corporation and others v Perupetro S.A. (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/29)	N/R	Declaratory relief. N.B. Counterclaim of USD 62,440,689 (para 111)	ICSID	3. Eduardo Siqueiros T. (Chairman); José Emilio Nunes Pinto; Bernardo M. Cremades	USD 12,377,842 (para. 210 - total figure minus tribunal costs of USD 350,000)	USD 3,026,174 (para. 212 - total figure minus tribunal costs of USD 350,000)	Not disclosed (although advances total USD 700,000)	The Tribunal ruled in favour of the Respondent and granted the counterclaim. The Claimant was ordered to pay USD 61,517,429 in damages + interest (paras. 215-218)	The Tribunal unanimously decided that all cost were to be borne by the Claimant in the amount of USD 3,376,174 (para. 220)	5 (paras 209-214)
17-Oct-13	5-May-15	PNG Sustainable Development Program Ltd. v Independent State of Papua New Guinea (ICSID No. ARB/13/3)	US\$ 1 = SGD 1.3330 / US\$ 1 = A\$61.2396 / US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8948	N/A Declaration of jurisdiction (para. 89)	ICSID	3. Gary Born (President); Duncan Kerr; Chev L.H. Michael Pyles	USD 1,611,646.98 (para. 386)	USD 686,178.64 (para. 383) (sum of USD 628,376.78 (converted from SGD 837,626.25) + USD 31,219.09 (converted from EUR 26,000.00) + USD 26,582.77 (converted from A\$18,000.00 (for the Respondent's legal costs and expenses)) (para. 383)	USD 700,000 (sum of advance payments on account of the arbitration costs) (para. 383, 386)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 417)	The Tribunal decides (para. 417): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	37 (paras 380 - 416)
24-Dec-98	26-Nov-02	Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL Interim Award dated 28-Jun-00. Award on the Merits of Phase 2 dated 10-Apr-01. Award in Respect of Damages dated 31-May-02. Award in Respect of Costs dated 26-Nov-02	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.5714379236	USD 482,622 claimed in various heads of damages, plus interest (para 86 of Award in Respect of Damages). i.b. Quantified award did not include two heads of damages which Tribunal concluded were not recoverable, being the value of management time devoted to the claim and alleged losses flowing from shutdown of three mills (para 81).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Lord Dervand (Presiding Arbitrator); Benjamin J. Greenberg; Murray J. Bellman	USD 3,780,968 claimed in final phase, plus USD 465,044 awarded by Tribunal and paid to Claimant by Respondent, i.e. USD 4,246,132 (para 8 of ARC).	CAD 3,953,231.22 claimed in final phase, i.e. USD 2,615,676 (para 6).	USD 1,474,359.50 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and its assistant (para 18 of ARC).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 487,646 as principal amount in damages, plus interest at 5% per annum compounded quarterly from 1 December 1996, bringing award to total of USD 461,565 as of 31 May 2002 (paras 88 + 90-91 of ARD).	Respondent to pay USD 120,000 to Claimant in respect of the portion of the Tribunal's costs relating to the Verification Review Episode (para 15 of ARC). Each party to bear its own legal costs (para 17 of ARC).	N/A
3-May-13	9-Apr-16	Poslova Banka, a.s. and ISTROKAPITAL SE v Hellenic Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/8)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9369	N/A Not disclosed in the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed as USD 533,674,885.26 (EUR 500,000,000) http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/551	ICSID	3. Eduardo Zúñiga (President); John Townsend; Brigitte Stern	USD 5,517,910.09 (para. 373)	USD 4,963,432.84 (converted from EUR 4,650,232.73) (para. 374)	USD 600,600 (para 375)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 379)	The Tribunal decides (para. 379): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	7 (para 372-378)

2-May-02	19-Jan-07	PSEG Global Inc. and Konya Iig in Elektrik Üretim ve Ticaret Limited Şirketi v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5	N/R	Claimants submitted three amounts based on different approaches to damages assessment: (i) using first approach, USD 114,951,000 as fair market value of investment at time of expropriation, plus annually compounded interest at 10.6% bringing total FMV amount including interest to USD 171,986,000 as at end of 2006; (ii) using second approach, USD 223,742,000 based on lost profit valuation, plus interest at same rate as before, bringing total lost profit amount including interest to USD 334,756,000; or USD 301,877,000 in net present value of losses as at end of 2006, plus amounts for avoidance of tax effects bringing the total NPV of lost profits to USD 494,582,000; or (iii) using the third approach, USD 27,841,740.30 in expenses estimated on the basis of opportunity cost of investment up to end of 2006 including pre-Award interest based on cost of equity, or USD 45,806,131.64 resulting from tax gross-up, or USD 29,950,241.68 and USD 47,823,347.01 respectively including pre-Award interest based on Turkish Bond Yield (paras 283-292)	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Mr. L. Yves Fortier CC CC, Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler	N/A	n.b. Claimant paid USD 11,900,804.52 in both legal fees and advances towards arbitration costs (para 353).	N/A	n.b. Respondent paid USD 8,95,832.10 in both legal fees and advances towards arbitration costs (para 353).	n.b. Respondent paid USD 20,851,636.62 in total costs of the arbitration claimed by parties in post-hearing submissions, including legal costs and fees (para 352).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,061,479.34 plus interest at LIBOR rate plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 1 August 1998 until payment (para 337).	Respondent to bear 65% of the arbitration costs and legal costs and fees, being USD 13,553,563.80; Claimant to bear 35%, being USD 7,298,072.81; resulting in payment of USD 4,602,731.70 by Respondent to Claimant (para 353).	3 of 354
28-Dec-06	27-Oct-10	Quadrant Pacific Growth Fund LP, and Canesco Holdings Inc. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/08/1	N/R	Minimum of USD 20,000,000 (para 8).	ICSID	3. Prof. Alejandro Garro (President), Prof. Andrea Lowenfeld, Dr. Bernardo Cremades	N/A		N/A	N/A	Proceedings discontinued, at Respondent's request, due to failure by Claimants to pay their share of the second advance payment requested by ICSID (para 52, 58 + 62).	Claimants to pay USD 730,000 in respect of fees and costs claimed by Respondent (para 70-72).	10 of 73	
4-Oct-05	16-Sep-15	Outborex S.A. and Non-Metallic Minerals S.A. v. Bolivia ICSID Case No. ARB/05/7	N/R	USD 150,848,827 (para. 73) (composed of USD 146,848,827 as of 30 June 2013 plus compound interest from that date + moral damages in the sum of USD 4,000,000 + declaratory relief (para. 73(a) and (b))	ICSID	3. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Brigitte Stern, Marc Lalonde	USD 7,660,375 (para.621)	USD 1,844,851.67 (para. 622)	Not clear. However, USD 1,650,000 has been advanced by the parties in total (para. 621). Claimant has advanced USD 1,500,000 and Respondent has advanced USD 150,000 (paras 621-622).	Claimant won on merits and entitled to damages amounting to USD 48,619,578 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%, compounded annually) (para. 626(ii)).	The Tribunal decides: (para 626(i) and 626(ii)) (1) in addition to its own half of the Claimant's costs, the Respondent shall also pay 50% of the Claimant's share of the Tribunal costs. (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	6 (paras 620-625)		
15-Jan-10	10-Dec-10	Rachel S. Grynberg, Stephen M. Grynberg, Miriam Z. Grynberg and RSM Production Company v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/6	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. J. William Rowley GC (President), Edward W. Nottingham, Prof. Pierre Tercier	USD 31,092.50 in total costs, of which USD 27,292.50 attributable to the Objection and USD 3,800 attributable to Security Application (para 8.2.2).	USD 205,126.83 in legal fees and disbursements in respect of Objection only (para 8.2.3).	USD 187,316.84 in arbitration costs including arbitrators' fees, Tribunal expenses, and Secretariat's administration fees and expenses (para 8.3.3).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, and for being "no more than a contractual claim... dressed up as a Treaty case" and manifestly without legal merit (para 7.3 + 9.1(a)).	Claimants to reimburse Respondent for its legal costs of USD 205,126.83 (para 8.3.5). Claimants to bear 100% of Tribunal fees and expenses plus 100% of administrative fees and expenses of the Centre, i.e. USD 187,314.84, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 93,605.62 (para 8.3.6).	N/A		
5-Apr-11	16-Jul-13	Rafat Ali Rizvi v. The Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/13	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Dr. Gavriel Griffith GC (President) Judge Joan Donoghue, Professor Muthucumaraswamy Sornarajah	N/A	Not disclosed	N/A	Not disclosed	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (p. 74)	The Tribunal decides (p. 74): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras 229-233)	
14-Jun-07	29-Jun-12	Railroad Development Corporation v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/23	N/R	USD 63,778,212 in damages plus compound pre-award interest at 9.34% (para 68).	ICSID	3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), Honorable Stuart E. Eizenstat, Prof. James Crawford	N/A	N/A	N/A	USD 384,854.01 in ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses relating to two jurisdictional phases only (para 283).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 11,306,740.93 plus compound interest at six-month LIBOR rate plus two percentage points as from the date of the Lesivo Declaration to the date of payment (para 277-281). n.b. Amount awarded was rectified by Tribunal on 18 January 2013 of Claimant's request due to original miscalculation by Tribunal in Award, increasing compensation awarded to USD 13,618,799.36 (para 43 + section IV of Decision on Rectification).	Respondent to bear ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses relating to the two jurisdictional phases, resulting in payment of USD 192,427 to Claimant in reimbursement of its half share. In relation to the merits phase, each party to be responsible for 50% of ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses. Each party to bear its own counsel fees and expenses (para 282). In relation to the rectification proceedings, each party to bear its own counsel fees and expenses and responsible for 50% of ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses (Section IV of Decision on Rectification).	1 of 238	
5/17/2011	9-Jan-13	Renee Rose Levy and Gremcital S.A. v Peru (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/17)	N/R	USD 41,000,000,000 (para. 66)	ICSID	3. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President); Dr. Eduardo Zúñiga; Raúl E. Vinuesa	USD 1,571,858.72 (para. 198)	USD 5,299,978.96 (para. 198)	Not clear, up to USD 1,150,000 which was the amount advanced by the parties in total (para. 198)	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 195).	The Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,446,858.72 (para. 203).	(1) USD 1,571,858.72 as a contribution to the Respondent's legal costs/expenses; (2) Up to USD 375,000 as the amount advanced by the Respondent for the Tribunal costs (final amount not confirmed).	5 (para. 198 - 202)	
22-Jun-10	26-Feb-14	Renee Rose Levy de Leiva v. The Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/17	N/R	USD 4,036,000,000 (para. 278)	ICSID	3. Rodrigo Chauxmano (President), Professor Bernard Hanotiau, Professor Joaquin Morales Godoy	USD 2,239,828.61 (including arbitration costs, as the award does not have bifurcation) (para. 514)	USD 5,239,568.81 (including arbitration costs, as the award does not have bifurcation) (para. 514)	N/A	Not disclosed in the award. The tribunal mentioned the total costs in the award without splitting out the legal and arbitration costs.	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 519).	The Tribunal decides (para. 519): (1) Claimant shall pay her own costs and fees and the costs of ICSID and the fees and expenses of the arbitrators. (2) The Republic of Peru shall bear its own costs and expenses.	7 (paras 511-517)	
25-Mar-07	20-Jul-12	Renita 4 S.V.S.A., Ahorro Corporación Esmeraldas F.I., Ahorro Corporación Eurofondos F.I., Rovima Inversiones SICAV S.A., Oreasar de Valores SICAV S.A., Oregar de Valores SICAV S.A., OBI 9000 SICAV S.A. v. Russian Federation, SCC No. 24/2007	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8213104736	USD 2,625,810 in compensation to the four Claimants (para 187). n.b. Party Costs appear unusually high for such a small claim (third party funded, with a multitude of other claimants "waiting in the wings").	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Charles N. Brower, Toby T. Landau, Jan Paulsson	USD 14,972,671.52 in claim for costs (para 220). n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	USD 9,942,260.73 in claim for costs (para 220). n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	EUR 917,529 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 60,000 in SCC administrative fee, i.e. USD 1,190,206 (para 225).	Claimant win. Respondent to pay a total of USD 1,026,485 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 1,190,206 in SCC administrative fee, i.e. USD 2,216,691, plus interest compounded annually from 23 November 2007 until date of payment (para 218 + 227).	EUR 837,655 of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 1,019,901, allocated to Claimants and EUR 139,874 of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 170,306, to the Respondent (para 225). No award made in respect of Party Costs.	N/A		
24-Mar-97	1-Nov-99	Robert Azkoin, Kenneth Davittan & Ellen Baca v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/97/2	N/R	USD 19,203,000 (being highest of alternatives submitted by Claimant) in respect of the enterprise and USD 360,000 for out of pocket expenses, i.e. USD 22,863,000 plus such additional amount as shall be fixed by the Tribunal to compensate for the loss of the chance or opportunity of making a commercial success of the project, plus interest at 10% per annum on all amounts awarded (para 63). Claimant acknowledged an offset an amount of USD 500,000 from partial sale of assets, effectively reducing total claim to USD 22,363,000 (page 22).	ICSID	3. Jan Paulsson (President), Claus von Wobeser, Benjamin R. Civiletti	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim failed entirely on merits, as the Respondent's annulment of the contract did not violate its NAFTA obligations (para 124).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses and amounts paid to ICSID allocated equally (para 127).	3 of 128		
29-Mar-06	26-Nov-09	Romak S.A. v. Republic of Uzbekistan, PCA Case No. A4320	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.667331213	GAFTA Tribunal awarded Claimant USD 10,916,629.12, plus interest, in damages for Respondent's breach of its obligation to pay for wheat delivered (para 56). n.b. It is assumed that this amount of previous award was the subject of the Claimant's present claim before PCA.	UNCITRAL	3. Mr. Fernando Marilla-Serrano (Chairman), Mr. Noah Rubin, Mr. Nicolas Mofessas	N/A	N/A	EUR 278,267.17 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 15,195.10 in fees and expenses of PCA acting as registrar, i.e. USD 439,754 (para 246).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (para 242-243).	Parties shall bear the arbitration costs in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 252).	9 of 252		
19-Aug-99	3-Sep-01	Ronald S. Lauder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A	UNCITRAL	3. Lloyd Cutler, Robert Briner (Chairman), Bohuslav Klein	N/A	N/A	USD 691,370.38 in arbitrators' fees and travel and other expenses (para 316).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, although the Respondent had committed an arbitrary and discriminatory breach of the BIT, claims for damages failed on causation (para 235). In relation to all other claims, Respondent held not to have breached the BIT.	Each Party to pay one half of Tribunal fees and expenses, and one half of the direct costs involved in the London hearings including room hire and cost of court reporters. Each Party to bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 319).	5 of 319		
28-Oct-05	12-Sep-10	RosinvestCo UK Ltd. v. Russian Federation, SCC Case No. W0792005	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7861726754	Claimed in Statement of Claim USD 278,190,000 or alternatively USD 220,400,000, plus compound interest at normal commercial rate as of 31 July 2008 or 15 August 2007 respectively (para 45). Claimed in Reply USD 232,700,000 or alternatively the value that investment would have had at date of award absent Respondent's unlawful expropriations of Yukos' assets, plus compound interest at normal commercial rate from date of valuation (para 46). Claimed in First Post Hearing Brief and repeated in Second Post Hearing Brief USD 232,700,000, or alternatively the value that investment would have had as at the date of the award, plus semi-annually compounded interest at LIBOR plus 4% from date of valuation (paras 47-48).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Prof. Kurt Hens Böckstaege (President), The Right Honourable The Lord Steyn, Sir Franklin Berman KCMG GC	USD 13,396,764.82 in legal fees and other expenses (para 694).	USD 4,046,469.86 in attorney's fees and expenses and disbursements including expert fees (para 697).	EUR 968,814 in fees and expenses of arbitrators, EUR 48,238 in SCC administrative fee, EUR 12,651 in fees and costs of Administrative Secretary, plus possible VAT on administrative fee, i.e. total of USD 1,366,724 (para 702). n.b. Excludes possible VAT on administrative fee.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal amount of USD 3,800,000 plus actual LIBOR rates from 24 March 2007 until date of payment (para 692).	Each Party to bear its own costs of legal representation. Arbitration costs to be borne in equal shares (para 701).	10 of 702		
28-Jan-07	11-Jul-11	RSM Production Corporation v Central African Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/2)	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Azzadine Kettani (President), Philippe Merle, Brigitte Stern	N/A	Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Tribunal requested advances totalling USD 480,000 although it is not clear how much of this advance (if any) will be reimbursed to the parties (para. 45).	The Tribunal had already decided that the Claimant had the right to invoke the force majeure clause in its decision on jurisdiction and liability. In its award, the Tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay USD 27,752 to the Claimant. (page 10)	The Tribunal decided (para. 51): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras. 48-51)		
3-Jul-06	13-Mar-09	RSM Production Corporation v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/14	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7159643242	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr V.V. Veeder (President), Prof. Bernard Audi, Dr David S. Berry	USD 1,881,316.66 (as quantified by Claimant), comprising of GBP 706,235.03 for Dewey LeBoeuf plus EUR 148,651.06 for Grand Auzas & Associates plus USD 259,850 in miscellaneous expenses including witnesses (para 484).	GBP 896,728.14 in fees for DLA Piper, Freshfields and Lord Mullen, and miscellaneous expenses including witnesses, i.e. USD 1,282,476 (para 486).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits: there was no breach by the Respondent because its contractual obligations to the Claimant had lapsed on 28 March 2004. Respondent's counterclaims also dismissed (paras 502-504).	Respondent to bear its own costs and 50% of Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID charges (para 498-499).	19 of 499		
20-Jul-05	29-Jul-08	Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri A.S. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16	N/R	USD 227,000,000 adopting the DCF method of valuation of Claimants' 60% shareholding as at 30 October 2003 (para 799). Tribunal took this valuation as starting point for calculation of damages (para 813).	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Stewart Boyd, Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 125,000,000 plus compound interest at 6-month average LIBOR rate plus 2% per year compounded semi-annually from 30 October 2003 until date of payment (para 814 + 818).	Respondent to pay 50% of Claimant's legal fees and costs, excluding the arbitration costs (lodging fee and ICSID advances). Each party to bear 50% of the arbitration costs (ICSID advances) (para 819).	1 of 819		
17-Jul-12	22-Aug-16	Rusoro Mining Limited v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/8)	N/R	USD 2,318,898,824 (para. 186)	ICSID	3. Juan Fernandez-Arnesto (President), Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Bruno Simma	USD 8,670,914.43 (para. 660)	USD 15,063,558.26 (para. 662)	Not clear but USD 1,170,000 advanced in total by the parties (para. 660, footnote 689)	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 966,500,000 (para. 904(i)) (comprising USD 877,500 of Tribunal costs and USD 2,425,000 in legal costs).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 3,302,500 (para. 878)	23 (paras. 856-878)		
10/30/1998	30-Dec-02	S.D. Myers Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.5797638714	Claimed at start of second stage of proceedings: between USD 70,921,421 and USD 80,902,421 (para 17 of Final Award). Claimed in August 2001 before hearing: USD 53,000,000 (para 17 of Final Award).	UNCITRAL	3. Bryan P. Schwartz, Edward C. Chausson CC, Prof. J. Martin Hunter	CAD 3,740,964 claimed according to Claimant's itemised list of costs of representation and assistance, i.e. USD 2,369,553 (paras 37-38), though Tribunal considered that there had been an error in the Claimant's US\$ to CAN\$ conversions and that the Claimant had actually claimed CAD 3,549,863, i.e. USD 2,248,888, arrived at by deducting Claimant's claimed arbitration costs from its actual total costs claim (para 31 + 38 of Final Award).	N/A	CAD 1,510,695 paid in total by parties in deposits to Tribunal, i.e. USD 968,885 (para 29). n.b. Tribunal notified the parties that further payments would be necessary as the deposit would not be sufficient to cover Tribunal's unbilled fees and expenses, but these additional sums (to be shared equally between the parties) were unquantified as at the date of the Award (para 30 of Final Award).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay CAD 6,050,000 in compensation, i.e. USD 3,832,112, plus compound interest at Canadian prime rate plus 1% from date of Notice of Arbitration to date of payment (para 301, 306-307 of Second Partial Award).	Respondent to pay CAD 500,000, i.e. USD 316,703, towards Claimant's costs of legal representation and assistance (para 49 of Final Award). Of arbitration costs, Respondent to bear CAD 1,105,317.50 (USD 700,130) and Claimant to bear CAD 405,347.50 (USD 256,750). Respondent therefore bears an additional CAD 350,000, or USD 221,692 (para 29 of Final Award). n.b. Dissenting opinion on apportionment of costs from Professor Bryan P. Schwartz.	N/A		
13-Aug-07	14-Jul-10	Saba Fakes v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/28	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7839613105	Preliminary assessment of damages at USD 19,000,000,000 (para 2 + 43).	ICSID	3. Prof. Hans van Houfte, Dr. Laurent Lévy, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard (President)	EUR 756,156.10 in legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 964,532 (para 151).	EUR 1,486,248.49 in legal fees and expenses (para 151).	USD 365,000 estimated by Tribunal as total arbitration costs (para 153).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have an "investment" (paras 147 + 149).	Claimant to bear full arbitration costs of USD 365,000, resulting in a payment of USD 182,500 to Respondent in reimbursement of its ICSID costs. Claimant to pay Respondent's full legal costs of USD 1,486,248.49 (para 153-155).	6 of 155		

5-Oct-04	30-Jun-09	Bajem S.p.A. v. People's Republic of Bangladesh, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/7	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7133063314 / BDT 66.949756950	USD 5,883,770.80 for amount of ICC Award, plus 3.375% interest from 7 June 1993 until 9 May 2003 as set out in that award; USD 265,000 and EUR 110,995.92 for costs awarded in the ICC Award; interest on those amounts at six-month LIBOR BIT rate from 10 May 2003; USD 1,120,000 in costs and legal fees incurred in litigation in Bangladesh and Italy and maintaining the warranty bond, plus interest at six-month LIBOR BIT rate from 31 December 2002, i.e. principal sums totaling USD 7,424,378 excluding interest; plus return or cancellation warranty bond in the amount of USD 865,903.50 and BDT 10,391,605 pr payment of the amount of the warranty bond plus interest at LIBOR BIT rate, i.e. principal sums including warranty bond totaling USD 8,444,994 excluding interest (para 85). n.b. Tribunal dismissed Claim for return, cancellation or payment of the warranty bond (para 207).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabriella Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Christoph H. Schreuer, Sir Philip Otton	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,883,770.80 plus USD 265,000 plus EUR 110,995.92, i.e. USD 6,304,378 (the equivalent of amount awarded in the ICC Award), plus interest at 3.375% per annum from 7 June 1993 (paras 202 + 216).	Each party to bear its own expenses. Costs of the proceedings including Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID fees to be borne in equal shares by the parties (para 215).	1 of 216
12-Aug-02	31-Jan-06	Balini Costruttori S.p.A. and Italstrade S.p.A. v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/13	N/R	USD 28,000,000 (being equivalent of JOD 20,865,424.823) plus interest and financing charges in an amount to be quantified (paras 22, 26 + 172 of Decision on Jurisdiction). Decision on Jurisdiction dated 09-Nov-04; Award dated 31-Jan-06	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Mr. Bernardo Cremades, Sir Ian Sinclair	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Majority of Claimants' claims dismissed on jurisdiction; remaining claims rejected on merits, as Claimants failed to prove that a binding agreement had been orally concluded between Italy and Jordan to submit the dispute to arbitration (para 100 of Award).	Each party shall bear its own expenses. Parties to bear equally the Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of the Centre (para 104 of Award). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Sir Ian Sinclair QC.	4 of 105	
17-Nov-03	22-May-14	SAUR International SA v. Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/4	US\$ 1 = ARS 8.005 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7326	USD 143,900,000 (para. 80)	ICSID	3. Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (Chairman), Prof. Bernard Hanoufau, Prof. Christian Tomuschat	USD 4,056,776.52 (EUR 2,970,787.83 (USD 4,055,683.04) + USD 1,083.48) (para. 398)	USD 1,395,009.77 (ARS 8,971,504.16 (USD 1,112,399.77) + USD 282.610) (para. 400)	Not clearly set out, although parties' contributions amount to USD 1,221,800	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 39,990,111 plus interest in compensation (para. 393).	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 2,716,600: 1) Respondent shall pay Claimant's arbitration fees of USD 686,500 plus interest, and 2) Claimant's legal costs of EUR 1,486,975 (USD 2,030,000) plus interest (paras. 408 & 415).	20 (paras. 396-415)
11-Sep-02	28-Sep-07	Sempri Energy International v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/02/16	N/R	USD 209,380,000 in total amount claimed by investor (paras 198 + 406).	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Dr. Sandra Morelli Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 128,250,462 plus interest at the 6-month successive LIBOR rate plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 1 January 2002 until date of the Award (paras 483 + 486).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and one half of the arbitration costs.	3 of 486
11/03/2007	28-Apr-11	Bergel Pashok, CJSC Golden East Company and CJSC Vostokneftegas Company v. Government of Mongolia	N/R	N/A n.b. Damages issue was postponed to second phase of proceedings, not yet public (para 700)	UNCITRAL	3. The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naón, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. All claims denied except one, which was partially accepted. Claimant entitled to damages arising out of Respondent's violation of FET standard and prohibition on expropriation under the BIT, such damages to be proven in next phase of proceedings (paras 993-997 and Section 9).	Arbitration costs to be borne equally between Claimants and Respondent. Each side to bear its own costs (para 701).	1 of 701
19-Oct-07	10-Feb-12	903 Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29	N/R	USD 39,025,950.86 claimed in damages equal to sum of unpaid invoices, plus simple interest at USD 30-day LIBOR average monthly rate plus two percentage points from July 1999 amounting to USD 22,500,000 as at February 2011, i.e. principal and interest totaling USD 61,525,951 as at February 2011 (paras 188-199).	ICSID	3. Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov (President), Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, Dr. Pablo García Meaia	USD 1,792,605.95 in legal fees and USD 1,121,180.55 in other costs and disbursements, i.e. USD 2,913,786.50 (para 189). n.b. Likely to include ICSID deposits.	USD 696,965.20 in fees and USD 31,222.03 in costs, i.e. USD 728,207.23 (para 190).	USD 1,347,846.56 in ICSID costs and fees (para 192 + 199).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 39,025,950.86 plus interest at USD 30-day LIBOR rate average plus one percentage point from 1 July 1999 until date of payment (para 197). According to Claimant's calculations, this would be USD 61,525,951 as at February 2011 (para 189).	No award made as to costs, other than that the Respondent should reimburse the Claimant for its own share of the arbitration costs, so that each party bears half (i.e. USD 673,923.28 para 196).	4 of 198
23-May-02	6-Feb-07	Siemens A.G. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/02/8	N/R	USD 283,859,710 in book value of investment as at 17 May 2001; USD 124,541,000 on account of interest cessants; USD 44,678,462 for subcontractors' damages; USD 9,397,899 for other damages resulting from unlawful nature of expropriation measures; i.e. principal amounts totaling USD 462,477,071, plus compound pre-award interest at 6% from various dates on which damages caused (paras 328-330).	ICSID	3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totaling USD 217,838,439 in compensation, plus interest compounded annually at 2.66% accruing from 18 May 2001 on the compensation for the value of the investment, from 1 January 2000 on the compensation for the unpaid bills, and from 1 January 2002 on the compensation for consequential damages, in each case until date of payment (paras 396-397, 401 + 403). Respondent further ordered to deliver Contract performance bond to Claimant against payment of \$20 million.	Each party to bear its own costs and counsel fees. Respondent to bear 70% and Claimant to bear 25% of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and the costs of the ICSID Secretariat (para 402).	1 of 403
2-Sep-16	15-Mar-17	Silverton Finance Service Inc. v. Dominican Republic	N/R	N/A Claimant withdrew its claim(s) midway through the arbitration (para. 27, 49)	UNCITRAL	3. Bernardo M. Cremades (President), Prof. Franco Ferrari, Jose Eloy Anzola	N/A	N/A	Not clear, though the Claimant was ordered to reimburse USD 360,596.83 to the Respondent as costs of the arbitration (para. 61)	Claimant's claims dismissed in entirety (para. 61)	Claimant ordered to pay USD 407,596.83 (para. 61): (i) USD 360,596.83 as costs of the arbitration to the Respondent; and (ii) USD 27,000 as fees for the arbitrators	12 (paras. 49-60)
30-Oct-03	21-Aug-07	Sociedad Anónima Eduardo Vieira v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/47	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Claus Von Wobeser (President), Susana B. Czar de Zalabardo, W. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 306).	Each party shall pay the half of the costs of the arbitration proceedings and their own legal costs.	N/A
12-Nov-12	21-Dec-15	Société Civile Immobilière de Gastia v. Republic of Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/36)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9155, US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9912	USD 91,400,000 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%) (para. 103)	ICSID	3. Pierre Teclier (President), Horacio Grigera Naón, Laurent Levy	USD 2,216,689 (para. 291) (converted from USD 925,131 EUR 1,044,382.17 and CHF 152 plus USD 150,131)	USD 865,258.09 (para. 295) (converted from EUR 792,215.79)	USD 647,898.39 (para. 300) (USD 775,000 was advanced by the Claimant to cover this cost)	Tribunal found it had no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (para 79)	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay USD 1,210,550.58 (page 79): (1) the Claimant must pay 80% (i.e. USD 518,368.72) of the Tribunal's Costs, the Respondent must pay the remaining 20%; (2) the Claimant must pay its own legal costs and 80% of the Respondent's legal costs - the Claimant was therefore ordered to pay the Respondent an indemnity of USD 692,151.86 (converted from EUR 633,772.63).	29 (paras. 288 to 316)
7-Mar-12	21-May-14	Société Industrielle des Boissons de Guinée v. Republic of Guinea, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/8	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7322	USD 120,000,000 (para. 2)	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Mayer (Chairman), Prof. Jean-Michel Jacquet, Alexis Mourre	USD 286,799 (para. 123)	USD 277,168.37 (EUR 201,894.75 + EUR 1,004 in disbursements) (para. 121)	N/A USD 375,000 (incurred only by Claimant) (para. 124. Costs incurred by the Respondent unknown at the date of the award and to be invoiced later (para. 130)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 130)	The Tribunal decides (para. 130): 1) Claimant shall pay 70% of Respondent's defense costs, i.e. EUR 142,029.12 (USD 193,975.85); 2) Claimant shall pay 70% of tribunal's costs.	10 (paras. 120-130)
5-Nov-82	26-Feb-06	Société Ouest Africaine des Bétons Industriels v. Senegal, ICSID Case No. ARB/82/1	US\$ 1 = CFA Franc 119.02	USD 15,825,821.23 (para. 5.22) (converted from CFA 5,048,688,689)	ICSID	3. Aron Broches (President), Keba Mbaye, J.C. Schultz	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	USD 560,491.68 (page 215, para. 2)	The Tribunal found the Government of Senegal liable for breach of contract and awarded the Claimant USD 2,872,872.72 (the figure includes interest) (page 213) (converted from CFA 958,927,006).	The Tribunal decided (para. 12, 05(f)): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Respondent was also ordered to pay interest at 10% on the reimbursements due to the Claimant for its share of the costs (interest calculated at USD 5,678.40) (page 216). (3) the Claimant shall pay for the accountant expert appointed by the Tribunal (USD 47,341.35).	15 (para. 12, 05(f) and para. 1-14 on pages 215-216)
8/24/1984	20-May-92	Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/84/0	N/R	Primary claim: USD 41,000,000 in investment value of ETDC plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 125,000,000 as at 31 August 1990; USD 1,650,000 in amount of loan to ETDC, plus interest at contractual rate amounting to USD 6,811,000 as at 31 August 1990; USD 623,000 in post-cancellation costs for 1978 and 1979, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 1,874,000 as at 31 August 1990, i.e. USD 43,273,000 including interest or USD 177,878,000 including quantified interest (para 33). Secondary alternative claim based on out-of-pocket expenses: USD 1,650,000 in amount of loan to ETDC, plus interest at contractual rate amounting to USD 6,931,000; USD 408,000 in further monies lent at no interest; USD 1,310,000 in capital invested, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 4,303,000; USD 2,254,000 in pre-cancellation development costs, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 7,404,000; USD 623,000 in post-cancellation costs for 1978 and 1979, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 1,874,000; i.e. USD 6,245,000 excluding interest or USD 28,757,000 including interest (para 33). n.b. Both primary and secondary claims included USD 5,108,000 for post-cancellation legal, audit and arbitration costs from 1980 to 1990. The Tribunal did not distinguish between "costs" and "damages", but allocated USD 5,092,000 of that amount claimed to the current proceedings, which figure has been used in Column G. The remaining sum (excluded from calculations above) is USD 16,000.	ICSID	3. Dr. Eduardo Jiménez de Aréchaga (President), Dr. Mohammed Amin El Mahdi, Robert F. Peatrowski Jr. Esq.	Claimants sought reimbursement of USD 5,108,000 in post-cancellation legal, audit and arbitration costs from 1980 to 1990 (para 205). At Tribunal's request, they submitted a detailed list showing that USD 4,242,000 had been incurred in fees and expenses for ICSID proceedings, with further fees and expenses of USD 1,701,000 incurred in the ICC arbitration and related court proceedings. Tribunal estimated that half of the latter figure was spent on work utilised directly in the ICSID proceedings, and concluded that Claimants' total costs for legal and accounting work relevant or useful to the ICSID proceedings was USD 5,092,000 (paras 210-211).	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totaling USD 22,968,000 in compensation, inclusive of pre-award interest and adjustments for monetary devaluation (para 257).	Respondent shall pay USD 5,093,000 to the Claimant for its legal, audit and arbitral costs. n.b. These costs were included amongst the sums awarded in the Dispositif as damages.	7 of 257
13-May-04	7-Dec-11	Spyridon Roussalis v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/1	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7470340152	Two alternative amounts claimed depending on contingent events: In the event of loss of shares resulting from Romanian litigation, USD 85,252,032.34 claimed in compensation for expropriation of pro rata ownership interest, plus USD 25,000,000 for moral damages, i.e. USD 110,252,032.34 (paras 167 + 290). In the event that Romanian litigation is suspended, USD 25,000,000 claimed in moral damages (paras 166 + 290).	ICSID	3. Andrea Giardina, Michael Reisman, Bernard Hanoufau (President)	N/A	EUR 10,089,072.98 in legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 13,995,907 (para 882).	USD 382,150 in estimated expended portion of Respondent's advance on costs (para 882). n.b. There is no indication that the Claimant made any advances to ICSID.	Respondent win. Claims dismissed at merits stage as unfounded; Respondent's actions, even taken collectively, did not amount to violations of BIT (para 746). Respondent's counterclaim also dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 876).	Claimant to pay EUR 6,053,443.78 representing 60% of Respondent's legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 8,103,304. Claimant to pay USD 217,280 representing 60% of estimated expended portion of Respondent's advance on arbitration costs (para 822).	5 of 882
17-Sep-10	18-Jul-16	ST-AD GmbH v. Republic of Bulgaria, UNCITRAL PCA Case No. 2011-06	US\$ 1 = EURO 9028	N/A	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	Brigitte Stern (President); Bohuslav Klein; Christopher Thomas QC	USD 1,101,037.28 (converted from EUR 994,016.46 (para. 256)).	USD 1,245,773.54 (converted from EUR 1,124,384.35 (para. 253 and 431)).	N/A (unclear - Respondent's contribution was USD 175,000).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 431).	The Tribunal decides: (1) the Claimant shall pay USD 1,245,773.54 (converted from EUR 1,124,384.35) as the Respondent's legal fees and expenses; and (2) USD 193,841.38 (converted from EUR 175,000) as the Respondent's contribution to the cost of proceedings.	7 of 431 (paras 424-430)
9/15/2010	12-Sep-16	Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited v. Tanzania Electric Supply Company Limited (TANESCO) (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/20)	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7511 US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9735 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9906	USD 214,600,000 (para. 250)	ICSID	3. Donald McRae (President); Zachary Douglas QC; Brigitte Stern	USD 4,718,815.43 (para. 402)	USD 16,724,417.92 (para. 403)	USD 1,133,516.43 (para. 412)	The Tribunal accepted jurisdiction and ordered the Respondent to pay the Claimant USD 148,400,000 (para. 414)	The Tribunal decided (para. 414(f)): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	13 (paras. 401-413)
7-May-10	2-Nov-12	Standard Chartered Bank v. United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/12	N/R	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 118,609,392.31 for value of Claimant's interest as at 30 April 2010 inclusive of interest and costs (paras 61 + 194). Claimed in Reply Post-Hearing Brief: award dismissing Respondent's jurisdictional objections and order directing that arbitration proceed to hearing on the merits (para 195)	ICSID	3. Prof. William W. Park (President), Mr. Barton Legum, Prof. Michael C. Pyles	USD 1,820,164.95 in Claimant's costs (para 12)	USD 8,606,316.25 estimated in counsel and expert costs (para 192).	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 277).	Each party to bear its own legal expenses including fees for attorneys and experts. Arbitration costs including arbitrators' fees and administrative expenses of Centre to be divided on an equal basis (para 276).	2 of 279

10/30/2013	30-Jun-14	Stans Energy v Kyrgyz Republic, A-2013/29	N/R	USD 117,853,000 (p. 1)	Moscow Chamber of Commerce and Industry (MCCI)	3. M.Z. Pisk (President), NG Vilova, and LG Balyan	USD 308,142.50 (p. 98)	N/A	Not mentioned.	USD 159,128 (first paragraph of p. 14)	Claimant wins in the amount of USD 117,738,940.30 (p. 98)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 467,117.74 (p. 98)	8 (see pp. 96-97)
20-Dec-12	29-Jan-15	State Enterprise "Energoymok" (Uravne) v The Republic of Moldova, SCC Arbitration V (2012/178)	11/US\$ = EUR 0.8842 11/US\$ = SEK 9.2664	USD 1,746,754.84 + compound interest on this amount from 20 January 2003 (para. 54(b), 104)	SCC rules	3. Nancy B. Turk (Chairman), Rolf Knepper, Joseph Trade	N/A	Not mentioned.	USD 178,193.74 (para. 117(b)) (= USD 152,461 (converted from EUR 134,806.89) + USD 25,732.74 (converted from SEK 212,717.13))	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 117(a)).	The Tribunal decides (para. 117): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	8 (paras. 109-116)	
4/17/2003	9-Apr-15	Suez, and Vivendi Universal v Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19)	N/R	USD 834,100,000 (USD 868,000,000 - USD 34,100,000) (para. 21) (all amounts in dispute except for AWW's which are dealt in a separate award)	ICSID (see para. 106)	3. Jewald W. Salacuse (President), Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Pedro Nikken	USD 20,732,568.74 (para. 114) [This figure is for total expenses. No breakdown is provided vs. arbitration costs / legal costs]	Total amount (including the amount spent in the AWW case) USD 2,651,444.90 (para. 21)	N/A	Not mentioned	Claimants awarded USD 383,581,241 (para. 105)	The Tribunal decides (para. 117): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimants and Respondent shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (para. 114 - 117)
21-Dec-11	18-Jan-17	Supervision y Control, S.A. v Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/4)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9363	USD 278,397,628.97 (para. 118)	ICSID	3. Claus von Wobeser (President); Joseph P. Kloock Jr.; Eduardo Silva Romero	N/A	N/A	N/A	Jurisdiction accepted but rejected all of Claimant's claims due to inadmissibility (para. 358).	The Tribunal decides (para. 358): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (para. 354-357)	
24-Mar-99	23-Oct-00	Swemball AB, Sweden v. Republic of Latvia, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = SEK 10.1214574900 / DKK 8.8573959280	USD 2,250,000 claimed in compensation for loss of ship (para 39), plus USD 158,258 in compensation for loss of furnishings and equipment (para 41), plus USD 400,000 in compensation for loss of income, i.e. USD 2,808,258 in total (para 42).	UNCITRAL	3. Allan Philip, Kaj Hober, Gustaf Moller	SEK 656,250 in duties including VAT, i.e. USD 64,837 (para 10)	N/A	DKK 682,266.45 in arbitrators' fees and expenses, i.e. USD 74,778 (Section VI).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,906,258 in compensation for loss of ship, plus interest at 10% per year from 9 April 1999 until date of payment (para 47 + Section VI).	Respondent to pay USD 1,345 plus SEK 1,406,250 (including 25% VAT), i.e. USD 140,282, in compensation for Claimant's duties and payments to solicitors, including duties and costs of Tribunal (para 49).	9 of 50	
9-Jul-09	6-Jul-12	Dezilion DOO Skopje v. Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/16	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8139439335	EUR 19,013,000 in compensation, i.e. USD 23,359,163, plus compound interest at 13.3% from 2 November 2010 to date of payment (para 73).	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Mr. Daniel M. Price, Mr. J. Christopher Thomas QC	EUR 1,687,404 in costs of legal representation, i.e. USD 2,073,121 (para 352).	USD 3,675,211.23 including advance ICSID fees and expenses (para 353). n.b. Subtracting an assumed sum of USD 250,000, this would make Respondent's costs USD 3,425,211.23	USD 250,000 in advance fees and USD 25,000 in lodging fees advanced to ICSID by Claimant (para 352).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation of EUR 350,000, i.e. USD 430,605, plus interest compounded semi-annually at LIBOR rate from 30 March 2007 until date of payment (para 350 + 359).	Respondent to pay EUR 350,000 towards Claimant's costs of legal representation, i.e. USD 430,605. Otherwise, each party to bear its own costs and to share equally the arbitrators' fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre (para 357).	9 of 360	
7/28/2000	29-May-03	Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed S.A. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/02	N/R	USD 52,000,000 in damages as at 25 November 1998 (date of expropriation), plus interest (para 184).	ICSID	3. Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Nalon (President), Prof. José Carlos Fernández Rozas, Mr. Carlos Bernard Naves	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,333,017.12 to the Claimant plus compound interest at annual rate of 6% from 25 November 1998 until date of payment (para. 195 + 197).	Each party to bear its own costs, expenses and legal counsel fees. Costs incurred by Tribunal and ICSID to be shared equally between Parties (para 200).	1 of 201	
20-Oct-10	18-Dec-13	Teco Guatemala Holdings LLC v. The Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/17	N/R	USD 243,600,000 (para. 434(3))	ICSID	3. Alexis Mourre, Prof. William W. Park, Dr. Claus von Wobeser	USD 9,277,893.86 USD 6,327,903.29 + USD 2,932,603.33 + USD 17,087.24 (para. 773)	USD 4,625,047.43 (USD 4,370,638.10 + USD 154,409.33) (para. 774)	Not clear but USD 1,475,000 is the total costs advanced by the parties (para. 773-774)	Respondent to pay USD 21,100,552 as damages plus interest (para. 780)	The Tribunal orders the Respondent to pay USD 8,995,695.39 (para. 780): (1) The Respondent to pay the costs of the arbitration (1,475,000, on the basis of the amounts advanced by the parties); (2) The Respondent to reimburse 75 percent of the legal costs of the Claimant, i.e. USD 7,520,695.39	11 (paras 769-779)	
16-Dec-03	13-Sep-06	Telenor Mobile Communications A.S. v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID No. ARB/04/15	N/R	N/A n.b. Damages were not fully addressed by Claimant. In Memorial it stated that there were preliminary indications by Deutsche that direct damage suffered was USD 67,152,000,000 (para 42).	ICSID	3. Prof. Sir Roy Goode CBE QC (President), Mr. Nicholas W. Alford, Mr. Arthur L. Marriott QC	N/A	USD 1,282,892.77 in counsel fees and expenses and travel costs for hearings (Schedule)	USD 300,000 in ICSID costs, including Tribunal fees and expenses (Schedule).	Respondent win. Claim failed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant failed to adduce a prima facie case of expropriation (para 102).	Claimant to bear all costs and reimburse Respondent for its share of ICSID fees and expenses, being USD 150,000, and for its own costs, being USD 1,282,892.77 (para 108).	5 of 108	
24-Aug-11	29-Jan-18	Tenaris S.A. and Talta - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/26)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9231	USD 798,100,000 (USD 299,300,000 in principal, USD 489,800,000 in pre-award interest) (para. 99)	ICSID	3. John Beechey (Chairman), Judd Kessler, Toby Landau	USD 7,257,618.27 (para. 597) Breakdown: USD 7,048,727.38 (USD 7.8m - USD 825,000 in Tribunal fees) + USD 162210,890.89 (converted from EUR 194,673.37) (see para. 597)	USD 6,870,628.82 (para. 604)	N/A Not clear - Claimant advanced USD 925,000, but Respondent did not pay third advance (para. 624)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 172,891,213.7 (para. 625) Breakdown: USD 87,300,000 principal + USD 85,591,213.7 interest + interest at 6% p.a. compounded at 6-monthly intervals	The Tribunal decides (para. 625): (1) the parties shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs; (2) no other order for costs made.	29 (para. 596-624)	
21-Aug-12	12-Dec-16	Tenaris S.A. and TALTA v Venezuela (II) (ICSID No ARB/12/23)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8430 US\$ 1 = COP2843.8998 US\$ 1 = ARS10.0841 US\$ 1 = MXN20.2464	USD 243,700,000 (para. 47(c))	ICSID	3. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President); Enrique Gómez Prizon, Brigitte Stern	USD 8,001,275.91 (para. 832)	USD 7,974,674 (para. 835)	USD 1,050,000 advanced in total, not clear how much actually incurred by the Tribunal (para. 832)	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 137,017,687 (para. 832)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 3,290,000 (para. 890). This comprises USD 1,050,000 (Tribunal costs) and USD 2,240,000 (legal costs).	27 (para. 830-856)	
29-Dec-10	9-Nov-18	The Renco Group Inc. v Peru (UNCTAD13/1)	N/A	N/A Not clear from the award but UNCTAD states the Claimant claimed USD 800,000,000. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/417	UNCITRAL	3. Michael Moser (President); Yves Fortier QC, Toby Landau QC	USD 4,885,421.66 (para. 22)	USD 8,392,778.62 (para. 28)	USD 873,508.42 (para. 52)	The Claimant's claims are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 9/7)	The Tribunal decides (para. 56): (1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	56 (1-56 - it is a costs award)	
14-Dec-05	6-May-13	The Rompetrol Group n.v. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3	N/R	USD 139,385,084 plus interest (para. 57)	ICSID	3. Franklin Berman, (President), Donald Francis Donovan, Marc Lalonde PC.	USD 9,000,000 (para. 296) Not clear from the Award whether this includes tribunal's costs	USD 10,750,000 (para. 296) Not clear from the Award whether this includes tribunal's costs	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant's claims are admissible but they failed to show any economic loss or damage suffered (para. 299)	The Tribunal decides (para. 299): (1) The costs of the Arbitration to be shared equally between the Parties; and (2) Parties to bear their own costs.	4 (para. 295-298)	
16-Feb-10	13-Mar-16	Tidewater Inc. and others v. Venezuela (ICSID Case No ARB/10/6)	N/R	Up to USD 234,000,000 (para. 53) The Claimants provided a range of valuations, the highest being USD 234,000,000 (para. 53)	ICSID	3. Campbell McLachlan QC (President), Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda, Professor Brigitte Stern.	USD 7,712,100.64 (para. 211)	USD 9,000,417 (para. 211)	USD 900,000 advanced in total, unclear how much actually incurred (para. 211)	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 46,400,000 (para. 217(3))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 2,500,000 (para. 216) for its legal costs. Each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs (para. 217(5))	7 (para. 210 - 216)	
16-Aug-02	26-Jul-07	Tokios Tokelés v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18	N/R	Nearly USD 65,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs (para 4).	ICSID	3. Lord Mustill (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Mr. Daniel M. Price	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as majority of Tribunal found that no treaty breach had been committed by the Respondent (para 145).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses, and to contribute on half of the arbitration costs (para 148).	1 of 147	
31-Oct-03	27-Nov-13	Total S.A. v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/01)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7372	USD 557,200,000 (para. 250) (if including interest then claim becomes USD 1,002,200,000 (para. 250))	ICSID	3. Professor Giorgio Sacconi (President), Henri Alvarez, Luis Herrera Marcano	USD 24,924,835.81 (para. 271) (arrived from USD 17,567,254.38 (conversion of EUR 12,950,579.93) + USD 7,357,581.03)	USD 2,434,243.49 (para. 272)	N/A Not disclosed.	The Tribunal decides (para. 280): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	12 (paras. 269-280)		
12-Apr-07	7-Jun-12	Toto Construzioni Generali S.p.A. v. Republic of Lebanon, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/12	N/R	USD 10,694,000 for additional costs; USD 545,590 for additional costs; USD 5,980,000 for loss of opportunities; USD 4,010,877 for moral damages, i.e. principal amounts totaling USD 21,230,467, plus interest on payments received after due date amounting to USD 538,000, plus compound interest on amounts claimed amounting to USD 11,769,590, i.e. USD 33,538,057 in total (para 7). n.b. Not clear from the wording whether the latter two "interest" amounts represent the principal on which interest should be paid, or the amount of interest itself. The latter has been assumed.	ICSID	3. Prof. Hans van Houfte (President); Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Mr. Fadi Moghazzel	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent failed to show it had breached its obligations under the BIT (para 259).	Parties to bear arbitration costs in equal shares. Each party to bear its own legal costs and fees (para 260).	5 of 261	
2-Nov-94	29-Apr-09	Traxcas Hellas S.A. v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/94/2	N/R	USD 1,847,813 for net fair market value of Traxcas share, plus interest of USD 824,013, i.e. principal and interest totalling USD 2,671,826 (para 50).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), Mr. Fred F. Fielding Esq., Prof. Andrea Gardina	USD 328,248 in legal, valuation and other consulting fees and services, and in document production expenses (para 50). n.b. Claimant also paid further USD 107,000 in "arbitration fees" (para 50).	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as Claimant unable to prove that expropriation had occurred or to shift the burden of proof to the Respondent (para 204).	Each party to bear its own expenses and costs of legal representation. Arbitration costs to be borne by parties equally in shares of 50% (para 207).	2 of 208	
10-Oct-13	2-Jun-18	Transglobal Green Energy, LLC and Transglobal Green Energy de Panama, S.A. v Panama (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/28)	N/A	N/A Not disclosed in the award (or on UNCTAD)	ICSID	3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), Prof. Christoph Schreuer, Prof. Jan Paulsson	USD 802,587.68 (para. 120)	USD 2,393,355.06 (para. 120)	USD 400,000 advanced in total (split between the parties), but actual costs not specified: see para. 28).	Tribunal decides it has no jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 118)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,609,532.70 including up to USD 400,000 in Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (paras. 127-130): (1) The Claimant shall pay all of the Tribunal's costs (USD 400,000 advanced); (2) The Claimant shall pay the Respondent's legal costs except for those relating to the interim applications for summary dismissal, cost-shifting and security for costs. In total, the Claimant shall pay USD 2,209,532.70, plus interest compounded interest at LIBOR + 2%. (3) The Claimants shall pay post-award interest at LIBOR + 2% compounded annually, N31	10 (paras. 120-129)	
20-Dec-04	18-Dec-08	TSA Spectrum de Argentina S.A. v. Argentina Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/5	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Judge Hans Danellius (President), Prof. Georges Ab-Saab, Mr. Grant D. Adonias	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 176).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses and pay one-half of the fees and expenses of Tribunal and Centre (para 180).	4 of 180	
11-Oct-11	10-Mar-14	Tulip Real Estate and Development Netherlands B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/28	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.72077	N/A Claims not quantified in the Award as the proceedings were bifurcated. Appears that the compensation claimed by the tribunal was USD 350,000,000 http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/414	ICSID	3. Dr. Gavan Griffith QC (President), Mr. Michael Ewan Jaffe, Professor Dr Rolf Knepper	USD 9,674,683.62 (USD 9,368,621.48 + USD 506,032.04 in connection with the Bifurcated Jurisdictional Issue) (para. 458-460)	USD 4,724,164.85 (USD 2,194,884 and EUR 1,605,082.00 + USD 300,000) (para. 461-462)	USD 1,024,847.86 (This reflects the amounts advanced by the parties. ICSID Secretariat asked to circulate detail on costs) (para. 459, 461)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para 138).	Claimant to pay Respondent USD 750,000 (page 138): 1) USD 450,000 on account of the arbitration costs incurred the Respondent; and 2) USD 300,000 on account of legal costs of the Respondent.	12 (paras 458-469)	
12-Feb-07	7-Jul-11	Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/6	N/R	Approximately USD 25,000,000 (para 85)	ICSID	3. Judd Kessler (President), Hernando Otero, Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 786,306.24 in compensation for expropriation, plus interest at US Treasury Bond rates amounting to USD 227,201.30 as at date of Award, i.e. USD 1,013,507.54 in total.	Parties bear their own costs and split the costs of the arbitration equally.	10 of 302	
8-May-09	12-Jun-12	Uyeyass Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8015351313	USD 56,100,000 in damages, plus pre-award compound interest at 15% from 1 January 2008 (para 370).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Piero Bernardini (Presiding Arbitrator), Prof. Michael Pyles, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 3,590,682.32 in legal fees plus USD 1,595,266.35 in disbursements and other expenses, i.e. USD 5,185,928.67 in total (para 355).	USD 6,287,567.44 including arbitrators' fees and expenses, administrative costs, costs of legal representation and assistance and of producing expert and witness evidence (para 361). n.b. Respondent and Claimant each paid advances to Tribunal of EUR 425,000, i.e. USD 530,233 (para 366).	EUR 701,746.93 in arbitrators' fees and expenses and Tribunal expenses; EUR 76,353.69 in PCA fees and expenses; i.e. total USD 976,763 (para 368).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent had not breached its obligations under the BIT in relation to Claimant's investment (para 351).	Each party to pay one-half of fees and expenses of Tribunal and of PCA. Claimant to reimburse Respondent's costs of legal representation and assistance of USD 2,000,000 (para 365).	18 of 372	
4/19/2000	24-May-07	United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL Award on Jurisdiction dated 22-Nov-02; Award on the Merits dated 24-May-07	N/R	USD 160,000,000 claimed by UPS in compensation for damages for Respondent's breach of its NAFTA obligations (para 120 of Award on Jurisdiction). n.b. It is possible that this includes costs for professional fees and disbursements, costs of arbitration, interest, and tax consequences, but as these were not quantified, it was not possible to exclude them from the figure for amount claimed.	UNCITRAL	3. Dean Ronald A. Cass, L. Yves Fortier QC QC, Justice Kenneth Keith (President)	N/A	N/A	USD 850,000 in total costs of the arbitration (para 188).	Respondent win. Claim rejected as a whole on merits, as Claimant had not demonstrated sufficient interest or any substantive ground which could begin to show breach by the Respondent (para. 187 + 189).	Parties to bear costs of proceedings equally and each to bear its own costs (para 188).	1 of 189	

20-Jul-07	8-Dec-08	Unsaer S.A. v Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26)	US11 - EUR 0.9411 US11 - ARJ 19.9956	USD 316,418,672 (para. 35)	ICSID	3. Andreas Bucher (President), Pedro J. Martinez-Fraga, Campbell McLachlan QC		In total, USD 4,316,138.77 but this includes the Tribunal costs and it does not clear how much these were (paras 1223-1226)	In total, USD 2,476,607.83 but this includes the Tribunal costs and it does not clear how much these were (paras 1224 and 1227)	N/A Not clear - the amount forms part of each party's costs.	The Tribunal found there to be a breach of FET but did not order the Respondent to pay compensation (para. 1234)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,647,400 (para. 1234). This amount comprises: USD 400,000 contribution to legal costs and USD 647,000 to reimburse the Claimant for its share of the Tribunal costs for the jurisdictional phase.	12 (paras. 1222-1233)
11-Jun-92	16-Feb-94	Vacuum Salt Products Limited v. Government of the Republic of Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/92/1	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Sir Robert V. Jennings (President), Charles N. Brower, Dr. Kamel Hossain		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 55).	Each party to bear its own expenses. Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre facilities to be paid by parties in equal share (para 58).	4 of 60
2-Aug-11	24-Oct-14	Valeri Belokon v Kyrgyz Republic	US11 - EUR 0.7880	USD 33,000,000 (para. 277)	UNCITRAL	3. Kaj Hober, Neel Schering, Jan Paulsson (President)		USD 2,482,482 (converted from EUR 1,958,430.08) (para. 333)	USD 750,000 (para. 181(c))	Not clear (each party advanced EUR 250,000 + USD 633,793.89 total (para. 330)).	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 15,620,000 (para. 335(c)).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,546,487.89 (para. 335). This amount comprises: (1) USD 1,229,560.15 to pay for Claimant's legal costs; (2) USD 316,896.94 to reimburse the Claimant's share of the Tribunal costs.	7 (paras 328 - 334)
9-Jul-04	16-Jan-13	Vannessa Ventures Ltd. v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/6	N/R	USD 1,045,000,000 plus compound interest (para. 105)	ICSID	3. Professor Vaughan Lowe QC (President), Hon. Charles N. Brower, Professor Brigitte Stern		N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant's claims dismissed on the merits (para. 237).	(1) Each Party to bear its own costs; and (2) Each party to pay one-half of the costs of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 234-236)
6-Sep-07	9-Oct-14	Venezuela Holdings v Venezuela (formerly Mobil v Venezuela) (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/27)	N/R	USD 14,679,000,000 (paras. 133-134)	ICSID	3. Gilbert Guillaume (President), Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Ahmed Sadek El-Koshery		N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimants win on merits in the amount of USD 1,600,942,482 (para 404 (c), (d), (f)).	The Tribunal decides (para. 403) (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras 401-403)
23-Jul-12	3-Apr-15	Yenskim Holding B.V. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/22)	N/R	N/A Not stated in the award.	ICSID	3. Yves Desautels (Chairman), Enrique Gómez Pinzón and Rodrigo Ornamano Blanco.		N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	The Tribunal decided that it lacked jurisdiction with respect to the dispute. (para. 165)	The parties shall bear its own costs and pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the services of the Centre. Given that the Claimant had paid all the advances, the Respondent shall reimburse half of the costs to the Claimant (para. 164 and 165 (2) and (3))	5 (paras. 161-164 and 165 (2) and (3))
14-Mar-08	15-Apr-16	Yestey Group Ltd. v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/4)	US11 - GBP 0.7036	USD 157,363,348 (para. 357)	ICSID	3. Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Professor Horacio Grigera Nalon, Professor Pierre-Marie Dupuy		USD 12,130,907.44 (para. 457) (USD 1,920,310.85 - USD 975,000 - USD 10,885,596.59 (converted from GBP 7,659,105.76)) Note: the figure in para. 457 includes USD 675,000 of Tribunal costs advanced by the Claimant - this is explained in para. 468.	USD 5,063,310.36 (para. 465) (USD 4,989,157.45 + USD 74,152.90)	Parties advanced USD 1,200,000 in total (para. 468).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 98,145,325 (para. 472)	Respondent ordered to pay up to USD 1,200,000 as 100% of the tribunal's costs (final tribunal costs not confirmed). The Tribunal decides (para. 472): (1) The Respondent shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs (which could amount up to USD 1,200,000); (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	22 (paras. 450-471)
7-Nov-97	13-Sep-16	Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2	US11 - EUR 0.8892	Primary claim of USD 338,300,000 (para. 109)	ICSID	3. Sir Frank Berman KCMG QC (President), Mr V. V. Veeder QC, Mr Alexis Mourre.		USD 5,136,437.69 (USD 1,662 + USD 5,133,745.69 (EUR 4,566,466.79) (para. 246)	USD 3,644,887.56 (para 246)	USD 638,037.75 (para. 253)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 256)	The Tribunal decides (para. 255) (1) Claimant to pay USD 478,528.29 (75%) as tribunal's costs and Respondent to pay USD 159,509.43 (25%); and (2) Each party to bear its own legal costs.	7 (paras 249-255)
20-Apr-98	8-May-08	Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2	US11 - EUR 0.6510831468	Claimed on 02-Nov-97: USD 500,822,969 (para. 6). Claimed in Memorial dated 17-Mar-99: USD 515,193,400 including lost profits and compound interest from 11 September 1973 until date of award and provisionally estimated as at 11 September 1999, plus moral damages (para 11). Claimed on 11-Sep-02: USD 397,347,674 including lost profits from 11 September 1973 until date of award and provisionally estimated as at 11 September 2002, plus moral damages (para 27). n.b. Unclear whether the last sum included interest, but it is assumed not. The tribunal awarded interest but did not quantify it, and so the figures taken in each case (see Column 6) both exclude interest and are corrigible.	ICSID	3. Pierre Lalive, Mohammed Chemloul and Emmanuel Gallard		EUR 8,835,996 plus USD 1,032,253 in costs of representation and solicitors and expert fees and excluding costs of proceedings, i.e. USD 14,603,478 (para. 723)	USD 4,389,111.56 in total costs excluding the costs of proceedings (para 724).	USD 4,182,524.45 in total costs of proceedings (para 731). n.b. This figure is unusually large but may be explained by the length of the proceedings.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 16,132,696.18 plus compound interest at 5% from 11 April 2002 until date of award (para 235).	Respondent to pay USD 2,000,000 towards the Claimant's costs and expenses. Costs of the proceedings to be borne 34% by Respondent (USD 3,136,893.34) and 14% by Claimants (USD 1,045,631.11), i.e. Respondent bears an extra 25% or USD 1,045,631 in arbitration costs (para 730-731).	14 of 732
18-Jul-11	1-Oct-14	Vigotop Limited v Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/22)	US11 - EUR 0.7925	Up to USD 294,447,848.53 depending on valuation method used. (converted from EUR 312.6 million, EUR 293.5 million or EUR 278.3 million (depending on the valuation methodology used) (para. 220(v))	ICSID	3. Klaus Sachs (President), Doak Bishop, Veijo Heiskanen		USD 10,951,616 (para. 635) (converted from total expenses of EUR 8,969,010.73 - Tribunal costs EUR 289,855.07)	USD 8,583,190 (para. 636)	USD 740,747.72 (paras 635-636)	Claimant loses on merits (para. 640)	The Tribunal decides (para. 639) (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras 635-639)
8-Apr-11	24-Nov-15	Vincent J. Ryan, Schooner Capital LLC, and Atlantic Investment Partners LLC v Poland (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/3)	US11 - PLN 4.0003	Declaratory relief and damages in the sum of USD 120,186,762.49 (para. 176) USD 99,092,568.06 (converted from PLN 396,400,000) + USD 545,194.43 (supplemented by interest calculated from 30 April 2012 until the date of the award) + USD 545,000 + USD 20,000,000 (para. 176)	ICSID	3. Francisco Ortega Vicuna, Claus von Wobeser, Makhdoom Ali Khan (President)		USD 7,936,487.52 (para. 513)	USD 2,320,549.48 (paras 514-515) (USD 2,270,627 + USD 49,899.66 + USD 22.82)	USD 910,614.56 (para. 512)	Respondent win on merits. Claimants not entitled to damages (para. 527(6)).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,636,447.18 (paras. 522-523) This amount comprises (paras. 522-523) (1) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,725,657.10. (2) Respondent ordered to pay USD 85,209.92 to the Claimant for the costs resulting from certain requests.	15 (paras 512-526)
12-Oct-06	15-Sep-11	Vito G. Gallo v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL	US11 - CAD 0.9872071678	CAD 104,919,250 in damages for interference with entrepreneur's use of mining site, i.e. USD 106,278,868 , plus compound interest, plus payment of compensation for tax consequences (paras 121 + 337).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), Prof. Jean-Gabriel Castel QC QC, Dr. Laurent Lévy		N/A	N/A	USD 801,274.18 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; USD 60,466.02 in fees of Administrative Assistant; USD 1,818.10 in tribunal costs including transcription services and courier deliveries etc.; USD 36,447.70 in PCA fees for registry services, i.e. USD 900,006 in total (paras 350-351).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 341).	Claimant to bear full costs of arbitration of USD 900,000, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 450,000 in reimbursement of deposit (para 354). Each party to bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 358).	17 of 359
4-Nov-04	21-Apr-06	Vladimir Bershtander and Maria Bershtander v. Russian Federation, SCC Case No. 080/2004 Award dated 21-Apr-06; Correction of the Award dated 09-Jun-06	US11 - EUR 0.8103405060 / CAD 1.1375333786 / SEK 7.5564410233	USD 13,287,147 plus interest at rate of 1700% of re-financing rate of the Central Bank of Russian Federation per day compounded quarterly (para 12).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Advokat Bengt Sivert, Prof. Sergei Lebedev, Prof. Todd Weiler		N/A	N/A	EUR 194,495; SEK 48,790 and CAD 11,872 in arbitration costs, plus EUR 26,561 and SEK 2,388 in SCC Institute fees and expenses, i.e. USD 289,882 (paras 213 + 217, as corrected).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 212).	Each Party to bear its own legal representation costs and other expenses. Arbitration costs apportioned equally (para 217).	4 of 217
26-May-05	1-Jun-09	Wagih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/15	N/R	USD 230,000,000 (USD 200,000,000 for the damages and at least USD 30,000,000 for moral damages - see para. 504) Claim for loss of expropriated property using three methodologies to establish market value of Property and Project as at date of unlawful expropriation: USD 181,350,000 (Comparable Sales Valuation), USD 191,357,357 (Residual Land Valuation), or USD 195,800,000 (Lost Business Opportunity); plus additional USD 30,000,000 in damages for construction and financing costs and costs expended in domestic legal proceedings; i.e. maximum of USD 225,800,000 in total, plus compound interest (paras 504 + 519).	ICSID	3. Mr. David A. R. Williams QC, Prof. Michael Pryles, Prof. Francisco Ortega Vicuña		USD 8,046,491.72 in fees and expenses of attorneys, testifying experts and consulting experts (para 605). n.b. This figure included USD 1,035,814.02 in LECG fees, which was discounted by the Tribunal in the costs award for being unreasonable.	USD 3,812,673.24 in fees and expenses of attorneys and experts and travel costs (para 612).	USD 365,000 paid by Claimant and USD 500,000 paid by Respondent in ICCID advances, i.e. USD 865,000 in total (paras 605 + 613).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totalling USD 74,850,794.75 in compensation, plus interest at six-month LIBOR rate compounded six-monthly from 23 May 1996 to date of payment (paras 584, 587, 593, 595 + 598). Parties to bear 50% each of Tribunal's fees and expenses and ICCID's charges (para 631). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Francisco Ortega Vicuña.	Respondent to pay USD 6,000,000 as a reasonable contribution towards Claimant's legal costs, expert witness expenses and other expenses together with interest. Tribunal reached this sum by deducting from the total amount claimed the LECG fee and a sum reflecting appropriate legal costs likely to have been incurred in briefing LECG (paras 622 + 630).	32 of 631
21-Sep-05	1-Jul-09	Walter Bau AG (in Liquidation) v. Kingdom of Thailand, UNCITRAL	US11 - EUR 0.7054016009	Claimant indicated that claim would be in the region of EUR 120,000,000, i.e. USD 169,875,040 (para 1.82).	UNCITRAL	3. The Hon. Sir Ian Barker QC (President), The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC QC QC, Jayashwanti Burnaj		EUR 3,374,596 in legal costs only, i.e. USD 4,777,164 (para 15.7). Total costs claimed including contributions to Tribunal fees and expenses and hearing costs were EUR 5,606,443, i.e. USD 7,936,623.	EUR 1,121,000 in legal costs only, i.e. USD 1,586,916 (para 15.7). Total costs claimed including contributions to Tribunal fees and expenses and hearing costs were EUR 1,993,331, i.e. USD 2,821,810.	N/A n.b. Difference between total costs claimed by both parties and total legal costs for both parties was EUR 3,104,178. However, this appears to include various undefined Party Costs (which were not included as "legal costs"), and has therefore been excluded from our calculations.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay EUR 29,210,000 in damages, i.e. USD 41,350,416 , plus interest at 6-month EURIBOR rate plus 2% for each year compounded semi-annually from 3 December 2008 until date of payment (paras 14.44 + 16.1).	Respondent to pay the EUR 1,806,560 towards Claimant's costs and expenses, i.e. USD 2,557,412 (paras 15.6 + 15.8).	N/A
27-Sep-00	30-Apr-04	Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/3	N/R	USD 36,630,000 in damages including recovery of demobilisation costs (para 74).	ICSID	3. Prof. James Crawford (President), Mr. Benjamin R. Civiletti, Mr. Eduardo Magalón Gómez		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as Respondent's conduct did not involve any breach of NAFTA Articles 1105 or 1110 (paras 140 + 178).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses. Tribunal costs and expense to be borne equally (para 184).	6 of 184
8-Nov-98	2-Jun-00	Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Bernardo Cremades, Keith Hight, Eduardo Siqueiros		N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant had breached one of the requisites of NAFTA Article 1121(2)(b) (Section IV).	Claimant to bear arbitration costs. Each party to bear costs occasioned by its own defence (Section IV).	N/A
10-Jul-98	8-Dec-00	Wena Hotels Ltd. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4	US11 - GBP 0.6909649562	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 62,820,000 in damages, to be quantified precisely during the proceeding (Section I of Summary Minutes of Tribunal Session on 25 May 1999). n.b. There were multiple costs decisions. Tribunal denied Claimant's claim for costs of jurisdictional phase earlier in proceeding. Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: GBP 45,700,000, i.e. USD 66,139,389 , plus interest, or, in the alternative, USD 8,919,466.93 (paras 119-120).	ICSID	3. Monroe Leigh, Ibrahim Fadialah, Hamzah Ahmad Haddad		N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,061,896.85 in damages, plus interest at 9% compounded quarterly from 1 December 2000 amounting to USD 11,431,386.88, bringing total award to USD 19,493,283.43 (para 127-130).	Respondent to reimburse Claimant USD 1,107,703 for the portion of its attorney's fees and costs incurred in the merits phase (para 130).	13 of 136
27-Jul-10	30-Nov-11	White Industries Australia Limited v. Republic of India, UNCITRAL	US11 - AUD 0.9763483748 / GBP 0.6368812375 / INR 52.2093881699 / SGD 1.2628307538	AUD 4,065,150 in compensation of total amount of ICC Award, plus interest at 8% rate set out in Award from 24 March 1999 amounting to AUD 4,033,397.07 as at 27 July 2010; USD 84,000 payable under ICC Award for arbitrators' fees and expenses; AUD 500,000 payable under ICC Award for costs in the arbitration; and unassessed costs incurred by Claimant in pursuing Indian court proceedings, settlement negotiations and this arbitration, i.e. principal amounts totalling USD 4,780,254, plus interest quantified at USD 4,131,104 as of 27 July 2010, bringing total principal and quantified interest to USD 8,911,358 (para 4.7.2).	UNCITRAL	3. J. William Rowley QC (Chairman), The Hon. Charles N. Brower, Christopher Lau SC		AUD 923,040.75 plus USD 52,374 for Mallesons' and Luthra & Luthra legal fees and disbursements and witness fees and expenses, i.e. USD 997,778 (para 15.1.3).	INR 15,544,279 plus GBP 477,650.44 plus USD 8,394 plus SGD 535 for Fox Mandal legal fees and expenses, counsel fees, witness fees and expenses and arbitration expenses, i.e. USD 1,956,525 (para 15.1.4).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay: AUD 4,085,180 in compensation of the total amount of the ICC Award dated 27 May 2002, plus interest at 8% from 24 March 1999 until date of payment quantified by Claimant at AUD 4,033,397.07 as of 27 July 2010; USD 84,000 payable under the ICC Award for arbitrators' fees and expenses; and AUD 500,000 payable under the ICC Award for Claimant's costs in the ICC arbitration, i.e. minimum total of USD 8,911,358 awarded (i.e. including interest on first amount up to 27 July 2010 as quantified by Claimant) (paras 3.2.33, 14.3.6 + 16.1.1).	Each party to bear its own costs, with the exception that Respondent shall pay Claimant's witness fees and expenses in the amount of AUD 86,249.82, i.e. USD 86,339 (para 15.1.3, 15.2.5 + 16.1.1).	N/A
27-May-02	9-Sep-03	William Nagel v. Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 049/2002	US11 - EUR 0.8938230006 / SEK 8.1689132047 / GBP 0.6286745290	In previous court proceedings the Claimant sought damages of USD 27,000,000 (para 16). n.b. This figure has been used in our calculations on the basis that it appears likely from paragraph 45 of the award that this sum was the subject of the Claimant's claim before the SCC.	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Mr. Hans Danielius (Chairman), Sir Martin Hunter, Prof. Dr. Herbert Koonke		USD 264,440 in "timekeeper hours" plus USD 71,958 in disbursements, i.e. USD 336,398 in total (para 340). n.b. It has been assumed that this does not include the USD 118,041 sum for experts (para 339).	USD 706,908 in "timekeeper hours" plus USD 168,010 in disbursements, i.e. USD 874,918 in total (para 340). n.b. It has been assumed that this does not include the USD 118,041 sum for experts (para 339).	EUR 161,404 plus SEK 37,996.52 plus GBP 648.10 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; EUR 20,028 for administrative fees of Arbitration Institute, i.e. USD 299,682 in total (para 344).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on jurisdiction as Claimant did not possess an asset or an investment protected under the BIT (para 335).	Claimant to reimburse 80% of Respondent's "reasonable" costs, resulting in payment of USD 400,000 to Respondent (para 338, 342-343). Claimant to bear 90% and Respondent to bear 10% of costs due to arbitrators and Arbitration Institute (para 345).	9 of 345
26-Jan-13	27-Sep-16	Windstream Energy LLC v Canada PCA Case No. 2013-22	US11 - CAD 1.3248 US11 - EUR 0.8922	USD 367,363,916.46 (primary claim - converted from CAD 486,600,000) or USD 429,216,573.05 (alternative claim - CAD 568,500,000) (para. 8)	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	3. Veijo Heiskanen (President), Doak Bishop, Bernardo Cremades		USD 4,397,763.96 (converted from CAD 5,824,864.64) (para. 487) (total costs minus arbitration costs)	USD 5,748,358.89 (converted from CAD 7,611,052.35) (para. 498) (total costs minus arbitration costs)	USD 968,727.36 (converted from EUR 810,766.55) (para. 511)	Respondent found to have breached FET standard and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 19,913,137.03 (converted from CAD 25,182,900) (para. 515(e))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 2,196,891.66 (converted from CAD 2,912,432) (para. 514) (1) 50% of the Claimant's legal costs. (2) Tribunal costs shared equally between the parties	28 (paras. 487-514)

23-Dec-03	8-Dec-08	Wintershall Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/14	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. M. Fal S. Naranjo (President), Dr. Santiago Torres Bernárdez, Prof. Piero Bernardini			N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 197).	Costs and expenses of Centre to be borne by parties in equal shares. Each party to bear its own costs incurred in the proceedings (para 198).	N/A
26-Sep-14	22-Feb-17	WNC Factoring Limited v. The Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-34	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8474 US\$ 1 = CZK 29.8028	USD 90,000,000 (with several alternative claims) (para. 58)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Dr. Casan Griffith QC (President), Professor Robert Volterra, Judge James Crawford			USD 6,644,816.66 (USD 6,117,315.06 minus USD 452,500) (includes costs of the arbitration) (paras 405, 412)	USD 1,483,776.12 (converted from CZK 35,940,599.34) (para. 409)	USD 906,000 (paras. 412, 415)	Claimant's claims dismissed as the Tribunal held that it did not have jurisdiction (page 135)	Claimant ordered to pay to the Respondent USD 1,856,276.12 (page 135) (1) USD 452,500 as Respondent's share of the costs of the arbitration, and (2) USD 1,403,776.12 (converted from CZK 35,940,599.34) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses.	21 (paras. 404-424)
16-Jun-00	4-Oct-08	World Duty Free Company v Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7	N/R	USD 500,000,000 (para. 78)	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Hon Andrew Rogers QC, and VV Veeder QC			N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimant's claim dismissed on the merits. (para. 192(1))	The Tribunal decides (paras. 190-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 189 - 191)
29-Jun-00	31-Mar-03	Yaung Chi Oo Trading Pte Ltd. v. Government of the Union of Myanmar, ASEAN I.D. Case No. ARB/01/1	N/R	N/A	ASEAN Arbitral Tribunal	3. Mr. James Crawford, M. Francis Delon, Mr. Sompong Sucharitkul (President)			N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 87).	Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and expenses of Tribunal and Secretariat to be borne equally by the parties (para 87).	1 of 87
3-Feb-05	18-Jul-14	Hukos, Veteran and Hulley v Russia (PCA Case No. AA 226)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7392 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5855	In total between the three Claimants: USD 114,174,000,000 (para. 110(3)) Hulley = USD 33,229,000,000 (para. 110(3)) Yukos = USD 4,666,000,000 Veteran = USD 16,279,000,000	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Yves Fortier (Chairman), Charles Poncet, Stephan Schwebel			USD 81,448,578.89 (para. 1847) (in total between all three Claimants)	USD 31,500,000 (para. 1856)	USD 11,406,946.88 (converted from EUR 8,440,000) (para. 1856)	Claimants win in the amount of USD 50,020,867,798 (para. 1858(7)). Hulley = USD 39,971,834,360 Yukos = USD 1,846,000,687 Veteran = USD 8,203,032,751	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 89,190,434 (para. 1858)	58 (paras 1850-1887)
5-Jul-12	16-Apr-18	Yuri Bogdanov and Yulia Bogdanova v Republic of Moldova (V), SCC Case No. V0912012	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7872 US\$ 1 = MDL 12.5208 US\$ 1 = SEK 6.6895	USD 168,953.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (paras 137-141) MDL 2,078,528.56 (MDL 1,524,145 + MDL 554,383.56)	SCC	1. Bengt Sjövall (Sole arbitrator)			N/A	N/A	USD 19,381.10 (page 31, part 6 of the award) (USD 18,705.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518))	Claimant's claims are dismissed (page 31, part 6 of the award)	The Tribunal decides: (1) each party will bear its own legal costs (paras. 248-249); and (2) Claimant shall pay USD 8,299.96 (USD 7,623.21 (converted from EUR 5,847) + USD 675.75) and Respondent shall pay USD 11,082.14 (converted from EUR 8,500) as costs of the arbitration (page 31, part 6 of the award)	6 (paras. 247-252)
24-Jun-09	30-Mar-10	Yury Bogdanov v Republic of Moldova (R), SCC Case No. V (114/2009)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7457 US\$ 1 = RON 3.0392	USD 224,113.07 (USD 217,407.96 + USD 6,705.11) (paras 36-37) RON 660,702.78 (RON 443,772.78 + RON 216,930) + EUR 5,000 as moral damages	SCC	1. Bo G.H. Nilsson (Sole Arbitrator)			N/A	N/A	USD 24,138.39 (converted from EUR 18,000 (page 22))	Respondent asked to pay USD 156,428.56 (converted from RON 475,366.41) to the Claimant (page 22)	The Tribunal decides (para. 100, page 22): (1) Each party will bear its own legal costs; and (2) Respondent to pay 2/3rd of the costs of arbitration i.e. USD 16,092.26 (converted from EUR 12,000) and Claimant to pay USD 8,046.13 (converted from EUR 6,000)	2 (paras. 99-100)
24-Jun-09	30-Mar-10	Yury Bogdanov v Republic of Moldova (R), SCC Arbitration No. V (114/2009)	US\$ 1 = MDL 12.3580086496 / EUR 0.7456655338	Principal sum of MDL 443,772.78, plus interest of MDL 216,930 as at 30 November 2009 (para 36). Further EUR 5,000 claimed as moral damages (para 37). i.e. Total sum claimed was USD 42,815 excluding interest, or USD 60,169 including interest up to 30 November 2009.	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	1. Bo G.H. Nilsson			N/A	N/A	EUR 12,500 in fees of Sole Arbitrator; EUR 500 in Tribunal's expenses for use of hearing facilities; EUR 5,000 in administrative fee of Institute; i.e. total of USD 24,138 in total (page 22).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay MDL 675,366.41, i.e. USD 38,468. Claim for moral damages was rejected. (page 22). a.b. This was the total amount awarded - it appears that the Tribunal took interest into account in fixing the total sum (para 95).	Respondent to bear 2/3 of the arbitration costs, being EUR 12,000 (USD 16,092) in total, i.e. Respondent bears an extra EUR 3,000 (USD 4,023). Each party to bear its own costs in connection with the arbitration (para 100).	2 of 100

2-Nov-2017 (RFA)	20-Aug-2017 (Decision on Rectification, original award of 08-Mar-2017)	ConocoPhillips Petrosuata B.V., ConocoPhillips Hamaca B.V. and ConocoPhillips Gulf of Paria B.V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/30	NR	USD 30,398,400,000 (para 998)	ICSID	Judge Kenneth J. Keith, replaced by Mr. Eduardo Zuleta	The Hon. L. Yves Foster	Jan Broome, replaced by Georges Abi-Saab, replaced by Professor Andreas Bucher	USD 63,810,245.57 - USD 40,267,598.50 (legal fees) + USD 18,351,572.86 (expert fees) + USD 4,791,078.21 (disbursements and other charges) (para 106). Excludes 5,903,000 (advances paid to ICSID). Costs of rectification proceedings not specified.	USD 44,981,313 - USD 2,381,199 (reimburse and arbitration costs) + USD 9,510,767 (expert fees) + USD 43,889,347 (legal fees) (para 97). Excludes USD 3,126,000 (ICSID fees). Costs of rectification proceedings not specified.	NA	Claimant win. Respondent held liable for expropriation. Claimants awarded USD 8,006,945.29 + interest (para 64 of the Rectification Award).	13-Sep-2017 (Award, Decision on Jurisdiction, and the merits)	Venezuela shall pay the Claimants (respectively the claimant company they designate) the amount of USD 20,461,000 as contribution to the Claimants' legal fees and expenses, together with simple interest at an annual rate of 3% until the date of full and final payment of this amount. Venezuela shall pay to the Claimants (respectively the claimant company they designate) the amount of USD 1,400,000 representing the advances for costs to ICSID paid by the Claimants in substitution for the Respondent, together with simple interest at an annual rate of 3% until the date of full and final payment of this amount. This amount shall be reduced by the balance refunded by ICSID to the Claimants. Except for the amounts mentioned in the two preceding paragraphs, each Party shall bear its advances for costs paid to ICSID and its legal fees and costs. Respondent must pay USD 21,861,000 altogether. (para 101)	43 (paras 986-1008)	Yes (quantum phase bifurcated)	19 September 2017 (last of the four hearings on quantum)	170 days (to rectification award)
9-June-2017	18-Feb-2017 (Award on Jurisdiction)	Consultat Group S.p.A. in Liquidazione v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, PCA No. 2017-33	NR	USD 481,243,713 (EUR 416,920,000.00) interest included (para 133)	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	Azzis Moure	Prof. Altia Tanti	Prof. Ahmed Mahouf	USD 4,263,387.88 (EUR 3,611.96 (legal fees), EUR 18,306.50 (travel fees and hearing); EUR 6,832,884.80 (legal fees); EUR 190,320.00 (Financial Expert); EUR 30,000 (Legal Expert); EUR 15,161.84 (Interest and demographic); EUR 6,080.40 (administrative fee) (para 481)	USD 3,396,724.88 (EUR 3,021,000.00) (Arbitrator Fees); EUR 11,140.38 (Tribunal fees); EUR 12,372.62 (Administrative fee) (para 478)	USD 576,294.88 (EUR 503,000.00) (EUR 496,487.00 (Arbitrator Fees); EUR 11,140.38 (Tribunal fees); EUR 12,372.62 (Administrative fee) (para 478)	Respondent wins on all claims. (para 489)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant is ordered to pay USD 100,482.56 (EUR 951,180.66 AND D20 38 502 711.35) as two thirds for legal fees and USD 191,761.62 (EUR 173,333.33) as two thirds for the Tribunal Costs. Claimant ordered to pay USD 1,122,244.18 altogether (para 495)	13 (paras 476-488)	No	23 July 2019	166 days
18-Jun-2015 (RFA)	22-Oct-2011	Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, Cortec (Pty) Limited and Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/29	NR	NA	ICSID	Hon. Ian Birnie, C.C. Q.C.	Mr. Kanaga Dhamananda, S.C.	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 3,600,000 (para 388)	USD 6,452,858.42 (para 388)	USD 645,122.28 - USD 134,893.57 (direct expenses) + USD 160,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) + USD 404,228.71 (arbitrator fees) (para 402)	Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 405)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Tribunal ordered the Claimants to pay the Respondent USD 222,861.14 for the expedited portion of the Respondent's advances to ICSID. Claimant further ordered to pay for legal costs in the sum of USD 2,228,429.21. Claimant must then pay USD 3,058,998.36 altogether (para 401, 404)	17 (paras 388-404)	No	15 January 2018	1222 days
18-Apr-2013 (RFA)	18-Jul-2010	Cuba International Fund SICAV and others v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/20	NR	USD 83,477,784.37 (converted from EUR 74,100,000) (para 44)	ICSID	Professor Vaughan Lowe	The Honourable James Jacob Spigelman	Professor Christian Tomuschat	Approx. USD 3,479,684 - USD 148,914.03 (Claimant's cost and expenses) + USD 2,310,567.41 (legal fees, converted from EUR 371,434.26) + USD 1,004,798.59 (expert fees, converted from EUR 89,262.14) (para 33). Excludes USD 525,000 (ICSID lodging fee).	USD 1,314,214.12 - USD 507,383.50 (legal fees, converted from EUR 445,296) + USD 812,800.767 (expert fees and other costs of representation, converted from EUR 722.38.4) (para 36). Excludes USD 503,072 (payments to ICSID converted from EUR 448,826.11)	USD 764,112.82 - USD 189,304.34 (direct expenses) + USD 148,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) + USD 141,398 + USD 156,344.92 + USD 129,606.76 (all arbitrator fees) (para 39)	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay USD 37,837,834 (converted from EUR 33,700,000).	13-Feb-2013 (Award on Jurisdiction, Liability and Final Decision on Damages)	The Respondent shall pay the Claimants USD 2,035,386.44 - converted from EUR 1,845,501.80 plus USD 282,500.00 (payments to ICSID) as the costs of bringing the claim. (para 46)	18 (30-47)	Yes (Award determining the precise sum payable as savings and costs)	9 October 2017	644 days
30-Mar-2018 (notice)	15-Oct-2014 (Award on Jurisdiction, Liability and Final Decision on Damages)	Christian Douderepouh and Antonios Douderepouh v. Mauritius, PCA Case No. 2018-37	NR	Approx. USD 12,821,911.86 (converted from EUR 11,600,000) (para 1)	UNCITRAL (2013 Rules)	Professor Matt Scherer	Professor Oliver Caprasse	Professor Jan Paulsson	USD 488,611 - Approx. USD 413,762 (legal fees, converted from EUR 371,434.26) + USD 35,848 (damages, converted from EUR 32,180.81) (para 241). Excludes USD 274,489 (advances on costs paid to the PCA, converted from EUR 250,000)	Approx. USD 468,878.86 (legal fees). Excludes USD 250,000 (advances on costs paid to the PCA, converted from EUR 225,000) (para 237)	USD 436,528 (converted from EUR 391,692) (para 246)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 252)	13-Nov-2014 (Award on Jurisdiction)	Each Party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance. Tribunal ordered the Claimants to bear the costs of the arbitration and pay to the Respondent its share of USD 218,164.20 (converted from EUR 190,846)	15 (paras 237-251)	Yes	12 June 2019	114 days (until decision on rectification)
27-Jun-2014 (RFA)	18-Aug-2017	EuroGas Inc. and Belmont Resources Inc. v. Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/14	NR	NA	ICSID	Professor Pierre Mayer	Professor Emmanuel Gaillard	Professor Brigitte Stern	Approx. USD 3,656,091 (precise itemization of costs between both claimants in para 463-466)	USD 6,031,684.76 - USD 5,307 (internal costs like traveling and translations, converted from EUR 4,512.65) + USD 1,765,420 (legal fees, converted from EUR 3,205,501.97) + USD 1,260,063.4 (experts and other services, converted from EUR 1,073,458.44) (para 468)	USD 606,624.71 - USD 96,000 (ICSID administrative fees) + USD 129,879 (direct expenses) + USD 49,875 (fees of assistants) + USD 288,622.38 + USD 151,789.50 + USD 160,848.19 (fees of arbitrators) (para 469)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 476)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Tribunal's fees and costs and ICSID charges apportioned as they were borne by the Parties during the proceedings, which is as follows: USD 456,262.36 by the Respondent, USD 269,332.82 by Belmont, and USD 155,029.53 by EuroGas. Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and other expenses incurred in relation to this arbitration. (para 474-475)	14 (462-475)	Yes (decision to bifurcate quantum, if necessary)	12 September 2016	330 days
2-Nov-2015 (RFA)	14-Nov-2015	ForeSight Luxembourg Solar 1 S.A.R.L., ForeSight Luxembourg Solar 2 S.A.R.L., GreenTech Energy System A/S, GWM Renewable Energy 1 S.P.A. and GWM Renewable Energy 1 S.P.A. v. Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. 2015196	NR	USD 65,837,184.07 (converted from EUR 58,200,000) (para 141)	SCC Rules of Arbitration (2010)	Dr Michael Moser	Prof Dr Klaus Michael Sachs	Dr Rafal Emilio Vinuesa	USD 6,367,160.76 - USD 5,121,447.9 (legal fees, partly converted from EUR 2,142,811.66) (para 108) (expert fees, converted from 903,632.07) + USD 223,504.58 (Claimant's costs converted from EUR 197,578.05). Excludes USD 304,429.86 (SCC payments, converted from EUR 270,000) (para 548)	USD 1,367,956.51 - USD 505,335.43 (legal fees, converted from EUR 450,000) + USD 1,000 (traveling, hearing and other expenses) (para 551). Excludes USD 305,429.86 (advance costs to SCC, converted from EUR 270,000)	USD 475,478.88 - approx. USD 403,114.25 (fees of arbitrators, partly converted from EUR 356,353) + USD 72,606.63 (administrative fee and compensation for expenses, converted from EUR 64,184.26) (para 556)	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay to the Claimants damages assessed at USD 44,117,447 (converted from EUR 39,000,000.00) + pre-award monthly interest at the rate 1.4% and post-award monthly interest at the rate of 3.5%.	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Tribunal awarded to the Claimants the amount of USD 2,074,746.74 (converted from EUR 1,800,374.73), which included the entire Cost of the Arbitration as determined by the SCC in the amount of EUR 464,653.21 and USD 18,308.81. (para 581)	15 (547-561)	No	22 January 2018	267 days
2-Aug-2012 (RFA)	19-Jul-2017 (Decision on Rectification, original award of 18-Apr-2012)	Marcu Gavazzi and Stefano Gavazzi v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/25	NR	NA	ICSID	Hans van Houfte	N.V. Veeber	Mauro Rubino Sammartino	NA (redacted)	NA (redacted)	NA (redacted)	Claimant win. Respondent violated provisions on FET and expropriation. Tribunal ordered compensation of around USD 3,463,380 (USD 2.1 million and EUR 657,000 plus interest (A Rapporter))	Decision on Jurisdiction, Admissibility and Liability, 07/31 April 2012	The claimant was reimbursed all their legal costs prior to the decision on jurisdiction, but only a third of those incurred thereafter. Romania was asked to reimburse the claimants' advance on the costs of the arbitration on a similar basis. ARReporter has learned that this costs order against Romania amounted to around EUR 1,100,000 and USD 313,000, is a total of around USD 1,490,000.	19 (207-325)	Yes (decision to bifurcate quantum, if necessary)	1 February 2016	529 days (to rectification award)
1-Jun-2015	13-Nov-2013 (Award on Jurisdiction, Liability and Final Decision on Damages)	Domingo Garcia Armas, Manuel Garcia Armas, Pedro Garcia Armas and others v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, PCA Case No. 2016-08	NR	Data not available	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Prof. José Emilio Nunes Pinto	Enrique Gómez-Peñón	Prof. Santiago Torres Bernárdiz	USD 872,622.78 - USD 782,223.37 (legal fees) + USD 91,200 (expert fees). Excludes USD 600,000 (PCA advance payments)	USD 2,766,799.46 - USD 2,605,769.46 (legal fees) + USD 171,000 (expert fees)	USD 487,445.84 - USD 335,943.32 (arbitrator's fees) + USD 97,243 (PCA fees) + USD 3,115.86 (PCA direct expenses) + USD 51,241.80 (other arbitration expenses) (para 743-744)	Decided in favour of the State. Jurisdiction declined.	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Each party shall pay its own legal fees. The Claimants were ordered to pay the costs of the arbitration proceedings, i.e. USD 487,445.84 (para 747-750)	22 (615-627, 742-750)	No	25 June 2018	336
9-Oct-2012	26-Apr-2012 (Award on Jurisdiction, Liability and Final Decision on Damages)	Serafin Garcia Armas and Karina Garcia Guber v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, PCA Case No. 2012-3	NR	USD 921,800,000 plus interests (para 151)	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Prof. Eduardo Grebler	Prof. Guido Santiago Tzani	Rodrigo Oreamuno B.	USD 7,326,782.73 - USD 7,267,953.06 + EUR 44,893 (legal fees and expenses, expert fees and other costs) (para 569). Excludes EUR 2,150,000 (arbitrator's and PCA fees and expenses)	USD 10,146,486.31 - USD 8,325,274.00 (legal fees) + USD 1,516,916.80 (expert fees) + USD 323,619.51 (other expenses) (para 555)	USD 2,924,627.72 (converted from EUR 2,273,111.75) - EUR 1,960,473.67 (arbitrator's fees) + EUR 218,778.82 (PCA fees) + EUR 1,862.22 (PCA expenses) + EUR 91,997.04 (other arbitration expenses) (para 567)	Decided in favour of the investor. USD 214,000,000 + pre and post award interest awarded on compensation.	13-Oct-2014	Respondent to bear the all the costs of the proceedings and the legal costs of the claimants, i.e. USD 10,282,308.48 (para 565)	28 (543-570)	Yes	1 August 2016	968
26-Nov-2012 (RFA)	05-Jul-2011	Georg Gavrilovic and Gavrilovic S.o.o. v. Republic of Croatia, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/39	NR	USD 231,458,031 (converted from EUR 198,197.512) (para 180)	ICSID	Dr Michael C. Pyles	Dr Stanislav A. Alexandrov	Matthias Scherer, replaced by J. Christopher Thomas GC	Approx. USD 10,096,316 - USD 7,164,751 (legal fees, converted from 6,135,376.17) + USD 2,024,216 (expert fees, converted from 1,733,336.10) + USD 807,348 (other associated costs, converted from EUR 776,962.32) (para 1303)	USD 9,642,179 - USD 8,526,648 (legal fees, converted from EUR 7,248,181.72 and HRK 530,285.51) + USD 890,482 (expert fees converted from HRK 5,643,432.71) + USD 205,000 (other associated costs, converted from HRK 1,299,622.10) (para 1304)	USD 1,981,921.86 - USD 1,541,208.03 (arbitrator's fees) + USD 202,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) + USD 158,715.82 (other direct expenses) (para 1302)	The Respondent shall pay the amount of USD 3,487,848 (converted from HRK 6,699,483.73) and EUR 1,658,900.49) by way of damages + interest. (para 1304)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall pay the Claimants an amount of USD 2,878,930.64 + interest being 30% of the Claimants' legal and other costs and 30% of the fees of ICSID and tribunal paid by the claimant. (para 1304)	26 (1298-1323)	No	7 March 2016	870 days
4-Mar-2016 (RFA)	27-Mar-2012	Blancore International A.O. and C.J. Prodeco S.A. v. Republic of Colombia (I), ICSID Case No. ARB/16/16	NR	USD 993,800,000 (para 547)	ICSID	Juan Fernández Arnesto	Oscar M. Garibaldi	J. Christopher Thomas GC	USD 16,948,148.21 - USD 16,676,266 (legal fees, partly converted from COP 368,480,503) + USD 1,604,858.49 (expert fees) + USD 84,706 (travel costs and other, partly converted from CHF 7,170.75 and ZAR 989,034.00) + USD 39,288.68 (miscellaneous costs) (para 1635). Excludes USD 625,000 (ICSID administrative costs)	USD 1,629,083.91 - USD 794,624 (expert fees) + USD 186,418 (miscellaneous costs, partly converted from COP 1,062,000) + USD 1,970,621 (legal fees, partly converted from COP 214,566,386.46) + 171,000 (travel costs, partly converted from COP 145,500,763.75) (para 1635). Excludes USD 600,000 (ICSID administrative costs)	NA	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 19,100,000 - interest (para 1687)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Colombia must reimburse Claimants (i) the Costs of the Proceedings (net of any final reimbursement by ICSID) and (ii) USD 1,692,906 as Defense Expenses, plus (iii) interest on both amounts at a rate of LIBOR for six-month deposits with a margin of 2% capitalized semi-annually from the date of this award until the date of payment. (para 1687)	31 (1631-1661)	No	28 May 2018	456 days
28-May-2016 (RFA)	27-Mar-2012	Global Telecom Holding S.A.E. v. Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/16	NR	USD 1,807,000,000 (para 203)	ICSID	Professor Georges Afari	Gary Bom	Professor Vaughan Lowe	USD 18,064,687.81 USD 14,624,241.90 (legal fees) + USD 376,890.96 (fees of consultants and local counsel) + USD 1,561,387.79 (expert fees) + USD 1,202,347.56 (other disbursements) (para 714). Excludes USD 600,000 (advances payments to ICSID)	USD 6,499,015.80 (converted from CAD 140,215.82) (para 721)	USD 995,630.73 (para 722)	Respondent win. claims dismissed on the merits. (para 731)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Each Party shall bear its legal costs and expenses without contribution by the other Party. Parties shall bear the arbitration costs in equal parts. (para 733, 734)	17 (710-726)	No	1 April 2019	361 days
1-Jul-2015 (RFA)	13-Oct-2011	GreenTech Energy Systems A/S, NovEnergie 3 Energy & Environment (SCA) SICAR, and NovEnergie 3 Italian Portfolio SA v. Italian Republic, SCC Case No. 2015/095	NR	USD 13,636,011.85 (EUR 11,900,000 - para 542)	SCC Arbitration Rules (2010)	Professor William W. Park	David R. Haigh, Q.C.	Professor Giorgio Santoro	USD 3,263,628.89 (converted from EUR 2,816,535.57) (para 578)	USD 980,969.48 (converted from EUR 862,485.68) (para 581)	USD 599,695.18 (converted from EUR 492,084) (para 586)	Respondent invited to obligations under the FET standard Respondent ordered to pay USD 13,636,011.85 (converted from EUR 11,900,000) as compensation + pre-award interest from 1 Jan 2015 at the annual LIBOR rate plus 2% per annum, compounded annually (para 594)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Respondent shall reimburse Claimants for the entirety of their contribution to the costs of the arbitration, in an amount of USD 943,076 (converted EUR 478,000). Respondent shall reimburse Claimants for one half (50%) of their legal and related expenses in the amount of USD 1,681,780 (converted from EUR 1,408,268). Respondent shall pay USD 2,145,148 altogether. (para 594)	16 (578-593)	No	26 June 2017	546 days
5-May-2017	19-Mar-2010	Grenada Private Power Limited and WRB Enterprises, Inc. v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/17/13	NR	USD 67,000,000 (XCD 180,941,000,000) (para 104)	ICSID	Hon. Ian Birnie, C.C. Q.C.	Richard Boulton, G.C.	Okunke Adesola SAN	USD 7,916,428.14 + interest (excludes advances made to ICSID) (para 363)	USD 6,676,687.99 (includes advances made to ICSID) (para 363)	USD 479,944.78 (para 364)	The Respondent is to pay the Claimants compensation assessed at USD 66,477,882 (para 365). Respondent is to pay pre-award and post-award interest.	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Tribunal held Respondent must pay USD 6,676,687.99. Respondent obliged to reimburse the Claimants for advances to ICSID in the sum of USD 239,972.37. The Respondent obliged to pay the Claimants' Attorney's Fees and Disbursements in the sum of USD 6,333,142.51 (reduction by 20%). (para 366)	17 (363-379)	No	17 June 2019	277 days
4-Dec-2014 (RFA)	26-Apr-2010	Griffin v. Poland (GPF GP S.A. v. Poland), SCC Case No. 2014168	NR	USD 17,908,416 (converted from EUR 16,350,384.49) (para 160)	SCC Arbitration Rules (2010)	Prof. Gabriele Kaufmann-Kahler	Sir David R Williams, KNZM QC	Prof. Philippe Sands, QC	USD 3,896,192 (converted from EUR 3,542,543.21 and GBP 12,100). Includes advances to SCC (para 281)	USD 576,317 (converted from GBP 7,232 and PLN 8,812,827.13) (excludes advances to SCC (para 586)	USD 727,280 (converted from EUR 664,006.48) (para 596-597)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits.	15-Feb-2017 (Award on Jurisdiction not published)	The Claimant shall reimburse the Respondent's legal fees and expenses in the amount of PLN 1,434,300.28 and contribute PLN 850,000 towards the Respondent's Party Costs. The Claimant shall also pay to the Respondent USD 644,893 (PLN 2,284,300.28). (para 606)	26 (581-606)	Yes	17 September 2019	224 days
	05-Oct-2011 (Award on Jurisdiction in Spanish)	Enrique Heemsen and Jorge Heemsen v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, PCA Case No. 2017-18	NR	NA	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Yves Derans	Enrique Gómez-Peñón	Brigitte Stern	USD 2,832,384.17 - USD 38,797.17 (representations and witness expenses) + USD 2,482,471 (legal fees and experts) (para 447)	USD 3,308,428.59 - USD 3,278,540.00 (legal fees) + USD 29,888.59 (representations and witness expenses) + USD 5,958.68 (refundable costs) (para 447)	USD 376,969.45 - USD 232,907.38 (arbitrator's fees and expenses) + USD 62,450 (PCA administrative fees) + USD 82,807 (direct expenses) (para 445)	Decided in favour of the State. Jurisdiction declined.	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Claimant was ordered to pay the legal costs of the proceeding and a part of the legal fees of the Respondent in an amount equivalent to the expenses incurred by the Claimant itself in its legal representation, in light of the fact that the Respondent's expert fees were not reasonable) plus interest - USD 2,918,886.59 (para 455).	11 (444-454)	Yes	18 March 2019	225
8-May-2013 (notice)	18-Mar-2012	I.C.W. Europe Investments Limited v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2012-42	NR	USD 1,787,778.80 (Czk 39,200,000) + interest (para 125)	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Professor Dr. Hans van Houfte	Najmond Urak Bekiro, replaced by Gary Bom, replaced by John Beechey CBE	Gary Lantieri GC	USD 132,838.87 (converted from EUR 207,569.87) (para 644)	USD 1,265,993 - converted from EUR 1,146,817) (para 651)	USD 198,967.87 (para 666-671)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 683)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall bear 25% and the Claimant shall bear 75% of the costs of arbitration (Claimant would thus pay USD 99,482.89 more). The PCA shall therefore reimburse to the Respondent the unexpended balance of the deposit in the amount of EUR 1,060.00. The Claimant is requested to reimburse to the Respondent the amount of EUR 48,180.58 within six weeks of this Award. Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation, legal fees and expenses. It would be in the interests of justice to reduce the Respondent's costs by 15%. The Claimant should pay the sums of USD 2,975,017 and USD 470,864 (GBP 903,241.20) to the Respondent in respect of the Respondent's costs and expenses of arbitration. The Claimant should pay USD 3,445,881 altogether. (para 338)	38 (644-682)	No	27 February 2017	807 days
24-Jul-2015 (notice)	28-Mar-2011	Indian Metals & Ferro Alloys Ltd v. Republic of Indonesia, PCA Case No. 2015-40	NR	USD 99,140,000-99,150,000 (para 66)	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Reel Kaplan CBE QC 385	The Honourable James Spigelman AC QC	Professor Muthucumaraswamy Sornarajah	USD 4,246,268 (para 329)	USD 3,600,629 (para 330)	USD 1,167,916 (converted from GBP 849,993.48) (para 324-327)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 341)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall bear 75% of the costs of arbitration (Claimant would thus pay USD 99,482.89 more). The PCA shall therefore reimburse to the Respondent the unexpended balance of the deposit in the amount of EUR 1,060.00. The Claimant is requested to reimburse to the Respondent the amount of EUR 48,180.58 within six weeks of this Award. Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation, legal fees and expenses. It would be in the interests of justice to reduce the Respondent's costs by 15%. The Claimant should pay the sums of USD 2,975,017 and USD 470,864 (GBP 903,241.20) to the Respondent in respect of the Respondent's costs and expenses of arbitration. The Claimant should pay USD 3,445,881 altogether. (para 338)	19 (322-340)	No	8 August 2018	235 days

9-May-2014 (RFA)	02-Aug-2019	Infrared Environmental Infrastructure QP Limited and others v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSD Case No. ARB/1412	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8669 US\$ 1 + GBP 0.8247	USD 84,130,468 (EUR 75,700,000) (para 513)	ICSD	Stephen L. Drymer	Professor William W. Park	Professor Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 6,345,990.28 (partly converted from EUR 4,949,247.57 and GBP 106,182.31) (para 606)	USD 1,894,939 (EUR 1,704,438.11) (para 608)	USD 1,385,511.40 (para 614)	Claimant win. Tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay the Claimants compensation in the amount of USD 31,326,816 (EUR 28,200,000), plus pre-award interest plus post-award interest. (para 619)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Respondent to bear its own legal costs and to pay Claimants the costs of the arbitration incurred by Claimants in a total amount of USD 662,753.77, as well as an amount equivalent to 66.66 % of the legal costs (approx. USD 4,230,004), plus post-award interest at the rate of 2% compounded annually from the date of this Award to the date of payment. The Respondent should pay USD 4,873,367.7 altogether.	13 (006-618)	No	24 April 2017	830 days	
30-Oct-2014 (RFA)	05-Mar-2020	Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v. Romania [II], ICSD Case No. ARB/1429	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8520 US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7747 US\$ 1 + RON 4.2666	912.80 mln RON (211,806,000 USD) (para 225)	ICSD	Professor Donald McRae	Dr. Michael Pryles, replaced by John Beechey CBE	Professor John Crook	USD 11,789,913.83 (para 450) (Excludes USD 431,784.97 fees to ICSD)	USD 6,667,604.03 (para 452)(Excludes USD 431,784.97 fees to ICSD)	USD 863,569.94 (para 463)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits.	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Claimants shall bear 75% of the costs of the arbitration, and 25% of the costs of the Respondent's legal fees and expenses, and shall therefore pay to the Respondent USD 215,882.40 corresponding to 50% of the expected portion of the Respondent's advances to ICSD) and USD 337,500, RON 13,917,688.54, and EUR 1,303,368.55 (corresponding to 75% of the Respondent's legal fees and expenses). Claimant must therefore pay approx. USD 2,563,344 - interest (para 466)	16 (450-465)	No	21 January 2019	408 days	
16-Feb-2016 (RFA)	22-Mar-2019	Salpa Corporation v. Oriental Republic of Uruguay, ICSD Case No. ARB/1619	N/A	USD 82,880,000 + pre-award and post-award interest (para 212 of Claimant's memorial of 16-Sep-2016)	ICSD	Rodrigo Oreamuno	John Beechey CBE	Prof. Zachary Douglas, G.C.	USD 8,448,882.28 (para 287)	USD 5,652,876.74 (para 288)	USD 666,814.83 (para 292)	Respondent win, claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 300)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Respondent to bear the costs of the arbitration, including the Respondent's legal fees and expenses, as well as the Respondent's legal and expert fees and expenses incurred in connection with this arbitration, assessed in the amount of USD 9,886,344.17. In particular, tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay the Respondent the amount of USD 332,507.42 for the expected portion of Uruguay's advances to ICSD and USD 5,552,836.75 to cover Uruguay's legal and expert fees and administrative expenses. (para 294)	13 (287-299)	No	13 November 2017	480 days	
13-Mar-2017 (RFA)	09-Jul-2020	Hissatuna Iraq LLC and others v. Republic of Iraq, ICSD Case No. ARB/1710	N/A	N/A	ICSD	Sr Daniel Bethlehem, G.C.	Dr Wolfgang Peter	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 2,391,143.27 (para 246)	USD 1,173,330.24 (para 246)	USD 998,327.84 (para 259)	Respondent win, claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 263)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Tribunal awards costs to an amount of USD 897,283.41 to be paid by the Claimants to the Respondent - 41.70% of total legal costs and arbitration costs of Respondent (para 264).	19 (243-261)	Yes (but case dismissed on jurisdiction)	2 October 2018	548 days	
29-Sep-2016 (notice)	09-Jun-2020	Joshua Dean Nelson and Jorge Blanco v. United Mexican States, ICSD Case No. UNCT/1711	N/A	USD 472,148,929 + pre-award + post-award interest (para 19)	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Dr Eduardo Zúñiga	V.V. Veeder, QC	Mariano Gomezperaza Casali	USD 5,831,160.63 (para 386)	USD 1,975,014.36 (para 388)	USD 948,375.83 (para 392)	Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 396)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant should (a) bear the arbitrator's fees and expenses and ICSD's administrative fees and direct expenses, for a total amount of USD 848,279.02; (b) bear 80% of the costs of Respondent in the amount of USD 1,580,011.46; and (c) bear his own costs. Claimant should pay USD 2,528,368.82 altogether (para 395)	10 (388-395)	No	22 April 2019	409 days	
24-Jun-2013 (RFA)	11-Oct-2017	Jürgen Wirtgen, Stefan Wirtgen, Gisela Wirtgen and J&W Solar (sew) GmbH & Co. KG v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-03	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8442	Approx. USD 22,520,728.68 (converted from approx. EUR 19,012,000) (para 144)	UNCITRAL	Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler	Gary Born	Judge Peter Tomka	N/A (redacted)	N/A (redacted)	N/A (redacted)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (para 483)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Tribunal decided to follow the BIT's guidance, which provided that each party should pay the costs of the member of the tribunal it supported, as well as half of the chair's and the arbitrator's costs. Although allowed to depart from this rule, the Tribunal saw no reason to do so. Each party was also left to bear their own legal fees. Particular sums related: (para 483)	13 (475-482)	No	9 May 2016	520 days	
16-Jan-2013	22-Aug-2017	Karkey Karadzemi Elektrik Uretim A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSD Case No. ARB/1311	US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7419	USD 1,482,200,000 + interest (para 276)	ICSD	Yves Derams	Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naon	Sr David A.O. Edward	USD 21,618,843.76 (para 1030)	USD 13,007,097 (converted from GBP 10,372,878.77) (para 1047)	USD 1,217,540.67 (para 1077)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 490,388,182 + interest (para 1081)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Pakistan to pay Karkey the amount of USD 10,000,000 as contribution to Karkey's legal costs and expenses. Pakistan to pay Karkey USD 346,385.17 as reimbursement for 50% of Karkey's share of the costs of the arbitration. Respondent to pay USD 10,000,000 as contribution to Karkey's legal costs and expenses. (para 1081)	64 (1017-1080)	No	29 February 2016	340 days	
28-Jun-2011	11-Apr-2018 (decision)	Koch Minerals Sari and Koch Nitrogen International Sari v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSD Case No. ARB/1119	US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7172 US\$ 1 + USD 0.9117	USD 672,400,000 (para 9, 129)	ICSD	V.V. Veeder, QC	Hon. Marc Lalonde PC, QC, QC	Justice Florentino Feliciano replaced by Professor Zachary Douglas QC	USD 22,888,113.86 (excludes ICSD fees of USD 650,000) (para 11, 18)	USD 10,389,280.39 (excludes ICSD fees of USD 650,000) (para 11, 18)	USD 1,287,872.87 (para 11, 18)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 366,992,000 + compound interest. (para 12, 3-12, 5 + para 74 of final decision on rectification)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Claimants shall recover from the Respondent the total sum of USD 17,436,085.10 (20% reduction) as Legal Costs and the total sum of USD 928,836.43 as Arbitration Costs (borne fully by Respondent). Respondent shall pay USD 10,004,918.53 altogether (para 11, 20)	12 (11,15-11,26)	No	9 June 2016 (third hearing following rectification of the Tribunal)	872 days (until decision on rectification)	
1-Jul-2014 (RFA)	02-Jul-2018	Krediet Ltd. v. Ukraine, ICSD Case No. ARB/1417	N/A	N/A	ICSD	Professor Albert Jan van den Berg replaced by Prof. Dr. August Reisch	Dr. Markus Wirth	Dr. Gavran Griffin QC	N/A	N/A (referred to)	USD 627,423.33 (para 742)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (para 745)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Tribunal awards costs to an amount of USD 627,423.33 to be paid by the Parties shall bear the costs of the proceedings in equal shares. Respondent shall reimburse Claimant the amount of USD 312,711.67 corresponding to its share of the costs of the proceedings advanced by Claimant. (para 742-744)	26 (719-744)	No	4 September 2017	301 days	
15-Aug-2012 (RFA)	08-Aug-2019	Lao Holdings N.V. v. Lao People's Democratic Republic, ICSD Case No. ARB(AF)/1216	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8583	USD 1,980,000,000 (para 69)	ICSD Additional Facility	The Honourable Ian Binnie, C.C., G.C.	Professor Bernard Hanousek	Professor Brigitte Stern	N/A - particular numbers not specified. USD 20,829,951.36 were costs for the case at hand and the parallel case Samun v Laos together (para 281)	USD 1,487,483.72 (para 290)	USD 1,738,789 (para 291)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 293)	11 (4-20-2014)	The Claimant's advance payments for a total of US \$1,214,969 and the Respondent made advances for a total of US \$841,822.95. The Tribunal orders the Claimant LHMV to bear the arbitration costs of the ICSDI proceedings. Accordingly, the Tribunal orders the Claimant to pay the Respondent USD 481,622.88 for the expected portion of the Respondent's advances to ICSDI. The Claimant shall pay the Respondent USD 481,622.88 in arbitration costs and USD 1,487,483.72 for the Respondent's legal representation costs and expenses. The Respondent must pay USD 1,488,168.87 (para 294)	12 (281-292)	Yes	3 September 2018	331 days	
31-Mar-2014 (notice)	11-Sep-2018	Louli Dreyfus Amateurs SAS v. The Republic of India, PCA Case No. 2014-26	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8632 US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7000	USD 36,155,825 plus interest (para 95)	UNCITRAL (1976 Rules)	Jean E. Kalicki	Prof. Julian D.M. Lew QC	I Christopher Thomas QC	USD 3,735,960 (partly converted from EUR 2,032,032.39 and GBP 624,408.35) (para 428)	USD 9,467,162.64 (para 430)	USD 1,345,214.28 (para 437)	Respondent win. Majority of claims dismissed due to lack of jurisdiction, the rest dismissed on the merits. (para 447-451)	22-Jun-2015	90% of Tribunal and PCA costs to be borne by investor. 70% of respondent's legal costs to be borne by investor. DA is ordered to pay India (a) USD 540,885.30, towards India's share of the Tribunal and PCA costs of arbitration, and (b) USD 6,026,971.85, towards India's costs and expenses of legal representation and assistance. Investor shall pay USD 7,467,857.15 altogether (para 444, 445, 454)	28 (427-454)	Yes	27 November 2017	284 days	
21-Oct-2016 (RFA)	08-Mar-2020	Lideronc, S.L. v. Republic of Peru, ICSD Case No. ARB/1719	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8837	N/A	ICSD	Jan Paulsson	Francisco Gonzalez de Cosío	Hugo Pascual	USD 4,382,346 (partly converted from EUR 3,609,895.49) (para 279)	USD 2,117,890.54 (para 279)	USD 929,402.83 (para 279)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (para 283)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Tribunal ordered the Claimant to reimburse to the Respondent 60% of the latter's contribution to the costs of the arbitration, as well as 60% of the cost of presenting its defense. Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 4,006,516.64 (para 282, 283)	6 (278-282)	Yes (for quantum, but case dismissed on the merits)	19 September 2019	169 days	
28-Jul-2017 (RFA)	08-Apr-2020	Lotus Holding Anonim Şirketi v. Turkmenistan, ICSD Case No. ARB/1730	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8253	USD 71,636,686.37 (partly converted from EUR 51,702,697.9) (para 88)	ICSD	Professor Vaughan Lowe QC	James H. Boykin	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 168,184.68 (para 202, 203)	USD 952,166.33 (para 204)	USD 291,760.86 (para 211)	Claims dismissed under ICSDI Arbitration Rule 41(5), for being manifestly without legal merit. (para 214)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's legal fees and disbursements in the sum of USD 383,108.86 (para 214)	13 (201-213)	No	17 September 2018	202 days	
14-Jul-2017 (RFA)	13-May-2019	Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kinyua Kft and Invidia Zrt v. Hungary, ICSD Case No. ARB/1727	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8288 US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7786 US\$ 1 + HK\$ 303.9017	USD 19,696,302 (converted from EUR 17,900,000) (para 84)	ICSD	Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler	Dr. Stamer A. Alexandrov	Dr. Inka Hanefeld	USD 974,440.85 (para 438)	N/A	USD 664,448.78 (para 436)	Claimant win (exceptation). The Respondent shall pay to the Claimants compensation for the expropriation in the amount of USD 7,866,223.69 (EUR 7,148,824) plus interest. (para 441)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall reimburse the Claimants for the costs of the arbitration in the amount of USD 280,224.40, for the ICSDI lodging fees in the amount of USD 20,000.00 and for the Claimants' legal costs (50%) in the amount of USD 489,220 (converted from GBP 286,456, EUR 19,473 and HK\$ 28,485,588.9), i.e. the Respondent shall pay USD 798,444.83 altogether + interest (para 441)	8 (433-440)	No	27 May 2019	170 days	
N/A	06-Jul-2018	Marfin Investment Group v. The Republic of Cyprus, ICSD Case No. ARB/1827	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8539	USD 1,211,544,702 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,000) (para 178)	ICSD	Bernard Hanousek	Janet M. Price	David A. O. Edward QC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (para XXV)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Respondent to be paid the amount of USD 6,898,186.10 (converted from EUR 5,000,000) as compensation for Respondent's reasonable costs in the arbitration. (para XXV)	1 (Part XXV)	No	8 March 2017	805 days	
4-Feb-2014 (RFA)	29-Nov-2018 (decision on rectification, costs award dated 13-Mar-2018)	Masdar Solar & Wind Cooperatief S.A. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSD Case No. ARB/1411	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8489	USD 294,637,693.76 (converted from EUR 250,000,000) (para 592)	ICSD	John Beechey CBE	Gary Born	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 4,054,191.24 (para 684-686)	USD 2,496,256.39 (converted from EUR 2,421,873.58 minus advances to ICSDI) (para 688)	USD 1,161,994.89 (para 691)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Claimant damages in amount of USD 78,616,498.71 (converted from EUR 64,500,000) + interest. (para 697)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall reimburse the Claimants for the costs of the arbitration, including the Respondent's legal fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal and the charges for the use of the facilities of the Centre shall be borne equally between the Parties. (para 697) Respondent to pay the costs of rectification proceedings in the amount of USD 78,616,498.71 (para 696) of the rectification award. The Tribunal awards costs to an amount of USD 1,161,994.89 to be paid by the Respondent to the Claimant. (para 697)	13 (684-696)	No	19 September 2016	802 days	
30-Apr-2012 (RFA)	08-Mar-2018 (Award)	Mercer International Inc. v. Government of Canada, ICSD Case No. ARB(AF)/1213	US\$ 1 + CAD 1.2884	USD 179,928,648.98 (converted from CAD 232,000,000) + interest (para 2.69)	ICSD Additional Facility	V.V. Veeder	Professor Francisco Ortega Vicuña	Professor Zachary Douglas QC	USD 12,466,667 (excluding advances to ICSDI of USD 425,000) (para 9.4)	USD 7,066,279 (excluding advances to ICSDI of USD 425,000) (para 9.7)	USD 762,988.82 (para 9.12)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Tribunal awards costs to an amount of USD 78,616,498.71 (para 696) of the rectification award. The Tribunal awards costs to an amount of USD 1,161,994.89 to be paid by the Respondent to the Claimant. (para 697)	18 (9.1-9.18)	No	21 July 2015	959 days	
15-May-2014 (RFA)	21-May-2018 (Award on Costs)	NextEra Energy Global Holdings B.V. and NextEra Energy Spain Holdings B.V. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSD Case No. ARB/1411	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8666	USD 881,639,228.38 (converted from EUR 821,400,000) (para 952 of Decision of 12 March 2018)	ICSD	Professor Donald McRae	The Honourable L. Yves Fortier, P.C., C.C., O.Q., G.C.	Professor Laurence Bosson de Chazournes	USD 16,828,693 (including advances to ICSDI of USD 468,000) (para 21, 22)	USD 3,466,435.87 (including advances to ICSDI of EUR 439,402.10) (para 25, 26)	USD 635,234.16 (para 29)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 214,113,316.86 (EUR 200,000,000) + pre-judgment and post-judgment interest in compensation. (para 37)	22-Mar-2019	Respondent shall pay Claimants the amount of USD 12,466,667 (including advances to ICSDI of USD 425,000) (para 9.4) + interest at the rate of 5% per annum. Respondent shall pay Claimants the amount of USD 1,042,153.33 (partly converted from EUR 1,042,153.33), representing one-third of the Claimant's costs in this arbitration. Respondent shall pay USD 6,441,749.86 altogether (para 37)	18 (19-36)	No	12 December 2016	784 days	
9-May-2015 (RFA)	15-Feb-2019	Novenergia II - Energy & Environment (SCA), SICAR v. Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. 063/2015	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8111 US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7111 US\$ 1 + SEK 7.9816	USD 76,619,785 (converted from EUR 61,300,000) (para 399)	ICC Arbitration Rules	Johan Sidbek	Professor Antonio Cheliovato	Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda-Arce	USD 3,599,286.20 (converted from GBP 7,194.25, EUR 3,004,417.58 and SEK 297,863.00) (para 448, 449)	USD 1,137,165.81 (converted from EUR 1,188,125.52) (para 460, 461)	USD 703,549 (para 653)	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 66,533,916 (EUR 53,300,000) as damages + interest (para 800)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall pay the Claimant the full costs of the arbitration, including the Respondent's legal fees and expenses, in the amount of USD 1,246,537 (EUR 2,000,000). (para 800)	12 (848-859)	No	12 June 2017	248 days	
1-Jul-2014 (RFA)	05-May-2018	Olin Holdings Limited v. State of Libya, ICC Case No. 2035/MCP	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8589	USD 122,418,818 (converted from 104,900,000) (para 72)	ICC	Nayle Conant-Blatt	Roland Zipse	brahim Fadallah	USD 1,864,438.23 (para 535-537)	USD 233,399 (not including arbitration costs not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-542)	USD 773,000 (para 548)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay the Respondent pay the Claimant the amount of USD 21,288,826 (EUR 16,225,000) as compensation. (para 552)	28-Jun-2016 (not public)	Respondent shall pay damages to Claimants amounting to USD 29,300,000 + interest. (para 746)	Claimants shall bear 20% and Respondent 75% of the total costs of arbitration as set by ICSDI (para 552). Respondent shall thus pay Claimants USD 280,744.59. Respondent shall reimburse Claimants USD 2,208,586.56, representing 75% of the expenses of Claimants. Respondent shall thus pay USD 2,489,331.15 altogether (para 551)	16 (535-550)	Yes	3 July 2017	325 days
31-Jul-2015	6-Sep-2019	Operafund Eco-Invest SICAV PLC and Schwab Holding AG v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSD Case No. ARB/1506	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8466 US\$ 1 + CHF 0.9042	USD 46,400,000 (EUR 42,000,000) (UNCTAD)	ICSD	Professor Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel	Prof. Miklaj. Dr. August Reisch, LL.M.	Prof. Philippe Sandt, G.C.	USD 2,971,264 (converted from EUR 2,634,758.49 and CHF 26,800.10) (para 724)	USD 1,232,002.12 (converted from EUR 1,541,677.39 minus advances to ICSDI) (para 724)	USD 1,042,994.37 (para 740)	Respondent shall pay damages to Claimants amounting to USD 29,300,000 + interest. (para 746)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimants shall bear 20% and Respondent 75% of the total costs of arbitration as set by ICSDI (para 552). Respondent shall thus pay Claimants USD 280,744.59. Respondent shall reimburse Claimants USD 2,208,586.56, representing 75% of the expenses of Claimants. Respondent shall thus pay USD 2,489,331.15 altogether (para 551)	23 (723-745)	No	11 June 2018	452 days	
3-Jan-2017	29-Aug-2019 (Award on Costs)	Ortiz Construcciones y Proyectos S.A. v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSD Case No. ARB/1711	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8213 US\$ 1 + DZD 139.3396	USD 50,246,482.93 (EUR 48,291,145) + interests of 3.75% starting at the publication of the award (para 131)	ICSD	Dr Laurent Levy	L Yves Fortier, P.C., C.C., O.Q., G.C.	Bernard Hanousek	USD 1,811,283.48 (EUR 1,668,661.77) - including legal and expert fees (para 430)	USD 2,275,477.31 - DA 22,762,248.37 (legal fees); USD 1,315,740.13 (legal fees); DA 100,153,549.39 (expert fees) (para 431)	USD 588,722.79 - USD 534,098.47 (arbitrator's fees); 158,000 (administrative fees); USD 76,624.23 (direct fees) (para 438)	Respondent win, as the tribunal declared itself incompetent (para 441)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Each party shall bear one half of the arbitration costs. The Claimant is to pay the Respondent USD 111,497 (one third of total fees for the jurisdictional phase). Each party to bear one half of the arbitration costs.	12 (429-440)	No	11 March 2019	415 days	
30-Apr-2008 (RFA)	27-Sep-2019	Perenco Ecuador Ltd. v. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petroleos del Ecuador (Petroecuador), ICSD Case No. ARB/0816	N/A	USD 1,423,000,000 (para 60)	ICSD	Thomas Bingham, replaced by H.E. Judge Peter Tomka	Charles N. Brower, replaced by Neil Kaplan, C.B.E., QC, SBS	I Christopher Thomas, QC	USD 97,823,332 (para 968)	USD 27,164,094.41 (para 969)	USD 9,504,063.73 (para 1028)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 384,380,883 - pre-award and post-award interest (includes sums deducted due to counterclaim). (para 1023)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Costs of the proceedings shall be borne equally by the Parties. The Republic of Ecuador shall pay to Perenco Ecuador Limited the amount of USD 23,000,000.00 as contribution to Claimant's legal fees and costs related to the principal claimant investor shall pay the Respondent the amount of USD 6,325,782.76. Respondent shall pay USD 16,723,847 (para 1023)	56 (967-1021)	Yes	9 November 2015	1418 days	
8-May-2013 (notice)	14-May-2019	Photovoltaic Knopf Betriebe GmbH v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-21	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8264 US\$ 1 + CHF 0.9039	USD 1,787,776.79 (CHF 39,200,000) (para 124)	UNCITRAL	Professor Dr. Hans van Houfte	Raymond Oka Bishop, replaced by Gary Born, replaced by John Beechey CBE	Toby Landau QC	USD 235,990.71 (para 605)	USD 1,248,817 (para 612)	USD 222,891 (para 605-631)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 643)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	The Respondent shall bear 25% and the Claimant shall bear 75% of the costs of arbitration. The PCA shall therefore reimburse to the Respondent the unexpended balance of the report in the amount of USD 1,223 (converted from EUR 1,090.03). The Claimant is requested to reimburse to the Respondent the amount of USD 65,110.91 (converted from EUR 49,180.86) within six weeks of this Award.	38 (605-642)	No	27 February 2017	807 days	
26-Nov-2014 (RFA)	28-Sep-2017 (Award on Costs)	PL Holdings S.a.r.l. v. Poland, SCC Case No. 2014163	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8489 US\$ 1 + GBP 0.7392 US\$ 1 + PLN 3.9932	USD 915,899,176 (PLN 1,888,413,217) (para 17 of Partial Award)	ICC Arbitration Rules	Professor George A. Bermann	Professor Julian D. M. Lew	Michael E. Schneider	USD 9,613,216.08 (para 99)	USD 2,029,288.87 (para 60)	USD 492,896 (para 53)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 178,453,968 (para 64)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant and Respondent shall bear in equal portions the total cost of the Arbitration. Respondent shall reimburse Claimant the sum of USD 4,122,983 (EUR 3,000,000) of the costs and fees. (para 64)	12 (52-63)	No	11 July 2016	444 days	
8-Jun-2015 (notice)	20-Apr-2019 (Award on Jurisdiction)	Dawood Rawat v. Republic of Mauritius, PCA Case No. 2016-20	US\$ 1 + EUR 0.8148	USD 1,000,000,000 (para 2)	UNCITRAL	Professor Lucy Reed	Jean-Christophe Honiet	Professor Vaughan Lowe QC	USD 217,827.49 (para 189)	USD 723,973.63 (190)	USD 368,279 (converted from EUR 300,000) (para 191, 192)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 195)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Each party shall bear one half of the arbitration costs. The Claimant is to pay the Respondent USD 111,497 (one third of total fees for the jurisdictional phase). Each party to bear one half of the arbitration costs.	7 (188-194)	No	16 January 2018	80 days	
7-Jul-2016 (RFA)	05-May-2020	Raymond Charles Eyre and Montreal Developments (Private) Limited																	

22-Oct-2013 (RFA)	11-Dec-2013	REEF Infrastructure (G.P.) Limited and REEF Pan-European Infrastructure Two Lux S.A. r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/30	USD 496,894,499.94 (EUR 448,000,000) (para 51) of the Decision on Responsibility and on the Principles of Quantum)	ICSID	Professor Alain Polet	Professor Robert Volterra	Professor Pedro Niskanen	USD 6,889,816.91 (para 70)	USD 3,170,376.31 (para 76)	NA	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay a sum of USD 6,104,703 (converted from EUR 59,600,000) as compensation + interest. (para 81)	Each Party shall bear its legal and other expenses. The fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal and the charges for the use of the facilities of the Centre shall be borne equally between the Parties	11 (79-80)	Yes	20 March 2017	996 days
25-May-2012 (notice)	20-Nov-2017	Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Europe v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/13	USD 115,100,000 (para 63) of the Decision on Liability)	ICSID	Professor Dr. Klaus M. Sachs	The Honorable Charles N. Brower	Gabriel Botin	USD 5,075,000 - 5,075,000 (excludes advances to ICSID)	USD 6,000,000 - 7,000,000 (para 64)	USD 1,363,189.99 (para 62)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 29,600,000 - pre-award and post-award interest (para 72)	Respondent shall bear the costs of the arbitration in full. Consequently, Respondent shall reimburse Claimant with an amount of USD 1,303,189.99. Respondent shall further bear two thirds of the costs incurred by Claimant in connection with this arbitration proceeding and thus reimburse Claimant with an amount of USD 4,634,532.05. Respondent shall pay USD 6,072.84 altogether (para 72)	17 (55-71)	No	2 February 2015	1032 days
14-Aug-2013 (notice)	26-Aug-2013	Sanum Investments Limited v. Lao People's Democratic Republic, UNCTRAL, PCA Case No. 2013-13	USD 1,500,000,000 (para 67)	UNCTRAL	Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda	Professor Bernard Hanicouk	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 10,199,872.71 for legal work prior to the Settlement of 19 June 2014, later costs not specified. (para 206)	USD 1,313,282.31 (para 251, 261)	USD 1,772,871.86 (para 262)	Respondent win. Case dismissed on the merits.	No separate decision on jurisdiction	13 (251-263)	No	3 September 2016	337 days
12-Jul-2018 (notice)	27-Sep-2018	Jin Hee Seo v. Republic of Korea, HKIAC Case No. 18117	USD 3,000,000 (notice of arbitration, p. 14 and 15)	UNCTRAL	Judge Bruno Simma	Dr. Benny Lo	Professor Donald McRae	NA	NA	NA	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para VIII.)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	14 (170-183)	No	31 July 2019	423 days
3-Aug-2013 (RFA)	31-Jul-2013	SoEls Badajoz GmbH v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/38	USD 106,610,382.68 (para 6)	ICSID	Judge Juan L. Dominguez	Dr. Stamen A. Alexandrov, replaced by Sir David A.R. Williams KJMB, QC	Anna Joubin-Bret, replaced by Professor George Sacerdoti	USD 3,348,129.48 (para 560)	USD 1,127,929.31 (para 565)	USD 714,912.16 (para 569)	Respondent shall pay Claimant compensation in the amount of USD 65,426,273.31 (para 576)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	16 (560-575)	No	26 June 2018	400 days
30-Apr-2013 (notice)	22-Nov-2018	South American Silver Limited v. Bolivia, PCA Case No. 2013-15	USD 207,200,000 - pre-award and post-award interest (para 106 of Claimant's post-hearing brief)	UNCTRAL	Dr. Eduardo Zúñiga Jaramila	Oswaldo Cesar Eugelminson	Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña	USD 6,942,948.69 + approx. USD 400,000 - USD 2,000,000 (excludes USD 701,500 as advance payment of costs) (para 903, 904)	USD 4,164,794.34 (para 910)	USD 1,401,500 (para 927)	Claimant win. Respondent to provide compensation to the Claimant in the amount of USD 18,700,000 (para 937)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	18 (900-937)	No	11 July 2016	864 days
29-Dec-2014 (RFA)	02-Dec-2013	Stadwerke München GmbH and others v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/11	USD 304,607,886.58 (para 96)	ICSID	Professor Jerald W. Salacuse	Professor Kaj Hobber	Professor Zachary Douglas QC	USD 4,994,996.74 (para 386)	USD 3,171,931.76 (para 388)	USD 899,404.63 (para 389)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 407)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	21 (385-406)	No	31 July 2017	854 days
4-Nov-2016 (RFA)	28-Oct-2016	Staur Elendom AS, EBO Invest AS and Rox Holding AS v. Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/23	USD 46,150,627 (converted from EUR 41,900,000) (para 7)	ICSID	Eric Schwartz	Professor Kaj Hobber	Toby Lindau QC	NA	USD 1,138,138.26 (para 529)	USD 668,984.42 (para 532)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (para 534)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	14 (520-533)	No	4 March 2016	361 days
26-Aug-2016 (RFA)	05-Sep-2016	Sun Reserve Luxco Holdings SRL v. Italy, SCC Case No. 1322016	USD 44,238,883 (converted from EUR 40,890,000) (para 10)	ICC Arbitration Rules	Prof. Dr. Albert Jan Van den Berg	Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs	Prof. Andrea Grandia	USD 4,733,248.48 (para 1018)	USD 1,138,361 (para 1021)	USD 428,369.94 (para 1025)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 1043)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	29 (1014-1043)	No	26 November 2018	485 days
15-Oct-2014 (RFA)	11-Jul-2014	United Utilities (Tallinn) B.V. and Aktiselle Tallinna Vesi v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/24	USD 72,838,368 (converted from EUR 65,000,000) (para 322)	ICSID	Stephen L. Dwyer	Sir David A. R. Williams QC	Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 5,843,428.13 (EUR 5,277,072.06) (para 936)	USD 2,241,893.72 (EUR 1,997,968.77) (para 936)	NA	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 938)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	11 (928-938)	No	7 November 2016	856 days
23-Sep-2016 (RFA)	23-Sep-2016	TECO Guatemala Holdings, LLC v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/23	USD 243,000,000 (para 52)	ICSID	Prof. Vaughan Lowe, C.J.	Dr. Stamen Alexandrov	Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 3,869,882.48 (not including USD 6,277,593.80 which are the original proceedings) (para 146)	USD 4,782,418.80 (para 149)	USD 827,518.84 (para 142)	Claimant win. Claimant is entitled by way of damages to recover the sum of USD 25,793,061 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 155)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	14 (141-154)	No	11 March 2019	429 days
12-Dec-2008 (RFA)	21-Jul-2017	Felmer S.A., Transportes de Cercanías S.A. and Autobuses Urbanos del Sur S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/1	USD 1,590,000,000 (para 176)	ICSID	Judge Thomas Büchel	Henri C. Alvarez, G.C.	Dr. Kamal Hossain	USD 16,049,035 (para 1134)	USD 2,444,100.30 (para 1138)	NA	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation in the amount of USD 120,760,000 + interest. (para 1147)	21 (130-144)	Yes	4 March 2014	1235 days	
29-Nov-2011 (RFA)	22-Jul-2014	Tethyan Copper Company Pty Limited v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/1	USD 10,920,000,000 (including pre-award interest as of 30 April 2016) + remaining pre-award and post-award interest (para 126)	ICSID	Professor Dr. Klaus Sachs	Jim Beechey, replaced by Dr. Stamen A. Alexandrov	R. Hon. Lord Leonard Hoffmann	USD 9,447,596.83 (para 1824)	USD 23,586,010 (para 1831)	USD 3,761,194.62 (para 1847)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 4,087,200 as the principal amount of compensation + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 1858)	10 (1846-1857)	Yes (partial informed parties of possible reservation of the issue for costs for a separate decision)	14 May 2018 (hearing on quantum)	424 days	
18-Nov-2011 (para 11 of the Preliminary Award on Jurisdiction)	08-Feb-2020	The PV Investors v. Spain, PCA Case No. 2012-14	USD 1,983,714,789 (converted from EUR 1,802,800,000) (para 823)	UNCTRAL	Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Keller	The Hon. Charles N. Brower	Judge Bernardo Sepúlveda-Amor	USD 12,948,301 (para 806, para 880 and para 373 of the Preliminary Award on Jurisdiction)	USD 6,084,369 (excluding the advances in the amount of EUR 1,455,000) (para 878, 880 and para 373 of the Preliminary Award on Jurisdiction)	USD 2,711,652 (converted from EUR 2,467,847.78) (para 895, 896)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 160,242,077 (para 909)	13 (841-852)	Yes	10 January 2018	773 days	
13-Sep-2016 (RFA)	18-Feb-2020	Thomas Gosling and others v. Republic of Mauritius, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/22	USD 25,627,162.63 (para 85)	ICSID	Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda	Prof. Stamen Alexandrov	Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 4,216,503.34 (converted from EUR 3,901,641.81) (para 282)	USD 5,276,809.17 (para 283)	USD 977,611.78 (para 287)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 289)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	7 (282-288)	No	17 June 2019	346 days
14-Feb-2016 (notice)	31-Aug-2018	Unim Fincos Oes, S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/4	USD 3,219,498,000 (para 10.2)	ICSID	V.V. Veeder	J. William Rowley	Mark Croffler	USD 12,407,169.71 (para 12.4)	USD 1,696,380 (converted from €1,586,051.25; \$159,959.13; £89,608.94 and EGP 666,345.43) (para 12.11)	USD 690,080.19 (para 12.18)	Claimant win. The total principal sum of compensation to be paid to the Claimant by the Respondent is USD 2,613,071,000 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 13.8-13.10)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	20 (12.1-12.20)	No	6 March 2017	541 days
3-Dec-2013 (RFA)	09-Oct-2018	UP formerly Le Chèque Déjeuner and C.D Holding Internationale v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/35	USD 31,466,319 (converted from EUR 27,400,000) (para 520)	ICSID	Professor Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel	The Honourable L. Yves Fortier PC QC CC QC	Sir Daniel Bethlehem KCMG QC	USD 3,975,694 (para 601, 620 - exclusion of advances to ICSID)	USD 1,106,616 (para 606, 620 - exclusion of advances to ICSID)	USD 999,867.33 (para 616)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay to Claimants damages amounting to USD 26,837,876 (converted from EUR 23,196,000) + interest. (para 623)	3 (601-623)	Yes	22 May 2017	503 days	
1-Oct-2018 (notice)	08-May-2020	Václav Fischer v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2019-37	NA	UNCTRAL	Prof. Pierre Tescier	Prof. Stamen A. Alexandrov	Jean E. Kalicki	USD 725,134 (para 49)	USD 6,683 (para 50)	USD 76,976.46 (para 41)	Respondent win. Claimant failed to pay its advance on costs. (para 14)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	24 (29-52)	No	N/A	N/A
10-May-2013 (RFA)	26-Jul-2017	Valores Mundiales, S.L. and Consorcio Andino S.L. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/11	USD 628,700,000 (para 650)	ICSID	Dr. Eduardo Zúñiga	Dr. Horacio A. Grigera-Naon	Dr. Yves Derans	USD 9,893,930 (para 634)	USD 6,674,448.52 (para 625)	USD 662,245.24 (para 627)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 430,400,000 as compensation + interest. (para 634)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	10 (624-633)	No	8 February 2016	504 days
9-May-2012 (notice)	13-May-2019	Volant Network GmbH v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-20	USD 8,647,700 (converted from CZK 198,500,000) (para 125)	PCA	Professor Dr. Hans van Houfte	Gary Born, replaced by Jim Beechey CBE	Raymond Doak Bishop, replaced by Toby Lindau QC	USD 999,499 (converted from EUR 891,992.73) (para 610)	USD 1,246,917 (para 617)	USD 223,891 (converted from EUR 198,907.97) (para 620-627)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 649)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	39 (610-648)	No	27 February 2017	801 days
9-May-2012 (notice)	13-May-2019	NIA Investments Europa Nova Ltd. v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-19	USD 3,337,121.54 (converted from CZK 76,800,000) (para 125)	UNCTRAL	Professor Dr. Hans van Houfte	Gary Born, replaced by Jim Beechey CBE	Raymond Doak Bishop, replaced by Toby Lindau QC	converted from USD 481,671.63 (EUR 402,980.00) (para 691)	USD 1,246,917 (para 698)	USD 223,891 (converted from EUR 198,907.97) (para 713-718)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 730)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	39 (691-729)	No	27 February 2017	801 days
26-Oct-2015 (RFA)	13-Jul-2020 (revision, original award of 20-Jun-2020)	Watkins Holdings S.A. r.l. and others v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/44	USD 137,783,356 (converted from EUR 123,800,000) (para 943)	ICSID	Tan Sri Dato' Cecil WM. Abubakar	Dr. Michael C. Pyles AO FBM	Prof. Dr. Hélène Ruiz Fabri	USD 3,446,126 (para 760 of award and para 76 of the rectification decision)	USD 1,213,995 (para 765 of award + para 75 of the rectification decision)	USD 783,799.87 (para 771 of award + para 62 of the rectification decision)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay damages in sum of USD 85,441,623 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 775)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	15 (760-774)	No	21 May 2018	783 days (with decision on rectification)
9-Jun-2013 (RFA)	27-Sep-2017	Carabube International Oil Company LLP and Devincel Salah Hourani v. Republic of Kazakhstan (II), ICSID Case No. ARB/13/13	USD 991,000,000 (para 252)	ICSID	Dr. Laurent Levy	Prof. Laurent Aynès	Dr. Jacques Sales	USD 2,486,376.78 (para 1228)	USD 17,239,921.37 (para 1233)	NA	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay CIOC the amount of USD 29,200,000 + interest (para 1258)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	40 (1228-1267)	No	2 November 2015	696 days
23-Jul-2012 (RFA)	23-Sep-2017	C.A. and Owens-Illinois de Venezuela, C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/21	USD 1,933,982,812 (para 10)	ICSID	Professor Hi-Leak Shin	The Honourable L. Yves Fortier, C.C. O.C.	Professor Zachary Douglas, G.C.	USD 11,880,489.89 (including the arbitration costs, para 308-310)	USD 3,328,725.51 (including arbitration costs, para 311-313)	USD 916,906.56 (para 314)	Respondent win. claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 322)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	15 (307-321)	No	4 April 2016	589 days
24-Jul-2012 (RFA)	22-Sep-2017	Transban Investments Corp. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/24	NA	ICSID	H.E. Judge Peter Tomka	Professor David D. Caron	Dr. Santiago Torres Bernárdez	USD 947,511.62 (para 180)	USD 2,678,000 (para 186)	USD 738,169.87 (para 185)	Respondent win. claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 191)	No separate decision on jurisdiction (claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction)	14 (177-190)	Yes	2 March 2016	831 days
16-Dec-2014 (RFA)	02-Dec-2017	Lighthouse Corporation Pty Ltd and Lighthouse Corporation Ltd, LLC v. Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/2	NA	ICSID	Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Keller	Stephen Jagusch QC	Professor Campbell McLachlan QC	USD 1,219,184.80 (para 339)	USD 1,107,328 (para 340)	USD 646,868.51 (para 341)	Respondent win. claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 347)	No separate decision on jurisdiction (claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction)	9 (338-346)	Yes	23 February 2017	303 days
15-Aug-2012 (RFA)	23-Dec-2017	UAB E energia (Lithuania) v. Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/23	USD 9,933,520.42 (para 440)	ICSID	Dr. Paolo Michele Patocchi	Samuel Wordsworth QC	Prof. Dr. August Reinisch (appointed by the Chairman of the ICSID Administrative Council)	USD 3,200,247.10 (including a success fee, para 442)	USD 197,176.64 (para 447-448)	USD 842,940.41 (para 1166)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 1,878,405.82 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 1)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	14 (1155-1168)	No	23 February 2015	1157 days

N/A	28-Sep-2018	Huntington Ingalls Inc. v. Ministry of Defense of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 149,401,347 (para 199-1907)	UNCITRAL	José Emilio Nieves Pardo	Noracoo A. Grigera Nadin	Antonio Hierro	USD 11,159,089.96 (para 1725)	USD 4,041,138.02 (para 1731)	USD 1,430,912.8 (para 1725, 1731)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 54,016,389.8 (part XVII.)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Each party shall bear its own legal costs and expenses in connection with this arbitration and shall split evenly the costs and fees (including the arbitrator's fees) related to this arbitration. (para 1737)	21 (1720-1740)	No	12 January 2015	135 days
10-Oct-2014 (RFA)	24-Apr-2013	Phillips Petroleum Company Venezuela Limited, ConocoPhillips Petrosuata B.V. v. Petrosuata De Venezuela, S.A., Corpogamisa, S.A., PDVSA Petrosuata, S.A., ICC Case No. 20549/AS/M/JPA (C-20550/ASM)	N/R	USD 25,200,000,000 (para 76)	ICC	Laurent Lévy	Laurent Aynès	Andrea Gardina	USD 14,143,568.79 (para 1134)	USD 17,891,768 (para 1138)	USD 3,950,000 (para 1157)	Claimants win. Respondent to pay USD USD 1,886,947,214.72 (para 1163)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Each Party is to bear its own legal fees, costs and expenses. The fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the expenses of the Tribunal's Secretary as well as the ICC administrative expenses are to be evenly divided between the Parties. (para 1163)	33 (1130-1162)	No	28 November 2016	513 days
22-Apr-2015 (RFA)	14-Mar-2013	ACP Asset Capital GmbH v. Republic of Kosovo, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/22	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8356	USD 406,683,991.39 (para 47)	ICSID	Philippe Frosselle	Dr. Michael Fell	J. Christopher Thomas QC	USD 4,112,225.91 (para 261)	USD 1,818,642.99 (para 262)	USD 633,906.44 (para 263)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 275)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	The Claimants shall bear the entirety of the costs of this arbitration, including the fees and expenses of the Tribunal, ICSID's administrative fees, and direct expenses, as well as the Respondent's reasonable legal fees and expenses incurred in connection with this arbitration. The Claimants shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,871,853.71 (para 275)	18 (257-274)	No	6 November 2017	179 days
5-Aug-2016 (RFA)	11-Mar-2018	HPK Management D.O.O. and HPK Engineering B.V. v. Republic of Serbia and Zelenara Smederevo D.O.O, LCIA Case No. 163397	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7337 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8369 US\$ 1 = RSD 89.4756	USD 30,369,275.27 + interest (para 133)	LCIA	Maxi Scherer	Richard Jacobs	Mouqay V. Onic	5,885,105.99 (para 453)	USD 791,298.89 (para 455)	USD 319,200.94 (para 461)	Claimant win regarding some claims against the second respondent. Second Respondent to pay USD 11,514,745.35 (para 470)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Parties should bear the NET Arbitration Costs in equal shares. (para 461) Regarding the First Respondent, the Claimants' claims were unsuccessful, and the Claimants are thus ordered to pay the First Respondent's Legal Costs in the amount of USD 447,623.37. Regarding the Second Respondent, the Claimants' claims were partially successful and the second respondent must pay 80% of costs of claimant in the amount of USD 1,598,060.79 (para 466-470)	18 (453-470)	No	30 October 2017	194 days
26-Feb-2016 (RFA)	6-Jun-2011	WJ Holding Limited v. Transdniestrian Moldovan Republic, ICC Case No. 21717/MMM	N/R	USD 26,432,679 (para 214)	ICC	Noah D. Rubins	Sophie Nappert	Galina Zukova (appointed by the appointing authority)	Claimant did not advance any claim for its legal fees and expenses. (para 288)	Respondent has not made any claim for costs (para 287)	USD 367,000 (para 287)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,897,336. (para 295)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Claimant to bear the costs of arbitration (USD 987,000).	8 (287-294)	No	18 May 2017	351 days
20-Jan-2016 (RFA)	18-Jan-2011	Ozias Construction, Construction Materials Trading Inc. v. State of Libya, ICC Case No. 21603/ZF/AYZ	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8506	USD 2,883,978.06 + interest (para 24)	ICC	Jacob Gierston	Tolga Ayoglu	Mohamed Abdel Wahab	USD 118,290 (para 181)	USD 113,893.43 (para 182)	USD 236,000 (para 180)	Respondent win. Part of the claims dismissed on jurisdiction and part on the merits. (para 190)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Claimant to bear the legal costs of respondent and costs of arbitration. Claimant to pay USD 369,793.43 (para 180)	11 (179-189)	No	8 November 2017	221 days
N/A	7-Sep-2011	Hydro Srl v. Republic of Albania (II), ICC Case No. 20654/EM/IGR	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8641	189 819 627.95 (para 70)	ICC	Bernard R. Henotiau	Eduardo Zuleta Jaramillo	Bernard Rix	USD 165,462.87 - only costs of the quantum phase of proceedings (para 203)	USD 31,246.38 - only costs of the quantum phase of proceedings (para 204)	USD 1,100,000 (para 209)	Respondent win on the counterclaim. Claimant shall pay USD 7,487,656.89 (para 211)	Partial Award not public	Respondent to bear all of the Claimant's legal and other costs for the second phase of the proceedings (USD 165,462.87). 80% of the fees and expenses of the arbitrators and the ICC administrative expenses shall be supported by the Claimant, i.e., USD 880,000, and 20% shall be supported by the Respondent, i.e., USD 220,000. Claimant thus contributed USD 330,000 to the Respondent.	11 (201-211)	Yes	N/A	N/A
25-May-2017 (RFA)	14-Sep-2011	Enka Insaat ve Sanayi A.Ş. v. 1. the Gabonese Republic, 2. L'Agence nationale des grands travaux, ICC Case No. 22841/DDA	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8217 US\$ 1 = XOF 548.9965	USD 48,566,242.44 (para 103-108)	ICC	Xavier Ferra-Bulte	Fernando Mantilla-Serrano	Mathias Audt	822,110.49 (para 463)	USD 41,972.77 (para 464)	USD 670,000 (para 460)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 48,566,242.44 (para 477)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Gabon should be made to pay 75% of the claimant's legal fees as well as 80% of the costs of the arbitration. It shall pay USD 1,036,882.86 (para 473-476)	19 (458-476)	No	No hearing	N/A
18-Dec-2015 (RFA)	7-Nov-2011	Congiz Insaat Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. v. Libya, ICC Case No. 21537/ZF/AYZ	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8272 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7009	USD 302,600,000 + interest (para 688)	ICC	Juan Fernandez-Arnesto	Pierre Mayer	Georges Khairallah	USD 2,419,253.72 (para 656)	USD 998,548.57 (para 657)	USD 939,400 (para 667)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 51,200. (para 667)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Arbitration costs shall be borne equally by both parties, and each party shall bear its own legal expenses. (para 661)	27 (651-677)	No	15 January 2018	297 days
9-Mar-2015 (notice)	1-Dec-2011	Manchester Securities Corporation v. Republic of Poland, PCA Case No. 2015-18	US\$ 1 = PLN 3.1032	N/A	UNCITRAL	Andrés Rigo Sureta	Charles N. Brower	Brigitte Stern	N/A (redacted)	N/A (redacted)	USD 790,875.68 (para 538)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 8,866,883.74 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 540)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Each Party shall be responsible for 50% of the costs of the arbitration, namely, the fees and expenses of the arbitrators and of the PCA. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs of legal representation and assistance. (para 540)	20 (350-358, 529-539)	No	25-Sep-2017	439 days
29-Dec-2016 (RFA)	7-Feb-2011	Anglo-Adriatic Group Limited v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/17/6	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8806	N/A	ICSID	Prof. Juan Fernandez-Arnesto	Dr. Georg von Segesser	Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 688,633.15 (para 297)	USD 1,168,301.16 (para 299)	USD 308,036.80 (para 300)	Respondent win for lack of tribunal's jurisdiction. (para 311)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Investor shall bear the liability of the arbitration costs (USD 308,036.80). Each Party shall bear its own legal costs and expenses. (para 311)	14 (297-310)	No	17 July 2018	206 days
30-Dec-2016	26-Jul-2011	ConocoPhillips Gulf of Paria B.V. v. Corporación Venezolana de Petróleo, S.A., Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A., ICC Case No. 22827/AS/M/JPA	N/R	USD 1,586,000,000 + pre-award and post-award interest (para 148)	ICC	Laurent Lévy	Laurent Aynès	Andrea Gardina	7,949,482.04 (para 409)	5,719,818.02 (para 411)	USD 1,620,000 (para 420)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 33,700,000 + interest (para 423)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	The Claimant must bear its own legal fees, and other costs and expenses, including the expenses related to its expert witnesses, as well as pay the Respondents USD 2,600,000 towards their legal fees, and other costs and expenses. The Claimant should bear 70% of the costs of the arbitration, including the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the expenses of the Tribunal's Secretary, as well as the ICC administrative expenses, while the Respondents should bear the remaining 20%. Claimant shall thus cover USD 2,810,000 altogether	16 (407-422)	No	29 October 2018	274 days
13-May-2015 (notice)	20-Aug-2011	Stans Energy Corp. and Kuttisay Mining LLC v. Kyrgyz Republic (II), PCA Case No. 2015-32	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9216 US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3334	USD 128,329,000 + pre-award and post-award interest (para 155)	UNCITRAL	Professor Kai-Heinz Böckstiegel	The Honourable Colin L. Campbell, Q.C.	Stephen Jagusch, Q.C.	USD 4,733,136.64 (para 896)	USD 1,417,453.87 (para 898)	USD 1,317,042.32 (para 918)	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay damages to the Claimants amounting to USD 15,927,881.89 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 922)	25-Jan-2017 (not public)	The Claimants shall bear one third and the Respondent two thirds of the total costs of arbitration as fixed by the Tribunal. These two thirds amount to EUR 791,630.24. The Respondent shall reimburse the Claimants two thirds of their costs of legal representation and assistance. These two thirds amount to USD 3,137,887.17, CAD 16,472.14 and C\$ 84,493.02. The Respondent shall pay USD 4,834,487.87 (para 922)	70 (852-921)	Yes	8 April 2018	499 days
18-Sep-2015 (RFA)	11-Oct-2011	Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited v. United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/41	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7884 US\$ 1 = HKD 7.8436 US\$ 1 = TJS 2692.8769	USD 392,814,289 (para 50)	ICSID	Professor Lawrence Boo	Sir Stanley Burton, replaced by Justice David Unterhalter SC	Dr Kamal Hossain	USD 3,322,776.88 (para 533)	USD 4,629,607.94 (para 539)	USD 1,002,876.81 (para 542)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 188,448,448.04 + interest. (Part (X.))	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Claimant has stated in its Submission of Costs it would not be seeking any order for cost of arbitration (including damages and compensation awarded herein) be sufficient to discharge the Respondent's indebtedness under the Facility Agreement, the Tribunal therefore made no order on the costs of arbitration. (para 643)	17 (527-543)	No	16 July 2018	453 days
3-Nov-2011 (notice)	23-Dec-2011	Mohamed Abdel Raouf Bahgat v. Egypt, PCA Case No. 2012-07	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9018 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7144 US\$ 1 = EGP 39.4836	USD 108,800,000 (para 88)	UNCITRAL	Professor Rüdiger Wolfrum	Professor W. Michael Reisman	Laurent Lévy	USD 16,129,388.43 (excluding funding costs, para 564)	USD 1,299,909.49 (para 575)	USD 1,286,316.26 (EUR 1,160,000) (para 580)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 43,778,000 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 618)	30-Nov-2017	Respondent shall pay 90% of the arbitration costs and 90% of the reasonable legal costs of Claimant. It shall pay USD 9,876,388.50 (para 618)	75 (543-617)	Yes	24 April 2019	244 days