Study of Cost Awards in Investment Treaty Arbitrations up to 31 May 2020 <u>Master Table</u>

Matthew Hodgson and Daniel Hrcka, Allen & Overy LLP

Chart data	Date of Assert	Deaths	Illetestest commentes sets	Assessment for effective	Ashibad bashbutlan	Number of Adultation	Ricka, Alleli & Overy LLP	December the Lengt Contra	Telburgelle Conte	Describe describe blank	Award on Coate	and the state of the Contra
Start date 22.02.2008 (notice)	Date of Award 18-Apr-13	Zelites Abengos S.A. y COFIDES S.A. v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/09/2	Historical conversion rate US\$ 1= MXN 12.2673	Amount (1890)0 1900 68,999,725.32 (MXN 846,440,330.41) plus interest (para 493).	Arbitral institution	Audioted Arkandinas III. Mendonally dank Mendonally dank Mendonally dank Mendonally dank Mendonally dank	Clin Int 2 (**) 3 (bottler) USD 2.888,666.72 (converted from MXN 35.558,078.30) (para: 782).	Assignment Legal Loss	Tribinary scosts MIA USD 501,889.35 (converted from MXN 6,156,827.24) paid by the Claimant (para. 792). Amount paid by Respondent unclear.	Comment vin. Respondent saked to pay a betal of 041 900.535 64 houseline Poor, 1020 94.154.879) comprising of the 400.000.533 person as compensation for superposition: ii) \$2.51.144 person is compensation for lost profits, iii) 7.679.107.77 person in additional costs; iv) 17.544.779 pees as compensation for lost profits, iii) 7.679.107.77 pees in additional costs; iv) 17.544.779 pees as compensation for developing of the superposition of superposition of superpositi	Awars on tools for Codered to pay half of Claimant's legal and tribunal costs: USD 1,700,288.80 (converted from MAN 20,857,952.77) (para. 791).	aragraphs dealing with Costs 5 (paras 788 - 793)
28-Oct-2011 (RFA)	17-Apr-15	Accession Mezzanine Capital L.P. and Danubius Kereskedohaz Vagyonkezelo Zrt. V Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/3)	N/R	N/A Not stated in the award (and neither on UNCTAD or other websites).	ICSID	3. Artin V. Ricone (President), Marc Laloncies, Zeichery Douglas.	USD 2,197,979.70 (para.193)	USD 1,658,411.04 (para.194)	USD 390,688.04 (para. 195)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 203(1))	The Tribunal decides (para. 202): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	11 (paras 192-202)
1-Oct-08	7-Dec-12	Achmes B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2008-13 (formerly Eureko B.V. v. The Slovak Republic)	US\$1 = EUR0.7728	USD 83,721,532.09 (converted from EUR 64,700,000 (para. 306))	UNCITRAL	Prof. Vaughan Lowe (President), V. V. Veeder, Prof. Albert Jan Van den Berg	USD 3,759,511.70 (converted from EUR 2,905,350.64) for the liability and quantum phase (para. 351).	N/A	USD 878,852.34 (converted from EUR 679,177.09 (para. 345).	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 28,697,308.49 (EUR 22,100,000) (pera. 352).	The Tribunal decides (para. 352): (1) the Respondent shall pay USD 3,759,511.70 (EUR 2,05,550.94) is the Claimant's legal costs relating to the merits and quantum phase; and (2) the Respondent shall pay USD 285,679.01 (EUR 207.727.4) as the Claimant's share of the merits	15 of 352 (paras 337-351)
6-Feb-13	20-May-14	Achmes B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2013-12	US\$ 1 = FUR 0.7300 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5937	NA Not disclosed in the award but claims quantified at at USD 93,000,300 (converted from EUR 72,000,000) as per the UNCTAD whether (http://investimentpodicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/519)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	S. Dr. Laurent Levy (Presiding Arbitrator), John Seechey, Pierre-Marie Dupsy	USD 782,948.71 (USD 782,948.71 (equivalent to EUR 571,552.56 (arrived from EUR 547,725.78 + EUR 23,826.78) (para: 274)	USD 1,847,516.08 (USD 1,847,516.38 (equivalent to EUR 1,346,686.96) (para, 277)	USD 478.618.92 (converted from USD 465.372.30 (EUR 339.721.78) + USD 10.246.62 (GBP 6.083.42)) (para. 284)	Clamant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 291)	uphase of the arbitration. The Tithusal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,861,582 (1 (para. 291): (1) Claimant shall bear the entire arbitration coots USD 475,816 322 (USD 465,972.30) (converted from USD 475,916.21); USD 1024.62 (converted from USD 475,972.17); USD 1024.62 (converted from USD 1,385,637.29 (converted from EUR 1,011.515.29).	17 (paras. 274-291)
20-Feb-12	27-Jan-16	Active Partners Group Limited v Republic of South Sudan, PCA Case No. 2013/4	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8797.	USD 248,618,937.00 including interest; alternatively USD 218,556,798.00 (para. 314(5))	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Philippe Pinsolle (President); Richard Ormeels; Karel Daele	USD 6,368,104 (the total of USD 1,740,000 + USD 750,000 + USD 3,878,104) (para. 412) (the above figure includes success based portion)	NA Respondent did not submit the details of the costs incurred (series 313, 413)	USD 282,161.39 (converted from EUR 221,817.58 (arrived from EUR 59,311.49 + EUR 40,480.00 + EUR 62,046.40 + EUR 21,61.43 + EUR 57,713.3 + EUR 730.00) Calmant has incurs USD 170,534 howest activator fees and expenses (para. 312). Respondent does not submit details on the costs (para. 313).	Claimant wins on the ments in the amount of USD 38,987,786.16 (USD 35,770,243.33 * USD 4,127,541.83) (para. 416.3 and 416.4)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 983,413,24 (para, 418): (1) USD 86,50,24 (converted from EUR, 75,821,55) as compensation for the Claimant's advance of the costs of the arbitration; (2) USD 777,223,00 as compensation for the Claimant's resensousbe legal costs.	4 (paras. 310 - 313)
7-May-03	2-Oct-06	ADC Affiliate Limited and ADC & ADMC Management Limited v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16	N/R	Alternative amounts submitted based on three different valuation approaches: USD 68,423,83 (Time of Expropriation Approach), USD 76,227,279 (Resitution Approach), or USD 99,724,30 (Unite Frinchment Approach), each sum inclusive of interest (para 243). n.b. Tribunal applied criticorous rejecting the Claimants' claim under the unjust enrichment approach and concluding that the under the unjust enrichment approach and concluding that the		3. The Hon. Charles Browse; Prof. Albert alan van de Berg, Neil Kaplan CBE QC (President)	USD 7,823,693 in Claimant's costs and expenses of the arbitration, minus USD 590,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 7,273,693 (para S27).	USD 4.380,335 in Respondent's costs and expenses of the arbitration rinnius USD 350,000 (CSID advance = USD 4,030,335 (para 528)	USD 700,000 paid by parties to ICSID as deposit for Tribuna fees and expenses (paras 527-528).	Affiliate Ltd: the sum of USD 56.426,973 and to ADC & ADLC Management Ltd: the sum to USD 20.773,027, i.e. botal award of USD 76.200,000 (para 543). N. Tictural considered that no pre-sward interest had accrued as the damages calculation was based on the value of the exportated investments as of the date of	Respondent ordered to pay to the Claimants the sum of USD 762.693 in Ital satisfaction of both Claimants' costs including costs advanced to ICSID (para 542).	19 of 354
05.12.2011 (RFA)	3-Nov-15	Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)	N/R	restitution approach was appropriate (paras 499-500). USD 273,000,000 (para. 41(b)) plus interest	ICSID	3. Professor David A R Williams QC (President), Judge Charles N Brower, J Christopher Thomas QC	USD 15,080,749.93 (para. 469) (assuming Claimant has made the ICSID payments identical to the Respondent i.e. USD 449,965) (Total costs of Claimant including ICSID payments = USD 15,530,714.93 (para. 469))	USD 7,119,915.32 (para. 479) (USD 5,335,095.52 (lawyer fees and disbursements) + USD 1,764,619.90 (witness and consultancy fees) = USD 7,119,915.42) (para. 479)	N/A N/A Not clear. Respondent paid USD 449,965 in Tribunal costs and presumably Claimant paid the same (para. 479). Thus, this rounds up to USD 899,930.	the eared (pans 530). Respondent won on merits, all of Claimants claims dismissed (pans. 474).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,677,410.24 (para. 480) This amounts to 75% of the Respondent's total costs (including Tribunal costs)	13 (paras 469-481)
21.07.2000 (notice)	9-Jan-03	ADF Group Inc. v. United States of	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Judge Florentino P. Feliciano (Bresidaet) Ded Amend de Metro	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed: some were declared inadesigned the others follow on the partite (note 100)	Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses.	
06.07.2007 (RFA)	23-Sep-10	America, ICSID Case No. ARBIAF/90/1 AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Eromü Kft v. The Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARBI07/22	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7492320515	N/A n.b. Claimants claimed to have invested approximately USD 260,800,587 in the Hungarian electricity sector (para 6.2.2), and to have suffered price cuts of 45% and 55% resulting in defeated under loan documentation in respect of EUR 59 million project framace loan facilities (para 4.24-4.25). However, the Calmant does not appear to have quantified its damages. The alleged expropriation was of revenues rather than the whole Investment.	ICSID	(President), Prof. Armand deMestard, Me. Cardyn B. Lamm 3. Mr. Class Wenner von Wücbeser (President), Prof. Citiglie Stern, J. William Rowley OC	USD 8,787.963.70 in total costs "including" legal fees and expenses (para 15.1). It is not entirely clear whether this sum is inclusive or exclusive of the Colliments (SCBD/Tribunal advance of USD exclusive) of the Colliments (SCBD/Tribunal advance of USD inclusive). In the total legal fees and expense amounted to USD 8,328,048.79.	USD 5.522.883 in total costs "including" legal fees and expenses (para 15.2). It is not estimitely clear whether this usin is inclusive or exclusive of the Respondent's ICSIDIT/thansi advance of exclusive of the Respondent's ICSIDIT/thansi advance of it was inclusive. I.e. that total legal fees and expense amounted to USD 5.662.883.	USD 897,939.64 including eribitation fees, Tribunal expenses, Secretariat admin fee and use of Centre (para 15.3).	madmissible, the others falled on the ments (para 199). Respondent win. Claims dismissed on ments as there was no treach of the Treaty (para 16.1).	Costs of the proceedings (including Tribunal fees) should be shared on a fill-wifty basis (gara 200). Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat costs to be borne equally. Parties shall pay their own costs and expenses (gara 15.3).	1 of 200
31.08.2005 (RFA)	<u>29-Jul-08</u>	African Holding Company of America, Inc. and Société Africaine de Construction au Congo S.A.R.L. v. Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/21	N/R	NVA	ICSID	3. Francisco Orrego Viocifa (Presistent) C. D. d. e Witt Wijnen, Dominique Grissy	NA	NA	USD 140,000	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (page 44).	Each party to pay its own legal and other expenses and to bear half the arbitration costs. Respondent to reimburse Claimant for USD 70,000 advance to Centre paid by Claimant paid on Respondent's behalf (para 125).	IWO
17-Jul-06	11-Dec-08	Aguaytia Energy, LLC v. Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/13	N/R	USD 91,100,000 (para. 31 - see footnote 7)	ICSID	Robert Briner (President); William Rowley QC; Citaus von Wobeser	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimant's claim dismissed on the merits (p. 56)	The Tribunal decides (p. 56): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras. 98-102)
11-Mar-11	<u>15-Jul-13</u>	AHS Niger and Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. v. Republic of Niger, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/11	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7669	N/A	ICSID	3. Fernando Mantille-Serrano (President), Patrick Hubert, Gaston Kentsck-Douagi	USD 205,878.92 (converted from EUR 157,907.08) (para. 162)	N/A	N/A Unspecified (the tribunal notes that the figures would be provided to the parties after the award)	Claimant win. Respondent directed to pay EUR 4,641,592.15 (USD 6,051,698.40) as damages.	Respondent to bear 100% of the costs of the arbitration and must also contribute up to EUR 118,000 (USD 153,848) (representing nearly 75% of the total legal fees) towards Claimant's legal costs (para 167)	8 of 167
03.05.2001 (RFA)	7-Oct-03	AIG Capital Partners, Inc. and CJSC Tema Real Estate Company Ltd. v Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/6	N/R	USD 13,500,000 plus interest until the date of payment (para. 12.1.7)	ICSID	3. Fall S. Nairman (President), Prof Pleto Bernardini, Dr Branko Vukmir	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant wins on the merist in the amount of USD 5,859,330 (page 118) (Comprising: (1) USD 3,560,000 and (2) USD 2,399,330)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,250,000 to cover legal and tribunal costs (page 118, para. 5)	1 (on p.117)
16-Jul-08	<u>16-Jul-12</u>	Alapli Elektrik B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/13	N/R	N/A Redacted but UNCTAD says USD 100,000,000 (http://irvestmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/312).	ICSID	3. Prof. William W Park (President), Hon. C Lalonde, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 8,264,624 (para. 422)	USD 4,439,540.77 (para. 423)	N/A Not clear - claimant advanced USD 449,960 and respondent advanced USD 450,000.	Respondent won on jurisdiction (para. 425).	The tribunal decided that (para. 424): (1) each party should bear its own legal fees; and (2) the costs of the arbitration shall be divided on an equal basis.	6 (paras 419-424)
10.05.2004 (RFA)	19-May-10	Alasdair Ross Anderson and others v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3	N/R	NIA n.b. Although Claimants appeared to be claiming for amount of lost deposits (para 28), this sum was not quantified. USD 405 million was deposited in total by all depositors, not just the Claimants (para 22).	ICSID	3. Dr. Sandra Moreili Rocu (President), Prol. Jesmad W. Salacuse, Prof. Raúl E. Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 61).	Costs of proceedings including fees and expenses of arbitrators and Secretariat to be bome equally between Parties. Each party to bear its own costs and expenses.	3 of 66 6 of 385
2-Feb-99 1-Jun-07	25-Jun-01 8-Nov-10	Alex Genin, Eastern Credit Limited Inc. and A.S. Baltoli v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2 Alpha Projektholding GmbH v. Ukraine,	N/R	USD 1.639,344 plus estimated potential worth of "USD 50,000,000 - USD 70,000,000", i.e. total of USD 71,639,344 (paras 98-99). Claimed in Memoriai: USD 10,085,000 in net present value as	ICSID	3.3 Mt. L. Ywes Fortier CC OC (President), Prof. Mer Heth, Prof. Albert Jan Van den Berg 3. Hon. Davis R. Robinson	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on ments, as Claimants failed to prove that Respondent's agents had acted in violation of BIT (para 375). Counterclaim also dismissed (para 378). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD	Each party shall bear all of its own costs and expenses, and arbitration costs including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of IGSID facilities to be borne by Claimants and Respondent, respectively, in equal shares (para 383). Each party shall bear its own legal fees and one half of	2 of 517
		ICSID Case No. ARB/07/16		of 2004, plus interest at average 12-month LIBOR rate until February 2009, totalling USD 12,100,000 (para 476). Claimed in Reply: USD 9,467,000 in net present value as of 2004, plus interest at average 12-month LIBOR rate until February 2009, totalling USD 11,400,000 (para 476).		(Chairman), Dr. Staininir A. Alexandrov, Dr. Yoram Turbowicz			n.b. Arbitration costs relating to the challenge of arbitrator amounted to USD 60,000 (para 516).	2.979.232 in total damages, plus annually compounded interest from 1.34 2004 to February 2006 at 61.1% (being the risk-free rate plus market risk premium, i.e., not the rate requested by the Claimani, resulting in total standard country of the country of the country of the 2010 (parts 51.5-61.0).	the total arbitration costs, but with an adjustment of costs associated with challenge: Claimant's share of USD 30,000 shifted to Respondent (para 516).	
19-May-08 15-Jan-81	5-Mar-11 5-Jun-90	Alps Finance and Trade AG v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL Amco Asia Corporation and others v.	N/R	N/A (amounts in para 140 redacted) USD 15,000,000	UNCITRAL	3: Hens Stuber, Bohuslav Klein, Prof. Antonio Crivellaro (Chairman) 3: Rosalyn Higgins, Marc Lalone,	N/A (amounts in para 258 redacted)	N/A (amounts in para 258 redacted)	N/A (amounts in para 255 redacted)	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction. Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 2,696,330 to the	Respondent indemnified in full. Claimant ordered to pay Respondent's share of Tribunat's costs, and 100% of Respondent's legal costs (para 269). Each party to bear its own costs, and the costs of the	18 of 270
		Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1				Per Magid				Claimant plus non-compounded interest of 6%.	arbitration to be split equally.	
25-Jan-93	<u>21-Feb-97</u>	American Manufacturing and Trading, Inc. v. Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1	N/R	USD 21.574.405 in comparisation, Julia 5% on that sum since 30: 28 September 1901 and for sum of USD 305.368 since 30: 28 September 1901 and for sum of USD 305.368 since 30: January 1903 i.e. USD 21,878,773, plus interest (para 3.06). n.b. Unclear from the wording whether the USD 305.368 is in thirther principals sum or a portion of the USD 21,574.406 on which interest is to be paint or a sortion of interest itself. It has been assumed that it is a further principal sum.	ICSID	3. Sompong Sucharitkuf (President), Verlibert Calaborg, Ferbs Milosye	NVA n.b. Claimant claimed expenses including USD 126.500 for Lloyds reports, and other expenses and fees (para 3.06).	WA	USD 209.657.92 in total costs of proceedings (page 42). n.b. This is assumed from fact that (i) the parties bore an equal share of Tribunal fees and expenses, and (ii) Respondent was ordered to pay Claimant USD 104.625.95 representing not half of the costs of the proceedings for which advance payments have been made by AMIT (see page 42).	Claimant vin Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,000,000 inclusive of interest (pages 41-42).	Each purty to beer its own legal fees and expenses, and an equal share of the arbitration costs, Including Tribunal fees and expenses, Additionally, Respondent to pay Claimant USD 104,828 representing one half of the costs of proceedings for which advances made by Claimant.	N/A 1 of 138
26-Jul-10	19-Dec-13	Anatolio Stati, Cabriel Stati, Ascom Orcup SA and Term Raf Trans Traiding Ltd v Kazakhstan, SCC Case No. V (116/2010)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0,7316	USD 1,049,078,000 (para. 199) plus interest	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	d 3. Prof. Karl-Heinz Bückstügel (Chairman), Dank R-Haigh OC, Prof. Sargel N. Lebedev	USD 16,25,543.92 (USD 17,950,992.87 - USD 1,425,448.95) (para. 1885)	USO 17,478,518.6 (para. 1872)	USD 1,461,824.74 (converted from EUR 1,059,470.96) (part 1885)	Respondent to pay to Claiments a net amount of USD 447,88.10 ft just intext. (NE) the bold compensation calculated by the tribunal amounted to USD 569,130,000 but from this sum the Tribunal deducted the Claiments' debb amounting to USD 10,444,896 to reach this final figure of compensation) (µara. 1859)	The Titurual orders the Respondent to pay USD 10,071,86.8.96 (page 419): 1) Respondent shall pay to Claimants 50% of Claimants Tooks of Page 1 representation (amounting to USD 8,079.6.04): 2) Respondent shall bear 34 of the arbitration costs to be determined by SCC and sent to paties after the award. On the basis that tribunal costs are USD 1,461.8.24.7.8.75 of these costs is USD 1,096.368.56.	24 (parss 1862-1885)

6-May-14 10-May-17 Anglia Auto Accessories Ltd. V Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2014/181	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9373 US\$ 1 = CZK 25.3291	NAC clear. Not clear. Not clear. Althorous makes to the claiment seeding grelet for deprivation with the clear clear to the under select the clear	SCC 3. Dr. / August Reinisch; Prod. Philippe Sands QC 3. Prof. Philippe Sands QC 4. Prof. Philippe San	NAA USD 17,069.02 (converted from EUR 16,842.36 (para. 310)) [EUR 8,715 + EUR 206 + EUR 840 + EUR 4,561.36 + EUR 2,499) Note: the claimant's legal frees are not quantified - the above figure appears to represent disbursements only.	USD 183,017.99 (para. 315) (USD 179,828.66 (convented from C2K 4,557,430.91) + USD 3,089.33 (EUR 2,896.53) CZK 4,557,430.91 (CZK 4,329,800.60 + CZK 120,852.85 + CZK 59,661.85 + CZK 5,915.61) EUR 2,895.63 (EUR 2,290.68 + EUR 604.95)	USD 141,573.57 (converted from EUR 132,596.91 (para. 323)) (EUR 53,123 + EUR 30,938 + EUR 31,938 + EUR 384.91 + EUR 16,313)	Claimant's claims dismissed on ments (para. 323)	The filtrounal decides (para. 3.23): (1) Each party shall bear its own leagl costs; and (2) Each party shall pay half of the costs of the arbitration.	19 (paras. 304-322)
7-Oct-14 2-Max:17 Ansung Housing Co., Ltd. v People's Republic of China, ICSID Case No. ARB14/25	US\$1 = KRW 1157.9153 US\$1 = EUR 0.9448 US\$1 = CNY 6.9106	NIA Not clear from the award, although UNCTAD investment Policy high nodes that the claim is for USD 16.3 million (CNY 100 million) - see: http://investmentpolicyhub.unclad.org/ISDS/Details/602	CSID Prof. Lucy Reed (President), Dr. Michael Pryles, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 374,661.68 (converted from KRW 54,340 + KRW 433,772,145) (para. 147)	USD 651,600.33 (USD 6,471 + USD 377,424.64 (converted from EUR 356,590.80) + USD 267,704.69 (converted from CNY 1,650,0000) (para. 154)	USD 139,521.69 (para. 167)	Claimants claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione temporis (para. 143)	Claimant ordered to pay Respondent USD 558,460.79 The Tribunal decides (para. 169): 1) Claimant ordered to pay USD 69,760.55 on account of the Respondent's contribution to tribunal costs, and 2) USD 488,700.24 (USD 4.853.25 + USD 283,068.46 (converted from EUR 207,443.10) + USD 203,177.5.51 (Respondent's legal fees)	25 (paras. 145-169)
5-Feb-10 7-Feb-14 Antoine Abou Laboud and Leila Bounsteh-Abou Laboud vi Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/104	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7346	USD 22,269,000 (para. 179) plus interest (of which USD 763,978 for destroyed property, USD 841,022 for profits the Claimants could have obtained from orders received, USD 17,645,000 representing value of Claimants' enterprise and USD 3,000,000 compensation for moral damage)	3. Prof. William Park (Chairman), Karrin Hafez, Marie-Andrée Ngree	UBD 931,287-17 (USD 252-229-10 - USD 10,399-0.05 (EUR 14,833-39) - USD 252-21-16 - USD 10,399-0.05 (EUR 14,833-39) - USD 252-21-16 - USD 14,245.84 (EUR 3,293-83) + USD 13,216.86.94) - USD 14,518-64 (EUR 3,293-83) + USD 13,216.86.94) - Flat fee of USD 267,000 plus success fee of 15% from amounts received (1,728,194 x 0.15 - USD 259,229-10) (plus 6,259)	USD 651,687.49 (para, 558)	N/A Tribunal to inform the parties later	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 1,728,174 plus whereast in compensation (para. 864)	The Tribunal decides (para. 663): 1) 75% of arbitration costs shall be paid by Respondent, 25% by Chlaimant. 2) Respondent shall pay its own legal and other fees and shall pay 50% of Claimants' legal and other fees	23 (paras 641-663)
16-Dec-95 10-Feb-99 Antoine Geetz et consorts v République du Burnandi, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/3 - Award	N/R	USD 175,000,000 (page 480)	CSID 3. Propper Weil (President); Mehammed Bedjaout; Jean-Denia Bredin	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Paties settled and the Respondent agreed to pay the Cilamant USD 2,986,856 to be paid in 12 instalments (+ interest at 8%) (page 527). The settlement was incorporated into the award.	The Tribunal decided (page 529): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees, (2) each party shall pay 55% of the Tribunal costs. As the costs were all advanced by the Claimant, the Respondent is corected for reithesse the Claimant for its share. Late payment will be subject to interest at 6% (page 627).	2 (para. 7 on page 526 and para. 8 on page 527)
6-Mar-12 25-Auc-14 Apotex Holdings line: and Apotex line: v. The United States of America (CSID Case No. ARB(AF)121)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7575	USD 1,900,000,000 (para. 2.34) However, quantum phase bifurcated and therefore full details not discussed (para. 2.35)	SSID 3. V.V. Vender (President), J. William Rowley, John R. Cook.	USD 7,117,273.57 (para. 10.17) (sum of USD 6,717,342.57 (converted from EUR 5,088,387) + USD 250,450 + USD 112,265 + USD 37,276)	USO 1,222,884.38 (pwn. 10.24)	Not disclosed, however a bate of USD 759,000 (USD 700,00 + USD 700,00 holling fees advanced by the parties in total. (para. 10.17 and 10.24)	Claimant loses on the merits (para. 12.1).	The Chimanita ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,785,084.38 (para 12.1): (1) USD 1,222,584.38 for the Respondent's legal costs; (2) half of the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs, i.e. Claimant ordered to pay 75% of all Tribunal costs i.e. Claimant ordered to pay 75% of all Tribunal costs of USD 525.00 (75% of USD 750,000, on the assumption that the Tribunal costs equal the amount advanced by the parties).	35 (paras 10.1 - 10.35)
21-Sep-07 14-Jun-13 Apotex Inc. v The Government of the United States of America, UNCTRAL, ICSID Case No. UNCT/10/2	N/R	USD 8,000,000 (para. 104)	J.NCITRAL (NAFTA) 3. Toby T. Landau QC (Presiding Arbitrator), Hon. Fern M. Smith, Clifford M. Davidson	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	USD 525,814 (para. 345)	USD 277,863.62 (para. 355)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 358)	Claimant shall reimburse the Respondent USD 885,279.31 in the following amounts (para. 358): 10 USD 527.93 in the following amounts (para. 358): 11 USD 527.93 in the following amounts (para. 528): 12 USD 527.94 in the Respondent's share i.e. USD 69,465.91 of the arbitration costs.	14 (paras 344-357)
4-Aug-04 21-Nov-07 Archer Deviels Middland Company and Table 5, 129 in prefetted America, Inc., v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)045	N/R	N/A (amount in para 260 redacted)	3: Bennardo M. Cremades Presidenti), Arthur W. Rovine, Edutrio: Siqueiros 1;	N/A	N/A	NA	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay to the Claimants the sum of USD 33,5f0,081 as principal plus interest on this sum for each month of the period from the date the damage was calculated (31 Dez 2005) until the payment is effectively made, at a rate equivalent to the yield for the month, as the simple interest rate paid on U.S. Treasury Bills.	Each party to bear its own costs, and fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the Secretariat to be borne equally.	3 of 340
20-Jul-87 27-Jun-90 Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. v. Republic of Srl Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3	NR	USD 8,067,368 pius either R's assumption of a guarantee or payment in escrow of USD 888,000, plus interest at rate of 10% from 28 Jan 1987 (paras 9 + 112).	CSID 3: Dr. Ahmed Sadde El-Kolaheri (President), Pol-Berthold Goldman, Dr. Samuel K.B. Asante	USD 164,917.20 in fees and expense incurred in preparation and presentation of Claimant's case (para 116). n.b. This excludes amounts which the Tribunal considered had not been proven necessary in connection with the proceedings.	N/A	NIA	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 460,000 plus interest of 10% from 9 July 1987 to date of payment (page 572).	Respondent ordered to pay USD 54,972.40 representing 1/3 of the Claimant's fees and expenses, and to bear the cost of its own test and expenses. Respondent shall also bear 60% of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and the charges for the use of the facilities of the Centre (para 116).	1 of 116
30-Oct-07 17-Sep-10 Astatid S.p.A. v Republic of Honduras, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/32	N/R	USD 6,020,735.48 (pages 9, 11) (USD 5,569,148.06 + USD 451,587.42)	CSID 1. Eduardo Sancho Genzález (Sole Arbitrator)	USD 637,951.95 (para.84)	N/A Not stated on the Award.	N/A Not clear - claimant advanced USD 205,026.26 but Respondent did not pay third advance	Claimant win on certain claims - USD 5,488,695.91	The Influental decided that all costs were to be borne by the Respondent in the amount of USD 637,951.95 (para. 84 or page 116)	3 (paras.84-85
28-Feb-08 18-May-10 ATA Construction, industrial and Trading Company v. The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/2	N/R	USD 5,906,828.30 plus interest (paras 44 + 83).	CSID 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C. (President), Prof. Dr. Ahmed Sadek ELKOsheri, Prof. W. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Claimant allowed to continue with arbitration in accordance with its Arbitration Agreement, but claim regarding annulment of Final Award declared inadmissible for lack of jurisdiction. No award of compensation.	All Tribunal and Institutional fees and expenses shall be borne equally, and parties shall bear their own legal costs.	N/A
8-Apr-08 9-0s-59 Austrian Airlines v. Slovak Republic, UNCITEAL 23-Jun-00 23-Sep-33 Autopita Concestionada de Venezuela, C.A. v. Bolivarlan Republic of Venezuela ICSID Case No. ARB/00/5	NR On 30-Sep-96, US\$ 1 = VEB 169.785 On 23-Sep-03, US\$ 1 = VEB 1.569	Claimed in Memorial between Bs. 25.431,864,000 and Bs. 27.466,327,000 stated in Bolivars constant as of 30 Sep 1965. augmented by an amount stifficient for make the sum equal or date of payment to stated 1956 amount or converted into take the sum equal or date of payment to stated 1956 amount or converted into 445,959,020 and 1905 141,9566,230, plus interest at rate prescribed by Concession Agreement (paras 84,415,421). Claimed in Post-Herrigo Rapy, all amounts awarded in constant bolivers to be option as of date of payment in accord with charge in Consumer Prior lands and confidence of the payment of the converted in the confidence of the payment of the converted in the conv	JNCITRAL (ad hoc) 3: Prof. Cabhrelle Ksufmann-Kohler (Presiden), The Honozoble Charles N. Bower, Dr. Vojliéch Trapi 3: Prof. Ksufmann-Kohler, Prof. Kart- Heinz Böckstegel, Dr Bernardo Cremades	N/A	NIA.	NIA (amounts reducted from paras 142-145)	Reapondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (paris 140). Claimant win. Respondent to pay Bs. 2,055,288,000 plus interest calculated under the "bank nate" method chosen by Claimant according to the Concession Agreement by Claimant according to the Concession Agreement of the Concession Agreement and Concession State (Concession Agreement and Concession Agr	All costs and fees to be borne equally and each party to bear its one legal and often costs (para 146). Each party shall bear its own legal expenses, and 50% each of the artistration costs (para 425).	S of 147
4/17/2003 9_Agc.15 AWG Group Ltd. v The Argentine Republic, Award, UNCITRAL (ICSID Case No. ARB/93/19)	N/R	USD 34,100,000 (Loss for AWG = USD 19.8 million + USD 14.1 million + USD 0.2 million) (para. 21)	JNCITRAL (see para. 3. Jeswald W. Salacuse (President), Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann- Kohler, Professor Pedro Nikken.	USD 1,215,947.82 (para.110) [This figure is for total expenses. No breakdown is provided vis. arbitration costs / legal costs]	Total amount (including the amount spent in the Suez/V/vendicialms) = USD 2,651,444.50 (para. 21)	N/A Not mentioned	Claimant won on merits in the amount of USD 20,957,809 (para 105)	The Tribunal decides: (para. 116): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimants and Respondent shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras 110 - 113)
13-Jul-06 8-Sep-09 Azpetrol International Holdings B.V., Azpetrol Group B.V., Azpetrol Group B.V., Republic of Services Group B.V., Republic of Azerbaljan, ICSID Case No. ARB0815	N/R	N/A I	CSID 3. Judge Florentino P. Feliciano (Presidenti), Judge Charles N. Brower, St Christopher Greenwood, CMG, Q.C.	N/A n.b. GBP 247,863.70, i.e. USD 409,191, incurred in relation to Settlement Application only, including fees and expenses of hearings and advance payments to ICSID (para 106)	N/A n.b. USD 789,760.53 incurred in relation to Settlement Application only, including fees and expenses of hearings and advance payments to ICSID (para 106)	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as there was no "legal dispute" under (CSID Convention or "dispute" under the ECT (para 105).	Each party to bear its own legal costs; costs of the arbitration to be borne in equal shares (para 107).	2 of 107
25-Oct-01 14-3-8-09 Azuric Corp. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12	NR	Alternotive emounts claimed in Memorial 5568, 400,000 using schall investment method; 5516 000,000 4544 600,000 vs. 5483 900,000 5482 200,000 using book value method (depends on date used); or minimum of 5552,900,000 using unjust emichment method (para 411). Additional ARS 120 million of accounts receivables also obtained (para 412), Interest claimed on all damages at average rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit compounded semi- annually (para 439). Claimed post-hearing: minimum of USD 668,414,000 (para 414). Tribunal ignored this later submission when It came to	CSID 3. Dr. Andrée Rigo Gureda President). The Honorable Marc Lalonde P.C., Dr. Daniel Hugo Martins	USD 7,990,00 in costs for preparation, registration and participation in proceedings (pare 432), n.b. Appears to include Tribunal and/or institutional Costs.	N/A	NA	Claimant vin. Respondent crollered to pay USD 165,240,758 Just compounded semi-ormally interest at swerage rate applicable to US int-month certificates of deported furing the period Le at 24,44% from 12 March 2002 to 30 June 2008 (para 442).	Each party to bear its own costs and coursed feet, and the Respondent shall bear the feet and expenses of the arbitrators and the costs of the ICSID Secretariat except for USD 34.466, which shall be borne by the Claimant (para 441).	1 of 442
4/15/2002 27-Aug-09 Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29	N/R	awarding damages (para 416). Claimed in Reply: USD 584,902,941 plus pre- and post-award i compound interest (para 99). Claimed post-hearing: USD 494,600,000 million plus 8%	CSID 3: Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstegel	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as Respondent found not to have breached the Treaty (para 486).	Parties to bear the costs of the arbitration in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own legal and other costs (para 490).	1 of 490
20-Jan-05 19-Jun-07 Bayview Irrigation District et al. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)05/1	N/R	compound interest (para 100). N/A	CSID 3: Prof. Vaughan Lowe, Prof. Ignacio Goméz-Palacio, The Honorable Edwin Meese III	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 124).	Each Party to bear its own costs, and Tribunal costs divided equally between the Parties (para 125):	1 of 125
7/30/2015 5/30/2017 Berkowitz v. Costa Rica Aaron C. Berkowitz, Brett E. Berkowitz, Trevor B. Berkowitz v. Republic of Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. UNCT/13/2)									
4-Jun-03 22-Aux-02 Bernardos Entrincia Fundadores not de Case No. ARB/95/6 Case No. ARB/95/6	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7686549939	EUR 10,860,000 in immoveables plus EUR 1,410,364 in moveables plus 1804 40,000 for uniform disturbance (USD 40,000 for uniform disturbance (USD 40,000 per Claimant) i.e. USD 16,533,545 plus interest of 10% compounded monthly (paras 49,000 for USD 16,533,545 plus interest of 10% compounded monthly (paras 49,000 for USD 16,000 for USD 18,000 for USD 1	3. H.E. Judge Giffset Güllüsume Preedderni, Dem Romad A. Cases, H.E. Mr. Micharemad Wasi Zafar	NA NA	N/A	NUA n.b. Claimants advanced USD 225,000 in respect of 71 buns flees and expenses and ICSID charges (para 148, page 50). However, it is not clear whether Respondent had also made an advance, and so this figure has been excluded from our calculations.	totalling EUR 8,220,000, i.e. USD 10,694,005, plus 10% interest compounded every six months (paras 132, 136.	Each party to been its own costs of representation, frees and expenses of the Tribustian and the changes of ICSID to be borne by Respondent (para 147).	1 of 148

11-Jun-10	25-Jul-15	Bernhard von Pezold and others v Republic of Zimbabwe (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/15)	USS 1 = ZAR 12.5693, 108 1 = GBP 0.6405	USD 153,598,717 (para. 88 onwards) plus interest (CSI Breakdown: Deductably relief + restitution + compensation of USD 02373,717 + USD 718,600 + USD 82,222,461 + USD 13,000,000 (moral damages) + USD 48,17,761,60 + USD 48,17,761,60 (moral damages) + USD 48,17,761,60 (moral damages) + USD 58,000,000 (moral damages) = USD 153,598,717 (paras. 88 et seq.)	S. Yves Forter (President), David A.R. Williams, Michael Hwang	USD 13,269,878 (para. 565) Breakdown: (converted from GBP 4,985,719.92) + USD 844,512.45 (legal fees) + USD 104.002.25 + USD 25,653.74 - USD 192,509.77 LPS 104,002.25 + USD 25,653.74 - USD 192,509.77 LPS 305 (converted from GBP 1,152.95 - USD 34,348.17 LPS 305 (converted from GBP 1,305.10) + USD 1,305.30.91 LPS 305.247 (converted from GBP 2,509.79.06) + USD 1,305.30.91 LPS 305.247 (converted from CBP 2,559.79.06) + USD 1,305.30.91 LPS 305.247 (converted from CBP 2,569.79.06) + USD 11,305.78 LPS 4,509.12 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,569) + USD 1,305.40 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,569) + USD 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,340.45) + USD 1,118.25 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,340.45) + USD 1,118.25 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,340.45) + USD 1,118.25 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,340.45) + USD 1,118.25 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,340.45) + USD 1,118.25 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,340.45) + USD 1,118.25 LPS 4,509.71 (SD 305.75 (converted from GBP 1,450.75 (converted from GBP 1	USD 1,369,531 (para. 963) Breakdown: USD 1,177,836 + USD 6,450 + USD 53, 817 + USD 131, 428 + USD 1,369,531 (para. 983)	USD 1,311,492,79 (para. 999)	Respondent found to have breached Tirelly and Claimants awarded USD 64,896,339. (return of 818 to various properties + USD 27,465,539 + USD 12,263,645 + USD 7,165,302 + USD 1,000,000) (para. 1020.2 and 1020.5)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 14,883,476 (para. 1010). 1) This comprises: USD 1212,822.22 (converted from GBP 7,771,072.63) = USD 17,92.223.38 + USD 52,093.32 (converted from ZAF 662.383.62) as the legal cross. 2) In addition, the claimants' share of the arbitration costs, i.e. USD 765,726.35.	26 (paras 986-1011)
4/25/2003	24-Dec-07	BG Group Pic. v. Republic of Argentina, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1= GBP 0.5057651297	USD 238,100,000 plus semi-annually compounded interest at UNC average interest at applicable to USD six-month certificates of deposit (para 86).	TITAL 3. Alejandro M. Garro, Albert Jan van den Berg, Callemon Aguillar Alvarey C. (President)	USD 624.390 and GBP 3.448,773 in legal fees and expenses i.e. USD 7,443,312 (para 465).	NA	USD 846.571.44 in Tribunal fees, USD 202.956.32 in Tribuna expenses (including fees and expenses of Administrative Secretary and translation costs); USD 59.312.50 in administrative feep and to ICSID se castodian; USD 126.020.74 in costs of Preliminary Conference and evidentiar hearing, i.e. total Tribunal and Institutional Costs of USD 1,236,500 (paras 461-462).	Claimart win Respondent ordered to pay USD 185,288,488.58 and annaes plus interest at the average interest rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit, compounded semi-annually (para 457).	Respondent to bear 70% of arbitration costs, being USD 865.50 in lobal or and additional USD 247.300, and 70% of Claimant's legal fees, being USD 5.210,318, resulting in a payment to the Claimant of USD 247,300 for arbitration costs and USD 437,073 plus GBP 2,414,141.10 for legal costs (paras 460 + 466).	9 of 467
10-Feb-88	<u>30-Jun-90</u>	Biloune and Marine Drive Complex Ltd v. Ghana Investments Centre and Government of Ghana Award on Jurisdiction and Liability dated 27- Oct-89; Award on Damages and Costs dated 30-Juris	US\$ 1= DEM 1.6618/ GBP 0.5732	Alternative amounts submitted: USD 689,961 using UNC investment value method. or USD 1,884,564 using lost profits method, of which USD 1,571,828 was claimed by Mr Bloune as 99.2% shareholder (Section C of BIICL case summary).	ITRAL (ad hoc) 3. Judge Stephen M. Schwebel (Chairman), Prof. Don Wallace, Jr., Monroe Leigh, Esq.	NA	NA	N/A	Claimant win. Tribunal calculated damages on basis of Mr. Biloune's actual investment in MDC. plus interest and costs. Respondents ordered to pay USD 334,637.49, GBP 61,811.67, DM 430.55 and USD 526,721.67 (representing GHC 46,790.982.85) i.e. total of USD 799,454 plus simple interest at LIBOR rate.	Each party to bear its own costs and fees as well as half of the advance payments to ICSID.	N/A
29-Mar-05	15-Jul-11	Binder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R		ITRAL Hans Danellus (President , Prof. Jurgen Creutzig; Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (para. 486).	The Tribunal decides (para. 489): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 of 495 (paras 487-495)
2-Nov-05	<u>24-Jul-08</u>	Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v. United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22	NR	Alternative amounts calculated using "net investment" ICSI approach: USD 19,092,05 assuming 20% lost return, or USD 20,158,775 assuming 25% lost return (para 751).	S: Bernard Hanotiau (President), Toby Landau, Q.C., Gary Born	NA	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim failed at merits stage on causation, or alternatively on quantum: Tibunal considered that Respondent's violations of BIT had not caused the loss and damage referred to and quantified by Claimant (para 788).	Each party to bear its own legal costs, and the costs of the arbitration borne in equal shares (para 813). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Gary Born.	5 of 814
25-Jun-12	<u>26-Apr-17</u>	Blue Bank International & Trust (Barbados) Ltd. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/20	<u>NR</u>	NIA (CSI Not disclosed in the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed as USD 200,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/468)	S: Christer Söderlund (President); Prof. George Bermann; Loretta Malintoppi	USD 1,924,345.96 (para. 202)	USD 1,709,295 (para. 203)	USD 792,902.24 (para. 210)	Respondent win on jurisdiction (para. 215).	The Tribural ordered the Claimant to pay USD 2,502,197.24 (para. 215) (1) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent the amount of USD 1,709.255 representing its legal costs and expenses; and (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration,	15 (paras 200-214)
4-Feb-14		Blusun S.A., Jean-Pierre Lecorcier and Michael Stein v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/3	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9563	USD 196,381,888.52 (converted from EUR 187,800,000) ICSI (para. 48(b)) plus interest	3. James Crawford (President); Dr Starinir Alexandrov; Prof. Pierre- Marie Dupuy	USD 4,957,657.55 (converted from EUR 3,880,337.92) (para 413)	NIA Respondent did not submit the details of the costs incurred (para. 415)	USD 608,821.38 (para. 420)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 423)	namely USD 782.902.24. The Tribrunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 29,410.69 (para. 423): (1) Each party shall bear its own gaic costs; and (2) Respondent to pay USD 29.410.69 to the Claimant as costs of the enhitration.	13 (paras 410-422)
12/3/2007	25-Oct-12	Bosh International, Inc. and B&P Ltd Foreign Investments Enterprise v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/11	US\$ 1 = UAH 8.172997	UAH 6,666,700 claimed in damages including compensation in respect of future lost profits i.e. USD 815,686 (para 227). n.b. Possible that this represents only part of the claim. NPV of the project was estimated at USD 9-11 million (para 38).	Dr Gavan Griffith QC (President), Prof. Philippe Sands QC, Prof. Donald McRae	USD 1,322,770 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (para 289).	USD 914,920 plus UAH 17.331 (estimated at USD 2,101 by Tribunal) i.e. total costs of USD 917,021 excluding ICSID fees (para 289).		Respondent win. Claims failed on merits due to lack of attribution (para 184).	Claimants ordered to pay a 1/8 share of the Respondent's costs (excluding Respondent's share of ICSID fees), being USD 150,000 (para 292).	6 of 292
14-Feb-08	2-Aug-11	Brandes Investment Partners, LP v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/3	N/R	N/A ICSI	3: Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno (President), Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstlegel, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as the BIT did not contain Respondent's consent to ICSID jurisdiction (para 118).	Each party to bear its own fees and expenses. Fees and expenses of the Arbitral Tribunal and of ICSID to be borne on an equal basis (para 120).	2 of 121
4-Msy-10	19-Dec-14	British Carlibbean Bank Ltd. v. Government of Belize, PCA Case No. 2010-18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8174 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.8407	USD 45,176,733.86 (para.116(2)) plus interest	ITRAL/PCA 3. Professor Albert Jan van den Berg (Chairman). Juhn Beschey, Rodfigo Oreansan	USD 2,845,852.00 (converted from GBP 1,823,337.39) (para 316)	USD 523,738.91 (para. 323)	USD 618,422.93 (converted from EUR 505,498.90 (para 315		Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 3,024,973.86 (pcm. 3.26 (i) - (m)) This amount comprises: (1) USD 42,153.88 as a reimbursement of the amount the Claimant has paid in Tribunal costs. (2) USD 2,541,919.98 as a reimbursement for the Claimant is legal costs.	10 (paras 318-327)
16-Jun-11	29-May-13	Burimi ari and Eagle Games sh.a v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7724	USD 19,244,465 (para. 87(3)) plus interest of *approximately ICSI USD 2.4 million*	S. Deniel M. Price (President), Prof. Bernardo M. Orenades, Prof. Ibrahim Fadialah	USD 526,929.05 (converted from EUR 407,000) (para. 156)	USD 451,652 (converted from EUR 348,856) (para. 155)	USD 186,550.59 (para. 158)	Chaimants' claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (page 35)	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 54.677.36 (page 35): 1) Claimants shall pay for Respondent USD 59.225.30 for Responderfs instruction costs (i.e. Claimant responsible for 100% of arbitration costs); and 2) Claimants to pay USD 451.652 (convented from EUR 346.856) for Respondent's legal costs and expenses in this arbitration.	12 (paras 154-165)
21-Apr-08	7-Feb-17	Burlington Resources Inc. v Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/5 (formerly Burlington Resources Inc. and others v. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petróleos del Ecuador (PetroEcuador))	N/R	USD 1,515,603,095 (para. 132) including pre-award interest ICSI	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. digitle Stem; Stephen Drymer	USD 45,571,235.14 (para. 597)	USD 13,429,238.96 (costs incurred in defending principal claims + USD 12,439,746.75 (costs incurred in defending	USD 6,200,000 The sexed says that the costs of the arbitration will be determined by ICSID's final financial statement (size. SS.D.(2)), through the parties obvanced USD 6,200,000 in total USD 3,250,000 advanced by the Claimant (para. 597) + USD 2,550,000 advanced by Ecuador (Footnote No. 1147)	Denies Respondent's request for Reconsideration of the Decision on Liability. Respondent asked to pay to the Claimant USD 379,892,267 * interest (pars. 535)	The Tribunal decides (para. 635): (1) Each party will bear its own leagl costs; (2) Respondent will bear 65% of the costs of arbitration and Claimant will bear 35%, and (3) Claimant shall pay to the PetoEcuador (Respondent No. 2) USD 46,589.72 for its legal costs.	46 (paras. 589-634)
10/23/1995		Cable Television of Nevis Ltd. and Cable Television of Nevis Holdings Ltd. v. The Federation of St. Christopher (St. Kitts) and Nevis, ICSID Case No. ARB/95/2	N/R	N/A ICSI	D 3: G. Arthur A. Maynard, Rex Mckay S.C., Woodbine A. Davis Q.C (President)	NA	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 8.02).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses (para 8.05). Fees and expenses of Tribunal members and ICSID charges to be paid in equal shares (para 8.04).	N/A
16-Mar-05	28-Jan-08	Canadian Cattlemen for Fair Trade v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	Claimed in various notices of arbitration by the various UNC Claimants: USD 235,000,000 in total (para 5).	ITRAL 3: Prof. Dr. Böckstlegel (Chairman), James Bacchus, Lucinda A. Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because there was no "investment" under NAFTA (para 233).	Each Party to bear 50% of the costs of arbitration, and its own costs of legal representation (paras 231-232).	9 of 234
9-Jul-02		Canfor Corporation v. United States of America, Terminal Forest Products Ltd. V. United States of America, NUCTIRAL Decision on Preliminary Question dated 06- Jun-06, Joint Crisco on the Costs of Arbitration and for the Termination of Certain Arbitral Proceedings dated 19-Jul- 17 D. US requested consolidated of proceedings on 7 March 2005; the NAFTA Article 1126 Thorsal was established on 6 May 2005 and Termice withorter from the consolidating proceedings on 10 January NAFTA Article 1120 proceedings in Terminal (para 159).	NIR	Carrior claimed USD 250,000,000 (para 18 of Consolidation UNC Order). Terminal claimed USD 90,000,000 (para 24 of consolidation Order). Terminac claimed 1980 90,000,000 (para 26 of consolidation Order). No. For the purposes of our calculations, only the figures relating to Tembec have been used, as figures relating specifically to the other two Claimants were not available.	(Presiding Arbitator), Prof. Ammad L.C. de Mestral, Davis R. Ficchinson Esq.	In disbursements as of 13-00-05 claimed by Tembee in February 2007. Legal coals for the other two claimants were not available.	(USD 50,086.71 for Art 1120 proceedings: USD 24,06.6.91 for one-shird of considiated proceedings; USD 2678.06 for presenting case on costs) [piezes 179-185]. Art 1126 proceedings: Total of USD 72,164.73 claims of legal fees of in-house attorneys. n.b. This last sum has not been taken into account in our coalculations became Reponder's legal costs vise-vise Cardor in the Art 1120 proceedings were not available.	8.139.15) (para 162). Confer Ant 17:00: USD 972,523.25 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and Administrative Secretary (para 156). Ant 17:26 proceedings: USD 995,509.59 (in Tribunal fees and expenses of USD 980,524.26 and 0150 admin changes of USD 98.02,94.26 and 0150 admin changes of USD 98.02,94.26 and 0150 admin changes of USD 98.02,94.27 (para 176). Phases I. it and 81 (para 176). Phases I. it and 81 (para 176). Task 10.25 (para 176). Task 10.25 (para 176). Task 10.25 (para 176). Total costs of Tember Art 1120 and Art 1126 proceedings = USD 983,944.	hearing (ana 85). Caffer (in January 2007) and Terminal (in June 2007) seached opportion agreements with the Respondent to terminate proceedings with each party bearing its own costs (para 153).	In Carrior and Terminal, parties to bear their own costs pursuant to their especicle agreements. Fees and expenses of 11 120 Thisual in Carlor to be borne in Terminal of 11 120 Thisual in Carlor to the borne in Terminal of 11 120 Thisual in Carlor to the borne in Terminal of the Terminal of 11 120 Thisual in Carlor to the costs of the proceedings when that claimant unisterally withdraws from the proceedings (para 140), Terminal of 120 Thisual in Carlor (para 140), Terminal of 120 Thisual (para 140), Terminal of 140 Thisual (para 140), Terminal (para 1	Whole Award
6/16/2008	<u>5-Jun-12</u>	Caratube International Oil Company LLP v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/12	N/R	Claimed in Memorias (USD 1,005,700,000 in damages, plus 3,7% interest compounded quarterly from 3 January 2006 to date of award, amounting to leaf of USD 1,121,400,000 in genes 2 + 122.) Claimed in Reply: USD 1,140,000,000 plus interest at 3.7% per annun compounded quarterly (para 122). Claimed in Post-Hearing Dirtit (USD 1,415,000,000 plus created at 3.7% per annun compounded quarterly (para 122).	S. Prof. Dr. Kraft-Henr. Bockstegel (President) Dr. Gavan Griffith GC. Arbitrator Dr. Kamal Hossain, Arbitrator	USD 5,946,998.25 total costs (paras 473 + 489).	USD 14,752,06.71 in professional, witness and expert fees and expenses (paras 480 + 485).	ICSIO depositis of USD 950,000 paid by Respondent, and of USD 975,000 paid by Colamer (Including USD 2500) CSIO registration fee), totalling USD 1,928,000 (paras 485-486).	prespondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to satisfy burden of proof (paras 468-469).	Claiment to pay Respondent USD 3,200,000, comprising USD 3,000,000 for Respondent's legal costs and USD 200,000 in recovery of part of ICSID deposit (para 460).	24 of 495
18-Mar-11	15-Apr-13	Caraveli Cotaruse Transmisora de Energia S.A.C. v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/9	N/R	interest at 3.7% per arrum compounded quarterly (para 124). USD 26,389,851 including pre-award interest (para. 105). IICSI	S. Luiz Clavo Baptista (President), Aloris Mourre, Horacin A. Grigera Naon	N/A Not disclosed	USD 2,672,691.82 (para. 154)	N/A USD 425,000 paid by the Respondent (para. 184). Amount paid by Claimant unclear.	Respondent win, All claims dismissed on merits, (para, 185)	Caliment to bear its own costs and expenses and pay Respondent for its costs - both artifaction and legal costs - amounting to USD 3,097,691.82 (parex. 194- 185)	1 (para.186)

30-Aug-05	Stat	gill, Incorporated v. United Maxican lees, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)05/2	ur -	USD 121.810,069. Procugit to present value considering the litter value and opportunity cost of more, yill 46.77%, attributed to Calf (Cargill's wholly owned Mexican enterprise) and 52.2% attributed to Calf (Cargill's wholly owned Mexican enterprise) and 52.2% attributed to Cargill (para 463). Alternative emount of USD 100,000,000 submitted by Calimant to eliminate the effect of attributing duties period (para 450). Tribunal adopted this Alternative Damage Model as the basis for its calculation of damages (paras 459 + 538.)	3. Dr. Michael C. Pryles (President), Prof. David D. Caron, Prof. Donald M. McRae	USD 3.359,846 in legal representation and assistance costs (para 561).	NIA TOTAL TO	N/A	Claimant win, Respondent ordered to pay USD 77,332,340 pays est at the U.S. Monthly Bank Loan Prime Rate, compounded annually (garas 5, 540 = 544).	Respondent to bear all arbitration costs and half of the Claimant's legic costs (pars 45.7). Paragraph 561: Respondent to pay all of the costs of the subtration and half of Claimant's costs of legal costs of representation, a told of USS 2,268.4(4), and Claimant to maintain responsibility for the remaining half of its legal representation, and set of USS 3,269.4(4), and Claimant to maintain responsibility for the remaining half of its legal representation, and settlement of USS 3,269.4(3) are misclates to the arbitration costs USS 3,269.4(3) on misclates to the arbitration costs includes the Respondent's costs. It has been includes the Respondent's costs. It has been includes the Respondent's costs. It has been includes the Respondent's costs. It has been claimant's costs, which would therefore total USD 3,300,446. In Tribunal applied not only Art 58 of the ICSID Additional Facility Arbitration Rules but also Art 40(1) and (2) of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (pars 545).	4 of 562
18-Dec-01	Cas	L v. Republic of Kazakhstan, SCC Le 122/2001 sdictional Award dated 01-Jan-03; Final	JS\$ 1 = EUR 0.8310320232	EUR 178,892,338, estimated by Tribunal at around USD Stockholm Chamber of 219,000,000 (or USD 215,285,276 according to actual conversion rate at date of Award) (page 124).	Norway (Chairman), James H. Carter, Christer Soderfund	N/A	N/A	EUR 123,000 i.e. USD 148,009 for cost of the arbitration (page 124).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as there was no legal basis for finding expropriation or damage (pages 175-176).	Parties to bear costs of arbitrators and Arbitration Institute in equal shares (page 176).	
7-Nov-02	17-Dec-03 CDC	nd dated 01-Jan-04 C Group jev. Republic of C Group jev. Republic of Chelles, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/14	JS\$ 1 = GBP 0.5675470551	Claimed in Request for Advintum. GBP 2,103.379.32 i.e. USD 3,706.089 four Informer amounts over under guarantees not yet calculated by the Claiment (genz 20). Claimed subsequently: principal, interest and premiums owing under the guarantees amounting to GBP 2,446,701.35 i.e. USD 4,311,011, plus further interest according to GBP 61 per sequence of the common of the common of the common of the guarantees (genz 62).	1: Sir Anthony Mason, AC KBE	GBP 13.205.15 sought is "indemnity costs" i.e. USD 219.004 (para 63). n. b. 1 appears sufficiently certain that this sum represents legal fees and disbursements only - see wording of para 63 and of the final Award on page 22.	NA .	NA. n.b. Respondent was ordered to pay USD 40,000 in reimbursement of the Claimant's payment in respect of the fise and expenses of the Tribunal (page 23). However, the amount of the table davinces made by the Claimant and/order are not claimfield and so this figure has been architected.	Claiment win. Respondent ordered to pay GBP 1.71.1096.73 as principal oxing under the guarantees and GBP 67.291.64.5 as interest oxing under the guarantees, totaling 68P 2.44.61.02 DB 4.306.272. plus interest at a daily rat e of GBP 61 from 25 August 10.7 December 2005, plus harber interest provided for typ the loan agreements until date of payment (para 60).	Respondent to bear Chimmet's legal fees and relationsements of GBP 100,000 to USB 174: 817 and to pay USB 40,000 to the Chimmet in reimbursement of fees and expenses pad to the Tribunal and Centre (para 63). 1. Undear whather the USB 40,000 symperat represented fill reimbursement of the Tribunal's fees and expenses or whather the USB 40,000 symperat represented fill reimbursement of the Tribunal's fees and expenses, or whather the VSB 40,000 symperate reimbursement of a larger advance made by the Claimant. This figure has therefore been excluded from Claimant. This figure has therefore been excluded from	1 of 63
20.11.14	26-Jul-16 (ECS	AC Meldings Limited v Montenegro 3	IS\$ 1 = EUR 0.0105	N/A Not disclosed in award (see pairs, 40) but according to GAR. Claimant claims "nove than" USD 658,978,583 (converted from EUR 600,000,000). http://globalsrbitascoreeview.com/larticle11033243/montenegio- faces-rrew-icasi-claim	3. Benard Heodisu (President), William W. Park, Brigite Stem	USD 2,530,831.63 (para. 215)	USD 950,772.83 (converted from EUR 855,678.51) (para. 219).	USD 446,125.32 (para. 222)	Tribbund dieddes it has no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (pars. 212) Respondent win on jurisdiction. Tribbund finds that CEAC does not have a "seat" in Oppur and does not qualify as an investor for purpose of Article (1)(b) of the BIT, and finds that it lacks jurisdiction to hear this case.	our costs awards activations. Claimant of ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,22,79.8 52 (pars. 2:23) The amount comprises (pars. 2:23): (1) the Claimant shall pay all of the Tribunat's costs (USD 446, 125, 3:2) and therefore shall reimburse the Respondent USD 7,76.8 1-20 (governed from EUR 77,05.71 - which represents the Respondent USD 77.6 6.1-20 (governed from EUR 77,05.71 - which represents the Respondent's legal costs mirrus the costs noursed in connection with the Preliminary Objections Phase; (3) the Respondent's request for interest on any costs awarded to it is rejected.	12 (paras. 214-225)
9/28/2006	Rep	nentownia "Nowa Huta" S.A. v. hublic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. B(AF)/06/2	WR	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 4,648,157,411 plus interest (paras 24 + 123). Claimed in Memorial: minimum of USD 4,000,000,000, to be	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Horiourable Marc Laloride, P. C., O.C., O.C., O. Christopter Tromas,	USD 873,198.70 in legal advisor and notary fees plus USD 415,251.25 in disbursements i.e. USD 1,288,449.95 (paras 87 + 173).	USD 3,859,053.35 in legal fees plus USD 1,045,768.71 in disbursements, i.e. USD 4,904,822.06 (paras 90 + 173).	USD 400,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and of ICSID Secretariat (para 178).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed in its entirety on jurisdictional grounds, as Claimant (f) was unable to prove that it owned an "investment" and (ii) had brought its claim fraudulently and in bad stift (para 179).	Claimant to bear all ICSID costs, resulting in a USD 325,000 payment to the Respondent, and all Respondent's legal costs of USD 4,904,822.06 (para 178). Tribunal calculates total payment to be made by	7 of 179
2/19/2013	7-Mar-17 Cen	vin Investissements S.A. and Rhone	V/R	quantified in quantum phase (paras 45 + 158).	3. Alexis Mourre (President):	USD 1,358,500 (para. 704)	USD 1,560,021 (para. 709)	USD 1,061,906.95 (para. 711)	Claimant win on the merits but no damages awarded	Claimant to Respondent as USD 5,304,822.06 (para 179). The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay USD	22 (paras 704-725)
	Inve	estissements S.A. v. Republic of sta Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/2	_	Not clear from the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed was USD 30,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/526)	Ricardo Ramírez: Andres Jana				(pars. 726)	1,045,487.24 (para. 726): (1) Claimant must pay its share of the arbitration costs plus 50% of Respondent's share; and (2) Claimant must pay its own legal costs plys 50% of Respondent's costs (para 719).	
25-Apr-97	v. S	koslovenska Obchodni Banka, a.s. lulovak Republic, ICSID Case No. 3997/4	SS\$ 1 = SKK 28.593762	Claimed in Memoriat: SNG 2.659,5907.271 for principal and interest disc unider On an agreement plus SNG 0.664,537,558 for additional losses, plus interest neach case; and sums to be quantified at later date for last productive management lame and professional fees and expenses i.e. minimum of SNG 337,244,62,290 and SNG 1.764,93,635 (pine 3.6). Claimed in final submission: SNG 32,443,747,036 in a chall damage, SNG 7.87,513,545 in lost addroined gains, i.e. 40,300,940,576 or USD 1,409,4315,1210 (pine 3.9).	3. Prof. Hans van Houtle (President), Prof. Pemo Bemardini, Prof. Andreas Bucher	USD 16,351,846 including all costs and expenses of the proceeding (para 369).	USD 14,314,236.17 in total costs associated with proceeding including attorney's fees and expenses (para 370).	NIA.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay SG2 2479-58.91.84 (2479-58.91) (2479-58.91	Respondent be pay USD 10.000,000 as a contribution to Claimant's costs, expenses, coursel fees, and share of Tribunal and ICSID costs (paras 372 + 374).	5 of 374
8-Aug-62	Rep ARE Deci 2003 n.b. Cha Inter B. W		ISS 1 = EGP 5.736513/ EUR 7.766052	Claimed by original claimants. In Request for Arbitration dated ICSID 20Mey 2002, minimum of USD 100 000,000 plus interest at 10% compounded quarterly (para 33). Claimed in Reply dated 30 Oct 2005: USD 73 034.224 plus interest for Champion Trading and USD 202,188,997 for Ameritrade International plus interest, tochampion 120 03 035,171,121 for the remaining two Claimants (para 37).	3. M. Robert Briner (Chairman), Mr L. Yves Fortier, Q.C., Prof. Laurent Aynès	r 3 7 8	EUR 9,738.30 (para 167). Merits phase: USD 548,075 plus EGP 152,679.88 plus EUR 19,791.48 (para 168).	USD 450,000 in advance payments made by parties for Tribural flees and expresse and ICSID expenses and administrative flee (para 166).	Respondent vinic Claims dismissed on mentils as Claimantals had failed to discharge burden of proof (para 164).	Chimmets to bear total emount of Tribunal and CSID fees and egopress, resulting in a pyment to the Respondent of USD 225,000 (para 178). Claimant to bear one half of the Respondent's expenses, resulting in payment for Respondent of USD 334,007 50 plus EGP 194,350 plus EUR 14,765 (para 177). Tribunal Softher half that the three original individual claimants were piority and severally label to the Respondents for 20% of the total amount owed by the Claimant, it. but 506,867,50 plus EUR 2,553 in respect of Respondents expenses, and USD 45,000 in respect of Tribunal and ICSID fees and expenses (para 178).	14 of 178
28-Apr-11	21-Jan-16 Chainve	rranne B.V. and Construction strength (962/2012)	IS\$ 1 = EUR 0.9230	N/A SCC Redacted	3. Afters Mourre (Presidenti), Guido Santiligo Tawit, Class Wen Wolzeser	NVA Reducted	N/A Reducted	NUA Reducted	Claimant lost on the ments	This Titloual decides that Claiment shall gry to the Respondent USD 1,425,998.1 (pane 566-570): 1) Claimant to reimbaurse Repondent in the amount of USD 1,274,302.81 (converted from EUR 1,176,181.31) on account of legic losts: 2) Claimant to reimbaurse Respondent in the amount of USD 159,988.30 (USD 14,533.30 (EUR 13,496.14) + USD 1,5159 on account of legic market costs.	13 (paras 550-572)
17-Oct-02	Cro	emtura Corporation (formerly mpton Corporation) v. Government Canada, UNCITRAL	JS\$ 1 = CAD 1.0227240304	Originally claimed: USD 83,139,672 plus compound interest payable from date of expropriation (para 94). Claimed in Reply: USD 78,593,520 plus compound interest	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kobler (Chalipeson). Challen B. Brower, Prof. James R. Crawford Crawford C	USD 1,294,640 in legal and other costs (para 268). n.b. Small possibility that this includes advances to the institution.	CAD 5,778,467.60 in fees and expenses i.e. USD 5,650,075 (paras 268 + 273).	USD 587,680 in total fees of Tribunal and Secretary; USD 98,253 in Tribunal expenses; USD 2,286 in PCA fees; i.e. USD 688,219 in total (paras 269-270).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as the Respondent had not breached Articles 1105, 1103 or 1110 of NAFTA (para 267).	Claimant to bear total costs of the arbitration at USD 688,219 (para 272). Claimant to bear one half of Respondent's fees and	6 of 273
21-Dec-06	Petr of E 3487	ovron Corporation (USA) v. Republic cristem Cempany (USA) v. Republic Coundor, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 72 List Award on Merits dated 30-Max-10; al Award dated 31-Aug-11	JS\$ 1 = EUR 0.8941603334	(para 55). As assessed for Partial Award: for TexPet, USD 587,823,427 In damages, or between USD 1,484,000,000 and USD 1,485,000,000 in-cluding accumulated interest first at simple rate and after date of denial of justice at immunic compound rate of 11,415 equal to Ecuador's cost of capital (paras 53 + 25). Claimed on 10-Dec-01. after-tax principal amount of USD 523,986,422, plus pre-award compound interest until 31-Dec-10, to a botal of USD 648,786,333 (paras 255 + 338). n.b. Tribunal used the figures reached by the Claimants' experts as the basis for fis calculation of damages, though	S. Prof. Karf-Heinz Böckstegel (Chairman), The Frincrable Charles N. Brower, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	assistance (pans 355). n.b. Claimants also submitted USD 1,203,962.11 as "costs of the arbitration" (pans 355). It is not clear whether these are amounts paid to the Tribunal, and so this sum has not been subded to the LUD 12,390,265.97 submitted as Claimant's right costs.	850,000 as "costs of the arbitration" (para 361). It is not clear	370).	Chaimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 77,738,986 S4 in direct damages and pre-judgment interest, bus additional pre-award annual compound interest, bus additional pre-award annual compound interest at New York Prime Real and 19,449,11, to a close of USD 94,355,366,17 (pares 349-359).	costs at CAD_2889_233.30, i.e. USD_2855_036 (para 273). Each party to bear its own costs of legal representations and assistance (as well as expenses of witnesses and experts), and tribunal costs to be divided equally (para 376).	23 of 376
22-May-12	Indo	rrchill Mining and Planet Mining v possis (CSID Case No. ARBH2/14 1246)	er.	angulet a different interest rate (para 275). Not clear from the award but according to UNCTAD - USD 1,131,000,000. This award deals with an application from the Respondent to dismiss the Clamarits dams on the basis of forged documents, (para 1,000). http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Detailsi4452	S. Gabriells Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Michael Hwang, Albert Jan von dest Berg	USD 4,084,021.34 (para. 536)	USD 12,328,764.16 (para. 553)	Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 507(4))	All Claimant's claime dismissed based on unauthentic documents (para. 597)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 9,446,28 (pain, 557) This amount comprises: (1) USD 80,000 to reimburse the Respondent's share of Tribunal costs; and (2) USD 8,546,528 as 75% of the Respondent's legal costs.	24 (paras. 533-556)
2/22/2000	Rep Part Awa n.b. The:	E Czech Republic B.V. v. Czech ublic, UNCTRAL Lai Award date 1 d. Sep-01; Final rd dated 14-Mar-03 Costs were awarded in both Awards. se have been considered as one for the coses of this methodology.	ur	Claimed in Statement of Claim Respecting Quantum and in Reply Respecting Quantum: USD 256:800.000 (para 31). Claimed in Skelch Coularium Arguments dated 64-Nov-Occidented Skelch Coularium Arguments dated 64-Nov-Occidented Coularium Arguments and 64-Nov-Occidented Coularium Argumen	3. Dr. Wolfgang Kühn (Chairman), Judgs Stephen M. Schwebel, Mr. lan Brownile C B E. OC	N/A P	N/A	Partial Award: USD 1,096,498.26 in Tribunal fees and expenses (para 822 of that award) Final Award: USD 1,351,203.44 in Arbitrators' frees, disbursements and costs (page 161 of that award). Total for both Awards = USD 2,447,792.30	Claimant vin: Respondent ordered to pay USD 289,814,000 plus interest at 10% since 23 February 2000 (paras 520 + 649).	Partial Award (determining liability): Respondent to refund USD 780.000 being a portion of Claimant's critical USD 780.000 being a portion of Claimant's critical Continuation of Claimant's critical Continuation of Respondent to be set to define of Total access and expenditures, being USD 780.9962 A In total or an extra USD 162-748 81 (pare 364 of that award). Final Award (determining quantum): Each Party to bear its own out-of-court fees and expenses. Tribunal fees and costs to be borne equally (pare 3649 of that award).	2 of 650 [Final Award Only]

26-Jul-01	12-May-05	CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8	NR	USD 281,109,000 as far market value on 17 August 2000 in the event that Reported red celes due to the share remains with CAMS (para 356). CMS (para 356).	3. Prot. Francisco Orrego Visulas (President), The Honorable Muro Lalonde P.C. O.C. O. C., H.E. Judge Francisco Rezek	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant vin. Respondent ordered to pay USD 133.200,000 to Claimant plus simple interest at the annualized average rate of 2.51% of the United States Treasury Bills for the period August 18, 2000 to 60 days after the date of the Award, or the date of effective playment if before, applicable to both the value loss suffered by the Claimant and the residual value of its shares.	Each party shall bear its own fees and expenses. The arbitration coshs, including the conference of the members of the Tribunal, shall be borne equally (para 472).	1 of 472
									Claimant to transfer ownership of TGN shares to Respondent, upon payment by Respondent of additional IJSD 2,148,100 - producing total of USD 135,348,100 (paras 468-469). However, the interest on the residual value of the shares shall cease to run upon written notice by Argentina to		
		Commerce Group Corp. and San							the Claimant that it will not exercise its option to buy the Claimant's shares in TGN. After the date indicated above, the rate shall be the arithmetic average of the six- month U.S. Treasury Bills rates observed on the aforementioned date and every six months thereafter, compounded semi-annually.		
2-Jul-09	14-Mar-11	Sebastian Gold Mines Inc. v. Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No.	N/R	N/A ICSID	3: Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naón, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 145,120.59 in attorney fees and expenses paid for and/or incurred by Claimants (para 130).	USD 790,399 excluding legal fees and costs incurred before July 2010 i.e. when the Tribunal was constituted (para 131).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimants had not raised any causes of action under the Foreign Investment Law (para 128).	Each party shall bear one half of the costs of arbitration, and each Party shall bear its own legal fees and expenses.	11 of 140
26-Dec-96	21-Nov-00	Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3 - VIVENDI I	N/R	Over USD 300,000,000 (page 2). ICSID	3: Judge Francisco Rezek, President Judge Thomas Buergenthal M. Beter D. Treshoff	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as there was no basis for holding that the Respondent had breached its obligations under the BIT (para 92).	Each party shall bear its own expenses. Fees and expenses of Tribunal and charges for use of Centre to be borne equally (para 96).	4 of 96
29-Aug-03	20-Aug-07	ARCHIVIS - WENT AND	N/R	USD 316,923,009 in damages, plus interest compounded in CSID from 27 November 1997 (para 3.2.4).	Mr. Peter D. Trochoff 3. J. William Rowley Co ((President), Prof. Carlos Bernal Venea, Prof. Gabriele Kaufmann- Kohler,	Jurididictional phase USD 70.18f. 108 in attorney fees and other costs excluding ICSID payments plus USD 4.312.15 in transportation costs for heating, i.e. USD 706.279.22 (para 10.12). Substantive phase: USD 5.878.612.78 (para 10.14). Total for both phases, including transportation costs = USD 6.684,886.01	NA. n.b. Respondent's counsel withdraw costs claim for jurisdictional phase (para 10.1.2). Respondent claimed USD 78.19.3.5 in total costs excluding ICSID payments of USD 35.50.00 for substantive phase excluding amount of ICSID payments (paras 6.13.1 + 10.1.4).	N/A n.b. No Tribunal Costs given for jurisdictional phase. USD 719,973 in ICSID payments by both parties, for substantive phase only (para 10.1.4).	Claimate vian. Respondent ordered to pay USD 105.00,000 pain interest at 6%, compounded annually, on the amount of USD 51,000,000 do as from 28 August 1997 until the date of payment, and not the further amount of USD 55,000,000 as from 5 September 2002 until the date of payment. And on the further amount of USD 56,000,000 as from 5 September 2002 until the date of payment.	Repondent shall pey to Claimants the sum of USD 70 1951 Gb, being their insensitive legal and other costs for the jurisdiction phase, plus 6% simple interest from date of Award to date of payment (para 10.2.6). Parties to bear their own costs and coursel fees for the substantive phase, and to bear equally the arbitrators' fees and expenses and cost of ICSID Secretarists, beginning the payment of the substantive phase, and to bear equally the arbitrators' fees and expenses and cost of ICSID Secretarists.	N/A
2-Jun-95	17-Feb-00	Compañ ia del Desarrollo de Santa Elena S.A. v. Republic of Costa Rica ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1	N/R	Claimed in Memorals fair marker value of USD 4.200,000. (CSID excluding interest and other amounts. Safe and full compensation for expropriation of the Property (paras 29 + 57). Claimed in Reply. USD 40,337,750 plus compound interest as of date of experipation on 5 May 1976 (paras 38 + 57). "Worst case scenario" claims were USD 22,200,000 and USD	Yvee Forter, Perf. Str Elliu Lauterpacht and Prof. Prosper Weil	WA	NA	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 14,000,000 by way of compensation for the expropriation (film represents principal and adjusted compound interest for over 20 years) (para 107).	for use of the ICSID facilities (para 10.2.8). Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses, and the costs of the proceeding including Tritumal fees and expenses and charges for use of ICSID facilities to be bome in equal shares (para 109).	3 of 111
28-Jun-00	22-Dec-03	Consortium R.F.C.C. v. Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/6	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8056333254	33,400,000 (para 58). EUR 72,186,174.35 inclusive of interest, i.e. USD 89,601,773 ICSID (para 20).	3: Maître Robert Briner (Président), Maître Bernardo M. Cremades, M. I.e Professeur Ibrahim Fadiallah	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits (para 110).	Each party shall bear its own costs and fees, and the costs of the arbitration proceedings shall be borne equally.	2 of 113
3-Feb-03	10-Jan-05	Consorzio Groupement L.E.S.I. – DIPENTA v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/08	N/R	N/A (see page 15, para 7) ICSID	3: Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Mattre André Faurès, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard	NA	N/A	USD 209,900 in costs of arbitration (para 43).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction.	Each party shall bear its own fees and expenses. The arbitration costs, including the fees of the members of the Tribunds, shall be been equally. Consequently the Respondent shall pay the Calamant USD 45,000 in reimbursement of its advance payment for the costs of	1 of 43
17-Jan-03	5-Sep-08	Continental Casualty Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No.	N/R	Minimum of USD 69,000,000 for violation of contractual and expropriation obligations (para 22).	3: Prof. Giorgio Sacerdotil (President), Mr. V.V. Veeder, Lic. Michell Nader	USD 3,323,849.91 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (FN 445).	USD 844,776.43 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (FN 445)	N/A	Claimant win. Claims based on FET and on umbrella clause failed either due to defence of necessity or due to lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (paras 304-305).	the arbitration. Each party to bear its own expenses of presenting and preparing its case, and half of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre's facilities and	
				n.b. USD 3,500,000 claimed in respect of LETE losses, the only claim which succeeded (para 305)	Maria Maria				Claimant succeeded on breach of FET claim in respect of LETEs. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,800,000 plus compound interest at the rates for USD 6 month LIBOR plus 2 per cent compounded annually from 1 January 2005 until payment (paras 305 + 315).	services (sams 319).	
2-Feb-10	21-May-13	Convial Callo v Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/2	NR	USD 104.438,504 plus interest (para. 242) ICSID	Nves Derains (Chairman), Eduardo Zuleta and Brigitte Stern	USD 2,604,937 (USD 2,829,937 - USD 325,000 for ICSID fees) (para. 677)	USD 3,999 \$78.53 (USD 4, 109,978.55 - USD 300,000 for ICSID fees) (para. 678)	USD 1,025,017 as total costs advanced by both the parties Not Clear - Claimant spert USD 600,017.00 (USD 475,017.00 on administrative expresses and USD 155,000.00 on additional (ISD expenses) and Respondent paid USD 425,000.00 (USD 300,000.00 on ICSID costs and USD 125,000.00 (USD 300,000.00 on ICSID costs and USD 125,000.00 additional payment).	The Tribunal dismissed all claims. (para. 681 (3))	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay 50% of the Respondent's costs (including both party and thoral costs) in the amount of USO 2.117.489.27 (para. 601)	12 (paras. 669-680)
21-Jan-11	15-Mar-16	Constant Ministry Comments	N/D	USD 69,700,000 (para. 1.124(d)) plus interest UNCITRA	L/PCA 3. V.V. Veeder QC (President);						
		Copper Mesa Mining Corporation v Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-2)	<u>sur</u>	UNUT FO	S. V.V. Vecadar U.C. (President); Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades	USD 2,639,264.20 (para. 9.4)	USD 5,704,179.92 (para. 9.4)	USD 1,063,515.05 (para. 9.5)	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Ctairmant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5)	The Tribunal decides (para. 9.10): (1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	10 (paras. 9.1-9.10)
10-Jun-14	31-May-16	Copper Mess mining Corporation v Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-2) Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)14(3)		USD 100.000,000 (para. 1.25 of the Request for Arbitration) ICSID plus interest	Bruno Simme, Bennado Cremades 3. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Martilla-Serranc; J. Christopher Thomas	USD 2,639,264.20 (para. 9.4) USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274)	USD 5,764,179.92 (para. 5.4) USD 1,685,991.00 (para. 274)	USD 1,063,515.65 (para. 9.5) USD 460,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para. 274)	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270)	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs;	10 (paras. 9.1-9.10) 9 (paras. 271-279)
	31-May-16	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3)		USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) ICSID plus interest	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Martilla-Serranc; J. Christopher Thomas	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274)	USD 1,685,991.00 (para. 274)	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274)	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claiment did not satisfy conditions experient under DECATFA Article 15 of 1.0, and at present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279): (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	9 (paras. 271-279)
10-Jun-14 2/17/2011		Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSI) Case No.	NR NR	USD 100,000,000 (para, 125 of the Request for Arbitration) ICSID	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Perre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Martilla-Serrano; J.			USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant did not satisfy conditions required under DR-CAFTA Article 15 (1.0, and at	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279): (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;	
	31-May-16	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)14/3) Crystallex International Corporation v Venezuela (ICSID Case No.	NR NR	USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) ICSID plus interest	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Plerre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Maritilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurence Bosson de	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274)	USD 1,685,991.00 (para. 274)	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949)	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear me claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant did not asistly conditions required under DECACHTA Article 16 to 10.1 and at present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims Respondent ordered to pay Claimant damages of USD.	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (gara. 279): (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; The Tribunal decides (gara. 960):	9 (paras. 271-279)
2/17/2011	31-May-16 31-May-16 4-Apr-16	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)14/3) Crystalie: International Corporation v Venezusia (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)14/10) Daimier Financial Services AG v.	NR NR NR	USD 169,090,090 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) ICSID plus inferest USD 3,160,090,090 (para. 719) plus inferest ICSID	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Perre-Manie Dupuy (President); Farrando Mantilla Semano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Laurenet Levy (President), Prof. Laurenet Bossion de Chazournes, Prof. John Y. Golanda 3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Dennings Bello Janeiro Brower, Prof. Dennings Bello Janeiro	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274)	USD 1,685,991.00 (para. 274)	USD 409,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949)	Claiment USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claiment did not satisfy conditions present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims. Respondent ordered to pay Claimant dramages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 991). Respondent vin. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279): (1) each Party shall pay 18 own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 18 own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 18 own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 980): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be still everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be still everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1791,214.41: 1) Claimants shadd bear all the ICSUP arbitration costs and expenses i.e. USD 573,380 12; and	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960)
2/17/2011	31-May-16 4-Acr-16 22-Aug-12	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystales: International Corporation v Versus (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/3) Dalmier Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARBORT David Minnotte & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/13/1 Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of	NR NR NR USS 1 = GBP 0.5101443184/ USS 3 = GBP 0.5101443184/	USD 160,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) CSID plus interest CSID USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest CSID USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest CSID EUR 272,000,000, i.e. USD 339,147,670 (FN 287) CSID NA Claims not quantified in the Award but stated to be USD 35,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/388) Sums totalling OMR 96,406,964, i.e. USD 250,395,948, plus CSID Sums totalling OMR 96,406,964, i.e. USD 250,395,948, plus CSID	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Perre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Mantilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurent Doisson de Chazourues, Prof. John Y. Golanda 3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Judgo Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro 3. Yout plan Lave, CC (President), Professor Maurice Mendelson, GC, Professor Eduardo Silva Romero 3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President),	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 30,493.635 (para. 949) N/A	USD 1,885,991.00 (para, 274) USD 14,322,826 (para, 950) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950). NIA. USD 573,380.12 (para, 215)	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant did not satisfy conditions required under DNC-APTA Anticle 15 (10.1 and at present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims Respondent ordered to pay Claimant amages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 991). Respondent viin. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 286). Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217)	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279): (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the attribution to set pit everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (3) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The cost of the attribution to set pit everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,791,121.41: 1) Claimants should bear all the CSI30 arbitration costs and expenses is. USD 5730.12; and (2) Claimants should bear all the issued costs to cost and expenses is. USD 5730.12; and (2) Claimants should bear all the issued of the legal costs. Claimants should bear and if its legal costs.	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 3 of 286
2/17/2011 8:2/2004 16-Jul-10	31-May-16 4-Acr-16 22-Aug-12 16-May-14	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystallex international Corporation v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) Daimier Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARBOAT David Minnotte & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/10/1 Desert Line Protects LLC v. Republic of	N/R N/R N/R N/R N/R N/R 0.8581 = GBP 0.51014431847 CMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.8503058677 nate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = VER 479.765188664 so 0.9 Aug-0.4	USD 160,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) CSID	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Perre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Maritila-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Lamred Levy (President), Prof Laurence Bosson de Chazournes, Prof. John Y. Golanda 3. Prof. Pierre, Nafer Dipuy (President), Judy, Chafes N Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro 3. Vaggiant Lower, 20 (President), Prof. Dem. Mayor Adelession Ch Professor Eduardo Silva Romero	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 30,493,835 (para. 949) NVA Not disclosed in the award. USD 717,151 in Salates fees and expenses including those of life. Feel May 1, CSP 21, CSP 23, CSP 3, CSP 3, May 1, CSP 3, CSP 3	USD 1,685,991.00 (para: 274) USD 14,322,826 (para: 950) NIA Not clearly mentioned but Claimant was asked to relimburse Respondent USD 931,051,23 for the legal costs (para: 216) USD 471,534.82 in legal costs (para: 301). a.b. Respondent excluded costs brone by Venneri Covernment for 16 ivon costs and time specificaction (in 16).	USD 100,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para. 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 650). NIA USD 573,380.12 (para. 215)	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant did not satisfy conditions present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims Respondent ordered to pay Claimant dramages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 991). Respondent viin. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 286). Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant wiin. Respondent ordered to pay USD 1,000,000 for more demanges including lose of regulation for the parameters of the pay USD 1,000,000 for more demanges including lose of organization (para 287).	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279); (1) each Party shall pay 18 own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 18 own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960); (1) each party shall pay 180 own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay 16 the Respondent USG 1.791.XT.41. 1) I Claimants should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses it. USG 973.380.12; and (2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent a total of USG 1.77.74.129 as part of the legal costs	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 3 of 286 4 (paras 213-216)
2/17/2011 8/2/2004 16-Jul-10	31-May-16 4-Acr-16 22-Aug-12 16-May-14	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystales: International Corporation v Versus (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/3) Dalmier Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARBORT David Minnotte & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/13/1 Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of	OMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.6830536677 n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	USD 160,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) CSID	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Pretre-Marie Dupay (President); Fernando Martifla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurence Bosson de Chazorumes, Prof. John Y. Golanda 3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupay (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Demirgo Bello Janeiro 3. Vatgfan Love, CC (President), Professor Maurice Mendelson, OC, Professor Eduardo Silva Romero 3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Jan Paulison, Prof. Ahrned S. El-Kosheri	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 30,493,635 (para. 949) NVA Not disclosed in the award. USD 717,101 in Salars fees and expenses including those of Mr. Fahnallahir (GBP 21,209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. JH Socit, CMR 7,5,500 (USD 196,089) in KPMG fees. CMR 4,147 (USD 10,771) in expenses and directly by Claimant for vielt by counsel, expert and withresses to Miscact OMR 3,881 plus EUR 41,72 (USD 10,339 - 26,604) in expenses incurred by Claimant for representatives and withresses for heaving) (para 309).	USD 1,485,991.00 (para: 274) USD 14,322,826 (para: 950) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950). NIA USD 573,380.12 (para. 215) USD 225,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance on costs (para 300). USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSIC for administrative expenses of proceedings including Yribun fees and expense (para 301).	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimans of do not satisfy conditions required under DNCAFTA Anticle 15 (10.1 and at present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims Respondent ordered to pay Claimant Gamages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 991). Respondent of each of BIT (para. 991). Respondent win. All claims dismissed for tack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 286). Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217)	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279); (1) each Party shall pay 15 own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 15 own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960); (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The costs of the artifation to be still everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the artifation to be still everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Cisimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1791,714.12 in the Cisil arbitration costs and expenses it. USD 973,380.12; and (2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent a total of USD 172,774.12 as part of its legal costs Claimant to bear 30% and Respondent 70% of the arbitration costs. On basis of amounts davanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 275,500 was borne by Respondent and USD 275,000 vas borne by Respondent flows an extra 20% or USD 85,000. Respondent to pay an additional USD 400,000 to the	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 3 of 296 4 (paras 213-216)
2/17/2011 8/2/2004 16-Jul-10 8/2/2005	31-May-16 4-Apr-16 4-Apr-16 22-Aus-12 16-May-14 6-Feb-98	Corone Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystaller International Corporation v Venezuels (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) Daimter Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, CISID Case No. ARBMAP/11/2) David Minnotte & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/10/1 Desort Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennen, ICSID Case No. ARBMAP/10/1 Desort Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennen, ICSID Case No. ARBMAP/10/1 Desort Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennen, ICSID Case No. ARBMAP/10/1 Desort Line ARBMAP/10/10/1 Desort Line ARBMAP/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/	OMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.6830536677 n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest USD 350,000,000 (http://investment/policy/hub.unctad.org/ISDS/Detailu/388) Sums totaling OMR 66,409,654, i.e. USD 260,395,848, plus interest at 71% from date amounts were duse (para 81) USD 5,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and PCAUNC second Notice of Visiter)	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Prerre-Marie Dupuy (President); Farando Mantilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurent Elevy (President), Prof. Laurent Elevy (President), Prof. Defense Control of Charles 3. Prof. Pleme-Marie Dupuy (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Doming Delid Janetro 5. Prof. Pleme-Marie Dupuy (President), August Charles N. Brower, Prof. Doming Delid Janetro 5. Prof. Pleme-Marie Dupuy (President), Professor Maurice Mendelson, OC, Professor Maurice Mendelson, OC, Professor Eduardo Silva Romero 3. Prof. Pleme-Tercele (President), Prof. Jan Paulisson, Prof. Almed S. El-Koaheri 11TRAL 3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Hon.	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 30,493,835 (para. 949) NA Not disclosed in the award. USD 717,191 in Salams fees and expenses including those of Mr. Fathallah, CBP 21,209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H Soott, CMR 7,5,000 (USD 160,09) in KPMC fees; CMR 4,147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant for visit by counsel, expert and withersees to Muscatt, CMR 7,381 incurred by Claimant for representatives and witnesses for hearing) (para 300). i.e. btal of USD 1,002,569 N/A Not disclosed.	USD 1,485,991.00 (para: 274) USD 14,322,826 (para: 950) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950). NIA USD 275,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance on costs (para 300). USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSIC for administrative expenses of proceedings including Tribuna fees and expenses (para 301). I.e. Total of USD 425,000 advanced to ICSID Exact figure not given but USD 1,660,000 advanced by the parties in total (para, 557(4))	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for extriction was time-barred as the Calment of a not sailly conditions required under DR-CAFTA Article 18.10.1, and an present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims Respondent ordered to pay Claimant damages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BTI (para. 561). Respondent win. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 276). Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant's claims dismissed on the claim of the control of the claim	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279): (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the attribation to set pit everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the attribation to set pit everly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USO 1,781,714.41: 1) Claimant should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses is. USO 573,365.12; and 2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent a total of USO 12,77.71.29 as part of its geal costs to USO 1,77.71.29 as part of its geal costs to USO 1,77.500 by Claimant, i.e., Respondent and USO 275,00 v uso bome by Respondent to pay an additional USO 400,000 to the Claimant for legal expenses (para 304). Claimant ordered to pay USO 1,625,649.95 (para. 61) This amount comprises: (1) the Claimant shall pay 273 of the Respondent's begat costs (USO 1,356,166.16).	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 13 (paras. 948-960) 4 (paras 213-216) 6 of 304
2/17/2011 8/2/2004 16-Jul-10 8/2/2005	31-May-16 4-Agr-16 22-Aug-12 16-May-14 6-Feb-08	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystalies International Corporation v venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) Delimiter Financial Services AG v. Agreetine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) David Minnotis & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Tolland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/10/1 Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB(96/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB(96/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB(96/17) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Deutsche Bank AG v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Srl Lanks, ICSID	OMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.6830536677 n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	USD 160,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) USD 160,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest EUR 272,000,000, i.e. USD 339,147,670 (FN 287) CSID NA CSID (A) CSID (A) CSID (A) CSID (A) CSID (A) USD 3,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/SDS/Defailu/388) USD 1,000,000 Chap://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/SDS/Defailu/388) USD 5,000,000 GNR 66,409,954, i.e. USD 250,395,548, plus interest at 7% from date amounts were due (para 81) USD 5,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) In addition, see paras 182, 209 on the award for jurisdiction) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest) USD 6,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and escend Notice of Interest (para 8(2)).	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President); Farando Mantilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas 3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Dr. Charles College Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janelro 3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janelro 3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Dr. Charles Charles N. Professor Eduardo Silva Romeso 3. Prof. Brema Tarcial (President), Prof. Jan Paulison, Prof. Ahmed S. B-Kosheri 3. Prof. Dr. Bernard Flenostau (President), Mr. Malshdoonn Ali 3. Prof. Dr. Bernard Flenostau (President), Mr. Malshdoonn Ali	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 30,493,635 (para. 949) NVA Not disclosed in the award. USD 717,191 in Salains fees and expenses including those of Mr. Fahalalah. (SBP 2) 209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H. A. 147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant 44,147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant 5 (SBP 2) 209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H. A. 147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant 5 (SBP 2) (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H. A. 147 (USD 10,771) in expenses and witnesses for healthy) (para 300). Le. blad of USD 1,002,599 NVA Not disclosed.	USD 1,885,991.00 (para, 274) USD 14,322,826 (para, 950) NIA Not clearly mentioned but Claimant was asked to relimburse Respondent USD 931,051.23 for the legal costs (para, 216) USD 471,534.82 in legal costs (para 301). a. h. Respondent excluded costs (para 301). a. h. Respondent excluded costs bone by Venneri Government for its own costs and time spant participating in proceedings. USD 2,838,108.24 (converted from CAD 3,453,015.95) (para 22)	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950). NIA. USD 573,380.12 (para. 215) USD 225,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance or costs (para 300). USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSIC for administrative expenses of proceedings including Tribuns fees and expenses (para 301). a. Total of USD 425,000 advanced to ICSID Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 557(4))	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for exhibitation was time-barred as the Claimand of not satisfy conditions required under DR-CAFTA Article 18: 10.1, and all present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims research to the property of the conditions of the property of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of the property of the conditions of the conditions of the property of the property of the conditions of the conditions of the property of the conditions of the property of the conditions of the property of	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279); (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 179.17.14.12 1) Claimants should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses it. USD 573.380.12; and (2) Claimant should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses it. USD 573.380.12; and (2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent to total of USD 12.17.74.129 as part of its legal costs Claimant bear 30% and Respondent 70% of the shibitation costs. On basis of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 207.500 was beautiful and the spill of the shibitation costs. On basis of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 207.500 was beautiful and the spill of the spill of the shibitation costs. On basis of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 207.500 was beautiful and the spill of the Respondent to pay an additional USD 400,000 to the Claimant for legal expenses (para 304). Claimant comprises: (1) the Claimant shall pay 203 of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1.358, 188, 18); (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs (USD 267, 881.73).	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 13 (paras. 948-960) 3 of 286 4 (paras 213-216) 6 of 304 61 (it is an Award on Costs) (paras. 1-61)
2/17/2011 8/2/2004 10-Jul-10 8/2/2005 29-Apr-11 17-Feb-09	31-May-16 4-Agr-16 22-Aug-12 16-May-14 6-Feb-08 17-Aug-15	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystalie: International Corporation v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) Daimiter Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) David Minnotta & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/10/1 Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennes, ICSID Case No. ARB(05/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennes, ICSID Case No. ARB(05/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennes, ICSID Case No. ARB(05/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25)	OMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.6830536677 n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) Dust interest USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest CSID EUR 272,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest CSID OSID OSI	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Perre-Marie Dupuy (President); Farrando Martilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas S. Dr. Laurent Lery (President); Prof. Laurence Bosson de Chazournes, Prof. John Y. Golanda 3. Part Filers-Marie Dipuy President Name Brown Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro 3. Vaughan Lowe, GC (President), Professor Mauries Mendelson, OC, Professor Eduaries Silve Romero 3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Jan Paulisson, Prof. Ahrned S. Bi-Kosheri 3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Hon. Michael Chertoff, Vaughan Lowe 3. Prof. Dr. Bernard Handiau (President), M. Makindoon Ali Kolan, Prof. David A. R. Williams OC 3. Prof. Cabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Dr. Enrique Gomez (President), D	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 36,493,635 (para. 949) NVA Not disclosed in the award. USD 717,191 in Salars fees and expenses including those of Mr. Pathallain; GBP 21,209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. JH Scott, CMR 75,500 (USD 169,089) in KPMG fees; CMR visit by common (e.port and witnesses to Muracu ACM State (support and witnesses of thearing) (para 300). e. total of USD 1,002,569 NVA Not disclosed. USD 7,985,127,36 submitted in Statement of Costs including rigid fees and expenses gave 376).	USD 1,885,991.00 (para, 274) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para. 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950). NIA USD 573,386.12 (para. 215) USD 225,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance on costs (para 300). USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSID are administrate spenses of proceedings including Tribuns fees and expenses (para 301). I.a. Total of USD 425,000 advanced to ICSID Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 537(4)). USD 200,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance costs to ICSID Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 537(4)).	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant day of the state of the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant day of the state of the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for state of the property	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279); (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960); (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USS 0.78, VLT.44.* 1) Claimant should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses it. USD 973,380,12; and (2) Claimant should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses. Ic. USD 973,380,12; and (2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent to 650 in the Spill costs. Claimant bear 30% and Respondent 70% of the shirtistion costs. On basic of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 279,500 was beautiful and the spill costs. On basic of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 270,500 was beautiful and the ICSID of the Spill costs. Claimant for legal expenses (para 304). Respondent to pay an additional USD 400,000 to the Claimant for legal expenses. Cut USD 85,000. Respondent to pay USD 7,625,648,95 (para. 61) This amount comprises: (1) the Claimant shall pay 203 of the Respondent's legal costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration equally and each part shall bear the costs	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 3 of 286 4 (paras 213-216) 6 of 304 6 of 304 15 of 590
2/17/2011 8/2/2004 16-Jul-10 8/2/2005	31-May-16 4-Agr-16 22-Aug-12 16-May-14 6-Feb-08 17-Aug-15	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3) Crystalie: International Corporation v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) Daimiter Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2) David Minnotta & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/10/1 Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennes, ICSID Case No. ARB(05/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennes, ICSID Case No. ARB(05/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Vennes, ICSID Case No. ARB(05/17) Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25) Destroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25)	OMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.6830536677 n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) plus interest USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest EUR 272,000,000, i.e. USD 339,147,670 (FN 267) ICSID NIA CLiams not quantified in the Award but stated to be USD 35,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unclad.org/ISDS/Details/388) Sums bataling OMR 96,409,954, i.e. USD 250,395,948, plus interest at 7% from date amounts were due (para 81) USD 5,000,000,000,000 (para 27) (As per the Claimant's first and executed Notice of Interet) in addition, see paras 182, 209 on the award for jurisdiction) USD 5,000,000,000 (para 27) (As per the Claimant's first and executed Notice of Interet) in addition, see paras 182, 209 on the award for jurisdiction) USD 60,368,993 plus interest (para 8(2)). Claimed in Reply: USD 24,720,904 in damages resulting from uniformed conduct, in the alternative, USD 19,263,434 for impairment of threathert VSD 258,694 in interest of annually compounded interest unit 31 December of consulty compounded interest unit 31 December inclusive of damages (USD 5,67,856) inclusive of interest	Bruno Simma; Bernardo Cremades 3. Perre-Marie Dupuy (President); Farrando Martilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas S. Dr. Laurent Lery (President); Prof. Laurence Bosson de Chazournes, Prof. John Y. Golanda 3. Part Filers-Marie Dipuy President Name Brown Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro 3. Vaughan Lowe, GC (President), Professor Mauries Mendelson, OC, Professor Eduaries Silve Romero 3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Jan Paulisson, Prof. Ahrned S. Bi-Kosheri 3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Hon. Michael Chertoff, Vaughan Lowe 3. Prof. Dr. Bernard Handiau (President), M. Makindoon Ali Kolan, Prof. David A. R. Williams OC 3. Prof. Cabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Dr. Enrique Gomez (President), D	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274) USD 30,493,635 (para. 949) NVA Not disclosed in the award. USD 717,191 in Salains fees and expenses including those of Mr. Fahalalah. (SBP 2) 209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H. A. 147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant 44,147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant 5 (SBP 2) 209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H. A. 147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant 5 (SBP 2) (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. H. A. 147 (USD 10,771) in expenses and witnesses for healthy) (para 300). Le. blad of USD 1,002,599 NVA Not disclosed.	USD 1,885,991.00 (para, 274) USD 14,322,826 (para, 950) NIA Not clearly mentioned but Claimant was asked to relimburse Respondent USD 931,051.23 for the legal costs (para, 216) USD 471,534.82 in legal costs (para 301). a.b. Respondent excluded costs (para 301). a.b. Respondent excluded costs (para 301). OWD 471,534.82 in legal costs (para 301). D. D. Respondent excluded costs bone by Venneri Government for its own costs and time spant participating in proceedings. USD 2,838,108.24 (converted from CAD 3,453,015.95) (para 22)	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para, 274) USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950). NIA. USD 573,380.12 (para. 215) USD 225,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance or costs (para 300). USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSIC for administrative expenses of proceedings including Tribuns fees and expenses (para 301). a. Total of USD 425,000 advanced to ICSID Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 557(4))	Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5) The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270) The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant of and safely conditions required under DR-CAFTA Article 18: 10.1, and all present the Tribunal has no plurisdiction over the claims present the Tribunal has no plurisdiction over the claims Respondent ordered to pay Claimant damages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 691). Respondent win. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 286). Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217) Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 1,000,000 for more id damages including (pasa of reputation Riyalis equivalent to YER 3,056,446,554 at exchange rate of Omani Certal Bank as of 9,404,9753. nb. On 9 August 2004, YER 3,355,446,554 was expanded to the company of the Cambrad's case (para. 340 of the Award on Jurisdiction). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 60,058,939 plus interest based on a nine-month LIBOR rate claims as case (para. 340 of the Award on Jurisdiction). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 60,058,059 plus interest based on a nine-month LIBOR rate as of 50 December 2009, plus a marked-based on Jurisdiction on Dis one-year credit default rate, of 1.12% (paras 573,575,656 plus relies at the simple active rate quoted from the claimant's case (para. 340 of the Award on Jurisdiction win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 60,058,059,059,059,059,059,059,059,059,059,059	(1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 279); (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Tribunal decides (para. 960); (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The costs of the arbitration to be spill everyly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs. The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USS 0.78, VLT.44.* 1) Claimant should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses it. USD 973,380,12; and (2) Claimant should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses. Ic. USD 973,380,12; and (2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent to 650 in the Spill costs. Claimant bear 30% and Respondent 70% of the shirtistion costs. On basic of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 279,500 was beautiful and the spill costs. On basic of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 270,500 was beautiful and the ICSID of the Spill costs. Claimant for legal expenses (para 304). Respondent to pay an additional USD 400,000 to the Claimant for legal expenses. Cut USD 85,000. Respondent to pay USD 7,625,648,95 (para. 61) This amount comprises: (1) the Claimant shall pay 203 of the Respondent's legal costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration copually and each part shall bear the costs of the arbitration equally and each part shall bear the costs	9 (paras. 271-279) 13 (paras. 948-960) 13 (paras. 948-960) 3 of 286 4 (paras 213-216) 6 of 304 61 (it is an Award on Costs) (paras. 1-61)

6-Dec-04		Eastern Suger BV. (Netherlands) v. Cacel Republic, SCC Case No. 008/2004 Partial Award dated 27-Mar-07; Final Award concerning arbitration costs only) dated 12-Apr-07	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.748649653		tockholm Chamber of 3. Mr. Robert Volterra, Prof. Errmanuel Galllard and Dr. Pierre A. Karrer (President)	EUR 3.366,120.35 in representation costs, i.e. USD 4.496,247 (pan 351 of Partial Award). A.b. Claimera dus colaimed an addition EUR 1.500 in costs. *Tor the Stockholm institute.* This has been excluded from Party Costs and added to Tribunal Costs.	EUR 1.242.486.90 in representation costs, i.e. USD 1.656.838 (para 351 of Partial Award). A. Responded tale oclamed an additional EUR 1.500 in costs 15c the Stockholm Institute". This has been excluded from Party Costs and added to Tribural Costs.	EUR 856,719.50 in arbitrators' fees and expenses. Le. USD 1,144,353 (para 5 of Final Award). EUR 3,000 paid by porties to Stockholm Institute, Le. USD 4,007 (para 381 of Partial Award). Le. total fees of Tribunal and Institute amounting to USD 1,148,360	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay EUR 25,400,000. Le. USD 33,927,739, plus simple annual retreeted at 7 percentage points above reported points and the responsibility of the second point of the	Of arbitration costs, 30% allocated to Respondent and 70% to Claimant, so that Claimant bears EUR 309,703.56 in 1004 or an ordiz EUR 171.34.50, i.e. 599,703.56 in 1004 or an ordiz EUR 171.34.50, i.e. 67 or 100 ordiz	6 of 384
31-Jul-09	19-Sep-13	ECE Projektmanagement v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2010-5	U\$\$1 = EUR0.7532	USD 93,320,489.20 (converted from EUR 70,289,000 (para. UI 1.33))	NCITRAL/PCA Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC (President): Andreas Bucher; Christopher Thomas QC	USD 1,249,697.81 (converted from EUR 941,204.50 (para. 6.7))	USD 4,856,753.31 (converted from EUR 3,454,742.59)	USD 1,407,589.52 (converted from EUR 1,000,196.43 (para. 6.4).	Respondent win on the ments (page 382).	The Triburnal decides (para. 8.75): (1) the Claimants shall pay USD 3,260,175.29 (converted from EUR 2,455.584.03) being 58% of the Responderfox costs of legal representation; and (2) the Claimants shall pay USD 492,656.33 (converted from 371.068.75) being an additional 35%-making USD 1,196,451.69 or 68% in total - of the	76 (paras 6.1 - 6.76)
14-Jun-05		ICSID Case No. ARB/05/13	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6766121564	USD 132,676,000 plus interest, based on DCF method (para 110).	Mr. Arthur W. Rovine, Mr. Yves Derains	USD 2,761,308.90 and EUR 3,678,294.82 in "arbitration costs", i.e. USD 8,197,651 (para 321). n.b. May include ICSID advances.	USD 18,574,642.14 in "arbitration costs" (para 321). n.b. May include ICSID advances.	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits: although there was attribution there was no contractual breach and therefore no State responsibility in international law for violation of umbrella clause (para 319).	arbitration costs. Claimant to pay USD 6,000,000 on account of Respondent's legal fees and other costs. Parties to share equally alf fees and expenses of the Tribunal and ISSI D administrative charges (para 329). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Mr. Arthur W. Rovine.	9 of 330
16-Jun-03		EDF International S.A., SAUR International S.A. and León Participaciones Argentinas S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/23	N/R	Originally USD 153,680,000 plus interest (para 717) IC Revised to USD 147,800,000 plus interest based on Claimant's own Liv. or USD 152,000,000 plus interest based on second highest bid (para 880)	3 Porf, Gedorfeller Kaufmann-Kohler, Porf, Jeeds Relendh, Prof, William W. Park (Presiding)	and ICSID payments (para 878). n.b. The amounts of ICSID payments made by Claimant were not quantified, but given that the total costs of the arbitration were quantified by the Tribunal, we have taken half that sum (i.e. USD 816.64) and deducted this from total costs, reducing the Claimant's amount to USD 14,970,842.	of legal team, expert fees, and ICSID payments (para 879). n.b. The amounts of ICSID payments made by Respondent were not quantified; but given that the total costs of the arbitration were quantified by the Tribunal, we have taken he that sum (n. U.20 915.649) and declused this from total costs, reducing the Respondent's amount to USD 2.824,311	arbitrators' fees and expenses and ICSID administrative expenses (para 1346). If a continuous cont	Claimart win Respondent to pay USD 158,158,458 glus interest compounded annually at rate for the len year U.S. Treasury Bonds for the period from 31 December 2001 until date of payment (para 1182).	Each side to bear its own legal expenses, including fees for attorney, and expense. Moritation costs, including the fees of the arbitrators and the administrative expenses of the Centre, to be divided on an equal basis (para 1345).	N/A
13-Dec-13	ļ	Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energia Solar Luxembourg S.à r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/36	US\$1 = EUR 0.9117 US\$1 = GBP 0.7742	USD 229,242,075.24 (EUR 209,000,000) (para. 431)	SSID 3: Prof. John R Crook (President); Dr Stanimir Alexandrov; Campbell McLachlan	USD 5,537,906.21 (GBP 4,287,446.99) (para. 482) N.B. Includes advances to ICSID	USD 3,163,803.77 (EUR 2,884,439.90) (para. 482) N.B. Includes advances to ICSID	USD 956,159.58 (para. 483)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 140,397,060.44 (EUR 128,000,000).	The Tribunal decided (para. 485): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the costs of the arbitration.	6 (paras 479-485)
6-Jun-03 13-Aug-07	25-Nov-15	El Paso Energy International Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15 Electrabel S.A. v. Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9421; US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6619	USD 228,200,000 using DCF method; USD 210,000,000 UC using to Temethod; plus interest "a commercially reasonable rate from the date of expropriation" (paras 698-692). NA CDeclaratory relief and unspecified damages (para. 89) Cuantum phase bifurcated.	SIID 3, Prof. Lucius Callisch (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Brigtle Stem SIID 3. Cabrielle Kaufmann-Kohter, Brigitle Stem, V. V. Veeder (President)	USD 7,959,823 excluding ICSID fees and expenses (para 749). USD 8,668,281 (para. 230) (sum of first phase: USD 5,83,470 (assumes a missing 0 is a typo in the award) and second phase: USD 1,873,190.51 (converted from USP 1,747,372,57), USD 177,877 (converted from GBP 117,737.26) and USD 433,744.85 (para 230))	USD 546,466.71 excluding (CSID fees and expenses (para 749). USD 16,055,733 (para, 230)	N/A Notified to the parties separately (para. 236)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 44,030,000 to the Claimants, plus seam-annually compounded interest at LIBOR plus 2% from January 1, 2002 until the delar off till powhered (part 752). Respondent vion on merifs. No declaratory relief ordered (parts 227)	The Parties shall beer all their own legal costs and expenses. The Parties shall beer equally the costs and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID (para 751). The Tribunal decides (para. 236): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs.	4 of 752 9 (paras 228 - 236)
12-Sep-13	16-Mar-17	EII LIII), and Company v The Government of Canada, UNCTRAL, ICSID Case No. UNCTri4/2	US\$1 = CAD 1.3316	USD 375,485,134.57 (converted from CAD 500,000,000) UI (para. 95) plus interest	NCITRAL (NAFTA) 5. Prick Albert Jan van den Berg (President). Sir Darriel Bethlehem GC, Gary Born	USD 8,968,006 (USD 8,128,444 + USD 839,552) (para. 449)	USD 4.64.46.68 (USD 3.43.8) 86.28 (convented from CAD 4.578.200.29 + USD 1015.499.77 (converted from CAD 1.352.239.50)) (pare. 453)	USD 749,697.97 (para. 457)	Claimants claims of arbitrariness and discrimination dismissed (para. 442)	Claimant ordered to pay in total USD 3,715,661.04. The Tribunal decides (para. 458): 1) Claimant ordered to pay USD 374,848.99 representing the Respondent's share of tribunal costs; 2) USD 3,340,812.05 (converted from CAD 4,448,825.32), representing 75% of the Respondent's legal fees and discussements (para. 460)	18 (paras. 443-460)
17-Mar-09	16-Nov-12	Elsamex S.A. v. Republic of Honduras (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/4)	NR	Claim: USD 11,546,088.81 plus interest (para 190). IC Counterclaim by Respondent: USD 16,545,075 plus interest, minus amount for executed guarantees, i.e. USD 12,790,766.53 (paras 195 + 202).	1: Dr. Enrique Gómez Plazón	USD S24.982.06 in fees and expenses of Cremades & Asociados, USD 79.95.75 in Inchinal reports, USD 68,716.80 in transport and accommodation costs for the hearing; USD 77.80.37 in other costs associated with proceedings; USD 187,141.54 in indirect, general and financial expenses, i.e. total of USD 938,431.48 (para 868).	USD 230,730 05 for legal fees of Doney & Whitney and USI 42,588 42 in expert fees, i.e. USD 273,097.51 (paras 202 + 870).	D N/A Claimant paid USD 496,320.31 for costs of the ICSID fribunal (gara 868), Respondent also appears to have paid ICSID fee but this not fully quantified (para 870), and so this figure has been excluded.		Respondent to pay USD 214/234 0 towards Claimant's legisle expenses in respect of the jurisdictional phase and the counter-claim phase (paras 871-827). Otherwise, each party to bear its own fees and expenses. Costs and expenses of the proceedings, including Tribunal fees, to be divided equally between the parties (para 886).	19 of 886
18-Jul-97		Emilio Agustin Maffezini v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7 Award dated 13-Nov-00; Rectification of the Award dated 31-Jan-01		n.b. It appears likely that part of the claim was for ESP 30,000,000, i.e. USD 155,404, p.kus interest - but there is no reference to actual amount claimed, which may have been higher (paras 72, 94-96).	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Judge Thomas Buergenthal, Mr. Maurice Wolf	NA	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay ESP 30,000,000. Le. USD 155,404, in compensation, plus interest compounded annually since 4 February 1992 at LIBOR rate amounting to ESP 27,641,265.28, totalling ESP 57,641,265.28, Le. USD 298,589 (paras 95-97).	Each of the parties shall bear the entirety of its own expenses and legal fees for its own courses. Tribonal fees and expenses and charges for use of the Centre to be borne equally by the parties (para 98). Each party to bear entirety of its own expenses and coursel fees (para 99).	2 of 100
28-Oct-11		Emmis International Holding, B.V. and others v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/2	NIR	NA Claims not quantified in the award due to the bifurcation of the proceedings.	SSID 3. Campbell McLachian GC (President) , Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, J Christopher Thomas QC	USD 2,594,494.00 (para. 263)	USD 1,866,421.00 (para. 263)	USD 331,267.32 (USD 87,846.13 + USD 243,421.19) (para. 263)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 265).	The Tribunal decides (para 255): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (paras 256-264)
13-Dec-04	2-Jun-09	Empresa Eléctrica del Ecuador Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No.	N/R	USD 326,578,182.18 (irreconcilable amounts submitted by Claimant, see para 46)	CSID 3: Judge Bernardo Sepúlveda (President), Mr. John Rooney, Prof. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 136).	Each Party shall pay an equal portion of the costs and expenses. Each Party shall assume its own costs and expenses of representation (para 137).	1 of 137
24-Dec-02	7-Feb-05	Empresas Lucchetti S.A. and Lucchetti Peru S.A. v. Peru, ICSID No. ARB/03/4	N/R	N/A IC	CSID 3: Judge Thomas Buergenthal (President), Dr. Bernardo M.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction	Each Party to pay one half of the arbitration costs and	N/A
14-Mar-03	3-Feb-06	EnCana Corporation v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3481, UNCITRAL Interim Award dated 31-Jan-04; Partial	N/R	n.b. Total amount invested by Claimants in Peru was more than USD 150,000.00 (pars 17). Claimants sought compensation for consequential damage and loss of earnings associated with the investment (pars 1). Remibusement of denied tax credits of USD 80,000,000 (pars 1).	Cremades, Mr. Jan Paulsson NCITRAL 3: Prof. Crawford, Dr. Grigera, Mr. Thomas	NA	N/A	USD 594,182.69 in Tribunal fees and expenses, USD 4,180.20 in Secretary fees and expenses; USD 39,152.19 in 48,000 fees of the country fees and expenses; USD 39,152.19 in 48,000 fees of the Country fees of	rejected on the merits as the State's actions were not	bear its own legal costs. Respondent to bear costs of arbitration and reimburse Claimant for sum of USD 330,267-44 deposited with LCJA as deposit-holder in connection with arbitration costs. Otherwise, each party to bear its own costs of representation (paras 202 x 204).	4 of 204
8-Jul-10	23-Oct-13	Award on Jurisdiction dated 27-Feb-04; Award dated 33-Feb-06 Energoalians TOB v. Republic of Moldova, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = MDL12.8750	USD 73,649,928.47 (converted from MDL 948,242.829.08). UI	NCITRAL Dominic Pellew (President), Michael Yurevich Savansaki, Viktor Kornellevich Volchinski)	USD 200,000	N/A	USD 343,679.28	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay a total of USD 48,048,962.72 (converted from MDL 592,280,395 (MDL 195,547,212 + MDL 357,916,008 + MDL 39,417,175).	The Tribunal decides: (1) Respondent shall pay USD 200,000 as the Calment's legal costs, and (2) Respondent shall pay USD 340,000 as the costs of the arbitration.	10
6-Aug-12		Enkev Beheer B.V. v. Republic of Poland, PCA Case No. 2013-01 29 Apr 2014: First Partial Award; 13 June 2014: Final Award on Costs	US\$ 1 ≈ EUR 0.7394	Quantum of damages not disclosed. (the UNCTAD website verifies this: http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/660)	NCITRAL (2010 3. VV Veeder (President), Albert Jan van den Berg, and Klaus M Sachs	USD 1,833,431.18 (converted from EUR 1,355,639.02) (para. 32)	USD 935,867.70 (converted from EUR 691,980.58) (para. 3:	3) IUSD 599,616.22 (EUR 450.000 - EUR 6,644.51 remaining deposit - para. 59)	Claimant loses on ments (para. 384 of the Partial Award available here: http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw6208.pdf)	The Tribunal decides (para. 58-59): (1) each party shall pay the costs of the arbitrator they each appointed; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the other Tribunal costs.	60 (1-60 it is a costs award)
26-Feb-01	Į.	Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets L.P v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3	N/R	USD 582,018,216 using unjust enrichment approach, USD IC 472,823,217 using book value approach, or USD 382,016,802 using the DCF method (para 348).	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego-Vicuña (President), Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, Mr. Pierre-Yves Tschanz	NA	NIA	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 106,200,000 plus semi-annually compounded interest at 6 month average LIBOR rate plus 2 per cent for each year, or proportion thereof, beginning on 1 January 2002 until the date of dispatch of the Award (paras 450-452).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and arbitration costs to be borne in equal shares by the parties.	1 of 453
27-Oct-97	l		date of investment (30 June 1995), at US\$ 1 = PYG 1,798.	PYG 2.47,557.500 for unpaid portion of Claimant's investment as of 30 June 1995. Le USD 1.338,742 plus applicable adjustment for devaluation of guaranis from June 1995 to effective payment date, plus interest on that amount at rate agreed in TDIs, plus damages incurred due to failed payments of principal (para 63).	S. Mr. Rodrigo Creammun (President), Mr. Francisco Rozek, Mr. Eduardo Mayora Alvarado	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win Claim dismissed on metts, as requirements for "expropriation" were not met (paras 83- 64).	Each party shall pay one half of the fees for these proceedings and the entire cost of their representation.	1 of 85
11-Feb-03	19-Aug-05	Eureko B.V. v. Republic of Poland	N/R	n.b. Consortium's investment totalled nearly 700,000,000	d hoc arbitration under 3: Mr. Fortier, Judge Schwebel, Prof. letherlands-Poland BIT Rajski	NA	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Decision on award deferred (page 86).	Respondent to bear both Claimant's costs and Tribunal's costs (para 261).	1 of 262
3/6/2007	:	Europe Cement Investment & Trade S.A. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/2	N/R	(para 41). "An amount exceeding" USD 3,800,000,000 (para 26).	SSID 3: Prof. Donald M. McRae, Dr. Laurent Lévy, Dr. Julian D.M. Lew QC	USD 1,011,204.18 in legal costs and expenses (para 183).	USD 3,907,383.14 in legal fees and disbursements (para 182).	USD 259,480 in total disbursements made by ICSID (para 186).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed in its entirety for lack of jurisdiction, the Tribunal concluding that the claim to jurisdiction had been based on a fraudulent assertion of	Claimant to pay USD 3,907,383.14 representing Respondent's full legal expenses and costs, plus USD 129,740 for Respondent's share of arbitration costs	5 of 186
23-Nov-09	20-Aug-14	* *	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7527	USD 178,979,307.82 (para 3.2 of Second Award on Jurisdiction - http://www.talew.com/sites/defaul/tiles/case-documents/talls/de227.pdf) (converted from EUR 131,400,000)	NCITRAL/PCA 3. Sir Christopher Greenwood (President), Brigitte Stein, Alexander Petsche	USD 2,099,454.82 (pares 20-21) (converted from EUR 1,573,470.29)	USD 6,952,703.19 (paras 20-21) (converted from EUR 5,233,299.19)	USD 1,371,012.55 (para. 19) (converted from EUR 1,031,961.15)	president had been used on a traduction assessor of commenting town a commenting town at the commenting town and the commenting town as the commenting town as the comment of the comment	(para 186).	64 (paras. 1-64-1) tives an award on cools)

47.5-1.00	20040040	Comment Madia Vantura CA The	LICEA - OPPO CAOS	N/A	LINGITOAL		N/A	HOD 0 070 074 74 (USD 393,778.24 (converted from GBP 243,945.62 (para. 22)	Decree destrois as the social faces Of of forest as	The Tribunal decides:	
17-Feb-03	(Award on costs)	European Media Ventures SA v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = GBP0.6195	N/A	UNCITRAL	Lord Mustill (President); Sir Christopher Greenwood QC; Dr Julian Lew QC	N/A	USD 2,276,674.74 (converted from GBP 1,410,400 (para. 8,	USD 393,778.24 (converted from GBP 243,945.62 (para. 22)	Respondent win on the ments (para. 94 of Award on Liability).	(1) the Claimant shall pay USD 645,682 (GBP	2/
											400,000) as a contribution to the Respondent's legs costs (para. 20); and	
											(2) the Claimant shall pay two thirds of the Tribunal costs, i.e. USD 262,518.82 (GBP 162,630.41 (para 23)).	i.
6/17/1996	9-Mar-98	Fedax N.V. v. Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3	N/R	Claimed in request for arbitration: USD 598,950 in outstanding capital plus USD 80,071.63 in outsta nding	ICSID	3: Prof. Vicuna (President), Prof. Heth, Mr. Roberts B. Owen	N/A	N/A	USD 100,300 in institutional expenses including Tribunal feer and expenses and charges for use of Centre facilities (para	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 598,950	Each party to bear the entirety of its own expenses and legal fees for its own counsel, and an equal sh	2 of 63
		looid dast No. Attastore		interest, i.e. USD 679,021.63 including interest (para 16).		Hell, Mr. Roberts B. Ower			34).	representing principal of promissory notes due, plus USD 161,245.14 in regular and penal interest due on the notes, i.e. USD 760,195.14 in total principal and interest (para 32).	of the institutional expenses (paras 34-35).	laite
10/30/2001	17-Jul-06	Fireman's Fund Insurance Company v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No.	N/R	Claimed in Notice of Arbitration and Memorial on Merits: USD 50,000,000 plus interest (paras 15 + 107).	ICSID (NAFTA)	3: Prof. Andreas F. Lowenfeld, Mr. Alberto Guillermo Saavedra	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Although Claimant had demonstrated injury and loss of investment, this did not amount to	Each Party to bear its own costs. Parties to share Tribunal's costs in equal shares (paras 220-221).	2 of 226
		ARB(AF)/02/01		30,000,000 plus lilielest (paras 13 + 107).		Olavarrieta, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President)				expropriation. Claim relating to preliminary question lost on a "technicality" and dismissed on ments, and other claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (paras 217-218	Titudiai s costs in equal sitates (paras 220/221).	
6-Jan-14	12-Aug-16	Flemingo DutyFree Shop Private Limited v Poland	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8951 US\$ 1 =	USD 91,200,770.86 (para. 42) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	Hans van Houtte (President);	USD 2,368,293.16 (Converted from EUR 2,119,859.21 (EUR		USD 926,625.51 (paras. 975)	+ 221). Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 20.000.882.58 (para. 976(iv))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD	30 (paras. 946-975)
		Lillited v Polatid	PEN 3.0221			John Townsend; Wolfgang Kuhn	2,544,859.21 - EUR 425,000) (para. 948) (total costs minus Tribunal costs)	(converted from PLN 1,985,488.81 + EUR 1,149.37) (para. 955) (total costs minus Tribunal costs)	(EUR 829,422.49 (arrived from EUR 850,000 - EUR 20,577.51) (para. 975)	Claimank 030 20,000,002.30 (para. 570(iv))	1,705,860.80 (para. 976(vi)) 1) This amount comprises 60% of the Claimant's lea	gal
								(total costs minus Tribunal costs)			2) The parties shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal	
9-Aug-10	18-Nov-14	Flughafen Zürich A.G. and Gestión e Ingenería IDC S.A. v. Venezuela	US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9583 US\$1 = CHP 597.7794	USD 82,285,140.27 plus interest	ICSID	3. Juan Fernández-Armesto	USD 3,800,720 (para. 981)	USD 3,385,122 (para. 984)	Not clear, USD 950,000 advanced in total by the parties	Claimants win in the amount of USD 19,928,261 (page	costs (para. 976(vii)) Respondent ordered to pay Claimant USD 2,399,0 (page 205):	00 23 (paras 979-1001)
		ingeneria IDC S.A. V. Venezueia	US\$1 = CRP 597.7794	(USD 42,133,124.28 (CHF 40,376,173) for Flughafen Zurich + USD 40,152,015.99 (CHP 24,002,048,029) for Gestion e Ingeneria IDC) (para. 51)		(President), Henri Alvarez, Q.C., Raúl E. Vinuesa				205)	(page 205): 1) USD 1,874,000 in legal costs; and	
1/9/2014	15-Dec-14	Forminster Enterprises Limited (Cyprus) v. Czech Republic	US\$ 1 = CZK 22.1110 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8019	USD 36,327,264.20 plus interest	UNCITRAL	Martin Hunter, August Reinisch, Paolo Patocchi (President)	N/A	USD 82,014.95 (converted from CZK 1,813,432.65) (para. 9	5) USD 24,940.76 (converted from EUR 20,000.00) (para. 119)	Claimant's claims withdrawn without prejudice (p. 28).	USD 525,000 in tribunal's costs. The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 40.839.77 (p. 28):	34 (paras 90 -123)
		(cyprus) v. czech Republic	03\$ 1 = 20K 0.0018	(converted from CZK 803,232,139) (para. 36(1)) plus interest @ 3.038% per year from 1 November 2002 on USD 36,327,264.20		Paulo Paulous (Plesident)	Claimant did not claim any costs (para. 120).				(1) USD 15,899.00 (converted from CZK 340,222.7 CZK 11,320) for the Respondent's legal costs (para	9+
				30,327,204.20							112); (2) USD 24.940.77 (converted from EUR 20.000) to	
											reimburse the Respondent for the Tribunal costs.	'
3-Aug-11	8-Apr-13	Franck Charles Arif v. Republic of Moldova, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/23	US\$ 1 = MDL 12.4000 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7688	USD 36,371,878.25 (converted from EUR 27,962,700) (para. 305) plus interest	ICSID	Prof. Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades (President)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win on the merits. The Tribunal ordered Respondent (para. 633):	The Tribunal decides (para. 633):	5 (paras 628-633)
		mondota, room dage no. Arter 1120	USS 1 = EUK 0.7000	303) pius iikeiesk		Dr. Bernard Hanotlau, Dr. Rolf Knieper	Not disclosed	Not disclosed	Not disclosed	(1) to pay USD 529,470 (converted from MDL 6,565,429) (If Claimant elects to accept restitution)	 Each Party shall bear equally the costs and expenses of the arbitration; and 	
										(2) If Claimant elects to reject restitution, then Respondent shall pay damages of USD 2,833,572	(2) Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and cos	its.
										(converted from MDL 35,136,294)		
10-Oct-95	7-Jul-98	Franz Sedelmayer v. Russian Federation, SCC	US\$ 1 = DEM 1.8158056960 / SEK 8.0923076923	USD 7,649,637.61 and DEM 494,430 being the value of expropriated investments and property, in each case plus interest at 30% or alternatively 12.18% from 25 November	Stockholm Chamber Commerce	of 4: Mr Magnusson, Mr Wachler, Mr Zykin, Mr Sandesjo	SEK 1,570,275 in Claimant's costs of the arbitration, i.e. USC 194,045 (Section III).	N/A n.b. Respondent did not claim costs.	SEK 1,168,573 in Arbitrators' fees and expenses plus SEK 220,346 in Secretary's fees and expenses, i.e. USD 171,634 (page 118).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,350,000 in compensation for investments under the Treaty, plus interest at 10 % p.a. from 25 November	Each party to bear its own litigation costs, plus the costs of its own Tribunal member, i.e. Claimant liab for Dr Wachher's costs of SFK 344 967 and	N/A
				1996 or alternatively from date of Award; i.e. principal sums excluding interest totalling USD 7,921,930 (paras (i)-(ii) of Section III).				n.b. respondent du not dann costs.	(page 110).	1996 until date of payment (paras 3.5 + 3.6.3).	Respondent liable for Professor Zykin of SEK 363,6 Cost of the Chairman and remaining costs, totalling SEK 680,346, to be borne by the parties in equal	
				Section in J.							shares (para 3.7). Total arbitration costs borne by Claimant = SEK	
											685,140, i.e. USD 84,665 Total arbitration costs borne by Respondent = SEK 703,779, i.e. USD 86,969	
											n.b. There appear to be a couple of minor errors in floures and calculations given in the Award: (1)	the
											figures and calculations given in the Award: (1) Tribunal calculates the total amount borne by Respondent as SEK 685,140, which doesn't reflect that Professor Zykin's costs as fixed by the Tribuna	.
											are higher than Dr Wachler's; (2) Respondent is ordered to pay SEK 495,000 to the Claimant, which	
											would mean the Claimant would have paid SEK 705,000 for arbitration costs and the Respondent of SEK 695,000 (see paras 3 + 5 of Section VI).	nly
30-Mar-11	10-Dec-14	Worldwide v. Republic of the	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8048 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6374	USD 510,000,000 (footnote 72)	ICSID	Piero Bernardini (President), Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Bern	USD 18,867,681.48 (para. 521) (USD 12,386,291.80 + USD 232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) + USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,029.02,32)	USD 15,375,989.13 (para. 524)	USD 1,341,946.93 (para. 529)	Tribunal dismissed claim due to lack of jurisdiction (para. 530)	The tribunal decides (para. 528):	10 (paras. 520-529)
30-Mar-11	10-Dec-14	Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines [il], ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12		USD \$10,000,000 (footnote 72)	ICSID		USD 18,867,681.48 (para. 521) (USD 12,386,291.80 + USD 232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) + USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	USD 15,375,989.13 (para. 524)	USD 1,341,946.93 (para. 529)	Tribunal dismissed claim due to lack of jurisdiction (para. 530)	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD	10 (paras. 520-529)
30-Mar-11 17-Sep-03	10-Dec-14 16-Aug-07	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services		Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than	ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C.,	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) + USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32)	USD 15,375,989.13 (para: 524)	USD 1,341,946.93 (para. 529)	S30) Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun	al 1 of 406
17-Sep-03	16-Aug-07	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III], ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	ICSID ICSID I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Reisman	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (para 404).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5.000.000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cif the Centre to be paid in equal share by each part (para 406).	al 1 of 406 use ty
		Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 292). Previously Claimant had sought	ICSID ICSID t UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	USD 15,375,989.13 (para. 524) NIA USD 2,641,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 - EUR 195,378.18 - EUR 10,649.24 - EUR 1,724,709.378.18 - EUR 10,649.24 - EUR 1,724,70 (para. 538))	N/A	S30) Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materies as Claimant did not have an	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cf the Centre to be part in equal share by each part of the Centre to be part in equal share by each part	al 1 of 406
17-Sep-03	16-Aug-07	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines IIIJ, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12 Franch AG Frankfurt Alrport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	ICSID ICSID UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremdes, Prof. W. Michael David Williams OC (President), Prof. Hernick Alexandroph.	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (para 404).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cif the Centre to be paid in equal these by each part (sea 469). The Tribunal dicodes (pares 544-546):	1 of 406 1 of 406 9 16 of 547 (paras 531-546)
17-Sep-03	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III, ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARBH93/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	iCSID ICSID UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg S. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. Resistant.	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratice materiae as Claimst Idd not have an Tweetherer Tude the EIT (para 404) Respondent win on the ments (para. 547).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the of the Centre to be paid in equal share by each part (pass 406). The Tribunal decides (pares 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitrals and pay USD 277.311.27 (EUR 196,057.23) to the Respondent.	al 1 of 406 by 1 of 4547 (paras 531-546)
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01	16-Aug-07	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines IIIJ, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12 Franch AG Frankfurt Alrport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Ywes Forlier, C.C., O.C., (President), D. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Reisman David Williams OC (President); Henri Alvarez OC; Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Siv Frankin Berman, Lord Mustill	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment under the BT (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an investment in the new was lack of jurisdiction the Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction me.	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cif the Centre to be part in equal share by each part (para 406). The Tribunal decides (paras 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the shiftend and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall lear its own costs, and the costs the Affinistion shall be borne equally by the two Particle (para 25).	all 1 of 406 see by 1 1 of 407 (paras 531-546)
17-Sep-03	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III, ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czach Republic, UNCTRAL F-W Oll Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No.	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claiman's investment was allegedy more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para. 184) N/A	UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Ywes Fonter, C.C., O.C., (President), D. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. V. Michael Reisman David Williams O.C. (President); Henri Alvarez O.C. Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fail S. Narinnan (President),	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratice materiae as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BT (para 404). Respondent win on the merits (para, 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" i.e. there was lack of jurisdiction the students of the para 104 of the pa	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to beer in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the of the Centre to be part in equal sharb by: each part (para 406). The Tribunal decides (paras 544-546) (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the shiftering and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall pair its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (para 214.24). Each party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (para 214.24). Each party shall bear its own expenditure. Among paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to	al 1 of 406 by 1 of 4547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 3-Mac-06	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III, ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARBB03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBB01/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. 3. M. Fail S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Jan Paulsson 3. Mr. Oston M. Tournand (President), Schreuer 3. John M. Tournand (President), George Constitution Landred	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	NIA USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415,766.89 (para, 545)) NIA NIA USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction raticore materiae as Claimant did not have an investment under the BT (para 404). Respondent win on the ments (para 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "Investment" Lee. There was tack of jurisdiction the substance logistic para 102 v. 214). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "Investment" Lee. There was tack of jurisdiction the substance logistic para 102 v. 214). Respondent win. Claimant failed no establish an "Investment" Lee. There was tack of jurisdiction the substance logistic para 102 v. 214).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the of the Centre to be paid in equal share by each part (pass 406). The Tribunal decides (parss 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitrals and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 160,077,23) to the Neighpordent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pies 214). Each Party shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pies 214).	al 1 of 406 bees 1 for 647 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bees 3 of 136 o
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-05 15-Nov-04	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III] IL SID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ISSID Case No. ARB/03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czach Republic, UNCTRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Making Talkes, UNCTRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turkmenistan	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to selfe with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 184) NIA NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14).	UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. V. Michael Resiran. David Williams CD. (President); Herri Alvarez CD., Christoph Schreuer 3: Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Michael Resiran, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. John M. Townsend (President);	232.679.04 (GBP 148.309.62) + USD 6.248.710.64 (EUR 6.028.962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	N/A USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415,788.89 (para: 545)) N/A N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (para 404). Respondent win on the merits (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" under plant 102 - 214. "Investment" i.e. there was tack of jurisdiction the Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction and of substance together) plants 102 - 214. Respondent win. Claims failed on merits as Claimant unable to prove that its investment hab been expecipation" (para 102) - 114. The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its coan legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the of the Centre to be part in equal share by each part (pass 406). The Tribunal decides (parss 544-546). (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration and pay USD 271-311-27 (EUR 169,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own equally by the two Parties (pars 214). Each party shall bear as own equally by the two Parties (pars 214). Each party shall bear as own equally by the two Parties (pars 214). Each party shall bear as own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses it be divided equally (pars 136). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 362,600.	al 1 of 406 by 1 f6 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 ts 3 of 136 o the 11 (paras 442-452)
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-05 15-Nov-04	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSIO Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSIO Case No. ARB/03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czach Republic, UNCTRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSIO Case No. ARB/01/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Makina States, UNCTRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turkmenistan	USS 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR USS 1 = CAD 1.0081 USS 1 = EURO 7.300 NR NR	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat alloways to selle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para. 184) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	UNCITRAL	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Resistant. Resistant. Resistant. 3. M. Fail S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Jan Paulsson 3. Mr. Oston M. Tournand (President), Schreuer 3. John M. Tournand (President), George Constitution Landred	2322F3 04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,245,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 531)) NA NA	NIA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 196.378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538)) NIA NIA	N/A USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415.795.89 (paira, 545)) N/A N/A N/A USD 1.425.090 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (pairas 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "tweetiment" is. there was lack of jurisdiction the street of the	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cif the Centre to be part in equal share by each part (para 406). The Tribunal decides (paras 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration and pay USD 271,111.2 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own equality by the two Parties (para 214). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to be divided equally (para 156). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 382,500.	al 1 of 406 by 1 f6 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 ts 3 of 136 o the 11 (paras 442-452)
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-05 15-Nov-04	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSIO Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSIO Case No. ARB/03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czach Republic, UNCTRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSIO Case No. ARB/01/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Makina States, UNCTRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turkmenistan	US\$1 = G8P 0.6374	Amount of Claimann's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to set the with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para. 184) NIA NIA n.D. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para. 404) USD 46,100,000 (para. 404)	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jain van den Berg 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cermides, Prof. W. Michael David Williams OC (President), Henri Alvarez OC; Christoph Schreuer 3: Mr. Fall S. Natirman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Michael Reisman, Julio Lacarte Mino, Jain Pauliscon 3: John M. Townsend (President); George Constantine Lambrou, Laurence Bolisson de Chazoumes 3: Prof. Albert Jain van den Berg (President), Mr. Toty Landau GC,	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028.62.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (pare. S31)) NA NA NA NA EUR 1,309,084.74 plus USD 315,016.64 plus interest claimes	NA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.16 +	N/A USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415.795.89 (paira, 545)) N/A N/A N/A USD 1.425.090 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (pairas 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "tweetiment" is. there was lack of jurisdiction the street of the	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Centre to be paid in equal their by each part fees. The Tribunal decides (pares 544-549) (1) such party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claiment shall bear the cost of the subtrasts and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 156,057.23) to the Respondent. Each party shall bear its own costs, and the costs are shall be before equally by the two Parties (pare 154). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the divided equally (pare 135). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 362,500 (pare 450), parts amounts to 50% of the Claiment USD 362,500 (pare 450), parts amounts to 50% of the Claiment USD 362,500 (pare 450), parts of collegers of claiment USD 362,500 (pare 450), parts of collegers of claiment USD 362,500 (pare 450), parts of collegers of	al 1 of 406 by 1 of 4547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bs 3 of 136 o 1 (paras 442-452) bs 1 (paras 442-452)
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-06 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARBH03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Casech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBH01/14 Garanti Koza LL P v Turtmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARBH11/29) Geranti Koza LL P v Turtmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARBH11/29)	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought useful with Pessional For USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para. 184) NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para. 404)	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. V. Michael Resirtant. Resirtant. 1. M. Fall S. Nariman (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President); Shreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President); Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President); George Constitution Lambrus, Lord Mustill V. S. Michael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President); George Constitution Lambrus, Laurence Boisson de Chazournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028.62.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (pare. S31)) NA NA NA NA EUR 1,309,084.74 plus USD 315,016.64 plus interest claimes	NIA USD 2,641,645.78 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195,578.18 + EUR 10,549.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA OUSD 1,565.337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission of USD 1,565.337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission of USD 1,565.337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission	N/A USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415.795.89 (paira, 545)) N/A N/A N/A USD 1.425.090 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (pairas 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction inations materiae as Claimant I dol not have an investment under the Bit (jour 464). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" Lieb. The second control of the investment is the second control of the investment popelmin (jours 102 v 214). Respondent win. Claims failed on mersis as Claimant expropriated "(parts 105 v 214). The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached the Bit and ordered it to pay USD 2,528,390 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant. Respondent win. Claims dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entirety on merits due to lack of liability prisidediction and entirety on merits due to lack of liability prisidediction and entirety on merits due to lack of liability	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cit of the Certifie to be paid in equal these by each part The Tribunal decides (passes 544-549); (1) sext party shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claiment shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claiment shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claiment shall pay its own legal costs. (3) the Claiment shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claiment shall pay its own legal costs. Each Party shall bear its own costs of the subtracts and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Partice (pare 21). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount past to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the orbited equalty (pare 156). The Tribunal costse that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 32,050 (pars. AS(e)e). The amounts to 50% of the Claimants Tribunal costse. 2) Each party shall bear its own head costs. Claiment USD 45, bear offered or forsit is the resider and resembure Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs. Benty USD 45 cerebrower Respondent for all is costs.	al 1 of 406 by 1 of 4547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bs 3 of 136 o 1 (paras 442-452) bs 1 (paras 442-452)
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-06 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARBU3/15 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trioided and Tobego, ICSID Case No. ARB01/14 Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Unraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/16 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to selfe with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (parx. 184) NIA NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 48,100,000 (parx. 404) USD 30,654,661,44 and EUR 141,680.38, i.e. USD 30,656,67, plus interest and LICOR times morth Mills (para 67). USD 37,000,000 (park. 100 for manay 1500 compounded morthly (para 67). USD 37,000,000 (plus interest and LICOR times morth USD 67). USD 37,000,000 (plus interest and LICOR times morth USD 67). USD 37,000,000 (plus interest and LICOR times morth USD 67).	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremdes, Prof. W. Michael Dakof Williams OC (President); Henri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Pall S. Nariman (President); Henri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Paul S. Nariman (President); Maria	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,662.3) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (pans. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA LUSD 1,727,852,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (pans. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,641,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109,34 + EUR 195,378,18 + EUR 10,649,24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA USD 1,595,337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission o Costs i.e. USD 1,685,876 (para 359). n.b. Likley 1,594,387.76 (para 359). n.b. Likley 1,594,387.76 (para 359).	N/A USD 569,671.08 (EUR 415.788.89 (para. 545)) N/A N/A USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment ruder the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment ruder of the respondent win ruter and respondent of the respondent of the respondent ruter (para. 547). Respondent win Claimant failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been respondent to prove that its investment had been respondent of prove that its investment had been respondent of the respondent of the respondent of the Respondent vin Alley (para 13). The Tribunal Sound the Respondent to have breached the BTI and ordered to to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 455(c)) to the Claimant win Respondent vin Claimant dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entirely on ments due to lack of liability (paras 37 + 350).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Centre to be paid in equal that by each part fees to the cid the Centre to be paid in equal that by each part fees 600. The Tribunal decides (pares 544-549) (1) sech party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claiment and laboration cost of the subtrast end pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 190,07.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs international laboration costs (pay 24.24). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses it is divided equally (pare 135). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 382,500 (pare 450)(p) 182,500. 1) Respondent cordered to pay the Claiment USD 382,500 (pare 450)(p) 181 amounts to 50% of the Claiment USD 182,600. 2) Each party shall bear it no ne legal costs. Claiment to Standard costs. Respondent contends on a list costs, being USD (pare 360). Respondent to Sea Claiment Costs in the feather marginally rounded down) of USD 5,450,000, being marginally rounded down of USD 5,450,000, being marginally rounded down of	al 1 of 406 by 1 fe of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bit 3 of 136 o 1 fe of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bit 3 of 136 o 1 fe of 542 bit 3 of 136 o 1 fe of 542 bit 542 bi
17-Sep-03 3-Des-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-05 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARBH93/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBH91/14 Garanti Roza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARBH11/29) GEA Group Attengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH91/16 GEA Group Attengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH91/16 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Gemplus G.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A. SLP S.A. and Gemplus G.A. Group Attended T.A. S.A	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Clammar(s investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 330,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para. 164) NIA NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para. 404) USD 46,700,000 (para. 404) USD 30,555,651.44 and EUR 141,690.38, i.e. USD 30,856,677 plus interest and LIDOR three-month US Dotar rates plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 57).	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yvee Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. V. Michael Resistant. Dend Williams CC. (President); H. Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President); H. Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President); H. Schreuer 3. Mchael Resistant, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. John M. Townsend (President); George Constantine Lambrou, Laurence Bolisson de Chascournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern 3. L. Yvee Fortier CC QC, Eduardo 3. L. Yvee Fortier CC QC, Eduardo	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028.62.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA LIRE UR 1,300,684.74 para USD 316,016.64 plus interest claimer in Submission on Costs, i.e. USD 2,172,137 (para 358). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs. USD 2,314,031.74 claimed by Gemplus and USD	NIA USD 2,641,645,78 (EUR 1,721,100,34 - EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649,24 + EUR 1,264,67 (para. \$589)) NIA NIA NIA USD 1,595,337,47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission o Coets i.e. USD 1,995,878 (para 359). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A USD 569,671.08 (EUR 415.788.89 (para. 545)) N/A N/A USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment under the BT (gara 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" Lies the BT (gara 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" Lies There was tack of principlication from the "investment" Lies There was tack of principlication in the "investment Lies There was tack of principlication and of substance together) (paras 102 × 214). Respondent win. Claimant failed to merits as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been "appopulated" (para 13). The Tribunal Sound the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,390 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant win. Respondent win. Claima dismissed, partially on satisfication and entirely on merits due to lack of liability (paras 357 × 365). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,4483,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,456,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totaling USD 10,41,855, plus compound wintered from 24,462,016 to Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,463,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,456,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totaling USD 10,41,855, plus compound wintered from 24,462,016 to 2010 to 13,655,656 C Cammont were through the page 10,650 to 24,655,650 C Cammont wintered through the 2010 to 13,655,656 C Cammont wintered through the 2010 to 13,655 C Cammont wintered through the 2010 to 13	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Certific to be paid in equal these by each part of the Certific to be paid in equal these by each part Tribunal decides (passes 544-549); (1) sext party shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claiment shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claiment shall bear the costs of the subfunds and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Athritation shall be borne equally by the two Partice (pare 21). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the children of the costs o	al 1 of 406 y 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 ta 3 of 136 o 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 fo of 1 of 214 ta 3 of 136 o 1 fo of 547 ta 548 of 548
17-Sep-03 3-Des-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-05 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARBH03/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBH01/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARBH03/14) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH03/16 GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH03/16 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de. Cv. v. trited Mexican States, ICSID Nos. Waxican States, Volltock Mexican States, ICSID Nos.	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat alloways to sell the Mis-Spacinosist for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 184) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremdes, Prof. W. Michael Dakof Williams OC (President); Henri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Pall S. Nariman (President); Henri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Paul S. Nariman (President); Maria	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,662.30) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,641,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109,34 + EUR 195,378,18 + EUR 10,649,24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA USD 1,595,337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission o Costs i.e. USD 1,685,876 (para 359). n.b. Likley 1,594,387.76 (para 359). n.b. Likley 1,594,387.76 (para 359).	N/A USD 569,671.08 (EUR 415.788.89 (para. 545)) N/A N/A USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction raticore materiale as Claimant did not have an "Vincenturial come to BT (gain 464). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "Investment Line to BT (gain 464). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "Investment Line to the Claimant Claim	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant odered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the local sold. The Tribunal decides (gases 544-549). (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitratis and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 214). Each party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 214). Each party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 314). The Tribunal decides the Respondent shall pay to Colaimant USD 362,500; mark 35(e)). This emotion is considered the Respondent of Colaimant USD 362,500; mark 35(e)). This mornion is considered to the Colaimant USD 362,500 (pare 365). 2) Each party shall bear its own bead costs. Calimant to bear entirety of costs in the matter, and reimbrush Respondent for all its costs, being USD 1,5(5,5),37 (pare 365). Respondent to bear Claimants* costs in full Gabell marginuly rounded down (rUSD 5,450,000, being USD 3,750,000 Core Geoppias and USD 3,375 for USD 3,375 for Core Geoppias and USD 3,375 for USD 3,375 for Core Geoppias and USD 3,375 for USD	al 1 of 406 y 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 ta 3 of 136 o 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 fo of 1 of 214 ta 3 of 136 o 1 fo of 547 ta 548 of 548
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oxt-02 18-May-11	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 2-Mar-05 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARB/H3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Casech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/H3/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turtmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Pessynderis for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para . 184) NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para . 404) USD 46,100,000 (para . 404) USD 30,0564,661.44 and EUR 141,689.35, i.e. USD 30,856.867, plus interest at ILBOR three-morth USD botlar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1990 compounded monthly (para 87). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 340 million pesso); por alternatively USD 24,000,000 from 87). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 340 million pesso); por alternatively USD 24,000,000 from 20% for Georgian Claimantia (para 1-12).	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prú. V. Michael Resident), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prú. V. Michael Resident). 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mchael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. Mchael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 4. July Mr. Gregoria (President), George Constitution Lambridge, Laurence Boisson de Chazournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitis Stern 3. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magaldor Gómaz, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3. Lr Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magaldor Gómaz, V. V. Veeder QC (President)	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,662.30) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,841,645.78 (EUR 1,721,100.34 - EUR 195,378.18 - EUR 10,649.24 - EUR 1,264.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA USD 1,565.337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission o Costs i.e. USD 1,966.878 (para 359). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Crots. USD 2,553,437.68 in costs of external legal counsel, expert less and other experises refailing to hearing (para 117-12). USD 738,309.89 in "contract payments of lawyers and	N/A USD 569,671.08 (EUR 415.788.89 (para. 545)) N/A N/A USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction raticore materiale as Claimant old not have an investment under the BTT (para 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" lack the BTT (para 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" lack the state of para 404. Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" is the new assisted of jurisdiction and of Tribunal considered question of jurisdiction and of Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction and entries as claimant unable to prove that its investment had been expropriated" (para 133). The Tribunal found the Respondent to have threached the BIT and ordered to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant. Respondent win. Claims dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entriety on ments due to lack of liability (paras 357 + 355). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Clemptua and USD 2,689,570 for Talsud, i. e. principal sums biolishing USD 1034 156,5 plus compound weetly rests amounting to USD 1,857,580 for Geneplus and USD 2,689,570 for Talsud, i. e. principal sums biotoprincipal sums for To Hasulay, 2 to Unit bil polyment at 2% per animum (paras 16.5 to 15-7).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the of the Centre to be part in equal than by each part (see the costs). The Tribunal decides (pares 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitralist and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 185,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear as own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 214). Each Party shall bear as own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 214). Each party shall bear as own costs, and the costs the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 214). Each party shall bear as own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses the divided equality (pare 135). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 482,500 (pare, 455(e)). This amounts to 55% of the Claimant USD 482,500 (pare, 455(e)). This amounts to 55% of the Claimant to found and the costs. 2.1 Each party shall bear it is own legal costs. Claimant to bear critically costs in full (abelt manginally counted down) of USD 5,450,000, being USD 1,556,577 (pare 356). Respondent to bear Claimanta' costs in full (abelt manginally counted down) of USD 5,450,000, being USD 2,277,500 (Commission used to the part of USD 5,450,000, being USD 2,277,500 (Commission used USD 3,000 (costs) in Villoum (costs) in full (abelt manginally counted down) of USD 5,450,000, being USD 2,277,500 (Costs) and USD 3,000 (costs) in Villoum (costs in full full pares 272 + 1-89.) Respondent to bear Claimanta' costs in full (abelt manginally counted down) of USD 5,500,000 (costs) in Villoum (costs in full full pares 272 + 1-89.)	all 1 of 408 by 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bs 3 of 136 b 1 (paras 442-452) bs 9 of 387 bs 9 of 387
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 12-Nov-10 3-Mar-06 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16 31-Mar-11	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARB/I 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines (SIG) Case No. ARB/III) Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines. (SIG) Case No. ARB/III) Services It.d. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL. F.W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/III Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Maxican States, UNCITRAL. Garanti Koza LLP v. Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/III) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/IIII Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Maxican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/III/IIII ARB/IIIII S.A. A. C.V. v. United Maxican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/III/IIII ARB/IIIII S.A. A. G.C.V. v. United Maxican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/III/IIII ARB/IIIIII S.A. A. G.C.V. v. United Maxican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/IIIII S.A. ARB/IIIII S.A. ARB/IIII S.A. ARB/III S.A. ARB/IIII S.A. ARB/III S.A. ARB/II S.A. ARB/II S.A. ARB	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimann's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to selfe with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 184) NIA N.D. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,656,661.44 and EUR 141,689.38, i.e. USD 30,856,667 plus interest at LIBOR three-month USD Dalar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 192). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 340 million peace) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million peace). 25% of such sum for Talaud and 25% for Geneplos Claimantis (para 1-12).	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jain van den Berg 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cermides, P.C.V. Michael David Williams GC (President), President), Henri Alvarez GC; Christoph Schreuer 3: Mr. Fall S. Natirinan (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustili 3: Michael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jain Pauliscon 3. John M. Townsend (President), George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Bolisson de Chazoumes 3. Prof. Albert Jain van den Berg (President), Mr. Oby Landau GC, Prof. Brigitte Stern 3: L. Yves Fortier CC GC, Eduardo Magaldon Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President)	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,602.30) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	N/A USD 2,641,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195.378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. S38)) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Likely 1,595,337.47 plus L/AH 4,300 claimed in Submission o costs i.e. USD 1,595,878 (para 359). n.b. Likely 1,595,878 (para 359). n.b. Likely 1,595,478.68 in costs of external legal counsel, expert is ces and disbursements, witness expenses, administrative expenses and other expenses relating to hearing (para 17-12).	NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an investment under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win claimant failed to establish an investment lie. There was sick of jurisdiction the Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction met and the stablish of the stablish of substance together) (paras 102 v 214). Respondent win Claiman failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been expendited from the stablish of th	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Centre to be paid in equal that by each part fees to the cid the Centre to be paid in equal that by each part fees 600. The Tribunal decides (paras 544-549) (1) such party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Camera that bear the cost of the subtrast end pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 190,07.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Athristicus data be borne equally by the two Parties (para 214). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses the divided equally (para 136). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 382,500: 1) Respondent cordered to pay the Claimant USD 382,500 (para 450(e)). This amounts to 50% of the Claimant's Charles (para 246). Zi Each party shall bear its own legal costs. Dering USD (para 368). Respondent to bear all fees own legal costs. Dering USD (para 368). Respondent to bear claimant's costs in full failed manginally counted down) of USD 3,750,000, being USD 2,750,000 for Geneplus and USD 3,075 for Talkald (para 727 +18-8). Respondent to bear all fees of Camera (para 18-9).	all of 406 yy 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on of 1 of 214 ts 5 3 of 136 or 547 the 11 (paras 442-452) or 5 or
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 12-Nov-10 3-Mar-06 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16 31-Mar-11	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARB/H3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Casech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/H3/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turtmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimann's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202). Proviously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para. 184) NIA NLA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para. 404) USD 46,100,000 (para. 404) USD 30,555,561.44 and EUR 141,690.30, i.e. USD 30,656,607,para sitement at LIEOR three-morab USD botar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 157). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and coats (the equivalent of 30 million pessa) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million pessa). 2% for facts hum for Talsud and 20% for Gemplus Claimants (para 1-12). USD 7,007,518,668 claimed in compensation for unlawful midret and direct exporprisation and for moral punitive	ICSID UNCITRAL (NAFTA) ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prú. V. Michael Resident), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prú. V. Michael Resident). 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mchael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. Mchael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 4. July Mr. Gregoria (President), George Constitution Lambridge, Laurence Boisson de Chazournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitis Stern 3. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magaldor Gómaz, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3. Lr Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magaldor Gómaz, V. V. Veeder QC (President)	232.67.04 (GBP 148.309.62) * USD 6,246,710.64 (EUR 5.028.602.37) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1.549.847.74 * CAD 192.000 (para. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,841,845.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195.378.19 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415,786.89 (para. 545)) NIA NIA USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an investment under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win claimant failed to establish an investment lie. There was sick of jurisdiction the Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction met and the stablish of the stablish of substance together) (paras 102 v 214). Respondent win Claiman failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been expendited from the stablish of th	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant odered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the local shall be the party of the party	all of 406 yy 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on of 1 of 214 ts 5 3 of 136 or 547 the 11 (paras 442-452) or 5 or
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 12-Nov-10 3-Mar-06 15-Nov-04 19-Dec-16 31-Mar-11	Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARB/H3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Casech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/H3/14 Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garanti Koza LLP v Turtmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29) General Case No. ARB/H1/29	US\$1 = CAD1 0001 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$1 = EUR0.7300	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to self ewith Residential for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 184) NIA NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,056,661.44 and EUR 141,660.38, i.e. USD 30,056,661.45 and EUR 141,660.38, i.e. USD 30,056,661.44 and EUR 141,660.38, i.e. USD 30,000 (para 14). USD 30,000 plus interest at LIBOR time-emorth US Dollar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 15). ST). USD 37,600,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 340 million people) or alternative (para 1-12). USD 7,667,518,668 claimed in compensation for unlawful indirect and direct corporation and for moral pursive damages (para 5.1). USD 303,965,5651.37 (EUR 209.515,614 (EUR 109.428,125 - EUR 150.07,499 - EUR 1,000.000) (para 170) including	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prú. V. Michael Resident), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prú. V. Michael Resident). 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nafrinan (President), Schreuer 3. Mchael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. Mchael Resiman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson 4. July Mr. Gregoria (President), George Constitution Lambridge, Laurence Boisson de Chazournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitis Stern 3. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magaldor Gómaz, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3. Lr Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magaldor Gómaz, V. V. Veeder QC (President)	232.67.04 (GBP 148.309.62) * USD 6,245,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (pam. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,641,646.79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 1,0,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA USD 1,595,337.47 plus LIAH 4,300 claimed in Submission or control of the contr	N/A USD 569.571.08 (EUR 415.789.89 (para. 545)) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction intalione materiale as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BT (gara 464). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" under the BT (gara 464). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" under department of the state of purisdiction the Tritunal considered questions of jurisdiction met instruction of substance together) (pariss 102 v = 214). Respondent win. Claima failed on merits as Claimant expropristated "(paris 102 v = 114). The Tritunal considered questions of jurisdiction and expropristated "(paris 103 v = 114). The Tritunal found the Respondent to have breached the BT and ordered it to pay USD 2,528,360 (paris, 453(c)) to the Claimant win. Respondent win. Claims dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entirety on merits due to lack of liability (paris 357 v 365). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,463,164 to Cemplas and USD 6,455,721 to Talesud, i.e. total principal and interest from 24 June 2001 to 31 December 2000 with yearly rests amonting to USD, 167,589 for Gemplus and USD 2,695,907 for Talesud, i.e. total principal and interest of USD 15,693,83,7 place promount distrest for 24 June 2001 to 31 December 2000 with yearly rests amonting to USD, 167,589 for Gemplus and USD 2,695,907 for Talesud, i.e. total principal and interest of USD 15,693,83,7 place promount greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal greats 18 v = 10 v = 275, per animal great	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Centre to be paid in equal that by each part fees to the cid the Centre to be paid in equal that by each part fees 600. The Tribunal decides (paras 544-549) (1) such party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Camera that bear the cost of the subtrast end pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 190,07.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs the Athristicus data be borne equally by the two Parties (para 214). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses the divided equally (para 136). The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to Claimant USD 382,500: 1) Respondent cordered to pay the Claimant USD 382,500 (para 450(e)). This amounts to 50% of the Claimant's Charles (para 246). Zi Each party shall bear its own legal costs. Dering USD (para 368). Respondent to bear all fees own legal costs. Dering USD (para 368). Respondent to bear claimant's costs in full failed manginally counted down) of USD 3,750,000, being USD 2,750,000 for Geneplus and USD 3,075 for Talkald (para 727 +18-8). Respondent to bear all fees of Camera (para 18-9).	all of 406 yy 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on of 1 of 214 ts 5 3 of 136 or 547 the 11 (paras 442-452) or 5 or
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008 9-Mar-05	16-Aug-16 16-Aug-16 16-Aug-16	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARB/I 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III SID Case No. ARB/I 1/12 Frontier Petrolsum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/I 1/12 Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Maxican States, UNCITRAL Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Maxican States, UNCITRAL Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Maxican States, UNCITRAL Generalis Cost LLP v. Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/I1/129) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/I1/129 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/III/1904/13 and ARB/III/1904/13 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/III/1904/13 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/III/1904/13 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/III/1904/13 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/III/1904/13 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Uhraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/III/1904/13	USS 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR USS1 = CAD1.0681 USS1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR USS 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 164) NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,0564,661.44 and EUR 141,689.38, i.e. USD 30,856,67, pias interest at ILDOV trave-moreh USD 24,000,000 (the para 14). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and color (the equivalent of 27 million peops) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million peops) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million peops) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million peops) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 27 million peops) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 27 million peops) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 27 million peops) or alternative for a first order of 20% for Gemplus Claimants (para 1-12). USD 7,087,518,686 claimed in compensation for unlawful extinct and direct exprepriation and for moral parative damages (para 5.1).	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jain van den Berg 3: L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. O. (President), Dr. Bernardo M. O. (President), Programme C. (President), Henri Alvarez C. (Christoph Schreuer 3: Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Mr. Fail S. Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Albri Mr. Tomorand (President), Conge Constantina Lamence Boleson de Chazournes 3: Jehn M. Tomorand (President), Laurence Boleson de Chazournes 5: Prof. Albert Jain van den Berg (President), Mr. Toty Landau QC, Prof. Brigitis Stern 5: L. Yves Fortier CC CC, Eduardo Magalfor Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 5: Dr Eugen Solphus, Dr. Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jain Paulsson (President) 3: Vera Van Houttle (President), Bernardo Cremades; Pierre Tercier	2322F3 04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,246,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1.549,847.74 * CAD 192,000 (para. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,641,645,79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195,378.19 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD 569,571.06 (EUR 415.786.89 (para: 545)) NIA NIA USD 1425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (para: 445(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction raticore materiale as Claimant did not have an "vivocement ruder the BT (part 464). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment ruder the BT (part 464). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment rude (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an environment (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an environment (para. 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an environment virolated to prove that is investment had been expropriated" (para 133). Respondent win. Claima dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entirely on ments due to lack of liability (paras. 357 + 365). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4.483,164 to Cemplus and USD 6.486,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums tolking USD 10.94,1656 for Gemplus districted from 24 June 2001 to 31 December 2009 with yearly sets amounting to USD 187,656 for Gemplus winterest on principal sums forting to USD 187,656 for Gemplus interest of USD 15,568,381, plus compound interest from 24 June 2001 to 31 December 2009 with yearly sets amounting to USD 187,656 for Gemplus interest of USD 15,568,381, plus compound interest from 24 June 2001 to 13 June 2010 to 11 June 201	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant odered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the local shall be the party of the Respondent of the Party of the	all of 406 y y 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008	16-Aug-07 12-New-10 2-Mar-06 15-New-04 19-Dec-16 31-Mar-11 16-Aun-10 16-Sep-03	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARBU3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trainided and Tobego, ICSID Case No. ARB01/14 Garant Trouble of Trainided and Tobego, ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/14 Garant Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garant Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Unraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH1/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Unraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH1/20 Gemplus S.A., S.P. S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARBH1/2044 Generation Ulvarine Inc. v. Ultraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH0/944 Generation Ulvarine Inc. v. Ultraine, ICSID Case No. ARBH0/9049 Getma v Guinea (ICSID Case No.	USS 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR USS1 = CAD1.0681 USS1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR USS 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 164) NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,655,661,44 and EUR 141,689.38, i.e. USD 30,655,67 plus interest and LIDOR trave-morth USD 20dar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1599 compounded morthly (para 57). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (USD 24,000,000 (para 14). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (USD 24,000,000 (para 14). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (USD 24,000,000 (para 14). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (USD 24,000,000 (para 164). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (para 162). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (para 162). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) (para 164). USD 300,000,000 (para 164) (para 164).	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremdes, Prof. W. Michael David Williams OC (President), Herri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Herri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Greater Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. John M. Townsend (President), George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Boisson de Chazournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigite Stein 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigite Stein 3. L. Vves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magalfon Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) S. Dr Eugen Salpiau, Dr. Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jan Paulsson (President) 3. Vera Van Houste (President),	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,602.30) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,882,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,841,645.79 (EUR 1,721,100.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,284.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD 569,571.06 (EUR 415.786.89 (para: 545)) NIA NIA USD 1425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (para: 445(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiale as Calamant did not have an Tweestherd Under the BTI (para 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an Tweestherd Under the BTI (para 404). Respondent win on the ments (para 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an Tweestherd Under the State of Calamant (para 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an Tweestherd (para 102 v = 214). Respondent win. Claimant failed no ments as claimant unable to prove that its investment had been expropriated. (para 135). The Thoraid Sound the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 455(c)) to the Claimant. Respondent win. Claima dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entrely on ments due to lack of liability (paras 357 v 355). (paras 357 v 355) for Entrel, it claim pricipal and used used used used used used used use	(1) each party shall party 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Centre to be paid in equal these by each part of the Centre to be paid in equal these by each part of the Centre to be paid in equal these by each part Tribunal decides (passes 544-549); (1) sexfo party shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claimant shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claimant shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claimant shall pay its own legal costs. (2) the Claimant shall bear its own expenditures. Amount Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the Athritation shall be borne equally by the two Partice (pare 2) for the costs of the administrative shall be an expense of the costs of the cos	all of 406 y y 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214
17-Sep-03 17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008 9-Mar-05	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 12-Nov-10 15-Nov-04 15-Nov-04 15-Nov-04 15-Dec-16 16-Aug-16 16-Aug-16 8-Aug-16	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARBU3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trainided and Tobego, ICSID Case No. ARB01/14 Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Unraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Unraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., S.P. S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/16/19044 Generation Ulvaine Inc. v. Ultraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/19044 Generation Ulvaine Inc. v. Ultraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/29) Getma v Guines (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/29) Giamis Gold Ltd. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	USS 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR USS1 = CAD1.0681 USS1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR USS 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 para 202). Previously Claimant had acought to set the with Resignation for VIS 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 184) NIA NLA NLA NLA NLA 1. Total investments of eround USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,656,661.44 and EUR 141,689.35, i.e. USD 30,856,667, plus interest at LIBOR three-month USD Dollar rate plus 75% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 192). SUB 37,600,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 300 million peace) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the 180 37,000,000 (para 14). USD 37,600,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 300 million peace) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (para 16) and 20% for Gemplus Claimants (para 1-12). USD 7,687,518,686 claimed in compensation for unlawful extincted and direct expropriation and for moral purelive damages (para 6.1). USD 300,965,561.37 (EUR 200,515,614 (EUR 169,422,125 + EUR 160,087,469 + EUR 1,000,000)) (para 107) including pre-waved interest (para 4,02)	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremdes, Prof. W. Michael David Williams OC (President), Herri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Herri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Greater Muro, Jan Paulason 3. John M. Townsend (President), George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Boisson de Chazournes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigite Sten 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigite Sten 3. L. Vves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magalfon Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 5. Dr. Eugen Salpius, Dr. Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jan Paulsson (President) 3. Vera Van Houtte (President); Bernardo Cremades; Pierre Tercier 3. Mchael K. Young, Prof. David D. Caron, Kerneth D. Hubbard	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,602.30) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,882,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,841,645.79 (EUR 1,721,100.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,284.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD 569,571.06 (EUR 415.786.89 (para: 545)) NIA NIA USD 1425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (para: 445(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win claimant failed to establish an investment rise. There was lack of jurisdiction the Tribrand considered questions of jurisdiction meter of substance together) (paras 102 v 214). Respondent win Claima failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled (para 103). The Tribrand found the Respondent to have breached the BTI and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant win the BTI and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant win Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,485,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totalling USD 10,941,855, plus compound interest of the USD 1,559,831, plus compound sums interest of USD 1,559,831, plus compound interest of USD 1,559,831, plus compound interest on principal sums from 1 January 2010 until full payment at 25; per annum (paras 15-5 to 15-7). Respondent vin Claim respected on ments, as femondent's action considered entamount to expropriation in breach of the BTI (para 23.1). Respondent for liable for certain claims and ordered to pay the Claimant failed to establish that Respondent's acts fell short of countering international law minimum standard of teatherent (paras 524-450).	(1) each party shall party 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Celtre to be paid in equal that by each part the cid the Celtre to be paid in equal that by each part The Tribunal decides (pares 544-549) (1) sech party shall pay it sown legal costs; (2) the Claiment shall bear the cost of the subhasis and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 196,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs beach Party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the divided equality (pare 136). The Tribunal costdes that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 362,500; 1) Respondent cortend to pay the Claiment Shall Section 10 (Claiment USD 362,500) 22 Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal costdes that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 362,500; 1) Respondent cortend to pay the Claiment Shall Section 10 (Claiment Shall	al 1 of 406 bese by 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bese by 1 fo of 547 (paras 531-546) on 1 of 214 bese by 1 fo of 1 of 214 bese by 1 fo of 1 of 214 bese by 1 of 214 bese by 1 of 215 bese by 1 of 216 best by 1 of 216 b
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008 9-Mar-05	16-Aug-16 16-Aug-16 16-Aug-16	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines (ISID Case No. ARBU3/25 Frontier Petrolium Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F.W GII Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trainided and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBU3/15 Garant Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garant Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garant Koza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Uhralne, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20 Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20 Getma v Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) Getma v Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) Glamis Gold Ltd. v. United States of	USS 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR USS1 = CAD1.0681 USS1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR USS 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to selet with Respondent for USD 306,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 202). Previously Claimant had sought to selet with Respondent for USD 306,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 184) NIA N.D. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,556,561.44 and EUR 141,690.38, i.e. USD 30,856,667 plus interest at LIEDR three-morth USD bolar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded morthly (para 192) and 1930,700,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 37). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 37). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 37). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 37). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 37). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 47). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 47). USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 47). USD 380,865,851.37 EUR 200,000,010 (para 17) including pre-eward interest (para, 402). NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremdes, Prof. W. Michael David Williams OC (President), Herri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Herri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Greater Muro, Jan Paulsson 3. John M. Townsend (President), George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Bigilar Stan 3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Bigilar Stan 3. Dr. Eugen Selpius, Dr. Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jan Paulsson (President) 3. Dr. Eugen Selpius, Dr. Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jan Paulsson (President) 3. Vera Van Houtte (President); Bernardo Cremades; Pierre Tercier 3. Michael K. Young, Prof. David D.	232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,602.30) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,882,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 331)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,841,645.79 (EUR 1,721,100.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,284.67 (para. S38)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD 569,571.06 (EUR 415.786.89 (para: 545)) NIA NIA USD 1425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (para: 445(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiale as Calamant did not have an Tweestherd Under the BTI (para 404). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an Tweestherd Under the BTI (para 404). Respondent win on the ments (para 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an Tweestherd Under the State of Calamant (para 547). Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an Tweestherd (para 102 v = 214). Respondent win. Claimant failed no ments as claimant unable to prove that its investment had been expropriated. (para 135). The Thoraid Sound the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 455(c)) to the Claimant. Respondent win. Claima dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entrely on ments due to lack of liability (paras 357 v 355). (paras 357 v 355) for Entrel, it claim pricipal and used used used used used used used use	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the offer of the state of th	all of 406 y
17-Sep-03 17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008 9-Mar-05 21-Jul-00 29-Sep-11	16-Aug-07 12-Nov-10 12-Nov-10 15-Nov-04 15-Nov-04 15-Nov-04 15-Dec-16 16-Aug-16 16-Aug-16 8-Aug-16	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (SISD Case No. ARBU325 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL. F.W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBU325 ARBU325 ARBU325 ARBU326 ARBU	USS 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR USS1 = CAD1.0681 USS1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR USS 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202). USD 20,000,000 (para 164) NIA n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14). USD 46,100,000 (para 404) USD 30,0564,661.44 and EUR 141,689.38, i.e. USD 30,856,67, pias interest at ILDOR trave-moreh USD 20,000 (para 14). USD 37,000,000 (para 164) USD 300,000,000 (para 165) USD 300,000,000 (para 167) USD 300,000 (para 167)	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jain van den Berg 3: L. Yves Forier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cermides, Prof. W. Michael Commides, Prof. W. Michael David Williams D.C. (President), Bernardo M. Cermides, Prof. M. Michael Commides, Prof. M. Michael Resident), Henri Alvarez C.C. Christoph Schreuer 3: Mr. Fast S. Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Michael Reisman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jain Pauliscen 3: John M. Townsend (President), Geripe Constantine Lambrou, Laurence Bolison de Chacoumes 3: John M. Townsend (President), Geripe Constantine Lambrou, Laurence Bolison de Chacoumes 3: Prof. Albert Jain van den Berg (President), M. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitie Stern 3: L. Yves Forier CC CC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC CC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC CC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC CC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC CC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC CC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: Nera Van Houtte (President), Emmanuel Gallard, Christopher Thomas QC (President) 3: Prof. Michael K. Young, Prof. David D. Caron, Kenneth D. Hubbard D	232,673.04 (GBP 148,309.62) * USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,028,602.3) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852,14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 * CAD 192,000 (gams 531) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	NIA USD 2,841,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD \$69,571.08 (EUR 415.780.89 (para. 545)) NIA NIA USD 1425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA Respondent paid USD 265,000 in costs to ICSID (para 24.8) n.b. As it is not clear whether Claimant also paid a deposit to ICSID, this figure has been excluded from our calculations. USD 822,036.30 (para. 474) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win claimant failed to establish an investment rise. There was lack of jurisdiction the Tribrand considered questions of jurisdiction meter of substance together) (paras 102 v 214). Respondent win Claima failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled (para 103). The Tribrand found the Respondent to have breached the BTI and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant win the BTI and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant win Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,485,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totalling USD 10,941,855, plus compound interest of the USD 1,559,831, plus compound sums interest of USD 1,559,831, plus compound interest of USD 1,559,831, plus compound interest on principal sums from 1 January 2010 until full payment at 25; per annum (paras 15-5 to 15-7). Respondent vin Claim respected on ments, as femondent's action considered entamount to expropriation in breach of the BTI (para 23.1). Respondent for liable for certain claims and ordered to pay the Claimant failed to establish that Respondent's acts fell short of countering international law minimum standard of teatherent (paras 524-450).	(1) each party shall party 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Celtre to be paid in equal that by each part the cid the Celtre to be paid in equal that by each part The Tribunal decides (pares 544-549) (1) sech party shall pay it sown legal costs; (2) the Claiment shall bear the cost of the subhasis and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 196,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs beach Party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the divided equality (pare 136). The Tribunal costdes that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 362,500; 1) Respondent cortend to pay the Claiment Shall Section 10 (Claiment USD 362,500) 22 Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal costdes that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 362,500; 1) Respondent cortend to pay the Claiment Shall Section 10 (Claiment Shall	al 1 of 406 bese by 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 17 of 214 best 18 of 3 of 136 best 19 of 367 bes
17.Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28.Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008 9-Mar-05 21-Jul-00 29-Sep-11 21-Jul-03	16-Aug-07 12-Nev-10 2-Mar-06 15-Nev-04 19-Dec-16 31-Mar-11 16-Jun-10 16-Sep-03 16-Aug-16 8-Jun-09	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines (10510 Case No. ARBU3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL F.W GII Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trainided and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBU3/25 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL Garni Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL Garnit Koza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Uhralin, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Uhralin, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Uhralin, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20) Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Nos. ARB/11/20 Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United States of Armerican United States of Arme	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR US\$1 = CAD1.0681 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381 NR NR NR	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat allegedly more than Seeding No. 2016 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat allegedly (para 202) VISD 20,000,000 (para 202) VISD 20,000,000 (para 202) VISD 20,000,000 (para 104) VISD 20,000,000 (para 104) VISD 40,000,000 (para 104) VISD 46,100,000 (para 404) VISD 46,100,000 (para	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninira Alexandrov, Albert Jain van den Berg 3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cerendes, P.C.V. Michael Dand Williams OC (President), Henri Alvarez CC, Christoph Schreuer 3. Mr. Fall S. Natriman (President), George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes 3. John M. Townsend (President), George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes 3. President), Mr. Toy Landau QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern 3. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magalfon Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Eduardo Magalfon Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3. Dr. Eugen Salpius, Dr. Jürgen Voss, Mr. Jan Paulsson (President), Bernardo Cremades, Pierre Tercier 3. Mr. Fank Mr. Fall S. Natriman (President), Emmarciel Galliard, Christopher Thomas GC 3. Pierre Marie Dupoy, David A R.	232.67.04 (GBP 148.309.62) * USD 6,246,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 * CAD 192,000 (para. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,641,645,79 (EUR 1,721,100,34 × EUR 195,378.18 × EUR 10,649.24 × EUR 1,264.67 (para. S58)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA USD 1,595,337,47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission of Code is Let USD 1,985,678 (para 599). n.b. Likely by include Tribunal Costs. USD 2,553,437,68 in costs of external legal counset, expert seems and other expenses relating to hearing (para 17-12). USD 739,398,89 in "contract payments of lawyers and expenses and other expenses relating to hearing (para 17-12). USD 1,338,597,51 (para, 272) EUR 1,30,284.41 (EUR 1,485,799.05 × EUR 140,000 × EUR 4,293.8) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win claimant failed to establish an investment like the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment like the Respondent win Claimant failed to ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled from 10 claims failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled from 10 claims failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled from 10 claims failed on ments as Claimant where the BTI and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant dismissed, partially on public places of the Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,485,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totalling USD 10,941,855, plus compound interest of USD 25,683,871, incompound interest of USD 26,683,871, incompound interest of USD 26,683,871,871,871,871,871,871,871,871,871,871	(1) each party shall party 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Celtre to be paid in equal that by each part the cid the Celtre to be paid in equal that by each part The Tribunal decides (pares 544-549) (1) sech party shall pay it sown legal costs; (2) the Claiment shall bear the cost of the subhasis and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 196,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs in the Admirution whole be borne equally by the two Parties (pare 214). Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to the divided equality (pare 136). The Tribunal costdes that Respondent shall pay to Claiment USD 362,500; and Section 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	al 1 of 406 bese by 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 17 of 214 best 18 of 3 of 136 best 19 of 367 bes
17-Sep-03 3-Dec-07 28-Sep-01 2-Oct-02 18-May-11 10/24/2008 9-Mar-05 21-Jul-00 29-Sep-11 21-Jul-03	16-Aug-07 12-Nev-10 2-Mar-06 15-Nev-04 19-Dec-16 31-Mar-11 16-Jun-10 16-Sep-03 16-Aug-16 8-Jun-09	Wordwide v. Republic of the Philippines III) ICSID Case No. ARBH 1/12 Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines (SISD Case No. ARBU325 Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL. F.W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARBU325 ARBU325 ARBU325 ARBU326 ARBU	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6374 NR US\$1 = CAD1.0681 US\$1 = EUR0.7300 NR NR US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381 NR NR NR	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat allegedly more than USD 42,000,000 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat allegedly more than Seeding No. 2016 (para 202) Previously Claimant Nat allegedly (para 202) VISD 20,000,000 (para 202) VISD 20,000,000 (para 202) VISD 20,000,000 (para 104) VISD 20,000,000 (para 104) VISD 40,000,000 (para 104) VISD 46,100,000 (para 404) VISD 46,100,000 (para	ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID ICSID	Staninir Alexandrov, Albert Jain van den Berg 3: L. Yves Forier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Commides, Prof. W. Michael Commides, Prof. M. Michael Reisentan, C.C. (Christoph Schreuer) 3: Mr. Faal S. Natirman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill 3: Michael Reiseman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jain Paulisen 3: John M. Townsend (President), Geripe Constantine Lambrou, Laurence Bolisson de Chacoumes 3: John M. Townsend (President), Caripe Constantine Lambrou, Laurence Bolisson de Chacoumes 3: Prof. Albert Jain van den Berg (President), Mr. Toty Landau QC, Prof. Brigitie Stern 3: L. Yves Forier CC QC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC QC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC QC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC QC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC QC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: L. Yves Forier CC QC, Eduardo Magadior Günez, V. V. Veeder QC (President) 3: Nera Van Houtte (President), Emmanuel Galliard, Christopher Thomas QC 3: Prof. Michael K. Young, Prof. David D. Caron, Kenneth D. Hubbard 3: Sterne Marie Dupy, Javed A. R. Williams, Piero Bernardiol (President), Emmanuel Galliard, Christopher Thomas QC 3: Prof. Marie Dupy, Javed A. R. Williams, Piero Bernardiol (President), Emmanuel Galliard, Christopher Thomas QC 3: Prof. Marie Dupy, Javed A. R. Williams, Piero Bernardiol (President), Emmanuel Galliard, Christopher Thomas QC 3: Prof. Marie Dupy, Javed A. R. Williams, Piero Bernardiol (President), Emmanuel Galliard, Christopher Thomas QC 3: Prof. Bernardio Christopher Thomas QC 4: Prof. Bernardio Christopher Thomas QC 4: Prof. Bernardio Christopher Thomas QC 5: Prof. Bernardio Christopher Thomas QC 5: Prof. Bernardio Christopher Thomas QC 5: Prof. Bernardio Christop	232.67.04 (GBP 148.309.62) * USD 6,246,710.64 (EUR 5,028,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration NA USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 * CAD 192,000 (para. 531)) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NIA USD 2,841,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538)) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA N	NIA USD \$69,571.08 (EUR 415.780.89 (para. 545)) NIA NIA USD 1425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)). NIA NIA NIA Respondent paid USD 265,000 in costs to ICSID (para 24.8) n.b. As it is not clear whether Claimant also paid a deposit to ICSID, this figure has been excluded from our calculations. USD 822,036.30 (para. 474) NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NI	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction ratione materiae as Claimant did not have an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment Under the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win on the ments (para. 547). Respondent win claimant failed to establish an investment like the BTI (gara 404). Respondent win Claimant failed to establish an investment like the Respondent win Claimant failed to ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled from 10 claims failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled from 10 claims failed on ments as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been responsibled from 10 claims failed on ments as Claimant where the BTI and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant dismissed, partially on public places of the Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,485,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totalling USD 10,941,855, plus compound interest of USD 25,683,871, incompound interest of USD 26,683,871, incompound interest of USD 26,683,871,871,871,871,871,871,871,871,871,871	(1) each party shall pary 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claiment ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000 Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribun fees and expenses and administrative fees for the cid the Centre to be paid in equal these by each part of the Centre to be paid in equal these by each part of the party shall bear the costs of the subtract and pay uso 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. (2) the Claiment shall bear the costs of the subtract and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent. Each Party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to 17 flourish on account of fees and expenses to the Athritation shall be borne equally by the two Partices (pare 2 100,000,000). The Tribunal or account of fees and expenses to the children of the costs of the party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to 17 flourish on account of fees and expenses to the children of the costs of the party shall bear its own expenditures. Amount paid to 17 flourish on account of fees and expenses to the children of the party in the costs of the children of	al 1 of 406 bese by 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 16 of 547 (paras 531-546) on 17 of 214 best 18 of 3 of 136 best 19 of 367 bes

The content of the	5-Apr-06											
		28-Dec-09	Rio Tinto plc, BP p.l.c., Pacific Resources Investments Limited, BP International Limited, Sangatta Holdings Limited, Kalimantan Coal Limited, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3,	N/R	USD 469,333,921.56 plus interest from May 2003 until ICSID payment (para 69).	3. Prof. Cabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), McMrad Hivang, (President), McMrad Hivang, Prof. Albert Jian van den Berg	N/A	N/A	N/A		to be borne equally by Claimant on one hand and	2 of 221
The state of the s			were reversed, in that the State was the Claimant and the investors were the Respondents. Although included in the methodology, it has been excluded from our calculations on the basis that it would distort those calculations which distinguish between Respondent/State and									
March Marc	12-Mar-04	12-Jan-11	Claimant/investor success. Grand River Enterprises Six Nations	N/R	N/A UNCITRAL (NAFTA	i) 3: Mr. Fali S. Nariman (President),	USD 3,917,376.57 (para 241).	USD 2,792,592.23 (para 241).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims by Grander River Enterprises,	Each Party shall bear its own costs of representation	9 of 247
Column			UNCITRAL		24,000,000, in respect of Canada plant was withdrawn by Claimant at hearing due to expert's concerns about accuracy	Croek	n.b. Claimant also submitted an additional USD 8 million in professional fees incurred in involvement in US court proceedings defending against measures at issue in arbitration. Tribunal did not take account of this claim when	n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.		jurisdiction as claimants had no investment in the US. Claims by Arthur Montour Jr dismissed on merits, as Respondent's conduct held not to be in breach of	and half of the costs and expenses of the proceedings (para 246).	
	3/6/2012	4-Dec-15	Republic of Equatorial Guinea (ICSID	US\$ 1 = XOF 602.7664	USD 1,194,492.6 (para. 73)	(Chairman), Francisco Orrego	N/A	N/A	USD 504,355.22 (para. 269)	The Tribunal decided that it lacked jurisdiction with respect to the dispute. (para. 277)		11 (paras. 265 to 276)
											2) The parties are obliged to pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the use of the services of the Centre, thus the Respondent shall reimburse the Claimant USD 203,655.58. (para, 277)	
The second secon			PLC v. The Plurinational State of Bolivia, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011- 17		4))	Arbitrator), Manuel Conthe, Dr Raúl Emilio Vinuesa			(pars. 621-622) Total deposits = USD 1,085,025.65 (USD 950,000 + USD 135,025.65 (converted from EUR 100,000))	sum of USD 28,927,582 plus interest. Claims of GAI dismissed but expropriation claim made by Rurelec upheld.	equally bear the costs incurred in connection with this arbitration (page 208)	
Auto-	2-Hug-12	<u>22-389-13</u>	Capital Conservator Servings & loan, Ltd. v Former Yugoslav Republic of	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6511			USD 101,565 + USD 88,549.79 (converted from EUR 79,500)	(USD 1,340,885 + USD 62,971 (Converted from GBP		responsers wor on parasocion (para 152).	1,123,084.27 (para. 152). The Tribunal decides (para. 151-152) (1) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent 80% of the Respondent's legal costs i.e. 1,123,084.27 (USD 1,072.78 ± USD 50,376.28 (converted from GBP 32,800)):	11 (paras 141-151)
Service of the Control of the Contro												
Programment of the control of the co			v. Republic of Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB07/24		n.b. Original amount of up to EUR 141,467,586,30, i.e. EUR 33,045,031,29 for year 2002, EUR 27,984,000 from 2003 to 2008. EUR 13,396,555 from 2002 to 2008. future profits EUR	Mr. Bernardo Cremades, Mr. Toby Landau Q.C.	USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 726,869 (para 359).	USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 3,135,609 (para 359).	USD 610,000 in advances paid by both parties to ICSID (pars 359).	to lack of attribution, or, where there was attribution, because the relevant acts did not amount to violation of the BIT (para 382). Counterclaim also rejected (para 358).	Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and other costs (para 361)	
March Marc			Consultants, Inc. and Alfa El Corporation v Romania (ICSID Case No.		(para. 445-459) plus interest This floure includes:principal claim (EUR 307.7 million) and	Rudolf Dolzer	(USD 2,656,066.35 (converted from EUR 2,373,460.89) + USD 1,314,073.31 + USD 7,474.54 (converted from GBP	(converted from EUR 5,347,497.22 (EUR 4,503,204.67 as legal fees + EUR 341,936.45 as expenses + EUR 502,356.10	and that the Respondent is claiming all its Tribunal costs, total Tribunal costs = USD 660.687.22 * 2 = USD	8,606,231.64 (converted from EUR 7,543,176.59 + EUR 147,352.00 (para. 532))	1,539,767.96 (para. 532). The Tribunal decides: (para. 532) (1) Respondent shall Claimant pay USD 1,539,767.96 (USD 1,000,000 + EUR 482,336.65) to cover legal costs;	,
Part	8-Mar-05	3-Jul-08	Helnan International Hotels A/S v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/05/19	US\$1 = EUR 0.6366148568 / EGP 5.3334401176 / GBP	EUR 41,786,406 claimed in damages, i.e. USD 65,638,440, plus interest; or, in the alternative, other remedies and	3: Mr Yves Derains (President), Prof. Rudolf Dolzer, Mr Michael Lee	GBP 2,503,867 requested in total, i.e. USD 4,963,870 (para 171).	USD 742,556 plus EUR 116,133 plus EGP 668,610.32 requested in total i.e. USD 1,050,341 (para 172).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Claimant failed to prove liability and/or causation (paras		4 of 174
A PROPER OF THE PROPERTY OF TH				0.5044183527	declarations requested plus EUR 10,800,000 in damages (para 89).					168-170)	the parties in equal shares (para 174).	
Windows Wind	8/1/2011	15-Dec-14	Hesham Talaat M. Al-Warraq v. Republic of Indonesia	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6387 US\$ 1 = SGD 1.3123	Claimant's claim: USD 10,448,925.62 [USD 5 million (damages) + USD 1,100,475.97 (converted from GBP 702,874) (expenses incurred with defence of asset seizure) + USD 5 million (moral damages) (paras. 649-650)]	3. Bennardo M. Cremados (President), Michael Hwang, Fall S. Nacrman	(does not include GBP 471.000 arbitration costs)	(comprises USD 5.500.000 + USD 150.000 + USD 625.000 +	This amount appears to cover the Tribunal's fees and amounts paid to SIAC. Comprising: GBP 471,000 (i.e. USD 737,435.41) (listed in Claimant's costs - para. 677) + SGD 1,258,848.65 (i.e. USD 959,268.95) (listed in Respondent's costs - para. 678) + SGD 2,503,072.97 (i.e. USD	Claimant loses claim on merits, Respondent loses counterclaim on merits (paras. 663).	(1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs;	10 (673-682)
Property												
Part	17-Dec-08	23-May-11			N/A UNCITRAL		N/A I	N/A	EUR 389,495 in Tribunal fees; EUR 27,726.44 in Tribunal	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction,		11 of 162
March Marc		23-May-11	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11;	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7134852627 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11:		Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomica	N/A	NIA	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44,465 ir fees of the Registry, i.e. total of USD 647,086 (FM 1967). Further Tribunal fees and expenses of EUR 60,182.26 and further PCA costs of EUR 6,625 relating to Claimant's challenge of arbitator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, i.e. total of USD 91,997 (para 8 of SFA).	Respondent win. Claim diamissed for lack of jurisdiction, lac Claimant was not an "investor" andor did not have "investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA).	277.01.86 or USD 388.252, and Respondent to bear 471 of the arbitation costs, is. EUR 164.67.45 or USD 258.83, resulting in payment of EUR 46,18.64 by Claimant to Respondent (para 152 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award). Claimant 10 bear fiese, costs and other expenses associated with childrenge to arbitation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award). Stagmentation bear fiese, costs and other expenses associated with childrenge to arbitation and first alward in EUR 68,007.25 or USD 91.997 (para 9 of Supplementary and Final Award).	11 of 162
Property			PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oct-11 Hochtlef Aktiengesellschaft v.	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7134852627 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11:	USD 157,200,000 (para. 311) The Claimanta' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomka S. Professor Vaughan Love Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Bower,		NVA NVA Not mentioned.	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings: EUR 44.455 in feec of the Regular 1, Lotal of USD 670, 66 (RT 196 of 76 Hz Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 60, 182.26 and hatther PCA costs of EUR 6.625 relating to claimants challenge of arbitrator feed in Supplementary and Final Award, Let bated 1509 1,970 (par and 54 Final Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,683	las Claimant was not an "investor" and/or did not have "investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on ments. Exact quantum to be calculated by parting (paras. 328, 339). Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13.410,000 plus interest from 20 centher 2014 (para 104) of the	277 011.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 411 of the arbitation costs. I. e USR 164.674.58 or USD 258.834, resulting in payment of EUR 48, 168.64 by Claimant to Respondent (para 152 of Paralal Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of flegal representation and assistance (para 152 of Paralal Award). Claimant 10 bear fees. costs and other expenses of the cost of	3+1 (329 - 331 plus one para in
Product of any Expense of Section 1. Product	7-Nov-07	29-Dec-14	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Peatial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17- Oct-11 Hochtlef Aktiengesellschaft V. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Hrvatska Elektropivreda D.D. v Republic	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7154852027 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7261907885	USD 157,280,000 (para. 311) The Claimanth' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472.307) (see CSID	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tornika S. Professor Vskighan Love Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. S. David A.R. Williams (President)	ı		expenses and costs of hearings and meetings: EUR 44.455 in feec of the Regular 1, Lotal of USD 670, 66 (RT 196 of 76 Hz Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 60, 182.26 and hatther PCA costs of EUR 6.625 relating to claimants challenge of arbitrator feed in Supplementary and Final Award, Let bated 1509 1,970 (par and 54 Final Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,683	las Claimant was not an "investin" and/or did not have Trivestment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 335). ISBD 13,410,500 plus Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,500 plus Final Award). Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD	277 01.18 or USD 38.252, and Respondent to bear 471 of the arbitation costs. I. e UR 164674.8 or USD 286,354, resulting in payment of EUR 46,168.6 4 by Claimant for Respondent (para 152 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear it own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to arbitrator and fees it as a supplementary and Frank Award (p. EUR 66,07.2 or USD 51.97 (para 9 of Supplementary and Frank Award). The Tithural decides high the Respondent shall pary to the Claimant USD 7,125,318.5: 1) The Respondent codered to pay the Claimants USD 6,025.318.5 (para. 331) joilu USD 200,000 (see para. 104(4) of the Final Award) incredired to high the claimants USD 6,025.318.5 (para. 331) picu USD 200,000 (see para. 104(4) of the Final Award) incredired to high the Claimant USD Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD	3 =1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Pinal Assett)
A part of the control of the contr	7-Nov-07	29-Dec-14	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Peatial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17- Oct-11 Hochtlef Aktiengesellschaft V. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Hrvatska Elektropivreda D.D. v Republic	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7154852027 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7261907885	USD 157,280,000 (para. 311) The Claimanth' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472.307) (see CSID	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tornika S. Professor Vskighan Love Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. S. David A.R. Williams (President)	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 (in the Tribunal expert phase) - interest from the date of the Frank Award at the -francht EURIBOR Trate - 2% compounded	USD 8,562,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608.623.99 Costs of the arbitration) converted from EUR 7,294,172.58 (EUR) 8,473.396 - EUR 552,1410 Costs for the arbitration)	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings: EUR 44.455 in Mees of the Registry 1, Lotal of USD 507.05 (RT 196 of 74). Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 60, 182.25 and further PCA costs of EUR 6.05 (182.65 and further PCA costs of EUR 6.05 (182.65) to Calmards challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1.e. bits of USD 91.97 (or an 64 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,683 NIA. Not mentioned.	las Claimant was not an "investina" and/or did not have "investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits, Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras, 326, 336), and the state of the purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits, Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras, 326, 336), and the purpose of the property of the p	277 01.18 or USD 38.252, and Respondent to bear 411 of the arbitation costs. I. e USR 146.474.85 or USD 258.354, resulting in payment of EUR 48, 186.84 by Claimant to Respondent (para 152 of Paralal Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Paralal Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses considered with modeling-pix ballotize and fined in associated with modeling-pix ballotize and fined in a second to the control of the pix ballotize and fined award). The Tribural decided is that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 1,223,318.5: 1) The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,000.00 (pain terment as EURION 2-2, compounded semi-annually) (paras 612-613). This amount in foulder costs of the site fixed costs of the site fixed control of the source of the costs of the site fixed costs.	3 =1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Pinal Assett)
Part	7-Nov-07 7-Nov-07 4-Nov-05	29-Dec-14	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oct-11 Mochitief Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/00731 Hrvatska Elektrophireda D.D. v Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Husselin Nuaman Soufraki v. United	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7154852027 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7261907885	USD 157,280,000 (para. 311) The Claimanth' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472.307) (see CSID	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomka S. Professor Vasghan Love Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Chrystopher Thomas, Q.C. S. David A.R. Williams (President), Charles Bower, Jan Pauldeon S. L. Ywes Forder C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M.	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 (in the Tribunal expert phase) - interest from the date of the Frank Award at the -francht EURIBOR Trate - 2% compounded	USD 8,562,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608.623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.68 (EUR) 8,47.3396 - EUR 552,414 Of Cost of the arbitration)	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings: EUR 44.455 in Mees of the Registry 1, Lotal of USD 507.05 (RT 196 of 74). Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 60, 182.25 and further PCA costs of EUR 6.05 (182.65 and further PCA costs of EUR 6.05 (182.65) to Calmards challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1.e. bits of USD 91.97 (or an 64 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,683 NIA. Not mentioned.	las Claimant was not an "investor" and/or did not have "investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 359). Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,000 plus interest from 20 December 2014 (para. 104(3) of the Final Award). Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,589,227.79 (plus interest) Streakdown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,589,482.2113 (para.516) (converted from EUR 19,987,000) ** Interest at the average 6-month EURBORT rate + 2% semi- armusly compounding from 1 Lily 2002 till date award dobt stafficer (paras 56 - 549).	277/01.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 4110 of the arbitation costs. I. eVER 146,476.86 or USD 258,354, resulting in payment of EUR 48,168.64 by Claimant to Respondent (part 352 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challengs to shallower and fined in Supplementary and Final Award (b. EUR 68,007.26 or USD 519,70 gras of 50 Upplementary and Final Award). The Tribural decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 71,25,318.5: 1) The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 6,253,318.50 (para, 331) plus USD 200,000 (see para. 1044) of the Final Award) (in ERFIDAM CONTINUED C	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 33 (paras. 581 - 613)
Implication of Contract Processing Systems Contract Systems Cont	7-Nov-07 4-Nov-05 4-Nov-05 16-May-02 4/17/2099	25-Dec-14 17-Dec-15 7-Jul-94	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17- Oct-11 Machiter Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Hrvatska Elektrophreda D.D. v Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Hussein Nuaman Soufraki v. United Arab Emirates, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Iberdrola Energia, S.A. v. Republic of	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7154852027 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7261907885	USD 157,200,000 (para: 311) The Claimants' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para: 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472,307) (see ICSID para: 259) plus interest NIA ICSID	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Pater Tomika 3. Professor Vapghan Love Q.C (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. 3. David A.R. Williams (President) Charles Bower, Jan Paulsson 3. L. Yves Forlier C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwelde, D. Antham Et Noby 3. Eduardo Zuleta (President),	USD 13,319,288.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase * USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribunal expert phase) * Historiest from the date of the Frail Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate * 2% compounded monthly (para 58) NA USD 4,221,427,66 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 8,582,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.86 (EUR 9,47.739.69 - EUR 653,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) para. 592) NVA USD 5,312,197 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings: EUR 44.455 in Mees of the Registry 1, Lotal of USD 507.05 (RT 196 of 74). Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 60, 182.25 and further PCA costs of EUR 6.05 (182.65 and further PCA costs of EUR 6.05 (182.65) to Calmards challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1.e. bits of USD 91.97 (or an 64 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,683 NIA. Not mentioned.	las Claimant was not an "investor" and/or did not have "Investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on media. Escal quantum to be calculated by parties (para. 328, 339). Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13.410,000 plus interest from 20 December 2014 (para. 104(3) of the Final Award). Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,588,227.79 (plus interest) Breakdown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,588,482.2113 (para.1516) (common form EUR 19,987,000) is literest at the average 6-month EURBDOR rate ~ 2% semi- anually compounding from 1.Jly 2002 till date award debt satisfied (para. 545 - 546) Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Sold place for the provide for jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Sold place for provide for jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Sold place for provide for jurisdiction and para sold provided for provided for provided for provided for para sold provided for provided for provided for para sold provided for provided for provided for para sold provided for provided for para sold para sold provided for para sold para s	277/01.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 411 of the arbitation costs. In ERT 164,674.86 or USD 258,834, resulting in payment of EUR 64,074.86 or USD 258,834, resulting in payment of EUR 64,186.64 by Claimant to Respondent (parts 35 or Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to arbitation and fixed in Supplementary and Final Award I. EUR 68,072.65 or USD 1916/7 (para 96 of Supplementary and Final Award II. EUR 68,072.65 or USD 1916/7 (para 96 of Supplementary and Final Award III. The Thiburand decides high the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 7,125,318.5. 1) The Respondent codered to pay the Claimant USD 6,052,318.50 (para. 331) plost USD 200,000 (see para. 1044) of the Final award) (port martinored whether this includes both legal codes and februard USD 1,000,000 (plas interest at EUR/RIDCH 2%, compounded semi-ammally (paran 612-613). This compounded semi-ammally (paran 612-613). This costs incurred by the Claimant. Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of Triburual and CISID Secretariat, to be bone two-brinds by Claimant and one-shet by Respondent. Each party by Claimant and one-shet by Respondent. Each party by the Respondent.	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 33 (paras. 581 - 613) 1 of 86
Processor 12 August 12 Aug	7-Nov-07 7-Nov-05 4-Nov-05 16-May-02 4/17/2099	29-0sc-16 17-0sc-15 7-bit-04	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oct-11 Mochitief Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina, IOSID Case No. ARB/00731 Hrvatska Elektropivreda D.D. v Republic of Slovenia (CSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Hussein Nuaman Soufraki v. United Arab Emirates, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5 Hussein State Case No. ARB/09/5 Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5 [Calair Ingaat Limited Sirketi v. Turkmenistan, (CSID Case No.	USS 1 = EUR 0.7154852027 Conversion rate on 17-Qc1-11: USS 1 = EUR 0.7261907955 N/R N/R N/R	USD 157,200,000 (para 311) The Claimants' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472,307) (see ICSID para. 299) plus interest NVA ICSID NVA ICSID USD 566,770,430,29 plus interest (USD 62,067,030,33 e USD 475,288,500 e USD	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomika 3. Professor Vausghan Lowe Q.C Wadge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. 3. David A.R. Williams (President), Charles Bower, Jan Paulsson 3. L. Yves Fortier C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebeld, D. Atham El Knoby S. Ectuardo Zuletis (President), Rodrigo Creamuno, Yves Derains 3. D. Velgo Heiskanen, (President), Rodrigo Creamuno, Yves Derains	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribinal aloget phase) - interest from the date of the Final Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate + 2% compounded monthly (para.599) N/A N/A USD 4,221,427,66 in total costs incurred (para.511) in a.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs. USD 2,847,462.22 (USD 1,066,148 + USD 1,781,314.22	USD 8,582,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.86 (EUR 9,47.739.69 - EUR 653,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) para. 592) NVA USD 5,312,197 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44,455 in fees of the Registry, i.e. total of USD 670,66 (RT 956 of 746 F). Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 60,182.26 and further PCA costs of USR 6,05 (1816) to Clamards challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1e. total USD 19,970 (par so of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,983 N/A N/A Not mentioned. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	las Claimant was not an "investor" and/or old not have Trivestment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on ments. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 359). USD 13,410,000 plus site (paras. 328, 359). USD 13,410,000 plus site less than 120 becember 2014 (para. 104(3) of the final Anexi). Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,588,22779 (plus interest). Breakford (para 519) (convented from EUR 10,987,000) + threest at the average femmit EURBDOR rate -2½ semi- annually compounding from 1,10½ 2002 III date award detat staffset (paras. 545 -549). Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). The parasite of parasite projection and security dismissed on jurisdiction. Claim for denial of justice demissed on meths, as Claiman tilled to prove that Respondent had committed an act of denial of justice demissed on dismissed ideas against the Throntand decoder win. The Triviand decodes of durissed ideas against the	277/01.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 4110 of the arbitation costs. In USP 186,678.86 or USD 258,854, resulting in payment of EUR 48,078.86 or USD 258,854, resulting in payment of EUR 48,188.64 by Claimant to Respondent furst 35c of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (pare 15c of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge be bathlost and fixed in Supplementary and Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Final Award (I. e. EUR 68,057.26 or USD 91397 (pare 9 of Supplementary and Final Fin	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 33 (paras. 581 - 613) 1 of 86
21-Jul 03 2-Jul 03 2-Jul 03 2-Jul 03 2-Jul 03 2-Jul 04 2-Jul 04 2-Jul 05 2-	7-Nov-07 7-Nov-07 4-Nov-05 19-May-02 4/17/2009	25-Dec-14 17-Dec-15 7-bi-04 17-Aug-12 5-Mart 16	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oc6-11 Hochtlef Aktiengesellischeft V. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Hivatalsa Elektropiveda D.D. V. Republic of Siovenia (CSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Wissaalin Nuaman Soufraki V. United Arab Emirates, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Berdrida Energia, S.A. V. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/15 Berdrida Energia, S.A. V. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/15 Igials Ingaat Limited Sirketi V. Turkmenistan, (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/24) ICSI Inspection and Control Services Limited (United Kingdom) V. Argentine ICSI Inspection and Control Services Limited (Limited Kingdom) V. Argentine	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.713485292 Ownersion rate on 17-0c1-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7201907985 NVR NVR NVR US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9254	USD 157,200,000 (para. 311) The Claimanta' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472,307) (see ICSID para. 299) plus interest INFA ICSID NVA ICSID VSD 566,770,430.29 plus interest (USD 62,057,03.0.34 - USD 475,289,500 + USD 29,413,999.96 (converted from EUR 26,678,407.29) (para. 146) Philosopial amounts totalling USD 11,038,248.81 plus interest of UNCITRAL USD 11,237,762.29, i.e. total of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 11,237,762.29, i.e. total of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 11,237,762.29, i.e. total of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,762.29, i.e. total of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,762.29, i.e. total of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,761.29 in Interest of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,761.29 in Interest of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,761.29 in Interest of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,761.29 in Interest of USD 12,277,761.10 in Interest of UNCITRAL USD 12,277,761.29 in Interest of USD 12,277,761.10 in Intere	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomka S. Professor Varightan Love Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. S. David A.R. Williams (President); Charles Bower, Jan Paulsson S. L. Yves Fortier C.C., Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Dr. Aktham El Kholy S. Eduardo Zufeta (President), Rodigo Cheamuno, Yves Derains S. Dr. Vejip Heistlanen, (President), Carolyn B. Lamma and Prof. Philippe Sanda Q.C. S. Prof. Phere-Matrie Dujoy (President), Dr. Santlago Torres	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribinal aloget phase) - interest from the date of the Final Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate + 2% compounded monthly (para.599) N/A N/A USD 4,221,427,66 in total costs incurred (para.511) in a.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs. USD 2,847,462.22 (USD 1,066,148 + USD 1,781,314.22	USD 8,582,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.86 (EUR 9,47.739.69 - EUR 653,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) para. 592) NVA USD 5,312,197 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44.455 in feec of the Regular 1, action of USD 670-86 (RT 196 of PA). Further Triburial fees and expenses of EUR 80, 182.25 and hather PCA costs of USR 8.625 relaing to claimants challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1.e. tbat of USD 19.97 (pr an 8 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,883 NIA. NIA. Not mentioned. NIA. NIA	las Claimant was not an "investor" and/or of do not have Trivestment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 335). USD 13,410,500 plus Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,500 plus Final Award). Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,588,22779 (plus interest) Breaddown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,558,482,2113 (para 1516) (converted from EUR 19,697,000) + literest at the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000) + literest at the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000 + literest and award to the expension of the second of the award doctors and the expension of the second of the award doctors and the expension of the second of the award award award the expension of the second of the second of lastice (para 508). Respondent win All claims diamissed for lack of justice (para 508). Respondent win All claims diamissed for lack of justice (para 508). Respondent win All claims diamissed for lack of justice (para 508).	277/01.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 4110 of the arbitation costs. In USP 186,675.86 or USD 258,634, resulting in payment of EUR 48,186.46 by Claimant to Respondent (parts 250 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (pare 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to arbitation and fissel states associated with challenge to arbitation and fissel seasonized with challenge to arbitation and fissel seasonized with challenge to arbitation and fissel in Supplementary and Final Award (i. e. EUR 68,607.26 or USD 1916) (pare 361) parts 319 pairs USD 37,007,007 (pare 96 to Supplementary and Final Award). Award). The Respondent cordend to pay the Claimants USD 6,005,311.50 (pare 331) pairs USD 200,000 (see para. 104) of the Final Award) of the Claimant USD 6,005,311.50 (para. 331) pairs USD 200,000 (see para. 104) (parts first all parameters of the Claimant USD 6,000,000 (pairs interest at EUR/ROOF 25). Respondent cordened to pay the Claimant USD 10,000,000 (pairs interest at EUR/ROOF 25). Respondent cordened to pay the Claimant USD 10,000,000 (pairs interest at EUR/ROOF 25). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of Tribural and CSD Detectedant, to be born thro-third by the Claimant of the USD 5,312,107 (para 516). Claimant to bear all costs incurred by the Respondent, in the sum of USD 5,312,107 (para 516). Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,147,521 (para. 411). The Tribural decides (para. 411): The Tribural decides (para 411):	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 3 31 (paras. 581 - 613) 1 of 66 10 of 518 8 (paras. 403-410)
Services GmbH and Others v. Ukraine, [President), Prof. Bennardo [President), Prof. Bennardo [Custroclas including honoraria paid to experts, [Including honoraria paid to experts, [Inc	7-Nov-07 7-Nov-07 4-Nov-05 19-May-02 4/17/2009 20-Dec-10	25-Den-14 17-Den-15 17-Den-15 17-Aug-12 5-Mart 16 10-Feb-12	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oct-11 Mechtlef Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Hrvatska Elektrophreda D.D. v Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Hrvatska Elektrophreda D.D. v Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Beriardea, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24) Iberdrola Energia, S.A. v. Republic of Guatemata, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5 Içkale Ingaat Limited Sirketi v Turkmenjatan, (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/4) IcS Inspection and Control Services Limited (United Kingdom) v. Argentine Republic, ICSI D. Case No. ARB/07/4) ICS Inspection and Control Services Limited (United Kingdom) v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. 20.0-20.0-20.0-20.0-20.0-20.0-20.0-20.	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.72485292 Onversion rate on 17-0c1-11 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7291907985 NVR NVR NVR US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9254	USD 157,280,000 (para. 311) The Claimant's experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 28,472,307) (see USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 28,472,307) (see CSID NIA. UCSID NIA. UCSID NIA. UCSID USD 568,770,439.29 plus interest (USD 62,770,439.29 plus interest (USD 62,770,439.29 plus interest) (USD 63,070,030.33 + USD 475,289.500 + USD 243,389.38) (converted from EUR 28,678,407.28) (para. 48) Principal amounts totalling USD 11,039,248.81 plus interest of UNCITRAL USD 14,237,762.29, i.e. total of USD 25,277,611.19 in principal and interest (para. 248). Claimant's experts considered that the total value of impregible in sensitient in AGSA, including compound relevant, was USD 113,842,863 as of Cottober 2006 (para 312 - 381).	Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tornika S. Professor Vasghan Love Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. S. David A.R. Williams (President), Charles Bower, Jan Paulasson S. L. Ywas Fortiar C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Dr. Aktham El Kholy S. Eduardo Zuleda (President), Rodigo Cheemuno, Ywas Derains S. Dr. Vejor Heistanen, (President), Carolyn B. Lamm and Prof. Philippe Sands Q.C. S. Prof. Petere-Marie Dupoy (President), Dr. Sandago Torres Bernárdoz, The Honorable Marc Lainche S. Judge Harss Danelsus (President), Judge Charles M. Bower, Prof.	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribinal aloget phase) - interest from the date of the Final Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate + 2% compounded monthly (para.599) N/A N/A USD 4,221,427,66 in total costs incurred (para.511) in a.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs. USD 2,847,462.22 (USD 1,066,148 + USD 1,781,314.22	USD 8,582,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.86 (EUR 9,47.739.69 - EUR 653,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) para. 592) NVA USD 5,312,197 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44.455 in feec of the Regular 1, action of USD 670.06 (RT 196 of PA) Further fibiums fees and expenses of EUR 80, 182.25 and hatther PCA costs of USR 8.625 relaing to claimants challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1.e. tbat fib USD 19.07 (pr an 8 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,883 NIA. NIA. NIA. Total Tribunal costs not clear - Respondent claimed USD 608.616.83 (converted from EUR 553.214.01 (para. 592) NIA. SUR PERSPONDENT Advanced USD 525,000 (para. 405) SUR 252.20 in other tribunal expenses (proved, transition). EUR 253.500 in arbitrators frees and expenses, plus EUR 528.22.20 in other tribunal expenses (proved, transition). EUR 253.500 in arbitrators frees and expenses, plus EUR 528.22.20 in other tribunal expenses (proved, transition).	lac Claimant was not an "investor" and/or of on or have Trevestment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 335). USD 13,410,500 plus Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,500 plus Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,500 plus Final Award. Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,588,22779 (plus interest) Breaddown: Breaddown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,588,482,2113 (para 1516) (converted from EUR 19,697,000) + literest at the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000) + enterest at the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000 + enterest at the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000 + enterest at the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000 + enterest and the awarque from EUR 19,697,7000 + enterest and award from EUR 19,697,7000 + enterest from EUR 19,797,7000 + enterest from EUR 19,797,7000 + enterest from EUR 19,797,797,797,797,797,797,797,797,797,7	277/01.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 4110 of the authitation costs. In USD 258,253, resulting in payment of EUR 48,074.56 or USD 258,253, resulting in payment of EUR 48,188.64 by Claimant to Respondent (parts 250 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (pare 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to authitation and fixed in Supplementary and Final Award (L. EUR 68,007.26 or USD 159,70 (pare 36 Supplementary and Final Award (L. EUR 68,007.26 or USD 159,70 (pare 36 Supplementary and Final Award (L. EUR 68,007.26 or USD 159,70 (pare 36 Supplementary and Final Award). Award). Award). Award). Award). Award (L. EUR 68,007.26 or USD 159,50 (pare 33) jours USD 200,000 (see pare. 1014) of the Final Award) for Lord (see pare. 1014) of the Final Award) for International European (S. 150,000.000). Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 6,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000) (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of 150,000.000 (pair and USD 6,000.000). Arbitration costs, including fees	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 3 = 1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 3 = 3 (paras - 581 - 613) 1 of 86 10 of 516 8 (paras - 403 - 410)
	7-Nov-07 7-Nov-07 4-Nov-05 16-May-02 4/17/2009 20-Dec-10 26-Jun-09 7/25/2007	29-Dec-16 17-Dec-15 17-Dec-15 17-Dec-15 17-Dec-15 17-Dec-12 21-Sec-12 21-Sec-11	PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oc6-11 Hochtlef Aktiengesellschaft V. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Hivelaka Elektropivreda D.D. V. Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Whysia Elektropivreda D.D. V. Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31 Derfold Energia, S.A. V. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5 Igkale Ingaat Limited Sirketi V. Turkmenistan, (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5 ICS Inspection and Control Services Limited (United Kingdom) v. Argentine Republic, PCA Case No. 2010-9 Impregilo S.p.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/17	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7583352432 N/R US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7583352432	USD 157,200,000 (para 311) The Claimanta's experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para, 311). USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472,307) (see ICSID para, 259) plus interest USD 31,848,181.33 (converted form EUR 29,472,307) (see ICSID para, 259) plus interest USD 568,770,430.29 plus interest USD 578,420,370,522, i.e. load of USD 25,777,611.9 in principal and interest (para 246). Claimant's experts considered that the total value of Intropagib is westment in AGBA, including compound interest, was usual 0118,362,363 and October 500 plans 372 - 351), in.b. Claimant at legal experipation, unfair treatment and discrimination (para 182). USD 107,532.29 as agreed damages, plus annual interest at CSID 8,7% from 10 November 2002; USD 15,000.000 as indemages for experipation, plus interest of SVC 131,250,000, i.e. USD 15,000,000, plus interest at 8,7% from 20,248,2000 (para 44). EURD 122,532,329 is total principal sums, or USD	J. Professor Viorghan Lowe Q.C (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C. J. Christopher C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, C. Takima El Robyly J. Eduardo Zuleta (President), Rodrigo Creamuno, Yves Derains J. Dr Veljo Heiskanen, (President), Rodrigo Creamuno, Yves Derains J. Dr Veljo Heiskanen, (President), Rodrigo Creamuno, Yves Derains J. Prof. Phene-Marie Dupoy (President), D. Santiago Tores Bernidio C.T. Pre Honocalle Marc Librorio J. Judge Hans Danellus (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Brighes Stem J. Rodrigo Chewruno Blanco (President), Button A. Landy, Claus	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribinal aloget phase) - interest from the date of the Final Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate + 2% compounded monthly (para.599) N/A N/A USD 4,221,427,66 in total costs incurred (para.511) in a.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs. USD 2,847,462.22 (USD 1,066,148 + USD 1,781,314.22	USD 8,582,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.86 (EUR 9,47.739.69 - EUR 653,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) para. 592) NVA USD 5,312,197 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44.455 in feec of the Regular 1, action of USD 670.06 (RT 196 of PA) Further fibiums fees and expenses of EUR 80, 182.25 and hatther PCA costs of USR 8.625 relaing to claimants challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, 1.e. tbat fib USD 19.07 (pr an 8 of SFA). Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,883 NIA. NIA. NIA. Total Tribunal costs not clear - Respondent claimed USD 608.616.83 (converted from EUR 553.214.01 (para. 592) NIA. SUR PERSPONDENT Advanced USD 525,000 (para. 405) SUR 252.20 in other tribunal expenses (proved, transition). EUR 253.500 in arbitrators frees and expenses, plus EUR 528.22.20 in other tribunal expenses (proved, transition). EUR 253.500 in arbitrators frees and expenses, plus EUR 528.22.20 in other tribunal expenses (proved, transition).	las Claimant was not an "investor" and/or did not have Trivestment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA). Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 359). USD 1341,000 pius sheered time 20 becember 2014 (para. 101(5) of the fixed feares. 329. December 2014 (para. 101(5) of the fixed feares.) Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,588,22779 (plus interest) Breakdown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,588,482.2113 (para. 519) (convented from EUR 19,987,000) is interest in the average fearemble LEPIBOP (rit are "25 semi- annually compounding from 1,10½ 2002 III date saward debt shaffer (paras. 545-546) Respondent win. Claim damissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84). Respondent win. Most claims (expropriation, violations of FET standard, or merits, as Claimant little for prove parased estimated or merits, as Claimant little for prove shafer (para 508). Respondent win. Most claims (expropriation, violations of FET standard, or merits, as Claimant little for prove shafer (para 508). Respondent win. All claims diamissed for lack of justed claimants on merits, as Claimant lated by prove shafer (para 508). Respondent win. All claims diamissed for lack of justed diamissed or merits, as Claimant and paint the Respondent win. All claims diamissed for lack of justed lack o	277/01.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 4110 of the autistation costs. In USD 268,252, and Respondent to bear 4110 of the autistation costs. In USD 258,253, resulting in payment of EUR 46,874.56 or USD 258,253, resulting in payment of EUR 46,188.64 by Claimant to Respondent (parts 26 of Partial Award). Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistations (para 152 of Partial Award). Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to autistics and fixed in Supplementary and Final Award (s. EUR 66,807.26 or USD 15197 (para 96 150) per 150,000.06 or USD 15197 (para 96 150) per 1519 (para	3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 3 +1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award) 1 of 86 10 of 518 8 (paras. 403-410) 16 of 543

1-Aug-02	26-Jan-06	International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v. United Mexican States, UNCITRAL	NR	Chairmed in Notice of Arbitration: USD 100,000,000, plus pre- laward interest at rate to be fixed by Tribunal, plus tax consequences (para 8).	TA) 3: Lic. Agustin Portal Ariosa, Prof. Thomas W. Walde, Prof. Dr. Albert Jan van den Berg (President)	USD 1,163,375.20 in legal fees and expenses (para 210).	USD 1,502,065.84 in legal fees and expenses (para 211).	USD 405.620 in fees of arbitrators plus USD 99.632.06 in disbussements of the arbitration including rent of hearing rooms, travel, hotel accommodation and court reporters, in total USD 595,252.08 (para 221).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent hald not to have violated any of the NAFTA articles relied upon by Claimant (para 209).	All costs to be allocated on a Claimant 3/4 - Respondent 1/4 basis. Claimant to reimburse Respondent for USD 1,126,549.38, representing 3/4 of the Respondent's legal representation costs (para 220). Claimant to reimburse Respondent for USD 1/26,510.20 in respect of deposits made for arbitrators' less and distournering of the arbitration (para 221).	12 of 222
23-Oct-08	29-May-12	InterTrade Holding GmbH v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2009-12	US\$1 = EUR0.8008 US\$1 = CHF0.9618 US\$1 = GBP0.6398 US\$1 = CZK20.4011	USD 105,424,575.42 (converted from EUR 84,424,000) (para. UNCITRAL 36)	Yves Fotter OC (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 2,932,704.38 (USD 1,852,267.78 (CHF 1,781,511.15) plus USD 455,145.42 (EUR 384,480.45) plus USD 625,291.18 (GBP 400.061.30)) (para. 271)	USD 4,951,751.32 (USD 262,237.76 (EUR 210,000) plus USD 4,689,513.56 (CZK 95,671,235.09) (para. 272)	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (para. 277).	The Tribunal decides (para. 276): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 of 277 (paras 271-276)
14.06.2012 (RFA)	14-Aug-15	Inversion y Gestion de Bienes, IGB, S.L. and IGB18 Las Rozas, S.L. v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/17)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9000	N/A CSID Reducted (para. 188)	Rodrigo Greamuno Blanco (Sole Arbitrator)	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	The Tribunal dismissed all claims. (parx. 214)	The parties shall bear its own costs and psy half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunia and the cost attributable to the use of the use of the services of the Centre. (para. 214)	3 (paras. 210 to 213)
14-Feb-07	26-Jun-09	Invesmart v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.7136	NIA UNCITRAL	Dr Michael Pryles (President); Christopher Thomas QC; Prof. Plero Bernardini	USD 8,267,721.41 (EUR 5,899,846) (para. 560) - N.B. includes Tribunal costs.	USD 5,768,934.98 (EUR 4,116,712) (para. 560) - N.B. includes Tribunal costs. USD 15,087,047,98 (converted from EUR 11,499,347.97)	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (page 133).	The Tribunal decides (para. 578): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	19 of 578 (paras 560-578)
2-Aug-05	11-Dec-13	Ioan Micula and others v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7622	USU 82,2471,983.70 (RON 2,555,550,000) (para. 891) plus interest Note - The above amount is the damages claimed as per Method A. There are Methods B & C as well for alternative claims.	3. Dr. Laurent Lévy (President), Dr. Stainnir, A Alexandrov, Prof. Georges Abi-Saab	USD 24,152,732.88 (converted from EUR 18,4U9,213) (pars 1324)	a. USD 15,087,047.98 (converted from EUR 11,499,347.97) (para. 1325)	Unclear, but the sum advanced by both parties is USD 2,995,000 (paras. 1324-1325)	Respondent to pay USD 110,598,301.87 (converted from RON 376,3229) as damages plus interest (which is a substantial sum) (para. 1329)	The Tribunal decides (para. 1329): 1) Each party shall bear 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses; 2) Each party shall bear its own costs.	6 (paras 1323-1328)
2-Aug-05	3-Mar-10	loannis Kardassopoulos and Ron Füchs v. Republic of Georgia, ICSID Case Nos. ARBIOS/18 and 67/15	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6617509992	Reduced calculation in Post-Hearing Brief: USD 30,200,000. ICSID being the reduced weighted werange calculated by Claimanifs quantitum experts a gooy for FM of Claimanifs 50% stake in GTL (pares 542-545). The Company of the Compan	3. Mr. L. Yves Forlier, C.C., O.Q., Q.C., (President), Prof. Francisco Orlego Vicurlia, Prof. Vasgilan Love QC	USD 7,942,297.56 in total fees and disbursements for both Claimants, including USD 1,460,070 in expert fees and advances on altotation costs (para 661). n.b. Includes ICSID advances.	GBP 3.075,844.22 in total legal fees plus GBP 627,641.07 in expert fees and other disbursements and expenses, i.e. USD 5,896,494 (para 662).	NIA n. CBP 343,919.84 paid by Respondent on account of CSID fees (pans 682.) However, Claimants also paid Assess to the remained unquantified. Therefore, this figure has been excluded.	resulting in total sums of USD 45,124,736.83 payable to each Claimant or USD 90,249,473.66 payable in total (para 693). Respondent pay post-award interest at LIBOR rate plus	Respondent to bear both Claimants' total costs of the arbitration proceedings of USD 7.94, 297, including legal fees, organic fees, administrative fees and Yribural fees (para 692).	14 of 693
10/21/2004	22-Sep-05	lurii Bogdanov, Agurdino-Invest Ltd and Agurdino-Chimia JSC v. Republic of Moldova (I), SCC Case No. 093/2004	US\$ 1 = MDL 12.5800004005 / EUR 0.8230066915	Nominal value of Transferred Assets, being MDL 621,021, Le. Stockholm Cham USD 49,366, plus interest, and reimbursement for moral damages (page 4 + para 5.1).	ber of 1: Guiditta Cordero Moss	N/A		EUR 19,457 in fees and costs of sole arbitrator plus EUR 6,000 for administrative fee of Arbitration Institute, i.e. USD 30,932 (para 7.2).	4%, compounded semi-annually (para 579). Claimant vin. Repondent ordered by pay principal sum of 31,000 lei or USD 24,462, piles interest at various rates 19 June 2010 to 31 March 2004 amounting to 334,690 lei, totalling 696,696 lei or USD 55,236 including billionest (para 7.).	Respondent to bear 100% of arbitration costs at EUR 25.457, i.e. USD 30,932 in total or an extra USD 15.466 (para 7.3). Each party to bear its own costs and expenses including legal costs (para 7.4).	2 of 100
6-May-14	<u>10-Mar-17</u>	Ivan Peter Busta and James Peter Busta v Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2015/014	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9373 US\$ 1 = CZK 25.3291 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.8225	USD 2,487,287,74 (converted from CZK 63,000,000) (para. 5) SCC	S. Dr. Yas Banifatemi (President); Prof. Apart Reinisch; Prof. Philippe Sends QC	NVA. USD 17,804.72 (converted from EUR 16,888.36) (para. 444) (EUR 8,715 + EUR 104 + EUR 370 + EUR 420 + EUR 4,581.36 + EUR 2,498) (Fee are not quantified - the above figure appears to prepesent disbursements only.	CZK 6,480,026.97 (CZK 5,743,648.37 + CZK 652,632.16 + CZK 3,250 + CZK 70,794.44 + CZK 9,702)	USD 285,178.66 (parn. 440) (USD 285,057.08 • USD 121.58) (converted from EUR 248,438 • GBP 100) (EUR 99.394 • EUR 50 • EUR 1,000 • EUR 59,636 • EUR 59.836 • EUR 1,000 • EUR 27,122))	Claimant's claims dismissed on merita (para. 459)	including legal costs (pans 7.4). The Tilbural decides (pans 4.55): (1) Each party shall bear its own leagl costs; and (2) Each party shall pay half of the costs of the arbitration.	20 (peras. 438-457)
23-Dec-03	6-Nov-08	Jan de Nul N.V. and Dredging International V. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/04/13	US\$1 = EUR 0.7845599781 / GBP 0.83258575 / EGP 5.5322130782	Claimed in Security for Arbitration dated 23-Dec-03 USD 140,000.000 jbis USZ 268,937 (b) jbis USZ 5,600,000, jb. (CSID 1400,000,000 jbis USZ 268,937 (b) jbis USZ 5,600,000, jb. (USZ 93,937 (b) jbis USZ 93,9	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kotker (President), Prof. Pierre Mayer, Prof. Brigitte Stern	EUR 2-942-305-64 and USO 362-000 in total costs, i.e. US 3.337,992 (and USO 362-000 in total costs, i.e. US n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	D EOP 253.089.05 plus GBP 9.500 plus EUR 874.803.65 plus USO 373.162.50 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,547,385 (para 277). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the ments, as Claimsats falsel as establish that Respondent had breached the BTI (para 275). Respondent's claim for payment by Claimants of USD 5,000,000 dismissed (para 281).	Parties to bear artibitation costs in equal shares. Each party shall bear its own costs and legal frees (para 280).	5 of 282
28-Mar-06	23-Apr-12	Jan Oostergetel and Theodora Laurentius v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = SKK 22.7204166185 / EUR 0.7617354854 n.b. Date used for SKK conversion was 16 January 2009 (i.e. last available SKK date)	EUR amount (para 115). Claimed in Statement of Claims: SKY 7.520.335.505 plus EUR UNCITRAL 18,126,337.9 (i.e. USO 344,785,308, plus interest at 8% from 31 December 2007 until date of payment (para 166). Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: SKY 405,600,592.24 plus SKY 55.000.000, plus SKY 35.099.241 plus SKY 55.000.000, plus SKY 35.099.241 plus SKY 55.000.000, plus SKY 35.000.000, plus SKY 35.000.000 plus SKY 35.000 plus SKY 35.000 plus SKY 35.000.000 plus SKY 35.000 plus SKY 35	3: Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Mikhail Wladimiroff, Dr. Vojtěch Trapi	EUR 1.461.855 in legal costs and expenses plus EUR 237,506.76 in "external costs", i.e. USD 2.231,039 (para 32	EUR 12.439.240.48 in costs of arbitration, i.e. USD 4): 16,330,131 (para 326).	EUR 796 258.93 in Tribunal fees and expenses including court reporters and interpreters plus VAT of EUR 69,985.50, i.e. USD 1,137,198 (paras 331-335).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed as Respondent held not to have breached the BIT articles relied on by the Claimant (para 322).	Claimants to bear entirely of arbitration costs of EUR 798,523.93 plus related VAT of EUR 69,985.50, i.e. USD 1,137,553 (para 341). Claimants to contribute EUR 2,000,000, i.e. USD 2,655,583 towards Respondent's legal and other costs (para 340).	18 of 372
11-Sep-06	28-Mar-11	Joseph Charles Lemire v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/18	NR	Various calculations based on different scenarios: USD 30.489,000, USD 40.402,000, USD 46,851,000 or USD 43,517,000 (para 122), ABerratike volusion used by Calimant in response to Respondent's criticismic USD 26,791,000, USD 35,303,000, USD 40,590,000, uSD 40,590,000, uSD 30,503,000, USD 40,590,000, u	3: Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), Mr. Jan Paulsson, Dr. Jürgen Voss	USD 1,339,427 for international and Ukrainian counsel, damages expert, and expenses (para 365).	USD 4,427,814 in fees and disbursements of international and Ukrainian counsel and of EBS (para 367). n.b. Only USD 1,549,180 had been paid at point of quantification (para 368).	USD 424,921 paid by Claimant to ICSID and Tribunal plus USD 400,000 paid by Respondent as advance on costs, i.e. USD 824,921 (para 365).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 8,717,850 in damages (para 297). Claim for moral damages rejected (para 344).	Respondent to reimburse Claimant for USD 750,000, being a proportion of the reasonable costs and expenses of pursuing the arbitration (para 383), n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Dr. Jürgen Voss.	20 of 384
22-Apr-13	12-Jan-16	Joseph Houben v Republic of Burundi (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/7)	NR	USD 3,692,581 Two possible expropriation dates meant two proposed valuations (para. 46): Primary position: USD 9,092,581 (plus interest from this date at a rate of 13,57%) Alternative position: USD 5,389,371 (plus interest from 2007 expropriation date at a rate of 13,57%)	S. Gilbert Guillaume (President), Vas Bavillatemi, Brighte Stern	USD 201,371,28 (para. 29)	USD 134,872.67 (para. 29)	USD 399,878.00 Chaimant paid an advance of USD 199,909.00 and the Respondent paid an advance of USD 199,970.00 (para. 29)	Tribural finds that Burund breached article 3 (FPS) and article 4 (expropriation). However, Claimant only awarded: UBD 204.00 plass interest from the 2010 expropriation date at 6-month LIBCR + 2%, (para. 280)	The Triburnal decided (para. 259): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs: (2) each party shall pay their own legal fees.	2 (paras. 29 and 259)
26-Feb-03	6-Aug-04	Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11	US\$ 1 = GBP 0,5416796616	GBP 2,500,000, i.e. USD 4,615,274, pl us interest, plus the full ICSID value of bank guarantees if not released, being GBP 9,605,228, i.e. maximum amount in dispute USD 22,347,577 excluding interest (paras 17 + 24).	S. Prict Francisco Cirego Vicunta. Mr. William Laurence Craig., Judge C.G. Weeramantby	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of ICSID jurisdiction.	Each Party to pay one half of the arbitration costs and to bear its own legal costs.	N/A
1/10/2011	2-Mar-15	Khan Resources Inc., Khan Resources B.V., and Cauc Holding Company Ltd. v. The Government of Mongolia (UNCITRAL), PCA Case No. 2011-99	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8936	USD 236,000,000 (para. 277) UNCITRALIPCA (damages of USD 255,000,000 (para. 259) plus interest of USD 71,000,000 (para. 277))	Bernard Hanolau (Chairman). L Yves Fortier, David A. R. Williams	USD 12,997,403.68 (para. 427)	USD 7,097,172.80 (= USD 7,116,707.80 + USD 335,720 (converted from EUR 300,000 - Tribunal costs of USD 355,235) (paras 284, 437)	USD 710,510.00 (para. 436)	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 80,000,000 (para. 451 (iii))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 9,074,143.51 (para. 451(v)). This amount inclues arbitration costs (USD 355,255.01) + expert costs (USD 1,112,271.99) + 355,255.01) + expert costs (USD 1,112,271.99) + 350,455,31 and legal costs (USD 6,991,731.82) (para. 450).	24 (paras. 427 - 450)
30-Dec-09	2-Jul-13	Kilic Insaat v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/1)	MR	NIA Clear from award but UNCTAD says USD 300,000,000 claimed. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Detaila/386	3. William Rowley OC (President), William W Park, Phthope Sands QC	USD 1,563,386 (para. 9.1.3)	USD 4,002,583 (para. 9.1.4) (difference between total costs and iCSID fees = USD 4,227,583 - USD 225,000)	USD 618,176.49 (para. 9.2.3 - p. 94)	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 10.1.1)	The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,444,77245 (pars. 10.1-1 - page 97): (1) USD 1,006,457 to indemnify the Respondent for 55% of its legal costs (55% of what the Tribunal considered were reseanable costs - see pair. 9.2.9): (2) half of the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs (75% of 516,176.40 = USD 463,632.30);	17 (paras 9.1.1 - 9.2.10)
7/21/2014	27-Jul-16	Kristian Almas and Geir Almas v Poland, PCA Case No 2015-13	US\$ 1 = NOK 8.5937 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9101	NA UNCITRAL/PCA Not clear from award (quantum submissions reserved see para. 86) but according to UNCTAD: USD 24,800,000. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/663	3. James Crawford (President), Cla Mestad: August Reinisch	USD 711,533.38 (para. 265)	USD 210,467.17 (para. 293) (total costs minus arbitration costs)	USD 266,831.53 (para. 303)	Claimant's claims dismissed on the ments (para. 312)	Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 192,014.8 (para. 311) This amount comprises the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs. Each party ordered to pay their own legal costs (para. 308).	27 (paras. 285-311)
24-Apr-09	17-Oct-13	KT Asia Investment Group B.V. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/8	NR	USD 1,500,000,000 (para. 54)	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President) lan Glick, Q.C., Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 2,184,456.31 (para: 225)	USD 5,602,042.39 (para. 225)	NVA Not clear. (Respondent incurred ICSID fees of USD 300,000. Claimant did not indicate how much it paid towards the costs of the arbitration proceedings)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 229)	The Tribunal decides (para. 229): 1) Each party shall bear 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses; 2) Each party shall bear its own costs.	5 (para. 224-228)
2/15/2005 31-Jan-02	12-Nov-08 25-Jul-07	LE.S.I. S.p.A. et ASTALDIS.p.A. v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARBI05/3 (GSE V. Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARBI02/1)	N/R N/R	USD 288,000,000 plus pre-award and post-award interest and ICSID costs (para. 15)	3: Prof. Pierra Terciser (President), Prof. Bernard Handisus, Prof. Emmissed Califord S. Or. Talana B. De Makashil Prof. Standard Standard Professor Asbert Jan van den Berg)	N/A N/A Figures not disclosed.	N/A N/A Figures not disclosed.	USD 73,000 (ie. USD 23,000 + USD 140,000 paid by the Calmants; and USD 15,000 + USD 220,000 paid by the Responders). NA Figures not disclosed.	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits. Claimant wins on merits and awarded USD 57,400,000 (para. 115)	The parties shall bear their own costs, and spit the cost of the arbitration equally between them. The Tribunal decides (para. 114): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	N/A 5 (paras. 110-114)
23-Feb-06	2-Sep-11.	Libananco Holdings Co. Limited v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/8	NR	USD 10,100,000,000 (para 562). (CSID	3: Mr Michael Hwang S. C. (President), Mr Henri C. Alvarez Q.C., Sin Franklin Berman Q.C.	USD 18,099,523 in legal fees and USD 6,282,033 in other expenses, i.e. total of USD 24,381,556 (para 558).	USD 25,699,521 in legal fees and USD 10,002,896.76 in other expenses, i.e. total of USD 35,792,417.76 (para 559).	Approximately USD 1,205,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and of ICSID Secretariat (para 568).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to show it had an investment (para 570-1).	Ciaimant to bear arbitration costs resulting in a payment of USD 602,500 in reimbursement of the Respondent's advance on half the costs (para 569). Ciaimant to pay USD 15,000,000 towards Respondent's tegal fees and out-of-pocket expenses (para 567).	13 of 570
16-Jul-07	<u>22-Jun-10</u>	Liman Caspian Oil BV and NCL Dutch Investment BV v Republic of Kazakhstan (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/14)	N/R	NAA CSID Paragraphs on relief redacted (see. Sections F.I. and F.II.) bud UNCTAD says the compensation claimed was USD 200,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/248)	3. Skalt-kinz Böckstlegel (President), Kaj Hober, James Crawford	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	Respondent wins on merits (Section K.2 on p. 101)	The Tribunal decides (paras. 467-468): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras.465-468)
31-Oct-05	26-Mar-08	Limited Liability Company Amto v. Ukraine, SCC Case No. 080/2005	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6344856154	EUR 14,999,194 and USD 594,902, i.e. USD 24,234,830 , Stockholm Cham Commerce at 8% (para 32).	Der of 3. Bernando M. Cremades (Chairman), Per Runeland, Christer Soderlund	NA	N/A	EUR 331,845,75 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 39,330 in SCC Institute administrative fee and expenses, i.e. USD 585,003 (section X).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on ments, as Claimant failed to establish liability and/or attribution (paras 114-115). Respondent's counterclaim also dismissed (para 118).	Each party to bear its own costs for legal representation and other expenses. Each party liable for 50% of the Arbitration Costs (para 122).	4 of 122

07 Nov. 00	40.400	It led Tording Inles Object Commencer	IN/D	1100 0 470 040 05	LINOITON AINTTA	In Paul Dundres, Inflore Undelbid	lua	USD 144,422.80 in attorney fees and expenses, legal expert	NOT 400 000 for Table 16	Respondent win. Claims failed on the merits, as	Arbitration costs borne by the Claimant (para 96).	4 400
27-Nov-99	18-Apr-02	Link-Trading Joint Stock Company v. Department for Customs Control of the Republic of Moldova, UNCITRAL Award on Jurisdiction dated 16-Feb-01;	N/R	USD 3,458,813.25 in compensation for damages and test profits resulting from expropriation (para 9).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Trick Burulana, Jeffey Hertzfeld (President), Ivan Zylan (President), Ivan	N/A	USD 144,422.80 in attorney fees and expenses, legal expert fees, and other expenses (para 94).	USD 120,000 for Tribunal fees - actual costs exceeded this but Tribunal decided not to charge for additional amount above security deposit (para 96).	Respondent win. Claims failed on the merits, as Claimant had not been able to show the causal link necessary to prove expropriation (para 91).	Arbitration costs borne by the Claimant (para 96). Claimant ordered to pay USD 22,200 towards Respondent's counsel fees and expenses and legal expert fees (para 95) (though this is stated as USD 22,500 in the dispositif).	4 of 96
29-Jul-98	26-Jun-03	Final Award dated 18-Apr-02 Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID (NAFTA)	3: Sir Anthony Mason, Judge Abner J. Milova, Lord Mustill	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Both Claimants' claims dismissed for tack of jurisdiction (page 69).	Each party to bear its own costs, and shall bear equally the expenses of the Tribunal and Secretariat to be borne equally (para 240 + page 70).	1 of 240
19-Mar-10	17-May-13	Luigiterzo Bosca v. Lithuania, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.7801	USD 266,595,308.29 (converted from EUR 207,971,000 (para. 105))	UNCITRAL	Marc Lalonde (President); Daniel Price; Brigitle Stern	USD 5,824,822.17 (converted from EUR4,580,421.21 - conversion performed in award, para. 305)	USD 628,419.78 (converted from EUR 490,230.27 (para. 306))	USD 876,261.75 (converted from EUR 683,571.79 (para. 325)).	Claimant win, but able to recover direct costs only, which had already been recovered (para. 329).	The Tribunal decides (para. 329): (1) Respondent to pay 80% of Claimant's costs, amounting to USD 4,287,100.33 (converted from EUR 3,344,366.97); and	25 of 329 (paras 304-328)
2-Oct-12	22-Dec-15	Lundin Tunisia v Republic of Tunisia	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Azzedine Kettani (President).	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Tribunal held that Tunisia was liable for the Public	(2) Respondent to pay 100% of the arbitration costs, namely USD 876,261.75 (converted from EUR 683.571.79). The Tribunal decides (p. 25-26):	11 (paras. 390-401)
		(ICSID Case No. ARB/12/30)		Not disclosed.		Emmanuel Gaillard, Anna Joubin- Bret	Not disclosed.	Not disclosed.	Not disclosed.	Entity's debt but rejected moral and punitive damages. No award amount is disclosed (pages 25-26)	(1) each party to pay 50% of Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	
12/16/2002	31-Jul-07	M.C.I. Power Group L.C. and New Turbine Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID No. ARB/03/6	N/R	In previous court lawsuit before Ecuadorian courts, Claimant claimed USD 25,000,000 in damages for breach of contract (para 327).	ICSID	3: Prof. Ratif E. Vinusea (President), Judge Benjamin J. Greinberg GC, Prof. Jame Instraktaba C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Claimants had failed to prove violation of standards of fair and equitable treatment (para 373)	Each party to pay half the costs and expenses incurred by the Centre, and to bear its own costs and expenses for legal representation (para 372).	1 of 373
30-Sep-04	17-May-07	Malaysian Historical Salvors Sdn Bhd v. Government of Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10	N/R	Claimant claimed to be contractually entitled to 70% of USD 2.98 million amount realised at auction, but to have received only USD 1.2 million, i.e. outstanding amount of USD 886,000 due to Claimant, plus further (unquantified) amount due for Claimant's share of best attainable value of Items valued at	ICSID	1: Mr. Michael Hwang, S.C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant did not have an "investment" (para 147).	Each Party shall bear one half of the arbitration costs. Each Party shall bear its own legal costs (para 150).	1 of 151
21-Oct-08	7-Feb-11	Malicorp Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/08/18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7375044179	over USD 400.000 (paras 13-14). NA	ICSID	3: Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Liuz Olavo Bapista, Maître Pierre-Yves Tschanz	EUR 239,734.14 in total expenses, i.e. USD 325,061 (para 145). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 489,773.60 in total expenses (para 145). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A.	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as Respondent's action held not to be an expropriatory measure (para 143).	Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID costs to be borne by both parties in equal shares. Each party to bear its own costs and legal fees and expenses.	5 of 148
8-Jul-11	30-Mar-15	Mamidoli Jetoli Greek Petroleum Products Societe Anonyme S.A. v Republic of Albania (ICSID Case No. ARB/H1/24)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7017	NIA Not clear from the Award but UNCTAD suggests the Claimant claimed USD 23.00.000. http://investmentpokicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/402	ICSID	3. Rolf Knieper (President), Yas Banifateri, Steven A. Hammond	USD 1,286,398.79 (converted from EUR 902,603.58) (para. 530))	USD 753,103.045 (converted from EUR 528,452.40 (para. 531))	USD 844,990.46 (para. 836)	Tribunal accepts jurisdiction but rejects Claimant's claims on ments (para. 839)	The tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay USD 149,759.14 (para. 835). 1) Respondent ordered to reimburse the Claimant USD 149,759.14 (para. 835) for the tribunal's costs; This is because the applicable treatly requires the parties to bear the costs of the arbitrator it has appointed (pairs SoV6 of the President's costs). Respondent was therefore ordered to pay the sums it owed.	9 (paras 830-838)
25-Jan-08	16-May-12	Marion Unglaube and Reinhard	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7855937810	N/A	icein	3. Mr. Judd Kessler (President), Sir	EUR 1,735,239.42 plus USD 1,165,749.30 in total costs, i.e.	HCD 1 647 969 98 in total costs (nors 220)	USD 876,815.94 in Tribunal fees and expenses and costs f	Claimant win Respondent ordered to pay HSD	Each party shall pay its own legal costs. Each party to bear its own legal and other costs and	5 of 332
	10.112	Unglaube v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/08/1 and ARB/09/20		n.b. Claimant claimed compensation for losses of value of expropriated properties, but losses do not appear to be quantified in Award (para 98).	10010	Franklin Berman, Dr. Bermardo Criennades	USD 3,374,575 (para 329).	CO 1,01,000.00 in come consultant accept	use of Centre (para 329).	3,100,000 in compensation, plus semi-annually compounded interest at 5-year US Treasury Bill rate from 1 January 2006, amounting to USD 965,900.33 as of date of Award, i.e. USD 4,065,900.33 in total principal	expenses. Parties to share equally the Tribunal fees and expenses and costs for use of Centre (para 331).	
30-Apr-99	16-Dec-02	No. ARB(AF)/99/1	US\$ 1 = MXN 10.195109055	USD 50,000,000 phus undefined interest (para 24) revised down to USD 30,315,938 plus undefined interest by the memorial stage (para 190).	ICSID (NAFTA)	3: Prof. Konstantinos D. Kerameus Ppeaddent), M. vogo Coverubias Brevo, Prof. David A. Gantz	NA	NA	N/A	and interest (pama 318 - 325). Claimant Vin. Reprodent ordered to pay MXN 9,464,977.50, i.e. USD 928,350, plus simple interest from date relatives should have been paid at interest rates on Mexican Coverment Federal Treasury and the part of the	Each party shall be responsible for its own legal fees and related costs. Attitution costs to be borne equally by the parties (paras 208 + 213).	1 of 213
4/6/2007	12-May-11	Meerapfel v CAR (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/10)	N/R	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	ICSID	3. Azzeilne Kettari (President): Francola TYürt, Marie-Madeleine Moorentsuo	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached its contractual obligations and awarded the Claimant undisclosed damages (para. 456).	The Tribunal decided (para. 455): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 453-455)
5-Jan-09	2-Aug-10	Melvin J. Howard, Centurion Health Corp. & Howard Family Trust v. Government of Canada Order for the Termination of the Proceedings and Award on Costs dated 02-Aug-10; Correction of Order for the Termination of Proceedings and Award on Termination of Proceedings and Award on Section 1		USD 160,000,000 claimed in damages for loss of value of investments, loss of business opportunities, fees and expenses, loss of goodwill, and loss of profits (paras 6-7).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3: H.E. Judge Peter Tornka (President), Prof. Marjone Florestal, Mr. Henri Alvarez OC	NA	CAD 227,651.59 in legal fees and CAD 4,667.99 in disbursements for consultant fees, travel, and court and document costs, i.e. USD 227,188 (para 78).	USD 29.525.32 in Tribunal fees and office expenses; USD 8.380.13 in cost of services provided by PCA (comprising USD 8.076.25 in these of Tribunal Secretary and USD 930.8 in expenses for express courier deliveries), i.e. USD 37.984.46 (paras 70-72).	Proceedings terminated at Respondent's request in accordance with Article 4 of UNCITRAL Arbitration Rouse, also Edinamiant Stake to pay deposit required by the Tribunia (pares 63-64).	Claimants to bear all Tribunal fees and expenses and costs of assistance required by Tribunal in the amount of USD 37-9545 (gear 77). Claimant to pay CAD 4,667 99 towards Respondent's costs of legal and other assistance, i.e. USD 4,564 (pars 82).	18 of 83
17-Apr-15	<u>5-Aug-16</u>	Costs dated 90-Aug-10 Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. & Aviation Handling Services International Ltd v Senegal (ICSID ARB/15/21)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9026	USD 46,125,824.29 (EUR 23.339,289 + EUR 2,286,755 + EUR 7,522,450 + EUR 4,573,470 + EUR 3,811,225) (para. 6)	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President); Hamid Gharavi; Pierre Mayer	USD 652,098.04 (converted from EUR 588,583.69) (para. 160)	USD 699,151 (converted from EUR 631,053.69) ((para. 159)	USD 300,095.37 (para. 161)	The tribunal declined jurisdiction to hear the claims (para. 165)	USD 999,246.37 Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 699,151 as part of Respondent's logal costs (para. 1656). Claimant also ordered to pay 100% of the Tribunal	6 (paras. 159-164)
25-Sep-06	31-Mar-10.	Merrill & Ring Forestry L.P. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, ICSID Administered Case	US\$1 = CAD 1.0155148296	Losses in connection with Article 1102 breach claimed at CAD 16,804,068; in the alternative CAD 16,804,068 claimed for Article 1105 breach; in addition, or in the alternative, losses due to Article 1105 breach settlement at CAD 16,786,272 and for Article 1110 breach at CAD 16,882,368, totaling maximum amount claimed of CAD 52,242,708 i.e. USD 51,444,555 (para 250).	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Viculia (President), Prof. Kenneth W. Dam, J. William Rowley QC	N/A	N/A	USD 820,904.75 in Triburnal fees and expenses plus USD 138,595.25 in changes and expenses of the administering institution, i.e. USD 959,500 (Section IV).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on ments as damages were not proven to Tribunal's satisfaction and/or Respondent had not been shown to have breached the FET standard (para 266).	costs of 300,095.37 (but I had already advanced the whole amount). Each party to bear arbitration costs equally, and to pay for its own costs (para 271).	2 of 271
4-Oct-11	24-Mar-16	Mesa Power Group LLC v Government of Canada, UNCTRAL, PCA Case No. 2012-17	US\$ 1 = CAD1.3276 US\$ 1 = EUR0.8959	USD 983,769,168.73 (converted from CAD 775,000,000) (para. 44)	UNCITRAL	3. Pr.C. Gabrielle Kadmann-Korte (President): Toky Landisu A.C., The Hon. Charles N. Brower	USD 8,518,585.47 (para. 695)	USD 4.691,538.08 (converted from CAD 6,109,001.56) (para.	USD 1,731,797.65 (converted from EUR 1,551,343.80) (page 894)	a. The Tribunal decides to dismiss all claims against the Respondent based on the merits of the dispute, (para. 706). Respondent win on the merits. Decides that Respondent has not acted in leseath of NAFTA. Tribunal recalls that the second of the second of the solution of the second exputes in the course of this arbitration. While it has its right to do so, many of these requests unnecessarily burdened the arbitrat process and were decided against the Claimst.	in total: Claimant ordered to pay USD 2,548,994 (para. 706) The Tribunal decides (para. 706): (1) The Claimant shall pay 100% of the arbitration costs (USD 1,168,532). (2) the Claimant shall pay its own legal costs and 30% of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,380,461,74).	23 (paras. 683-705)
30-Dec-96	30-Aug-00	Metalclad Corporation v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1	NR	Alternative amounts submitted LISS 50,000.000 using discounted cash flow analysis to establish filtr materials value of investment USD 20-25,000,000 using actual investment value (para 114). An additional USD 20-25,000,000 was claimed for negative impact on other business operations. This claim was disablewed by the Tribunal (para 115).	ICSID	3) Pick (S) Elbhu Lauterparth CC CEE (President), Mit Bespinin R. Chriett, Mr José Luis Siqueiros	NA	NA	N/A	Claiment vin. Respondent ordered to pay USD 18,885,000, inclusive of pre-award interest at 8% correpounded annually (para 131).	Each party shall bear its own costs and fees, and half the advance payments made to ICSID (pare 130).	1 of 131
3-Feb-03	6-Jun-08	Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/03/5	N/R	I.e. Maximum amount claimed of USD 115,000,000. Claimed in Memorial: USD 9,567,789 for consequential damages and lost profits, plus USD 3,000,000 for moral damages, plus USD 2,870,330.81 for loss of chance, plus USD 2,500,000 for other damages related to economic, financial and legal advisory services, i.e. amounts totalling	ICSID	3: Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Durcan H. Cameron, Jean Paul Chabaneix	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as damage not proven (para 233).	Each party to bear its own costs (para 253).	1 of 235
4-Feb-10	4-Oct-13	Metal-tech Ltd. v. The Republic of Uzbekistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/3	N/R	USD 17,3962,625 (para. 108)	ICSID	3. Prof. Cabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (Presidenti) John M. Tormsend,	USD 1,112,966.86 (para. 414)	USD 7,435,954.95 (para. 414)	USD 1,150,000 (advance payments made by each party). Unclear whether the entire advance was used as costs of arbitration. (para. 414)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 423).	The Tribunal decides (para. 423): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;	9 (paras 414-422)
3-Dec-99	3-Aug-05	Methanex Corporation v. United States of America Partial Award dated 07-Aug-02; Final	N/R	USD 970,000,000 plus interest and tax payable (para 32 of Part II, Chapter D; para 2 of Part IV, Chapter A).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	Claus von Wobeser 3. J. William F. Rowley, Prof. W. Michael Reisman, V.V. Veeder (President)	USD 11-12,000,000 in legal costs (para 12, Part V).	USD 2,989,423.76 in legal costs (para 12, Part V).	USD 1,500,000 in total costs of the arbitration (para 8, Part V).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and/or on the merits (Part IV, Chapter F, Page 2, paras 5-6).	(2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Claimant to reimburse Respondent for legal costs of USD 2,989,423.76 plus USD 1,071,539.21 for Respondent's share of interim deposits paid for arbitration costs including interest of USD 21,539.21	N/A
19-Nov-99	12-Apr-02	Partial Award dated 07-Aug-02; Final Award dated 03-Aug-05 Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling Co. S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6	NR	Claimed in Memorial: USD 34,000,000 plus interest (para 64). Claimed in Reply Memorial: USD 42,240,000 plus compound interest from the time of taking of the investment (paras 64, 78 + 119).		3 Prof. Dr. Karl-Meinz Bödelüggel (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Con Wallace	N/A	N/A	N/A	Cisimant win. Respondent ordered to pay. USD 2,190,448 in compensation, plus compound interest from 1,4manay 2000 to 3 days after date of Award amounting to USD 1,558,970, i.e. botal award of USD 3,749,400 (parts 172, 175 + 175).	arbitration costs including interest of USD 21,339.21 (garse 6 + 13, Part V). Tribunal and ICSID costs to be borne in equal portions by each Party. Each Party to bear its own legal fees and costs (para 176).	2 of 178
29-Jul-99	15-Mar-02	Mihaly international Corporation v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Sompong Sucharitisal (President), Hon. Andrew Rogers, David Suratgar	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction (paras 61-62).	Costs of proceedings including fees and expenses of the Arbitrators and the Secretariat shall be shared by the Parties in equal portion. Each Party to bear its own costs for legal fees and for preparation of the written and oral proceedings (para 63).	1 of 63
6-Dec-12	4-May-16	MNSS B.V. and Recupero Credito Acclaio N.V. v Montenegro (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/8)	US\$1 = EUR0.8704	N/A Not clear from award but IAReporter article says "more than" USD 67.7 million (converted from EUR 72 million). His playwa kin project com/airticles/mortenegro-to-face-second known-investment-treaty-claim-this-time-over-bankrupt-steelworts/	ICSID	3. Andres Rigo Sureda (President), Emmanuel Gaillard, Brigitte Stern	N/A	NA	USD 697,073.64 (para. 373)	The Tribunal found there to be a breach of FPS standard but Claimant had not shown loss, so no damages (page 12g). The Tribunal dismissed all other claims on the merits.	and our procesuring (user 65). Claimant ordered to pay USD 697,073.64 (para. 128) The Tibunal decides (page 128-129). (1) each Party shall pay for its own costs; (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs.	5 (paras. 371-375)
7-Sep-12	20-Feb-15	Mobil Investments and Murphy Oil Corporation v Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)07/4 (Redacted)	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.2505	N/A Claimed damages redacted (see, e.g., para. 151). However, according to UNCTAD: USD 59,100,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/271)	ICSID/ NAFTA	3. Hans van Houtte (President), Professor Mert E. Janow, Professor Philippe Sands QC	USD 8,204,365.40 (para. 171)	USD 4,288,868.22 (para. 172) (converted from CAD 5,363,229.70)	Not clear. (up to USD 1,075,000 - as each party has advanced USD 525,000 and claimant has paid a lodging fed of USD 25,000) (pares 171-172)	Claimants win on merits in the amount of USD 13,225,976.05 (pars. 176 (a) and (b)) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (The Tribunal decides (para. 178(c)): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	7 (para.171 - 177)
27-Mar-11	22-Mar-13	Mohamed Abdulmohsen Al-Kharafi & Sons Co. v. Libya and others	N/R	USD 2,055,530,000 (p. 17, para. 24)	Ad-hoc (Unified Agreement for the Investment of Arab Capital in Arab States	3. Abdel Hamid El-Ahdab (Chairma); trashin Faviz, Mahamed El-Kamoud El-Hati	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	USD 1,940,000 (p. 385)	The tribunal found that the defendants "committed contractual and delicibul faults ascertaining their contractual and degal liability", and ordered them, to pay USD 938,000,000 in demages (page 382)	The tribunal decided (p.385): (1) each party shall bear its own legal costs; (2) the defendant should pay 100% of the tribunal costs.	1 (p. 385)

30-May-08	<u>8-Jun-10</u>	Mohammad Ammar Al-Bahloul v. Republic of Tajikistan, SCC Case No. V (064/2008) Partial Award on Jurisdiction and Liability dated 02-Sep-09; Final Award dated 08-	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8331938149 / SEK 8.0451723234	USD 27,780,000 plus USD 55,160,000 plus USD 67,220,000 plus USD 53,300,000, i.e. principal amounts totaling USD 228,460,000, plus compound interest of USD 240,010,000 as marginal rate plus reference rate from 2 July 2001 to 30 October 2009, i.e. principal amounts plus interest totalling USD 468,470,000 (para 35)		6 3. Jeffley M. Herzfield (Chairman). Dr. Richard Happ, Prof. Ivan S. Zytin	First phase: EUR 1.106.000 in costs including legal fees Second phase: EUR 35,500 in disbursements plus USD 21,299.81 in legal representation plus USD 35,084.04 in expert costs (paras 112-113). i.e. total of USD 1,427,613	N/A	EUR 461,582 in Tribunal fees and expenses; EUR 63,395 plus SEK 6,125 in SCC Arbitration Institute administrative fee and expenses; i.e. total of USD 631,088 (para 122).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for failure on quantum; despite Respondent's established liability and ongoing breach of the BIT, Claimant failed to prove damages suffered and so Tribural flad no basis on which to assess damages (paras 98-99).	Respondent to pay EUR 300,000, i.e. USD 360,060, towards Claimant's costs (para 119). Respondent to reimburse Claimant 50% of arbitration costs, such 50% being EUR 262,488 plus SEK 4,063, i.e. USD 315,544 (paras 121 + 123).	18 of 123
6-May-99	11-Oct-02	Jun-10 Mondev International Ltd. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AFI)99/2	N/R	No less than USD 50,000,000 plus interest (para 2).	ICSID (NAFTA)	St Nision Stephen (President), Port James Craeford, Judge Stephen (Stephen Stephen) Stephen M Stephen Stephen M Stephen	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed, some for lack of jurisdiction and others on the merits as the decisions of the US courts were held not to violate NAFTA (ourse 154	Each party to bear its own costs. Expenses of the Tribunal and the Secretariat to be borne equally.	2 of 159
26-Jun-01	25-May-04	MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. & MTD Chile S.A.	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Mr. Andrés Rìgo Sureda	N/A	N/A	N/A	+ 157). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD	Parties to bear all their own expenses and fees, and to	1 of 253
		v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7		n.b. Unclear what the total sum claimed was. Claimant's appraisers valued Mr Fontaine's land at USD 34,385,487 (para 45); Claimant claimed USD 8,782,000 in simple interest at 8% (para 320); aggregate expenditures considered eligible for damages calculation by Tribunal amounted to USD		(President), Marc Lalonde, Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco				5,871,322.42 plus compound interest at LIBOR rate from 5 November 1998 auth date of thil payment (para 253). Damages were reduced because Claimants had failed to protect themselves from inherent business risks (para 246).	share equally the fees and expenses incurred by ICSID and the Tribunal (para 252).	
21-Sep-11	10-Feb-17	Murphy Exploration & Production Company International v Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-16)	US\$ 1 = EUR0.8757	21,469,588.32 (para 241). USD 473,290,000 (USD 118,300,000 + USD 354,990,000) (paras. 426 and 452)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President); Yves Derains; Kaj Hober	USD 4,473,915.28 (para. 528)	USD 6,790,360.27 (para. 532)	USD 1,514,311.50 (paras 528, 532)	The Tribunal awarded the Claimant USD 19,971,309 (para. 548(c))	Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,283,768.96 (para. 548) (converted from EUR 3,756,550.68) This amount comprises 75% of the the Claimant's costs, including legal costs and tribunal costs.	24 (paras. 524-547)
											N.B. Tribunal ordered that the parties should each bear their own costs of the very final stage of the arbitration.	
3-Mar-08	15-Dec-10	Murphy Exploration and Production Company International v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/04	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Dr. Honado A. Origera Nodo, Dr. Radi E Vinnesa Nodo, Dr. Radi E Vinnesa	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as the negotiation period under the BIT had not yet elapsed (para 161).	Each party to pay one half of Tribunal's fees and expenses and of charges for use of Centre facilities. Each party to bear its own legal fees and other costs (para 161).	2 of 161
2/14/2011	3-Apr-14	National Gas S.A.E. v. Arab Republic of Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/7)	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. VV Veeder QC (Chairman), Yves Forlier, Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 158).	Claimant ordered to pay all Tribunal costs (amount not disclosed) (para. 157)	5 (paras 153-157)
				Declaration of jurisdiction - the proceedings were bifurcated (para. 36 and 62). The amount in dispute not disclosed in the award but the UNCTAD website says USD 36 million: http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/440			Figures on costs not disclosed.	Figures on costs not disclosed.	Figures on costs not disclosed.		Parties to pay their own legal costs (para. 157).	
25-Apr-03	3-Nov-08	National Grid plc v. Argentine Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 59,069,583 for loss of fair market value of Claimant's investment, plus USD 22,321,139 for opportunity cost of lost	UNCITRAL	3: Alejandro Miguel Garro, Judd L. Kessler, Andrés Rigo Sureda	N/A	N/A	USD 1,074,155.46 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 267.681.84 in expenses prior to administration by ICSID	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 38,800,000 in compensation, plus interest at rate of	Respondent and Claimant to bear 75% (USD 1.006.378) and 25% (USD 335.459), respectively, of	1 of 296
				equity, plus USD 31,009,278 for historical return on equity owed to Claimant, i.e. amounts totalling USD 112,400,000 (paras 263-265).		(President)			Secretariat and in administration fees and expenses of ICSIC Secretariat i.e. USD 1,341,837.30 in total (para 296).	LIBOR plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 25 June 2020 a 31 October 2008 at an amount of USD 14,792,499.25, bringing the total actualised damage figure to USD 53,924,932.5 plus further interest updated to date of Award (parsa: 200 + 204).	Tribunal fees and expenses and costs of administration of ICSID Secretariat, i.e. Respondent bears an extra 25% or USD 335,495. Each party to bear its own legal costs (para 295).	
3-Aug-06	24-Nov-10	Nations Energy Corporation, Electric Machinery Enterprises Inc. and Jamie Jurado v. Republic of Panama, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/19	N/R	MA	ICSID	3: Sr. Aesia Moure (President), Dr. José Maria Chillón Medina, Dr. Claus von Vicheser	USD 1,798,289.56 (para 705).		USD 661,069.72 in fees and expenses of members of arbitra tribunal and expenses of its assistant, USD 65,000 for use of thought of the use of	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on the merits as Claimants had not been able to prove indirect expropriation (para 693).	Claimants to bear arbitration costs in full, i.e. an additional 50% or USD 441,545.75 (para 710) and to contribute 40% of Respondent's costs and expenses, being USD 4,145,673.42 (paras 713-714).	N/A
8/21/2001	12-Oct-05	Noble Ventures Inc. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/11	N/R	USD 143,531,000 plus applicable tax gross-up plus interest compounded from 31 July 2001 (para 35).	ICSID	3: Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstegel (President), Sir Jeremy Lever KCMG QC, Prof. Perre-Marie Dupy	USD 3,145,210.27 in total costs (para 231). n.b. Possible that this includes Tribunal Costs.	USD 8,930,868.05 in total costs (para 231). n.b. Possible that this includes Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as they failed on liability (para 229).	Each party shall bear its own legal and other expenses. Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 236).	6 of 236
17-Feb-06	000000	Award dated 12-Oct-05; Rectification of Award dated 19-May-06 Nordzucker v. Poland, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.6681	USD 230,055,380.93 (converted from EUR 153,700,000	UNCITRAL				LIDD ON ON CA (Ave.			
17-Feb-06	23/11/2009 (Award on costs)	Nordzucker v. Poland, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.6681	USD 230,055,380.93 (converted from EUR 153,700,000 (para. 34))	UNCITRAL	Vern Van Houtie (President); Pior. Andreas Bucher, Fr. Maciej Tomisszewski	USD 3,926,061.58 (converted from EUR 2,622,333.64 (para. 74))	USD 1,817,530.89 (converted from EUR 1,214,292.39 (para. 75))	USD 849,049.54 (converted from EUR 557,250 (paras 77-75	Claimant win on the merits but no damages awarded ((page 19))	The Tribunal decides (paras 86-87): (1) each party shall bear its own legal costs, except the Claimant shall pay USD 106.271.52 (EUR 71,000) to the Respondent as the cost of Respondent's supplementary expert report; and	21 (paras 67-87)
1-Oct-08	30-Aug-10	Nova Scotia Power Incorporated (Canada) v. Bolivarian Republic of	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7880807356	N/A	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), D. John Beechey, Prof.	USD 2,152,966 (para 6 of Award on Costs).	USD 1,584,135 (paras 7-8 of Award on Costs)	EUR 171,088 in tribunal costs plus EUR 33,920 in institutional costs, i.e. USD 260,135 in total	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction (para 152 of Decision on Jurisdiction).	(2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Claimant to pay 100% of arbitration costs and to contribute USD 850,179 towards Respondent's legal	N/A
		Venezuela, UNCITRAL				Philippe Sands QC			(paras 22-23 of Award on Costs).	beason on Sunsacaon).	costs (paras 20, 38-39 of Award on Costs).	
2-Nov-10	30-Apr-14	Decision on Jurisdiction dated 22-Apr-10; Award on Costs dated 30-Aug-2010 Nova Scotia Power Incorporated v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Hans Van Houtte (president), David Williams, Raul Emilo Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win on jurisdiction (para. 150).	The Tribunal decides (para. 153):	2 (paras 151-152)
		Case No. ARB(AF)/11/1)		Not disclosed.			Not disclosed.	Not disclosed.	Not disclosed.		(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
12/11/2001	16-Dec-03	Nykomb Synergetics Technology Holding AB v. Republic of Latvia, SCC	US\$ 1 = SEK 7.3228310297 / LVL 0.5392951321	Claimed in Statement of Claim (and restated in Brief No.III) as primary prayer for relief: amounts totalling LVL 7,097,680, i.e.	Stockholm Chamber of	f 3: Bjørn Haug (Chairman), Rolf A. Schütze, Johan Gernandt	SEK 8,354,000 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,140,816 (para 6.1).	SEK 6,435,270 plus LAT 229,174 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,303,746 (para 6.1).	EUR 209,915 in Tribunal fees and costs, plus VAT of EUR 13,066 for Mr Johan Gernandt and EUR 9,596 for Mr Rolf A.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay LVL 1,600,000 inclusive of simple interest at 6% from the mid-point of	Respondent ordered to pay SEK 2,000,000 towards Claimant's legal costs, i.e. USD 273,118. Each party	N/A
			/ EUR 0.8112741255	USD 13.16.1031, plus 6% interest on amounts from 17 September 1999 25 February 2000 16 September 2002 respectively (pars 1.2.1, page 2.1. Claimed in Brief No.1 dade 21.4m.c9 as secondary prayer for relief. LVI. 3.44%-7.470, l. e. USD 6.46.1575, plus 6% interest on amounts from 17 September 1999/ 29 February 2000 respectively (pars 1.2.1, page 2). Claimed in Brief No.III dated 09-Sep 031. UV.7.097.880, l.e.					Solutize: EUR 20,946 in fees of Arbitration Institut e, i.e. USE 312,500 (pan 6.3). n.b. There was an additional plus 25% VAT on Claimant's portion, i.e. an additional EUR 2,516 payable by the Claiman only (para 6.3). This would increase total Tribunal Costs US 315,727.	b the respective period in question until the date of the Award, i.e. USD 2,966,836 (paras 5.2-5.3, pages 41-24).	to bear 50% of amounts due to arbitrators and Arbitrator institute. Claimant to pay an additional EUR 2.618, i.e. USD 3.227, in 25% VAT on its part of Arbitration institute's administrative fee (para 6.3).	
				USD 13,161,031, plus interest as before restated as primary prayer for relief, and secondary prayer for relief adjusted to LVL 3,686,188, i.e. USD 6,835,196, plus interest as before								
21-May-08	29-Jul-14	OAO Tatneft v. Ukraine, UNCITRAL	N/R	(para 1.2.1, page 3). USD 1,144,000,000 (para .51(a))	UNCITRAL	Prof Ornego Vicuna (President); Charles Brower, Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	USD 2,040,308.12 (para. 640)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 112,000,000 (para. 642).	The Tribunal decides (para. 641): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	2 of 641 (paras 640-641)
11-Nov-02	1-Jul-04	Occidental Exploration and Production	N/R	Claimed in post-hearing Memorial: USD 80,263,930 in	UNCITRAL (LCIA)	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña	N/A	N/A	USD 561,433.38 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sum	Respondent to pay 55% of arbitration costs, i.e. USD	1 of 216
		Company v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Administered Case No. UN 3467		reimbursement of VAT paid up to 31 December 2003 (including interest of USD 19,725,707), plus USD 12,300,000 in future damages, i.e. USD 201,563,930 in total, plus unquantified amounts for refunds of VAT paid from 1 January 2004 (paras 20-21 + 203).		(Presiding Arbitrator), Hon. Charles N. Brower, Dr. Patrick Barrera Sweeney			 in costs of administration, i.e. USD 594,044.38 in tot (page 73). 	al of USD 71,533,649 plus simple interest of USD 3,541,260 to a total amount of USD 75,074,929, plus further simple interest on total sum at 2,75% per annum from 1 January 2004 to date of Award (paras 211-212).	326,724.40 in total (or an extra USD 29,702.21), and Claimant to pay 45%, i.e. USD 267,319.8 Each party to bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 216).	
17-May-06	5-Oct-12	Occidental Petroleum Corporation and Occidental Exploration and Production	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Mr. L. Yves Fortier CC QC (President), Mr. David A.R. Williams	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 1,769,625,000 plus interest at 4.188% compounded annually from 16	Each party shall bear its own legal costs. Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID administrative charges to be	6 of 876
		Company v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/11		n.b. In their Request for Arbitration, Claimants requested damages in amounts to be determined at the hearing, which they believed would exceed USD 1,000,000,000 (pars 106). Claimants later contended that damages should be equal to the full fair market value of the investment, but do not appear to have quantified this (pars 364). USD 201,000,000 was claimed in consequential damages (para 789).		QC, Prof. Brigite Stem				May 2006 until date of Award (pans 825 + 848). Respondent's counterclaims dismissed.	bome equally as between the Claimants and the Respondent (para 874).	
7-Sep-11	10-Mar-15	OI European Group B.V. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/25)	N/R	USD 929,544,714 (para. 82(3))	ICSID	3. Juan Fernandez-Armesto (President), Francisco Orrega Vicuna, Alexis Mourre	USD 14,306,376 (para. 956) (sum of USD 12,612,455 + USD 1,654,824 + USD 39,097	USD 4,754,730 (paras. 959-960). (Total costs of USD 5,254,730 - ICSID costs of USD 500,000)	USD 1,000,000 (paras. 958 - 959)	Claimant wins on the merits and awarded USD 372,461,982 (compensation) + interest (para. 984 (5) -	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 5,750,000 (para. 984(6)).	23 (para. 954 - 976)
		ARD/11/20)				Vicinia, Areata Mocare	(sum of USU 12,612,455 + USU 1,654,824 + USU 39,097 (para. 956))	(Lotal costs of USU 5,254,730 - ICSID costs of USD 500,000) (para, 959 - 960)		(b))	This includes the Claimant's costs of the proceedings (USD 500,000) and legal costs (USD 5,250,000) (para. 984 (6)).	
15-Dec-03	19-Nov-97	OKO Pankki Oyj, VTB Bank (Deutschland) AG and Sampo Bank pic v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARBO46	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6822395557 / DEM 2.2850260334 DEM 2.2850260334 Date used for DEM conversion vass. 27 February 2002 (a.e. last available DEM date)	According to calculations below, total maximum amount claimed would be USD 25,199,160 for culstanding principal amount of damages including interest to 1 saly 2004, plus USD 17,98,473 in expenses and refund of sale proceeds excluding interest. I e. USD 26,960,779,179,179,179,179,179,179,179,179,179	ICSID	3. Mr O.L.C. de Witt Wijnen (President), Mr L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Mr V.V. Veeder QC	EUR 1,815,785.37 in fees and costs of external and internal coursel, witness fees and costs paid directly by Claimant, i.e. USD 2,661,597 (para 370).	N/A	USD 410,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID Secretarist (para 375).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay monetary damages of USD 3,837,901.40 plus EUR 7,320,315.80 (to be divided equally between three claimants), Le USD 14,507,633, plus interest on those sums at 76t, per USD 14,507,633, plus interest on those sums at 76t, per USD 14,507,633, plus interest on the sums at 76t, per USD 14,507,633, plus interest on the sums at 76t, per USD 14,507,633, plus interest on the sum of the USD 14,507,637, plus interest on the sum of the USD 14,507,637, plus interest on the sum of USD 1,606,193,27 and EUR 736,882.50 at 6% per annum compounded annually from 18 November 2007 until date of payment [para 376]. Respondant labs confered to pay further monetary damages of USD 622,143,32 and EUR 1,200,000 for damages of USD 622,143,32 and EUR 1,200,000 for claimants), Le USD 2,310,568, plus interest at 6% per annum compounded annually until date of payment as from 30 August 2002 for the EUR amount and as from 20	Respondent to pay EUR 1,500,000 towards Claimants' legal costs, i.e. USD 2 198,641, plus post-award interest (pans 374). Respondent to bear full amount of arbitration costs, sanounting but USD 410,000 in total, i.e. an extra USD 205,000 (para 375).	8 of 376
11/3/2010	5-Sep-13	Ömer Dede and Serdar Elibüseyni v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/22	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7622	3.316.67.14 am DEM 6.130.07.25 as at 15.3 July 2004, 1. e. USD 2.728.03 at interest. Note that it is uniced withere this is intended to replace the claim for contractual default interest as well as the 50 interest. If this replaces both interest calculations, this would bring total alternative amount for puriopal and intended to 100°1.129.04.16 (page 3.08). Additional amounts claimed EUR 1.200.000 in expenses incurred prior to arthroid proceedings for legal fees stamp duties, in-house coursel, and 1050 822,143.22 as refund of sale proceeds, i.e. USD 1.758.013 in Claim plus annual state proceedis, i.e. USD 1.758.013 in Claim plus annual 2002 and 20 February 2002 respectively (pass 3.09-510). USD 2.23.983.20 (converted from EUR 2.000.000) (para 162).	icsid	3. William W. Park (President), Professor frigits Stem, Dr. Nicolas Herzog	USD 257,800 (para. 159)	USD 1,846,086.33 (converted from EUR 1,407,087,00) (paras	USD 307,029.77 (para. 277)	February 2002 for the USD amount (para 376). i.e. Total monetary damages of USD 16,046,689 excluding interest or USD 19,624,978 including quartified interest on the first set of damages. Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 274).	The Tribunal decides (paras 276-277): 11 (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;	(paras 265-277 excluding 274- 275)
											(2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
5/28/2010	28-May-13	OPIC Karimun Corporation v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID	N/R	N/A	ICSID	Doug Jones AO (President), Dr. Guldo Santiago Tawil, Philippe	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 181).	The Tribunal decides (para. 181):	1 (para 180)
		Case No. ARB/10/14		Not disclosed in the award		Sands QC T	Not disclosed in the award.	Not disclosed in the award.	Not disclosed in the award.		 The Parties shall bear on an equal basis the fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal/ICSID; and 	
											Each party shall bear the fees and expenses incurred by it in relation to this proceeding.	

24-Oct-12	31-May-17	Orascom TMT Investments S.å r.l. v People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/35	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8899	USD 2,834,800,000 (paras 501, 506) Other heads of damages not quantified in the award (paras 509-518), although UNCTAD's investment Policy Hub states	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President): Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg; Prof. Brigtile Stern	USD 20,673,811.30 (para. 578)	USD 5,816,832.63 (para. 579) (USD 5,685,622.02 + USD 131,210.61 (converted from EUR 116,764.32))	USD 1,349,950 (USD 674,975 + USD 674,975) (paras 578- 579)	Claimant's claims dismissed as the Tribunal held that it did not have jurisdiction (para. 587)	3,(ialmant ordered to pay to the Respondent USD 583,391.31: (para. 587) USD 2,998,416.31 (USD 2,842,811.01 + USD	9 (paras. 577-585)
				that the claim was for USD 5 billion. (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/493)								5,605.30 (EUR 58,382.16)) as part of its legal costs;) USD 674,975 (Respondent's share of the Tribunal	
Aug-11	17-Dec-15	Oxus Gold plc v Republic of Uzbekistan	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9254	Claimant claims in the amount of USD 1,485,000,000 (para 133)	UNCITRAL	3. Pierre Tercier (Chairman), Brigitte Stern, Marc Lalonde	USD 8,746,369.53 (para. 999)	USD 14,923,900.50 (para. 1014)	USD 2,297,768.70 (para. 1028)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 10,299,572 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%, compunded	Th	ne Tribunal decides (para. 1046):	44 (para. 993 - 1046)
				Explanation: adding all primary claims only; i.e., not counting alternative claims); Respondent counterclaims in the amount of USD 109 million (para. 135)			(USD 9,546,369.53 - USD 800,000 Costs and expense of Tribunal)	USD 15,672,698.10 + USD 31,178.41 (converted from EUR 28,852.50) - USD 779,976 Costs and expenses of Tribunal = USD 14,923,900.50 (para.1014)		annually) (page 396). Tribunal found it lacked jurisdiction over the Respondent's counterclaims. (Award, paras. 3-5)) each party to pay 50% of Tribunal costs; t) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	
30-Apr-09	14-Oct-16	Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/12	N/R	USD 284,000,000 (para. 3.16)	ICSID	3. V.V. Veeder QC (President); Prof. Brigitte Stem; Prof. Dr. Guido	USD 9,971,503.47 (includes the USD 2,500,000 as success fee) (para. 11.4)	USD 11,910,696 (para. 11.5)	USD 1,878,348.01 (para. 11.8)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 12.1)	Th	he Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the espondent USD 8,000,000 (para. 56):	20 (11.1 to 11.20)
						Santiago Tawil	,) each party shall pay their own tribunal costs; and	
											(2) the	Claimant to pay Respondent USD 8,000,000 for eir legal costs.	
1-Aug-07	<u>30-Jul-09</u>	Pantechniki S.A. Contractors & Engineers (Greece) v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7110150633 / ALL 92.140000000	Claimant originally submitted claim for USD 4,833,623.93 on 29 May 1997 in Albaria is later accepted the General Adal Directorate's valuation of its losses on 21 January 1998 of USD 1,821,798 pious ALL 25,809.05 (paras 14-15). 2001 commenced litigation in Albanian courts on 31 May 2001 on the basis of this settlement (para 21). Assuming that this was also the amount being claimed before	1	1: Jan Paulsson	EUR 154,523 in total costs, i.e. USD 217,327 (para 103). n.b. Unclear whether this includes ICSID deposits.	EUR 269,657 in total costs, i.e. USD 379,256 (para 103). n.b. Unclear whether this includes ICSID deposits.	N/A	Respondent win Claims rejected on the metis, where Claims was unable to prove breach of the BIT, or declared was unable to prove breach of the BIT, or declared inadmissible due to Claimant's election to selse the Albanian courts (para 105).	Tr	ach party shall bear its own costs and 50% of the ibuna's fees and expenses and iCSID charges are 105).	2 of 104
11-Mar-05	11-Sep-07	Parkerings-Compagniet AS v. Republic of Lithuania, ICSID No. ARB/05/8	US\$ 1 = NOK 5.6784113471 / EUR 0.72263633364	ICSID, this would make the total amount claimed USD 2,102,785 NOK 176,400,000, i.e. USD 31,065,027, plus interest at NIBOR rate compounded monthly from 22 January 2004 to	ICSID	3: Dr. Julian Lew QC, The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Dr.	EUR 2,458,993.33 in total costs excluding ICSID deposit, i.e. USD 3,402,809 (para 458)	EUR 1,144,124.68 in total costs excluding ICSID deposit, i.e. USD 1,583,265 (para 459).	Parties paid each paid deposits of EUR 196,591.42 to ICSID in respect of Tribunal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 544,095	Respondent win. Claim dismissed as Respondent's	Es en	ach party to bear its own costs and expenses. Costs id expenses of the Tribunal to be paid equally by	8 of 465
6-Oct-99	9-Feb-04	Patrick H. Mitchell v Congo (Case No.	N/R	date of payment (see para 213).	ICSID	Laurent Lévy (President) 3. Andreas Bucher (President),	USD 206,560 (see para. 98).	USD 307,907.50 (see para. 99).	total deposits (paras 458-459). USD 180,000 (added up from para. 100)	violation of its obligations under the BIT (paras 447 + 456). Claimant won on the merits in the amount of USD	bo	oth parties (para 464). The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD	4 (paras 98-101)
o-ourss	37.4504	ARB/99/7)		(This was an excerpt and the amount Claimants asked for was not provided in the excerpt)	10015	Yawovi Agboyibo and Marc Lalonde	However, this includes arbitrators' fees, which appears to be treated differently to the costs of the Tribunal.		100,000 (doddd ap norr part. 100)	750,000 (para. 93)	95	5,000 (para. 100)	4 ((2010) 50-101)
				mar not provided in the execupy			active directing to the costs of the Tribular.	ancienty of the costs of the mounts.) USD 35,000 to contribute to the Claimant's legal sets;	
											(2 sh	USD 60,000 in compensation for the Claimant's nare of the Tribunal's costs.	
21-May-10	27-Jun-16	Peter E. Allard v Government of	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3081	USD 22,189,587.95 (para. 48)	UNCITRAL/PCA	Gavan Griffith QC (President);	USD 1,241,133 (para. 283)	USD 5,850,857 (para. 283)	USD 1,134,323 (para. 280)	The Claimant's claims are all dismissed (p. 91)	CI	laimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD	39 (paras. 278-316)
		Barbados (PCA Case No. 2012-06)				Andrew Newcombe; Michael Reisman.					(U	075,306 (para. 316) USD 567,162 for Tribunal costs and USD 2,508,144 r legal costs).	
7-Oct-09	19-Sep-11	Peter Franz Vocklinghaus v. Czech Republic	US\$1 = EUR0.7324 US\$1 = CZK17.9410	USD 14,001,259.41 (converted from CZK 251,196,595 (para 34))	UNCITRAL	John Beechey (President); Bohuslav Klein; Maltre Laurent Levy	N/A	USD 4,504,640.61 (converted from CZK 80,817,757.15 (para. 213)	USD 347,618.45 (converted from EUR 254,595.75 (para.	Respondent win on the merits (para. 214).		ne Tribunal decides (para. 214):	3 of 214
		керилис	<u>9331 = 62817.3410</u>	July (hoest, meane Laucest Levy		213).	£1£).		(c) Re) the Claimants shall pay USD 4,504,640.61 onverted from CZK 80,817,757.15) as the espondent's legal costs; and) the Claimants shall pay USD 347,618.45	
1-Sep-03	29-Mar-05	Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic,	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7744103850 /	USD 1,507,812.60 in compensatory damages, plus interest		f 3: Mr. Hans Danellus (Chairman),	N/A	N/A	EUR 131,832 plus SEK 16,458 in arbitrators' fees and	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD	ari Es	onverted from EUR 254,595.75) as the costs of the bitration. ach party to bear one half of the amounts due to the	N/A
		SCC Case No. 128/2003	SEK 7.0708620991	from 25 December 1986, USD 2,76,33,96 On in opt profits, pubs interest from After 1999; USD 2,000 in outlys an related expenses incurred since 1998 in pursuing contractus performance (including travit costs, accommodation expenses, overheads and focal courts and solicitors fees pai in the Kyrgar Republic and traviel and accommodation expenses in relation to the 2002 UNCITRAL arbitration in Stockholm, plus interest from 1 September 2003; i.e. principal sums totalling USD 4,084,652.20 excluding interest (Section VI, pages 18, 35.37 +87).	1	Prof. Ove Bring, Mr. Jeroen Smets			expenses inclusive of VAT; EUR 15,942 in administrative fee of the Arbitration Institute, i.e. total of USD 193,149 (para 10	1,130,839, plus interest at an annual rate to be determined according to NURIPORT Principles of International Commercial Contracts as from 25 December 1998 until payment is made (para 9(d)+(h)).	ari sh	bitrators and the Arbitration institute. Each party lall bear its own costs (para 10).	
2-Mar-00	13-Feb-03	Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 1,499,143 as principal debt owed, plus USD 83,020 in accrued legal costs for proceedings in Kyrgyzstan, i.e. USD 1,582,163, plus pre-award interest amounts from 15 Februar		3: Prof. Kaj Hober (President), Dr. Ahmed S. El-Kosheri, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A USD 672,746 in "legal fees for the court actions in Kyrgyzstan	USD 323,527 in costs and expenses of legal representation (paras 2.2 + 5.4).	USD 231,504 in fees and disbursements of the arbitrators (para 5.4)	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant had not made a "foreign investment" (para 5.3.3.3).	rei	laimant ordered to pay USD 373,527 in imbursement of Respondent's full legal costs of USD 23,527 and advance deposit of USD 50,000.	
				1999 to 30 June 2002 (para 2.1).		San san dan sang	and these arbitration proceedings" (para 2.1). n.b. It is not clear (a) how much of this amount represents the cost of the arbitration proceedings, or (b) whether this includes advance deposits on account of Tribunal fees. This figure has therefore been excluded from our calculations.				Ar US 31	bitration costs borne in full by Claimant having paid \$D 150,000 in advance deposits - shortfall of USD [,504 made up by interest accrued on the advance eposits (para 5.4).	
22-Feb-10	8-Jul-16	Philip Morris Brands Sarl, Philip Morris Products SA and ABAL Hermanos SA v	<u>N/R</u>	USD 22,267,000 (para. 12)	ICSID	Piero Bernardini (President); Gary Born; James Crawford		USD 10,319,833.57 (para. 583) - this includes advances paid to ICSID.	USD 1,485,714.08 (para. 589)	The Claimant's claims are all dismissed (para. 590).		ne Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 742,857.04(para. 590):	N/A 8 (paras. 582-589)
		Uruguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/7)									(2) USD 7,000,000 to cover the Respondent's legal sists. c) relimburse all amounts advanced by the escondent to ICSID (unclear how much advanced.	
16-Mar-99	27-Nov-00	Philippe Gruslin v. Malavsia. ICSID	N/P	Claimant claimed to have suffered losses of entire USD	ICSID	1: Gavan Griffith QC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction under ICSID	bu 1,	ut assumed 50% of Tribunal fees of USD 485,714.08). ach party should pay one-half of Tribunal fees and	1 of 28
10-1111-05	27.101.00	Case No. ARB/99/3		2,300,000 investment in securities listed on the KLSE, which he argued constituted an "investment" under the relevant BIT entitling him to recover the amount of his losses from the		. Satur Similar QU				Convention (para 26.4).	ex Ce	openses of the Tribunal and charges for the use of entre facilities. Each party pay its own expenses ara 27.12).	1 3/120
23-Mar-06	15-Apr-09	Phoenix Action Ltd. v. Czech Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/5	US\$ 1 = CZK 20.3193307025	Respondent (paras 8.1-8.3). CZK 951,048,000 for losses relating to C&C unquantified compensation for losses relating to loss of BP; unquantified compensation for all other BIT breaches; and compensation for all other BIT breaches; and compensation for corporate expenses including over CZK 2,000,000 in legs fees paid by BP in connection with customs assessments, is	ICSID	3: Prof. Brighte Stern (President), Prof. Andreas Bucher, Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto	USD 1,612,279.13 in legal fees and expenses (para 148).	CZK 21,417,199.13 in legal costs, i.e. USD 1,054,031 (para 148).	USD 356,000 in ICSID costs, being the fees and expenses of the Tribunal members and the ICSID Secretariat, excluding lodging fee (para 152).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have a "protected investment" under the Washington Convention and the Israeli/Czech BiT (para 145).	CI 35 Us	laimant to bear all ICISD costs estimated at USD 56,000, resulting in a payment to the Respondent of SD 196,000.	5 of 152
				minimum of USD 46,903,513, plus further unquantified damages (para 51).							(po	openses of CZK 21,417,199.13, i.e. USD 1,054,031 ara 152).	
8-Nov-06	4-Aug-10	Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli & Others v. Republic of South Africa, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/01	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7600211259	N/A	ICSID	3: Prof. Vaughan Lowe QC (President), The Hon. Charles N. Brower, Mr. Joseph M. Matthews	EUR 4,374,200.11 in total costs and fees, i.e. USD 5,755,366 (para 97). n.b. May include ICSID advances made by parties in period	EUR 5,333,146.91 in fees and costs (excluding costs of Mr Nthal who had engaged in bribery), i.e. USD 7,017,104 (para 96).	N/A	Proceedings discontinued at Claimant's request pursuant to Article 50 of ICSID Arbitration Additional Facility Rules (paras 79 + 133).	leç an	laimants to pay EUR 400,00 towards Respondent's gal costs and associated expenses, as well as fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the Centre, i.e. USD 26,301 (para 133).	51 of 133
7-Sep-12	30-Apr-15	Ping An Life Insurance Company of	USD 1 = EUR 0.8951	USD 1,127,248,352.14 (para. 89) (converted from EUR	ICSID	3. Lord Collins of Mapesbury	leading up to discontinuance.	n.b. May include ICSID advances made by parties in period leading up to discontinuance.	N/A	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 240)		ne Tribunal decides (para. 240):	6 (paras 234 - 239)
		China, Limited and Ping An Insurance (Group) Company of China, Limited v Kingdom of Belgium (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/29)		1,009,000,000 - as the compensation requested including interest)		(President), Professor Philippe Sands, David A.R. Williams	Not mentioned.	Not mentioned.	Not mentioned.		(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; () each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
6-Jan-03	27-Aug-08	Plama Consortium Limited v. Republic of Bulgaria, ICSID No. ARB/03/24	N/R	Claimed in Memorial on basis of DCF method: USD 122,258,000 in compensation, plus compound interest at a commercial rate from 15 December 1999 (para 153).	ICSID	3: Carl F. Salans (President), Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, V.V.	USD 4,677,521.79 in legal and other costs excluding ICSID advances (para 310).	USD 13,243,357 in legal and other costs excluding ICSID advances (para 310).	USD 803,866.04 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; USD 144,195.78 in ICSID administrative charges and expenses; i.e. total of USD 948,061.82 (para 312)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits; Tribunal denied ECT protections to the Claimant because it had obtained its investment in Bulgaria by means of	Tr	laimant to bear all Tribunal's fees and expenses of ribunal and ICSID's administrative charges of USD 19,985 (with shortfall of USD 28,076.82 being made	18 of 325
				n.b. Unclear whether this amount includes amounts of USD 10 million (para 159), or USD 23 million referred to in Claimant's Post-Hearing Submission on the Merits. It has been assumed that it does.		recun			i.e. total of USU 940,00 (.a. £ (para 312)	ocaline in Investment in Longia to ynneals of Traudullert misrepresentation. In any event, Respondent had not breached its obligations under the ECT (paras 306 + 321).	up rei on 32 Ci	by interest accrued on parties' advances to ICSID), sulfing in payment of USD 460,000 to Respondent a account of its advance on costs (paras 311-312 + 24).	
8/10/2012	21-May-15	Pluspetrol Peru Corporation and others	N/R	Declaratory relief.	ICSID	Eduardo Siqueiros T. (Chairman),	USD 12,377,962 (para. 210 - total figure minus tribunal costs	USD 3,026,174 (para. 212 - total figure minus tribunal costs of	Not dislcosed (although advances total USD 700,000)	The Tribunal ruled in favour of the Respondent and	Th	gal fees and other costs (paras 323-324). ne Tribunal unanimously decided that all cost were to	5 (paras 209-214)
		v Perupetro S.A. (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/28)		N.B. Counterclaim of USD 62,440,689 (para 111)		José Emilio Nunes Pinto, Bernardo M. Cremades.	of USD 350,000)	USD 350,000)		granted the counterclaim. The Claimant was ordered to pay USD 61,517,429 in damages + interest. (paras. 215- 219)	be 3,	e bome by the Claimant in the amount of USD 376,174. (para. 220)	
17-Oct-13	5-May-15	PNG Sustainable Development Program Ltd. v Independent State of Papau New	US\$ 1 = AUS1.2595;	N/A	ICSID	3. Gary Born (President). Duncan Kerr, Chev LH, Michael	USD 1,611,645.98 (para. 386)	USD 688,178.64 (para. 383)	USD 700,000 (sum of advance payments on account of the arbitration costs) (paras. 383, 386)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 417)		ne Tribunal decides (para. 417):	37 (paras 380 - 416)
			US\$ 1 = EUR0.8948	Declaration of jurisdiction (para. 89)		Pryles		(sum of USD 628,376.78 (converted from SGD 837,626.25) + USD 31,219.09 (converted from EUR 28,000.00) + USD 28,582.77 (converted from AUS 36,000.00 (for the Respondent's legal costs and expenses)) (para. 383)) each party shall pay its own legal costs; c) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
24-Dec-98	26-Nov-02	Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.5714379236	USD 482,622 claimed in various heads of damages, plus interest (para 86 of Award in Respect of Damages).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3: Lord Dervaird (Presiding Arbitrator), Benjamin J. Greenberg,	awarded by Tribunal and paid to Claimant by Respondent, i.e.	CAD 3,953,231.22 claimed in final phase, i.e. USD 2,515,678 (para 6).	USD 1,474,359.50 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and its assistant (para 18 of ARC).	as principal amount in damages, plus interest at 5% per	re	espondent to pay USD 120,000 to Claimant in spect of the portion of the Tribunal's costs relating to	N/A
		Interim Award dated 26-Jun-00; Award on the Merits of Phase 2 dated 10-Apr-01; Award in Respect of Damages dated 31-		n.b. Quantified sum did not include two heads of damages which Tribunal concluded were not recoverable, being the value of management time devoted to the claim and alleged		Murray J. Belman	USD 4,245,132 (para 6 of ARC).			annum compounded quarterly from 1 December 1999, bringing award to total of USD 461,565 as of 31 May 2002 (paras 88 + 90-91 of ARD).	thi Es AF	e Verification Review Episode (para 18 of ARC). ach party to bear its own legal costs (para 17 of RC).	
3-May-13	9-Apr-15	May-02; Award in Respect of Costs dated 26-Nov-02 Poštová banka, a.s. and ISTROKAPITAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9369	losses flowing from shutdown of three mills (para 81). N/A	ICSID	Eduardo Zuleta (President), John	USD 5,517,010.09 (para. 373)	USD 4,963,432.84 (converted from EUR 4,650,232.73).	USD 600,600 (para.375)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 379)	Th	ne Tribunal decides (para. 379):	7 (paras 372-378)
		SE v Hellenic Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/8)		Not disclosed in the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed as USD 533,674,885.26 (EUR 500,000,000)		Townsend, Brigitte Stern		(para. 374)			(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; c) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
				(http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/551)							(2	,, poery since pay 50 to to take THIDURISH COSES.	

2-May-02	19-Jan-07	PSEG Global Inc. and Konya lig in Elektrik (Trettur vo Ticaret Limited Sirkesi v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARBI0275	NR	Claimants submitted three amounts based on different approaches to damages assessment (i) using first approaches (USD 114,651,000 as fair marked value of investment at time of supportation, but an amount of the proposition	(SSID S. Prof. Francisco N. C. Yv (President), N. C. Yv (DC, Prof. Gabrielle Ka Köhler	s Fortier CC	NVA n.b. Claimant paid USD 11:500,804.52 in both legal fees and advances towards arbitration costs (para 353).	NIA n.b. Respondent paid USD 8,95,832.10 in both legal fees and advances timeards arbitration costs (gara 353).	NAA. July 20,085,1836,62 in stell coats of the arbitration claimed by parties in post-hearing submissions, including legal costs and fees (para 352).	Claimant vin. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,064,793.4 bit interest at LIDOR Ties plus 2% compounded semi-amnually from 1 August 1998 until payment (para 337).	legal costs Claimant to resulting in Responder n. b. It is no costs 'shift stated in it much each before cos an extra 15 fees were calculated	In to bear 65% of the arbitration costs and and fees, being USB 15.55.56.30. bear 55%, being USB 7.286.072.61; bear 55%, being USB 7.286.072.61; of the calculate the true amount of et., as the respective Party Costs are not, and the calculate the properties of the calculate the party had initially paid for its legal fees, to were shifted). However, on the basis that were shifted, it however, on the basis that we have the costs aware at USB 7.127.745. being all arbitration costs (see Column 1).	3 of 354
28-Dec-06	27-Oct-10	Quadrant Pacific Growth Fund L.P. and Canasco Holdings Inc. v. Republic of Costs Rics, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/08/1 Order of the Tribunal Taking Note of the Discontinuance of the Proceeding and Allocation of Costs dated 27-Oct-10	N/R	Minimum of USD 20,000,000 (para 8).	CSID 3: Prof. Alejandro Gan Prof. Andreas Lowenf Bernardo Cremades		NA	N/A	NA	Proceedings discontinued, at Respondent's request, due to failure by Claimants to pay their share of the second advance payment requested by ICSID (paras 52, 58 + 62).		to pay USD 730,000 in respect of fees and by Respondent (paras 70-72).	10 of 73
4-Oct-05	16-Sep-15	Quiborax S.A. and Non-Metallic Quiborax S.A. v Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/2)	N/R	USD 150,848,827 (para. 73) (composed of USD 146,858,827 as of 30 June 2013 plus compound interest from that date + moral damages in the aum of USD 4,000,000 + declaratory relief (para. 73(a)) and (b))	CSID 3. Gabrielle Kaufmann (President), Brigitte St Lalonde	Kobler Im, Marc	USD 7,660,375 (para.821)	USD 1,844,051.57 (para. 622)	Not clear: However, USD 1,559,000 has been advanced by the parties in total (para, 621). Colamant has advanced USD 1,500,000 and Respondent has advanced USD 150,000 (paras 621-622).	Claimant won on ments and entitled to damages amounting to USD 46,619,776 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%, compounded annually) (para. 626(d))	(1) in addit Responder share of th	all decides: (paras 626(f) and 625(g)): ion to its own half of the Tribunal costs, the nt shall also pay 50% of the Claimant's e Tribunal costs. arty shall pay its own legal costs.	6 (paras 620-625)
15-Jan-10	10-Dec-10	Rachel S. Grynberg, Stephen M. Grynberg, Miriam Z. Grynberg and RSM Production Company v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/6	N/R	N/A	CSID 3: J. William Rowley C Edward W. Nottinghar Tercler	, Prof. Pierre	USD 31,092.50 in total costs, of which USD 27,292.50 attributable to the Objection and USD 3,800 attributable to Security Application (para 8.2.2). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 205,126.83 in legal fees and disbursements in respect of Objection only (para 8.2.3).	USD 187,315.96 in arthration costs including arbitrators' fee Tribunal expenses, and Secretariat's administration fees and expenses (para 8.3.3).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for leck of jurisdiction, and for beign" more than a contractual claimdressed up as a Treaty case" and manifestly without legal ment (paras 7.3.7 + 9.1(a)).	of USD 20. Claimants plus 100% Centre, i.e. Responder	to reimburse Respondent for its legal costs 5,126.83 (para 8.3.5). to bear 100% of Tribunal fees and expenses of administrative fees and expenses of the USD 187.314.94, resulting in payment to nt of USD 93,605.62 (para 8.3.6).	N/A
5-Apr-11	16-Jul-13	Rafat Ali Rizvi v. The Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/13	N/R	N/A Damages worth USD 75,000,000 claimed (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/438)	CSID 3. Dr. Gavan Griffith Q Judge Joan Donoghue Professor Muthucuma Sornarajah		N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (p. 74)		al decides (p. 74): arty shall pay its own legal costs;	5 (paras 229-233)
14-Jun-07	29-Jun-12	Railroad Development Corporation v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB9723 Award dated 29-Jun-72. Decision on Claimant's Request for Supplementation and Recitification of Award dated 19-Jun-13	N/R	USD 63,778,212 in damages plus compound pre-award interest at 9.34% (para 68).	GSID 3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Su (President), Honcrable Eizenstat, Prof. James	Stuart E.	NA	N/A.	NIA USD 384,854.01 in ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses relating to two jurisdictional phases only (para 225).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 11,306,74039 jus compound interest at six-mont 11,007,403.9 jus compound interest at six-mont LIBOR rate plas to precentage postness a from the date of the Lesivo Decitariston to the date of payment (paras 277-281). n.b. Amount awarded was recified by Tribunal on 18 January 2013 at Claimant's nequest due to original miscalculation by Tribunal in Award, Increasing compensation awarded to USD 13,618,789.30 (para 43 * section IV of Decision on Rectification).	Responde and Tribun jurisdiction 192,427 to share. In r responsible and Tribun own course In relation to bear its responsible and Tribun	ray shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. to bear ISSID administrative expenses all fees and expenses relating to the two all phases, resulting in payment of USD Claimant in eimbursement of its half- sladion to the meltis have, each party to be administrative expenses. Each party to the control of the payment of the payment of all fees and expenses. Each party to bear its el fees and expenses (para 282). To the rectification proceedings, each party own coursel fees and expenses and for 50% of ICSID administrative expenses all fees and expenses (Section IV of Rectification).	1 of 238
5/17/2011	9-Jan-15	Renee Rose Levy and Gremcital S.A. v Peru (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/17)	N/R	USD 41,000,000,000 (para. 66)	3. Gabrielle Kaufmann (President), Dr. Eduardo Zuleta, Raid E. Vinuesa	Kohler	USD 1,571,858.72 (para. 198)	USD 5,299,978.96 (para. 196)	Not clear, up to USD 1,150,000 which was the amount advanced by the parties in total (para. 188)	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 196).	2,146,858. (1) USD 1, Responder (2) Up to U	ants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 72 (pare. 203): 57 (spare. 203): 57 (85.87.2 as a contribution to the 11's legal costs/experses; ISD 575,000 as the amount advanced by indent for the Tribunal costs (final amount end).	5 (para. 198 - 202)
22-Jun-10	26-Feb-14	Renée Rose Levy de Levi v. The Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/17	N/R	USD 4,036,000,000 (para. 278)	CSID 3. Rodrigo Oreamuno Professor Bernard Ha Professor Joaquin Mo	otlau,	USD 2,229,829.61 (including arbitration costs, as the award does not have bifurcation) (para. 514)	USD 5,238,568.81 (including arbitration costs, as the award does not have bifurcation) (para. S14)	N/A Not disclosed in the award. The tribunal mentioned the total costs in the award without splitting out the legal and arbitration costs.	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 519).	Claiman costs of IC arbitrators.	al decides (para. 519): It shall pay her own costs and fees and the SID and the fees and expenses of the SID and the fees and expenses of the ubblic of Peru shall bear its own costs and	7 (paras 511-517)
25-Mar-07	20-Jul-12	Emergentes F.I., Ahorro Corporación Eurofondo F.I., Rovime Inversiones SICAV S.A., Quasar de Valores SICAV S.A., Orgor de Valores SICAV S.A., GBI 9000 SICAV S.A. v. Russian Federation,	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8213104736	USD 2,625,810 in compensation to the four Claimants (para 187). n.b. Party Costs appear unusually high for such a small claim (third party funded, with a multitude of other claimants "waiting in the wings").	Stockholm Chamber of 3: Charles N. Brower, Commerce Landau, Jan Paulsson	Toby T.	USD 14,572,671.52 in claim for costs (para 220). n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	USD 9,412,260.73 in claim for costs (para 220) n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	EUR 917,529 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 60,00 in SCC administrative fee, i.e. USD 1,190,206 (para 225).		allocated to costs, i.e. I 225).	iss of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 1,019,901, Claimants and EUR 139,874 of arbitration USD 170,306, to the Respondent (para made in respect of Party Costs.	N/A
24-Mar-97	1-Nov-99	SCC No. 24/2007 Robert Arinian, Kenneth Davitian & Ellen Baca v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/97/2	NR	ISSN 10.203.000 (being highest of alternatives submitted by Clearmed) in respect of the enterprise and USD 980.000 for old of pocket expenses, i.e. USD 22.803.000 plus such additional amount as shall be fixed by the Triburnal to compensate for the loss of the chance or opportunity of making a commercial success of the project, plus interest at 10% per annum on all amounts awarded (pair 37 Clearment acknowledged as offset an amount of USD 500,000 from partial sale of assets, effectively reducing ball claim to	von Wobeser, Benjam		NA	N/A	MA	Respondert win Claim falled entirely on ments, as the Responderts annument of the contract did not violate as NAFTA obligations (para 124).		to bear its own costs and expenses and aid to ICSID allocated equally (para 127).	3 of 128
29-Mar-06	26-Nov-09	Romak S.A. v. Republic of Uzbekistan, PCA Case No. AA280	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6673331213	USD 22,303,000 (page 22). GAFFA hitbunk awarded Claimant USD 10,510,829.12, plus interest, in damages for Respondent's breach of its obligation to pay for wheat delivered (pars 35). n.b. it is assumed that this amount of previous award was the subject of the Claimant's present daim before PCA.	JNCITRAL 3: Mr. Fernando Manti (Chairman), Mr. Noah Nicolas Molfessis	le-Serrano Rubins, Mr.	N/A	NIA	EUR 278,267.17 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 15,195.10 in fees and expenses of PCA acting as registrar, i.e. USD 439,754 (para 246).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (paras 242-243).	Parties sh: Each Party representa	all bear the arbitration costs in equal shares. shall bear its own costs for legal tion and assistance (para 252).	9 of 252
19-Aug-99	3-Sep-01	Ronald S. Lauder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A	JNCITRAL 3: Lloyd Cutler, Rober (Chairman), Bohuslav	Briner Klein	N/A	N/A	USD 501,370.20 in arbitrators' fees and travel and other expenses (para 316).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits: although the Respondent had committed an arbitrary and discriminatory breach of the BIT, claims for damages failed on causation (para 235). In relation to all other	expenses, the Londor	r to pay one half of Tribunal fees and and one half of the direct costs involved in hearings including room hire and cost of ters. Each Party to bear its own costs for	5 of 319
28-Oct-05	12-Sep-10	RosinvestCo UK Ltd. v. Russian Federation, SCC Case No. V079/2005	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7864726754	Claimed in Statement of Claim: USD 276,190,000 or alternatively USD 220,400,000, plus compound interest at commer commercial rate as of 31 July 2006 or 15 August 2007 respectively (page 150,000 or 15 August 2007 respectively (page 150,000 or atternatively the value that investment would have had at date of award absent Respondent's unlawful expropriations of Yuko's assets, plus compound interiest at normal commercial rate from date of valuation (para 46). Calimed in First Post Hearing Brief and repeated in Second Post Hearing Brief USD 232,700,000, or alternatively the value that the vestment would have had as at the date of the award, plus semi-annually compounded interest at LIBOR plus 45 from date of valuation (page 47-48).	Stockholm Chamber of 3. Prof. Karl-Heinz Böd (President), The Right The Lot Steyn, Sai Fri KCMG Gd	Honourable	USD 13,398,764.82 in legal fees and other expenses (para e99).	USD 4,046,499.86 in attorneys' fees and expenses and debursements including expert fees (para 697).	EUR 966,814 in fees and expenses of arbitrators; EUR 48,238 in SCC administrative fee; EUR 12,551 in fees and coals of Administrative Secretory, plus possible VM or on administrative fee; i.e. total of URD 97,348,724 (pa	claims, Respondent held not to have breached the BIT. Claimant win. Respondent indered to pay principal amount of USD 3,360,000 plus actua LIBOR rates from 24 March 2007 unit date of payment (para 692).	Each Party	sentation and assistance (para 319). 10 bear its own costs of legal 10 Arbitration costs to be borne in equal 10 701).	10 of 702
28-Jan-07	11-Jul-11	RSM Production Corporation v Central African Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/2)	N/R	N/A Not included in excerpts, but reported as being USD 122,000,000	CSID 3. Azzedine Kettani (P Philippe Merle; Brigitte		N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Tribunal requested advances totalling USD 480,000 although it is not clear how much of this advance (if any) will be reimbursed to the parties (para. 48)	The Tribunal had already decided that the Claimant had the right to invoke the force majeure clause in its decision on jurisdiction and liability. In its award, the Tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay USD 27,752 to		al decided (para. 51): arty shall pay its own legal fees;	4 (paras. 48-51)
3-Jul-06	13-Mar-09	RSM Production Corporation v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/14	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7159643242	NIA n.b. Claimant estimated its loss in excess of USD 500,000,000 but recognised that Respondent's inability to satisfy an award for that amount would render damages an easily an award for that amount would render damages an easily an award for that amount would render damages an easily an award for that amount would render damages an easily a ward of the comparison of	CSID 3: Mr V.V.Veeder (Pro- Bernard Audit, Dr Dav	d S. Berry	USD 1,881,316,66 (se quantified by Claimant), comprising of USP 708,235.03 for Devery LeBoard plus EUR 148,481.08 for Grand Auszes & Associate plus USD 259,850 in miscellaneous expenses including witnesses (para 464).	Mustill, and miscellaneous expenses including witnesses, i.e.	MA	the Claimant (page 10) Reported with Claims distributed on ments, there was no breach by the Responder to the Claims distributed because its contractual obligations to the Claimant that lispeed on 28 March 2004. Respondent's counterclaims also distributed (paras 502-554).	Each party fees and e 499).	arty shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. 10 bear its own costs and 50% of Tribunal propenses and ICSID charges (para 496-	19 of 499
20-Jul-05	29-Jul-08	Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri A.S. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16	N/R	n.b. Respondent quantified its counter-claim (paras 28-29). USD 227,000,000 adopting the DCF method of valuation of Claimants' 60% shareholding as at 30 October 2003 (para 799).	CSID 3: Bernard Hanotiau (I Stewart Boyd, Marc La	resident), londe	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 125,000,000 plus compound interest at 6-month average LIBOR rate plus 2% per year compounded semi-annually from 30 October 2003 until date of payment (paras 814 +	costs, excl ICSID adva	nt to pay 50% of Claimant's legal fees and uding the arbitration costs (lodging fee and ances). Each party to bear 50% of the costs (ICSID advances) (para 819).	1 of 819
17-Jul-12	22-Aug-16	Rusoro Mining Limited v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/5)	N/R	Tribunal book this valuation as starting point for calculation of damages (pars 81), USD 2,316,898,928 (pars. 186)	CSID 3. Juan Fernandez-An (President); Francisco Vicuna; Bruno Simma.	Orrego	USD 8,670,914.43 (para. 860)	USD 15,063,559.26 (para. 862)	Not clear but USD 1,176,000 advanced in total by the parties (para. 860, footnote 689)	818).	Responder 3,302,500 (comprisin	nt ordered to pay the Claimant USD (para. 878) g USD 877,500 of Tribunal costs and USD in legal costs).	23 (paras. 856-878)
10/30/1998	30-Dec-02	S.D. Myers Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCTITAL Parlial Award dated 13-Nov-00. Second Patial Award dated 13-Nov-00. Second Patial Award dated 21-Oc-02. Final Award Concerning the apportorment of costs between the Disputing Parties) dated 30- Dec-02	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.5787638714	Claimed at start of second stage of proceedings: between USD 70.251.421 and USD 80.022.421 (para 17 of Final Award). Claimed in August 2001 before hearing: USD 53,000,000 (para 17 of Final Award).	JNCITRAL 3, Bryan P. Schwartz, Chiasson QC, Prof. J i		CAD 3.740,984 claimed according to Claimant's itemised list of costs of representation and assistance, i.e. USD 2.786 525 of costs of the Cost of the Claimant USD to CANS convenions and that the Claimant Isd actually claimed CAD 3.549,883, i.e. USD 2.248,869, arrived at by declaring Claimant's damed arbitration costs from its actual total costs claim (paras 31 + 38 of Final Award).		CAD 1.510.695 paid in total by parties in deposits to Tribunal i.e. USD 956.885 (para 25). A. Tribunal ordition the parties that further payments would be necessary as the deposit would not be sufficient to cover Tribunal's unblied fees and expenses, but these additional sums (to be shared equally between the parties) were unquantified as at the date of the Award (para 30 of Final Award).	6,050,000 in compensation, i.e. USD 3,832,112, plus compound interest at Canadian prime rate plus 1% from	towards Cl assistance Of arbitrati 1,105,347. CAD 405,5 therefore be 221,892 (p n.b. Disser	nit to pay CAD 500,000, i.e. USD 316,703, aimmint souts of legal representation and goars 40 of Final Awards. On costs, Respondent to bear CAD 50 (USD 700,135) and Calismant to bear 47,50 (USD 256,750), Respondent ears an additional CAD 350,000, or USD on a 29 of Final Award).	N/A
13-Аид-07	14-Jul-10	Saba Fakes v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/20	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7839613105	Preliminary assessment of damages at USD 19,000,000,000 (paras 2 + 43).	CSID 3: Prof. Hans van Hou Laurent Lévy, Prof. En Gaillard (President)	te, Dr. manuel	EUR 756,156.10 in legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 964,532 (para 151).	USD 1,496,248.49 in legal fees and expenses (para 151).	USD 365,000 estimated by Tribunal as total arbitration costs (para 153).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have an "investment" (paras 147 + 149).	resulting in in reimburs	o bear full arbitration costs of USD 365,000, a payment of USD 182,500 to Respondent sement of its ICSID costs. Claimant to pay nt's full legal costs of USD 1,496,248.49 -155).	6 of 155

5-04-44	30-Jun-09	Sapper a.p.A. v. People's reputation of Bangladesh, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/7	USS 1 = EUR (0.71.339653147	USD 5.83.770.80 for amount of ICC Award, plus 3.375% interest from 7. June 1953 unit 91 May 2003 as set out in that award; USD 265.000 and EUR 110.956.92 for costs awarded in the ICC Award; interest of note amounts at site-month LIBOR BIT rate from 10 May 2003; USD 1.120.000 in costs and legal fees incured in litigation is languidated and tallay and maintaining the warranty bond, plus interest at six-month LIBOR BIT rate from 3 December 2001, is principal sums totaling 100 7.426.370 should gin interest, 101, in principal sums totaling 100 7.426.370 should gin interest at six-month 101 May 100 7.426.370 should gin interest at six-month 101 May 100 7.426.370 should gin interest at six-month 101 May 101	3. Prof. Cabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Christoph H. Schreuer, Sir Philip Otton	N/A	NA	NIA	Claimart vin. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,683,770 a) puts USD 265,000 plas (URL 10,995,52, i.e. USD 6,304,378 (the equivalent of amount awarded in the ICC Award, b) us interest at 3,375%, per annum from 7, June 1993 (paras 202 + 216).	Each party to bear its own expenses. Costs of the proceedings including Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID fees to be borne in equal shares by the parties (pare 21.9).	1 of 216
12-Aug-02	31-Jan-06	Salini Costruttori S.p.A. and Italistrade S.p.A. v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case No. No. ARB/02/13 Decision on Jurisdiction dated 09-Nov-04; Award dated 31-Jan-06	N/R	USD 28,000,000 (being equivalent of JOD 20,885,424,823) ICSID plus inferest and financing damages in an amount to be quantifiled (paras 22, 26 + 172 of Decision on Jurisdiction).	3. H.E. Judge Cilliant Cultisume (Preddom), Mr. Bernardo Cremades, Sir tan Sincilar	NA NA	N/A	NIA	Respondent win. Majority of Claimants' claims dismissed on jurisdiction; remaining claims rejected on merits, as Claimants failed to prove that a binding agreement had been orally concluded between tlaty and Jordan to submit the dispute to arbitration (para 100 of Award).	Each party shall bear its own expenses. Parties to bear equality the Taturus flees and expenses and charges for use of the Centre (para 104 of Award), n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Sir Ian Sinclair OC.	4 of 105
17-Nov-03	22-May-14	SAUR International SA v. Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/944	US\$ 1 = ARS 8.065 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7325	USD 143,990,000 (para. 80)	Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (Chairman), Prof. Bernard Hanotiau, Prof. Christian Tomuschat	USD 4,065,76.52 (EUR 2,970,787.83 (USD 4,055,883.04) USD 1,093.49) (para, 398)) + USD 1,35,099.77 (ARS 8,971,504.16 (USD 1,112,399.77) USD 282,610) (para. 400)	Not clearly set out, although parties' contributions amount to USD 1,221,590	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 39,996,111 plus interest in compensation (para. 393).	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 2,716,500. 1) Respondent shall pay Claimant's arbitration fees of USD 686,500 plus interest, and 2) Claimant's legal costs of EUR 1,466,975 (USD 2,003,000) plus interest (paras. 408 & 415).	20 (paras. 396-415)
11-Sep-02	28-Sep-07	Sempra Energy International v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/02/16	N/R	USD 299,380,000 in total amount claimed by investor (paras ICSID 198 + 406).	3: Prof. Francisco Orrepo Vicuna (President), The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Dr. Sandra Morelli Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 128,256,462 plus interest at the 6-month successive LIBOR rate plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 1 January 2002 until date of the Award (paras 483 + 486).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and one half of the arbitration costs.	3 of 486
11/30/2007	28-Apr-11	Sergei Paushok, CJSC Golden East Company and CJSC Vostokneftegaz Company v. Government of Mongolia	N/R	N/A n.b. Damages issue was postponed to second phase of proceedings, not yet public (para 700).	L 3: The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC CC (President), Dr. Horado A. Grigera Naón, Prof. Brigitte Stern	NA NA	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. All claims denied except one, which was partially accepted. Claimant entitled to damages arising out of Respondent's violation of FET standard and prohibition on expropriation under the BIT, such damages to be proven in next phase of proceedings	Arbitration costs to be borne equally between Claimants and Respondent. Each side to bear its own costs (para 701).	1 of 701
19-Oct-07	10-Feb-12	SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29	N/R	USD 39.025.950.86 claimed in damages equal to sum of unpaid invoices, plus simple interest at US\$ 30-day LIBOR average monthly rate plus two percentage points from July 1999 amounting to USD 22.500.000 as at February 2011, i.e., principal and interest totaling USD 61,525,951 as at February 2011 (paras 186.169).	Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov [President], Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, Dr. Pablo Garcia Mexis Donovan, Dr. Pablo Garcia Mexis	USD 1,762,605.95 in legal fees and USD 1,121,180.55 in other costs and disbursements, i.e. USD 2,913,786.59 (par 189). n.b. Likely to include ICSID deposits.	USD 696.985.20 in fees and USD 31,222.03 in costs, i.e. USD 728,207.23 (para 190).	USD 1,347,846.56 in ICSID costs and fees (paras 192 + 198).	(paras 593-597 and Section 9). Claimant kin, Respondent ordered to pay USD 39.025,950.86 plus interest at USD 30-day LIBOR rate average plus one percentage point from 1 July 1999 until date of payment (para 197). According to Caimannt's calculations, this would be USD 61,525,951 as at February 2011 (para 169).	No award made as to costs, other than that the Respondent should reimburse the Claimant for its own share of the solutiation costs, so that each party bears half i.e. USD 973.920.26 (pare 159).	4 of 198
23-May-02	<u>6-Feb-07</u>	Stemens A.G. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB02/8	N/R	USD 28.8.89.710 in book value of investment as 17 May 2001; USD 124.51,000 an account of luctum cessans; USD 9.397.889 for 3004 46.78.462 for subcontractor's damages; USD 9.397.889 for other damages resulting from unlawful nature of expropriation measures; i.e. principal amounts totaling; USD 462.777.071, ppis compound pre-award inferest at 5% from various dates on which damages caused (pares 328-330).	3. Dr. Année Ago Gureda (President), Judge Charlies N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant vin. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totaling (USD 217483.498) in compensation, plus inferest compounded amnually at 2.66% accruing from 18 May 2001 on the compensation for the value of the investment, from 1 January 2000 on the compensation for the uppack list, and from 1 January 2002 on the compensation for consequential damages, in each case until date of payment (plans 396-397, 4071 + 405). Respondent further ordered to deliver Contract performance bond to Claimant against payment of \$20 million.	Each party to bear its own costs and coursee fees. Respondent to bear 75% and Claims to bear 25% of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and the costs of the ICSID Secretarist (para 402).	1 of 403
2-Sep-16	15-Mar-17	Silverton Finance Service Inc. v Dominican Republic	N/R	N/A Claimant withdrew its claim(s) midway through the arbitration (pariss. 27, 48)	L 3. Bernardo M. Cremades (Presidency): Prof. Fanco Ferrari; Jose Elicy Anzola	NA NA	N/A Not clear, though the Claimant was ordered to reimburse Ut 380,596.83 to the Respondent as costs of the arbitration (para. 61)	N/A SD Not clear, though the total costs for the fees of the arbitrator is USD 27,000 (para. 61)	Claimant's claims dismissed in entirety (para. 61)	Claimant ordered to pay USD 497,596.83 (para. 61): (i) USD 380,596.83 as costs of the arbitration to the Respondent, and (ii) USD 27,000 as fees for the arbitrators	12 (paras. 49-60)
30-Oct-03	21-Aug-07	Sociedad Anónima Eduardo Vieira v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/7	N/R	N/A ICSID	3: Claus Von Wobeser (Presidenti), Susana B. Czar de Zalduendo, W. Michael Raieman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 306).	Each party shall pay the half of the costs of the arbitration proceedings and their own legal costs.	N/A
12-Nov-12	21-Dec-15	Societe Civile Immobiliere de Gaeta v Republic of Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/36)	US\$1 = EUR 0.9155; US\$1 = CHF 0.9912	USD 91,400,000 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%) (para. 103)	Michael Reisman 3. Pierre Terder (President); Horado Grigera Naon; Laurent Levy	USD 2216,089 (para: 291) (converted from USD 925,131 EUR 1,044,382.17 and CHF 152 plus USD 150,131)	USD 865,259.09 (para. 295) (converted from EUR 792,215.79)	USD 64798439 (para. 300) (USD 775,000 was advanced the Claimant to cover this cost)	Y Tribunal found it had no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (page 79)	The thourst ordered the Claimant to pay USD 1,106,550.8 (page 79): (1) the Claimant must pay 80% (i.e. USD 518,398.72) of the Tribunan's Costs, the Respondent must pay the remaining 20%. (2) the Claimant must pay it own legal costs and 60% of the Respondent's legal costs - the Claimant was therefore ordered to pay the Respondent an indemnity of USD 606,1516 (convented from EUR 93.377.63.)	29 (paras. 288 to 316)
7-Mar-12	21-May-14	Société Industrielle des Boissons de Guinée v. Republic of Guinea, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/8	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7322	USD 120,000,000 (para. 2)	S. Prof. Pierre Mayer (Chairman). Prof. Jean-Michel Jacquet, Alests Mourre	USD 280,799 (pars. 123)	USD 277,108.37 (EUR 201,894.75 * EUR 1,004 in disbursements) (para. 121)	NIA USD 375.000 (incurred only by Claimant) (para. 124. Costs incurred by the Respondent unknown at the date of the award and to be invoiced later (para. 130)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para. 130)	The Tribunal decides (para. 130): 1) Claiment shall pay 70% of Respondent's defense costs, i. e. EUR 142,029 12, (USD 193,975.85); 2) Claiment shall pay 70% of tribunal's costs.	10 (paras. 120-130)
5-Nov-82	25-Feb-88	Societe Guest Africaine des Betons Industrials y Senegal, ICSID Case No. ARB/82/1	LISS 1 = CFA Franc 319.02	USD 15,825,521,23 (para. 5.22) (converted from CFA ICSID 5,046,686,689)	3. Aron Broches (President); Keba Misayer, J.C. Schultzz	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	USD 306,491.66 (page 215, para. 2)	The Thouse found the Coverment of Sengal sales for located of contact and searched the Colomana USD 2,972.673.72 (this figure includes interest) (page 213) (converted from CFA 958,927,006).	The Tribunal decided (para. 12.05(f)): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Responders was also ordered to pay interest at 10% on the reimbursements due to the Claimant for its 10% of the Claimant for the Claimant of the Claimant for the Claimant	15 (para. 12.05(f) and paras. 1 -14 on pages 215-216)
8/24/1984	20-May-92	Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, CSID Case No. ARB/64/3		Primary claim: USD 41,000,000 in investment value of ETDC plus 12.0% interest compounded annually amounting to USD plus 12.0% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 100,000 in a 13 fl. August 1900, USD 1,000,000 in amount USD 6.31,000 as at 31 fl. August 1900, USD 623,000 in post-concellation costs for 1978 and 1979, but 12.6 % interest compounded annually amounting to USD 1,874,000 as at 31 August 1906, USD 4,273,000 as kuding interest or USD 177,072,000 in cluster compounded annually amounting to USD 1,874,000 as at 31 August 1906, USD 4,372,000 as Auding interest or USD 177,072,000 in cluster compounded annually amounting to USD 4,074,000 in USD 4,000 in U	3: Dr. Eduardo Jimenez de Arechaga (President), Dr. Mohammed Amir El Mahdi. Robert F Petrovedi dr. Esq.	Claimants sought reimbursement of USD 5, 106,000 in post- cercollation legal, audit and arbitration costs from 1690 to 1950 (pieza 200). All Tilbunan's request, they submitted a detailed list showing that USD 4,2000 had been incurred in fees and expense for ICSII proceedings, with further fees and expense for ICSII proceedings. With further fees and sepsense of US 1,701,000 incurred in the ICC arbitration and related count proceedings. Tribunal estimated that that of the latter figure and concluded that Claimant's food cactos free gal and accounting work relevant or useful to the ICSID proceedings was USD 5,692,000 (pans 210-211).	3 98 SSD (35,5)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totaling USD 22,686,000 in compensation, inclusive of pre-award infered and adjustments for monelary devaluation (para 257).	Respondent shall pay USD 5,093,000 to the Claimant for its legal, audit and arbitrat costs. n. b. These costs were included almongst the sums awarded in the Dispositif as diamages.	7 of 257
13-May-04	7-Dec-11	Case No. ARB/06/1		Two alternative amounts claimed depending on contingent cevents: In the event of loss of shares resulting from Romanian itsigation, USD 85.262,022.24 claimed in compensation for 25.000,000 prints covereinly stress; pair USD 110,262,082.34 (pariss 167 * 280). In the event that Romanian litigation is suspende d, USD 25.000,000 claimed in moral damages (pariss 168 * 290).	3: Andrea Giardrina, Michael Reseman, Bernard Harnotieu (President)	N/A	EUR 10.086.072.98 in legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 13.505,597 (para 852).	USD 924,150 in estimated expended portion of Respondent advance on costs (pare 882). n.b. There is no indication that the Claimant made any advances to ICSID.	unfounded, Respondent's actions, even taken collectively, did not annunt to violations of BIT (para 749), Respondent's counterctain also dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 876).	Claimant to pay EUR 6.05.2.44.3.78 representing 60% of Responderfs legal flees and expresse, i.e. USD 8, 103.24. Claimant to pay USD 27.7.260 representing 60% of estimated expended portion of Responderfs advance on arbitration costs (para 822).	5 of 882
17-Sep-10	18-Jul-16	ST-AD GmbH v. Republic of Bulgaria, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011-06	US\$1 = EUR0.9028	N/A UNCTTRA	L (2010 Brigitte Stem (President); Sohuslav Klein; Christopher Thomas QC	USU 1,141,037.28 (converted from EUR 994,016.46 (para. 256)).	USD 1,245,773.54 (converted from EUR 1,124,384.35 (par 253 and 431)).	a. NIA (unclear - Respondent's contribution was USD 175,000)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 431).	The Tribural decides: (1) the Claimant shall pay USD 1,245,773.54 (converted from EUR 1,124,364.35) as the Respondent's legal fees and expenses; and (2) USD 193,844.38 (converted from EUR 175,000) as the Respondent's contribution to the cost of	7 of 431 (paras 424-430)
9/15/2010	12-Sep-16	Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited v Tanzania Electric Supply Company Limited (TANESCO) (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/20)	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7511 US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9735 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8904	USD 214,600,000 (para. 250) ICSID	Donald McRae (President); Zechary Douglas QC, Brigite Stern	USD 4,718,815.43 (para: 402)	USD 16,724,417.92 (para. 403)	USD 1,133,516.43 (para. 412)	The Tribunal accepted jurisdiction and ordered the Respondent to pay the Claimant USD 148,400,000 (para. 414)	proceedings). The Tribunal decided (para. 414(F)) (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;	13 (paras. 401-413)
9/15/2010 7-May-10	12-Sep-16 2-Nov-12	Company Limited (TANESCO) (ICSID	US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9735	USD 214,690,000 (para. 250) Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 118,609,392.31 for value of Claimant's interest as at 30 April 2010 inclusive of interest and costs (paras 61 - 1984). Claimed in Reply Post-Hearing first award dismissing fleeponder's piradictional objections and order directing that arbitration proceed to hearing on the metric (para 195).		USD 4,718,815.43 (para. 402) USD 1,820,164.95 in Claimant's costs (para 12).	USD 16,724,417.92 (para. 403) USD 9,696,316.25 estimated in counsel and expert costs (para. 192).		Respondent to pay the Claimant USD 148,400,000	proceedings). The Tribunal decided (para. 414(F))	13 (paras. 401-413) 2 of 279

10/30/2013	30-Jun-14	Stans Energy v Kyrgyz Republic, A- 2013/29	N/R	USD 117,853,000 (p. 1)	Moscow Chamber of Commerce and Indus (MCCI)	3. M.Z. Pak (President); NG Vilkova, ry and LG Balayan	USD 308,142.60 (p. 96)	NA Not mentioned.	USD 159,128 (first paragraph of p. 14)	Claimant wins in the amount of USD 117,738,940.30 (p. 98)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 487.117.74 (p. 98) This amount comprises: (1) USD 158.975.24 to reimburse the Claimant's payment for the Tribunal costs;	8 (see pp. 96-97)
20-Dec-12	29-Jan-15	State Enterprise "Energorynok" (Ukraine) v The Republic of Moldova, SCC Arbitration V (2012/175)	1 US\$ = EUR 0.8842 1 US\$ = SEK 8.2664	USD 1,745,754.84 + compund interest on this amount from 20 January 2003 (para. 54(b), 104)	SCC rules	3. Nancy B. Turck (Chairman), Rolf Knieper, Joseph Tirado	NVA Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	USD 178,193.74 (para. 117(b)) (# USD 152.461 (converted from EUR 134,866.89) + USD 25,732.74 (converted from SEK 212,717.13))	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 117(a)).	(2) USD 308,142.50 to reimburne the Claimant's legal costs. The Tribunal decides (pars. 117): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	8 (paras.109-116)
4/17/2003	9-Apr-15	Suez, and Vivendi Universal v Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19)	NR	USD 834,100,000 (USD 868,000,000 - USD 34,100,000) (para, 21) (all amounts in dispute except for AWG's which are dealt in a separate award).	ICSID (see para. 106)	J. Jeswald W. Salacuse (President), Gathriele Kaufmann-Kohler, Pedro Nilden	USD 20,732,588.74 (para. 114) [This figure is for total expenses. No breakdown is provided vis. arbitration costs / legal costs]	Total amount (including the amount spent in the AWG case) = USD 2,651,444.90 (para. 21)	N/A Not mentioned	Claimarita awarded USD 383,581,241 (para. 105)	The Tribunal decides: (para. 117): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimants and Respondent shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras 114 - 117)
21-Dec-11	18-Jan-17	Supervision y Control, S.A. v Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/4)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9363	USD 279,397,628.97 (para. 118)	ICSID	Claus von Wobses (President); Joseph P, Klock Jr., Eduardo Silva Romero.	NA	N/A	N/A	Jurisdiction accepted but rejected all of Claimant's claims due to inadmissability (para. 358).	The Tribunal decides (para. 358): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras. 354-357)
24-Mar-99	23-Oct-00	Latvia, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = SEK 10.1214574900 / DKK 8.8573959260	USD 2,250,000 claimed in compensation for loss of ship (para 39), plus USD 156,258 in compensation for loss of furnishings and equipment (para 41), plus USD 400,000 in compensation for loss of income, i.e. USD 2,806,258 in total (para 42).		3: Alan Philip, Kaj Hober, Gustaf Moller	SEK 656,250 in duties including VAT, i.e. USD 64,837 (para 10).		DKK 662,266.45 in arbitrators' fees and expenses, i.e. USD 74,770 (Section VII).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,596,258 in compensation for loss of ship, plus interest at 10% per year from 9 April 1999 until date of payment (para 47 + Section VII).	Respondent to pay USD 1,345 plus SEK 1,406,250 (including 25% VAT), i.e. USD 140,282, in compensation for Claimant's duties and payments to solicitors, including duties and costs of Tribunal (para 49).	9 of 50
9-Jul-09	6-Jul-12	Swissilon DOO Skopje v. Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/16	US\$1 = EUR 0.8139439335	EUR 19.013.000 in compensation, i.e. USD 23.359,103. plus compound interest at at 13.3% from 2 November 2010 to date or payment (para 73).	(CSID	3: N.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President, Mr. Graniel M. Price, Mr. J. Christopher Thomas GC	EUR 1,687,404 in costs of legal representation, i.e. USD 2,073,121 (para 352).	USD 3.675.211.23 including advance ICSID fees and expenses (para 553). n.b. Subtracting an assumed sum of USD 250,000, this would make Respondent's costs USD 3,425,211.23	USD 250,000 in advance fees and USD 25,000 in lodging fees advanced to ICSID by Claiman (para 352). n.b. It is clear that the Respondent made an advance to ICSID but this was not quantified. It has therefore been joint parameters to USD 256,000. This sum has correspondingly been deducted from the Respondent's Parly Costs in Collemn 5.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation of EUR 350,000. Le USB 43,006. Us indress t compounded semi-annually at LIBOR rate from 30 March 2007 until date of payment (paras 350 * 359).	Respondent to pay EUR \$50,000 lowards claimant's costs of legal representation, i.e. USD 430,005. Otherwise, each party to bear its own costs and to share equally the arbitrations' rese and expenses and charges for use of Centre (para 357).	9 of 360
7/28/2000 20-Oct-10	29-May-03 19-Dec-13	Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed S.A. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2 Teco Guatemala Holdings LLC v. The	N/R	USD 52,000,000 in damages as at 25 November 1998 (date of expropriation), plus interest (para 184). USD 243,600,000 (para. 434(3))	ICSID	3. Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naon (President), Prof. José Cartos Fenandez Roza, Mr. Cartos Bernal Verea J. Alesis Moure.	N/A USD 9,277,593.86	N/A USD 4,525,047.43 (USD 4,370,638.10 + USD 154,409.33)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,533,971.2 to the Claimant plus compound interest at annual rate of 5% from 25 November 1998 until date of payment (paras 195 + 197). Respondent to pay USD 21,100,552 as damages plus	Each party to bear its own costs, expenses and legal counsel fees. Costs incurred by Trilbunal and ICSID to be shared equally between parties (para 200). The Tribunal orders the Respondent to pay USD	1 of 201 11 (paras 769-779)
		Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/17				Prof. William W. Park, Dr. Claus von Wobbeser	(USD 6,327,903.29 + USD 2,532,603.33) + USD 17,087.24) (para, 773)	(para. 774)	the parties (para. 773-774)	Interest (para. 780)	8,995,996.39 (para. 780): 1) The Respondent to pay the costs of the arbitration (1,475,000, on the basis of the armounts advanced by the parties): 2) The Respondent to reimburse 75 percent of the legal costs of the Claimant, i.e. USD 7,520,696.39	
16-Dec-03	13-Sep-06	Telenor Mobile Communications A.S. v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID No. ARB/04/15	N/R	N/A n.b. Damages were not fully addressed by Claimant. In Memorial it stated that there were preliminary indications by Deloitte that direct damage suffered was USD 67-152,000,00	ICSID 0	3. Prof. Sir Roy Goode CBE CC [President], Mr. Arthur L. Marriott QC Mr. Arthur L. Marriott QC	N/A	USD 1,252,892,77 in counsel fees and expenses and travel costs for hearings (Schedule).	USD 300,000 in ICSID costs, including Tribunal fees and expenses (Schedule).	Respondent win. Claim failed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant failed to adduce a prima facie case of expropriation (para 102).	Claimant to bear all costs and reimburse Respondent for its share of ICSID fees and expenses, being USD 150,000, and for its own costs, being USD 1,252,892.77 (para 108).	5 of 108
24-Aug-11	<u>29-Jan-16</u>	Tenaris S.A. and Talta - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/26)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9231	[para 42]. USD 798,100,000 (USD 299,300,000 in principal, USD 489,800,000 in pre- award interest) (para. 99)	ICSID	3. John Beschey (Charman), Judd Kessler; Toby Landau	USD 7,257,618.27 (para. 597) Breakdown: USD 7,046,727.39 (USD 7.9m - USD 925,000 in Tribunal fees) + USD H32210,890.88 (converted from EUR 194,673.37) (see para. 597)	USD 6,870,028.82 (para. 604)	N/A Not clear - Claimant advanced USD 925,000, but Responder did not pay third advance (para. 624)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1172,801_213.7 (pila interest) (para. 825) Breakdown USD 87,300,000 principal + USD 85,501_213.7 interest + interest at 9% p.a. compounded at 6-monthly intervals	The Tribunal decides (para. 625): (1) the parties shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs; (2) no other order for costs made.	29 (paras 596-624)
21-Aug-12	12-Dec-16	Tenaris S.A. and TALTA v Venezuela (II) (ICSID No ARB/12/23)	US\$ 1 = EUR0.9430 US\$ 1 = COP2983.8698 US\$ 1 = ARS16.0841 US\$ 1 = MXN20.2464	USD 243,700,000 (para. 47(c))	ICSID	Jaan Fernandez-Armesto (President), Enrique Gomez Pinzon, Brigtte Stern	USD 6,001,275.91 (para. 832)	USD 7,974,674 (para. 835)	USD 1,050,000 advanced in total, not clear how much actually incurred by the Tribunal (para. 832)	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 137,017,887 (para. 892)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 3,290,000 (para. 890). This comprises USD 1,050,000 (Tribunal costs) and USD 2,240,000 (legal costs).	27 (paras. 830-856)
29-Dec-10	9-Nov-16	The Renco Group Inc. v Peru (UNCT/13/1)	NIR	N/A Not clear from the award but UNCTAD states the Claimant claimed USD 800,000,000. http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Detailis/417	UNCITRAL	Michael Moser (President); Yee Forter OC; Toby Landau OC	USD 4,585,421.66 (para. 22)	USD 8,392,778.62 (pera. 28)	USD 673,308.42 (para. 52)	The Claimant's claims are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 9(f))	The Tribunal decides (para. 56): 56 (1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	(1-56 - it is a costs award)
14-Dec-05	6-May-13	The Rompetrol Group n.v. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3	N/R	USD 139,385,084 plus interest (para. 57)	ICSID	3. Frankfi Reeman, (President), Donald Franci Donovan, Marc Lalonde PC.	USD 9,000,000 (para. 296) Not clear from the Award whether this includes tribunal's costs	USD 10,750,000 (para. 296) Not clear from the Award whether this includes tribunal's costs	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant's claims are admissible but they failed to show any economic loss or damage suffered (para. 299)	The Tribunal decides (para. 299): (1) The costs of the Arbitration to be shared equally between the Parties; and (2) Parties to bear their own costs.	4 (paras. 295-298)
16-Feb-10	13-Mar-15	Tidewater Inc. and others v. Venezuela (ICSID Case No ARB/10/5)	N/R	Up to USD 234,000,000 (para: 53) The Claimants provided a range of valuations, the highest being USD 234,000,000 (para: 53)	ICSID	Campbell McLachlan GC (President), Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda, Prolessor Brigitte Stern.	USD 7,712,100.64 (para. 211)	USD 9,000,417 (para. 211)	USD 900,000 advanced in total, unclear how much actually incurred (para. 211)	Claimant wins on ments in the amount of USD 48,400,000 (para. 217(3))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 2,500,000 (para. 216) for its legal costs. Each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (para. 217(5)	7 (paras 210 - 216)
16-Aug-02	26-Jul-07	Tokios Tokelés v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18	N/R	Nearly USD 65,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs (para 4).		3: Lord Mustil (President), Prof. Pero Bernardini, Mr. Daniel M. Price	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as majority of Tribunal found that no treaty breach had been committed by the Respondent (para 145).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses, and to contribute on half of the arbitration costs (para 146).	1 of 147
31-Oct-03	27-Nov-13	Total S.A. v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/01)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7372	USD 557,200,000 (para. 250) (if including interest then claim becomes USD 1,002,200,000 (para. 250)	ICSID	3. Professor Caropio Sacendosi (President), Henri Alvanez, Luis Herrera Marcano	USD 24,924,835.81 (para. 271) (arrived from USD 17.567.254.38 (conversion of EUR 12,950,579.93) + USD 7,357.581.03)	USD 2,434,243.49 (para. 272)	N/A Not disclosed.	USD 269,925,000 to be paid by Respondent (para. 231)	The Tribunal decides (para. 280): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	12 (paras. 269-280)
12-Арг-07	7-Jun-12	Toto Costruzioni Generali S. p.A. v. Republic of Lebanon, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/12	NR	USD 10,84,000 for additional costs, USD 545,950 for additional costs, USD 54,000 for loss of opportunities; USD 4,010,877 for moral damages; i.e. principal amounts tooliniling USD 2,12,046,77 jubs interest to payments received after due date amounting to USD 335,000, plus compound interest can amount claimed amounting to USD 137,765,900, i.e. USD 333,338,657 in total (prior 7). To Not clear from the wording whether the latter two "interest" amounts represent the principal on which interest should be paid, or the amount of interest itself. The latter has been assumed.	ICSID	3. Prof. Hans van Houtte (President), Jugs Stephen M. Schwebel, Mr. Fadi Moghaizel	N/A	NA .	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent had not to have breached its obligations under the BIT (para 259).	Parties to bear arbitration costs in equal shares. Each party to bear its own legal costs and fees (para 260).	S of 261
2-Nov-94	29-Apr-99	Tradex Hellas S.A. v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/94/2	N/R	USD 1,847,813 for net fair market value of Tradex share, plus interest of USD 824,013, i.e. principal and interest totalling USD 2,671,826 (para 50).	ICSID	3, Prof. Dr. Kein-Heinz Bücksteigel President, Mr. Feide F. Feiding Esq., Prof. Andrea Giardina	USD 328,248 in legal, valuation and other consulting fees and services, and in document production expenses (para 50). n.b. Claimant also paid further USD 107,000 in "arbitration fees" (para 50).		N/A n.b. Claimant paid USD 107,000 in "arbitration fees" (para 50). As it is not clear whether the Respondent also made an advance, the figure has been excluded from our calculations	Respondent vin. Claim dismissed on merite as Claimant unable to prove that exprogration had occurred or to shift the burden of proof to the Respondent (para 204).	Each party to bear its own expenses and costs of legal representation. Arbitration costs to be borne by parties equally in shares of 50% (para 207).	2 of 208
16-Qcb-13	2-Jun-16	Transglobal Green Energy LC and Transglobal Treen Energy de Panama, S.A. v Panama (ICSID Case No. ARB13/28)		NAC disclosed in the award (or on UNCTAD)	IcsiD	D. P. Andreis Rigo Suredia (President), Prof. Christoph Schreuer, Prof. Jan Paulison	USD 802,597.48 (jura. 120)	USD 2,393,356.05 (para. 120)	USD 400,000 advanced in total (grill between the parties), but actual costs not specified; see para, 28).	Tribunal decides if has no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (para. 118)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2, 269, 582.70 including up to USD 400,000 in Tritbunal costs). The Tribunal decides (paras. 127-130): (1) the Claimant shall pay all of the Tribunal's costs (USD 400,000 advanced): (2) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent's legal costs except for those relating to the Initiating and explainable of the Cost of the Cos	10 (paras. 120-129)
20-Dec-04	19-Dec-08	TSA Spectrum de Argentina S.A. v. Argentina Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/5	N/R	NA	ICSID	3. Judge Hans Danelius (President), Prof. Georges Ab-Saab, Mr. Grant D. Aldornas	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 176).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses and pay one half of the fees and expenses of Tribunal and Centre (para 180).	4 of 180
11-Oct-11	10-Mar-14	Tulip Real Estate and Development Netherlands B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/28	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.72077	NIA Claims not quantified in the Award as the proceedings were bifurcated. Appears that the compensation claimed by the tribunal was USD \$50,000,000 (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/414)	ICSID	3. Dr. Gavenn Griffin GC (President), Mr. Michael Even, Mr. Michael Mr.	USD 9,874,653.52 (USD 9,388,821.48 + USD 569,032.04 in connection with the Bifurcated Jurisdictional Issue) (paras 459-460)	US0 4,724,164.55 (USD 2,194,884 and EUR 1,605,082.00 + USO 300.00) (paras 461-462)	USD 1,024,547.86 (This reflects the amounts advanced by the parties. ICSID Secretariat asked to circulate detail on costs) (paras 459, 461)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (sage 138).	Claimant to pay Respondent USD 750,000 (page 138): 1) USD 450,000 on account of the arbitration costs incurred the Respondent; and 2) USD 300,000 on account of legal costs of the Respondent.	12 (paras 458-469)
12-Feb-07	7-Jul-11	Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/6	N/R	Approximately USD 25,000,000 (para 85).	ICSID	3. Judd Kessler (President), Hernando Clero, Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto	NA	N/A	NIA	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to p ay USD 768,306.24 in compensation for expropriation, plus interest at US Treasury Bond rates amounting to USD 227,201.30 as at date of Award, i.e. USD 1,013,597.54 in total.	Parties bear their own costs and split the costs of the arbitration equally.	10 of 302
8-May-09	12-Jun-12	Ulysseas Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8015351313	USD 56,100,000 in damages, plus pre-award compound interest at 15% from 1 January 2008 (para 370).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Pero Bernardini (Presiding Arbitrator), Prof. Michael Pryles, Prof. Brigitte Stem	USD 3,590,662.32 in legal fees plus USD 1,595,26635 in disbursements and other expenses, i.e. USD 5,185,928.67 in total (para 355).	assistance and of producing expert and witness evidence (para 361). n.b. Respondent and Claimant each paid advances to	EUR 701,746.93 in arbitrators fees and expenses and Tribunal expenses; EUR 76,353.69 in PCA fees and expenses; i.e. total of USD 970,763 (para 368).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent had not breached its obligations under the BIT in relation to Claimant's investment (para 351).	Each party to pay one half of fees and expenses of Tribunal and of PCA. Claimant to reimburse Respondern's costs of legal representation and assistance of USD 2,000,000 (para 365).	18 of 372
4/19/2000	24-May-07	United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL Award on Jurisdiction dated 22-Nov-02; Award on the Merits dated 24-May-07	N/R	USD 160,000,000 claimed by UPS in compensation for damages for Responderic breach of its NAPTA obligations (pares 120 of Award on Jurisdiction). Alt. It is possible that this includes costs for professional fees and disbursements, cost of arbitration, interest, and tax consequences, but as these were not quantified, it was not possible to exclude them from the figure for amount claimed.	UNCITRAL	3, Dean Ronald A. Cass, L. Yves Forter CC CC, Justice Kenneth Kath (Plesident)	NA 15	Tribunal of EUR 425,000, i.e. USD 530,233 (para 366). NA	USD 950,000 in total costs of the arbitration (para 188).	Respondent win. Claim rejected as a whole on ments, as Claimst that not demonstrated sufficient interest or any substaintive ground within could tegin to show breach by the Respondent (paras 167 + 189).	Parties to bear costs of proceedings equally and each to bear its own costs (para 188).	1 of 189

20-Jul-07	8-Dec-16	Urbaser S.A. v Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9411	USD 316,418,672 (para. 35)	ICSID	3. Andreas Bucher (President);		In total, USD 2,475,607.83 but this includes the Tribunal	N/A	The tribunal found there to be a breach of FET but did not order the Respondent to pay compensation (para.	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1.047.000 (para. 1234).	12 (paras. 1222-1233)
		NO. ARBIUTIZB)	US\$ 1 = ARS 15.9956			Pedro J. Martinez-Fraga; Campbell McLachlan QC	costs and it not clear how much these were (paras 1223- 1226)	costs and it not clear how much these were (paras 1224 and 1227)	Not clear - the amount forms part of each party's costs.	not order the Respondent to pay compensation (para. 1234)	1,047,000 (para. 1234). This amount comprises: USD 400,000 contribution to legal costs and USD 647,000 to reimburse the	
11-Jun-92	16-Feb-94	Vacuum Salt Products Limited v.	N/P	N/A	ICSID	3: Sir Robert Y. Jennings	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction	Claimant for its share of the Tribunal costs for the jurisdictional phase. Each party to bear its own expenses. Tribunal fees	4 of 60
11-Jun-92	16-Feb-94	Government of the Republic of Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/92/1	IWR	NA.	ICSID	3: Sir Robert 1, Jernings (President), Charles N. Brower, Dr. Kamal Hossain	N/A	N/A	N/A	(para 55).	and expenses and charges for use of Centre facilities to be paid by parties in equal shares (para 58).	4 01 00
2-Aug-11	24-Oct-14	Valeri Belokon v Kyrgyz Republic	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7889	USD 33,000,000 (para. 277)	UNCITRAL	3. Kaj Hober; Niels Schiersing;	USD 2,482,482 (converted from EUR 1,958,430.08) (para. 333)	USD 750,000 (para. 181(c))	Not clear (each party advanced EUR 250,000 = USD 633,793.89 total (para. 330)).	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 15,020,000 (para. 335(C)).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,546,457.09 (para. 335)	7 (paras 328 - 334)
						Jan Paulsson (President)					This amount comprises:	
											(1) USD 1,229,560.15 to pay for Claimant's legal costs;	
											(2) USD 316,896.94 to reimbure the Claimant's share of the Tribunal costs.	
9-Jul-04	16-Jan-13	Vannessa Ventures Ltd. v. The	N/R	USD 1,045,000,000 plus compound interest (para. 105)	ICSID	3. Professor Vaughan Lowe QC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant's claims dismissed on the merits (para. 237)	The Tribunal decides (para. 237):	3 (paras. 234-236)
		Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/6				(President), Hon. Charles N. Brower, Professor Brigitte Stern	Not disclosed	Not disclosed	Not disclosed		1) Each Party to bear its own costs; and	
											Each party to pay one-half of the costs of the Tribunal costs.	
6-Sep-07	9-Oct-14	Venezuela Holdings v Venezuela (formerly Mobil v. Venezuela) (ICSID	N/R	USD 14,679,000,000 (paras. 133-134)	ICSID	Gilbert Guillaume (President), Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Ahmed	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimants win on merits in the amount of USD 1,600,042,482 (paras 404 (c), (d), (f))	The Tribunal decides (para. 403)	3 (paras 401-403)
		Case No. ARB/07/27)				Sadek El-Kosheri	Not disclosed.	Not disclosed.	Not disclosed.		(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
23-Jul-12	3-Apr-15	Venoklim Holding B.V. v Bolivarian	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Yves Derains (Chairman),	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Tribunal decided that it lacked jurisdiction with	The parties shall bear its own costs and pay half of the	5 (paras. 161-164 and 165 (2)
		Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/22)		Not stated in the award.		Enrique Gómez Pinzón and Rodrigo Orenamuno Blanco.	Not stated in the award.	Not stated in the award.	Not stated in the award.	respect to the dispute. (para. 165)	fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the use of the services of the Centre. Given that the Claimant had paid all the	and(3)
											advances, the Respondent shall reimburse half of the costs to the Claimant (para. 164 and 165 (2) and (3))	
14-Mar-06	15-Apr-16	Vestey Group Ltd. v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/4)	US\$ 1 = GBP0.7036	USD 157,363,348 (para. 357)	ICSID	Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann- Kohler (President), Professor Horacio Grinera Nann Professor	USD 12,130,907.44 (para. 457) (USD 1,920,310,.85 - USD 675,000 + USD 10,885,596.59 (converted from GBP 7.659.105.76))	USD 5,063,310.35 (para. 465) (USD 4,989,157.45 + USD 74,152.90)	Parties advanced USD 1,200,000 in total (para. 468).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 98,145,325 (para. 472)	Respondent ordered to pay up to USD 1,200,000 as 100% of the tribunal's costs (final tribunal costs not confirmed):	22 (paras. 450-471)
						Pierre-Marie Dupuy	Note: the figure in para. 457 includes USD 675,000 of				The Tribunal decides (para. 472):	
							Tribunal costs advanced by the Claimant - this is explained in para. 468.				(1) the Respondent shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs (which could amount up to USD 1,200,000);	
											(2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	
7-Nov-97	13-Sep-16	Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v Republic of Chile,	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8895	Primary claim of USD 338,300,000 (para. 109)	ICSID	Sir Frank Berman KCMG QC (President),	USD 5,135,437.69 (USD 1,692 + USD 5,133,745.69 (EUR 4,566,466.79)) (para. 246)	USD 3,644,887.56 (para 248)	USD 638,037.75 (para. 253)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 256)	The Tribunal decides: (para. 255)	7 (paras 249-255)
		ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2				Mr V. V. Veeder QC, Mr Alexis Mourre,					Claimant to pay USD 478,528.29 (75%) as tribunal's costs and Respondent to pay USD 159,509.43 (25%); and	
											2) Each party to bear its own legal costs.	
20-Apr-98	8-May-08	Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v. Republic of	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6510831468	Claimed on 02-Nov-97: USD 500,822,969 (para 6).	ICSID	3: Pierre Lalive, Mohammed Chemloul and Emmanuel Gaillard	EUR 8,835,996 plus USD 1,032,253 in costs of representation and solicitors and expert fees and excluding	USD 4,389,111.56 in total costs excluding the costs of proceedings (para 724).	USD 4,182,524.45 in total costs of proceedings (para 731).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 10,132,690.18 plus compound interest at 5% from 11	Respondent to pay USD 2,000,000 towards the Claimants' costs and expenses. Costs of the	14 of 732
		Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2		Claimed in Memorial dated 17-Mar-99: USD 515,193,400 including lost profits and compound interest from 11 September 1973 until date of award and provisionally			costs of proceedings, i.e. USD 14,603,478 (para 723).		 n.b. This figure is unusually large but may be explained by the length of the proceedings. 	ne April 2002 until date of award (page 235).	proceedings to be borne 3/4 by Respondent (USD 3,136,893.34) and 1/4 by Claimants (USD 1,045,631.11), i.e. Respondent bears an extra 25% or	
				estimated as at 11 September 1999, plus moral damages (para 11).							USD 1,045,631 in arbitration costs (paras 730-731).	
				Claimed on 11-Sep-02: USD 397,347,674 including lost profits from 11 September 1973 until date of award and								
				provisionally estimated as at 11 September 2002, plus moral damages (para 27).								
				n.b. Unclear whether the last sum included interest, but it is assumed not. The tribunal awarded interest but did not								
18-Jul-11	1-Oct-14	Vigotop Limited v Hungary (ICSID Case	US\$ 1 = FUR 0 7925	quantify it, and so the figures taken in each case (see Column K) both exclude interest and are comparable. Up to USD 394,447,949.53 depending on valuation method	ICSID	3. Klaus Sachs (President), Doak	USD 10,951,616 (para. 635)	USD 8,583,150 (para. 636)	USD 740,747.72 (paras 635-636)	Claimant loses on merits (para. 640)	The Tribunal decides (para. 639)	5 (paras 635-639)
		No. ARB/11/22)		used.		Bishop, Veijo Heiskanen	(converted from (total expenses of EUR 8,969,010.73 -	(converted from (total expenses of USD 8,958,150 - Tribunal	sum of USD 365,747.72 (converted from EUR 289,855.07)		(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;	,
				(converted from EUR 312.6 million, EUR 293.5 million or EUR 278.3 million (depending on the valuation methodology used)) (para. 220(ii))			Tribunal costs EUR 289,855.07))	costs USD 375,000))	and USD 375,000)		(2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	
8-Apr-11	24-Nov-15	Vincent J. Ryan, Schooner Capital LLC, and Atlantic Investment Partners LLC v	US\$ 1 = PLN 4.0003	Declaratory relief and damages in the sum of USD 120,186,762.49 (para. 176)	ICSID	3. Francisco Orrega Vicuna, Claus von Wobeser, Makhdoom Ali Khan	USD 7,036,487.52 (para. 513)	USD 2,320,549.48 (paras 514-515)	USD 910,014.56 (para. 512)	Respondent won on merits. Claimants not entitled to damages (para. 527(6)).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,636,447.18 (paras. 522-523)	15 (paras 512-526)
		Poland (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/3)		(USD 99,092,568.06 (converted from PLN 396,400,000) +		(President)		(USD 2,270,627 + USD 49,899.66 + USD 22.82)			This amount comprises (paras. 522-523)	
				USD 549,194.43 (supplemented by interest calculated from 30 April 2012 until the date of the award) + USD 545,000 + USD 20,000,000) (para. 176)							(1) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,725,657.10.	
											(2) Repondent ordered to pay USD 85,209.92 to the Claimant for the costs resulting from certain requests.	
											Chairman for the costs resulting from certain requests.	
12-Oct-06	15-Sep-11	Vito G. Gallo v. Government of Canada,	US\$ 1 = CAD 0.9872071678	CAD 104,919,250 in damages for interference with	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)		N/A	N/A	USD 801,274.18 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; USD	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction	Claimant to bear full costs of arbitration of USD	17 of 359
		UNCITRAL		enterprise's use of mining site, i.e. USD 106,278,858, plus compound interest, plus payment of compensation for tax consequences (paras 121 + 337).		(President), Prof. Jean-Gabriel Castel OC QC, Dr. Laurent Lévy			60,466.02 in fees of Administrative Assistant; USD 1,818,10 in tribunal costs including transcription services and courier deliveries etc.; USD 36,447.70 in PCA fees for registry	(para 341).	900,000, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 450,000 in reimbursement of deposit (para 354). Each party to bear its own costs of legal representation and	
				consequences (paras 121 · oor).					services; i.e. USD 900,006 in total (paras 350-351).		assistance (para 358).	
4-Nov-04	21-Apr-06		US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8103450490 / CAD 1.1375333786 / SEK	rate of the Central Bank of Russian Federation per day	Stockholm Chamber Commerce	of 3: Advokat Bengt Sjövall, Prof. Sergel Lebedev, Prof. Todd Weiler	N/A	N/A	EUR 194,495, SEK 48,790 and CAD 11,572 in arbitration costs, plus EUR 26,661 and SEK 2,388 in SCC Institute fees	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 212).	Each Party to bear its own legal representation costs and other expenses. Arbitration costs apportioned	4 of 217
		SCC Case No. 080/2004 Award dated 21-Apr-06; Correction of the	7.5564410233	compounded quarterly (para 12).					and expenses, i.e. USD 289,862 (paras 213 + 217, as corrected).		equally (para 217).	
		Award dated 09-Jun-06										
26-May-05	1-Jun-09	Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID	N/R	USD 230,000,000 (USD 200,000,000 for the damages and at least USD 30,000,000 for moral damages - see par. 504)	ICSID	3: Mr. David A. R. Williams QC, Prof. Michael Pryles, Prof. Francisco	USD 8,046,491.72 in fees and expenses of attorneys, testifying experts and consulting experts (para 605).	USD 3,612,673.24 in fees and expenses of attorneys and experts and travel costs (para 612).	USD 365,000 paid by Claimant and USD 500,000 paid by Respondent in ICSID advances, i.e. USD 865,000 in total	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totalling USD 74,550,794.75 in compensation, plus	Respondent to pay USD 6,000,000 as a reasonable contribution towards Claimants' legal costs, expert	32 of 631
		Case No. ARB/05/15		Claim for loss of expropriated property using three methodologies to establish market value of Property and		Orrego Vicuña	n.b. This figure included USD 1,035,814.02 in LECG fees, which was discounted by the Tribunal in the costs award for		(paras 605 + 613).	interest at six-month LIBOR rate compounded six- monthly from 23 May 1996 to date of payment (paras 584, 587, 593, 595 + 598).	witness expenses and other expenses together with interest. Tribunal reached this sum by deducting from the total amount claimed the LECG fee and a sum	
				Project as at date of unlawful expropriation: USD 181,350,000 (Comparable Sales Valuation), USD 191,357,357 (Residual			being unreasonable.			304, 307, 393, 393 + 390).	reflecting approximate legal costs likely to have been incurred in briefing LECG (paras 622 + 630).	
				Land Valuation), or USD 195,800,000 (Lost Business Opportunity); plus additional USD 30,000,000 in damages for construction and financing costs and costs expended in							Parties to bear 50% each of Tribunal's fees and expenses and ICSID's charges (para 631).	
				domestic legal proceedings; i.e. maximum of USD 225,800,000 in total, plus compound interest (paras 504 +							n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Francisco	
21-Sep-05	1-Jul-09	Walter Bau AG (In Liquidation) v.	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7064016009	519). Claimant indicated that claim would be in the region of EUR	UNCITRAL	3: The Hon. Sir Ian Barker QC	EUR 3,374,596 in legal costs only, i.e. USD 4,777,164 (para	EUR 1,121,000 in legal costs only, i.e. USD 1,586,916 (para	ı N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay EUR	Orrego Vicuña. Respondent to pay the EUR 1,806,560 towards	N/A
	_	Kingdom of Thailand, UNCITRAL		120,000,000, i.e. USD 169,875,040 (para 1.82).		(President), The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Jayavadh Bunnag	15.7).	15.7). Total costs claimed including contributions to Tribunal fees	n.b. Difference between total costs claimed by both parties and total legal costs for both parties was EUR 3,104,178.	29,210,000 in damages, i.e. USD 41,350,416, plus interest at 6-month EURIBOR rate plus 2% for each year compounded semi-annually from 3 December 2006 until	Claimant's costs and expenses, i.e. USD 2,557,412 (paras 15.6 + 15.8).	
							and expenses and hearing costs were EUR 5,606,443, i.e. USD 7,936,623.	and expenses and hearing costs were EUR 1,993,331, i.e. USD 2,821,810.	However, this appears to include various undefined Party Costs (which were not included as "legal costs"), and has	date of payment (paras 14.44 + 16.1).		
27-Sep-00	30-Apr-04	Waste Management Inc. v. United	N/R	USD 36,630,000 in damages including recovery of	ICSID	3, Prof. James Crawford (President),	N/A	N/A	therefore been excluded from our calculations. N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as	Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses.	6 of 184
		Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/3		demobilisation costs (para 74).		Mr. Benjamin R. Civiletti, Mr. Eduardo Magallón Gómez				Respondent's conduct did not involve any breach of NAFTA Articles 1105 or 1110 (paras 140 + 178).	Tribunal costs and expense to be borne equally (para 184).	
8-Nov-98	2-Jun-00	Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No.	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Bernardo Cremades, Keith Highet, Eduardo Siqueiros	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant had breached one of the requisites of	Claimant to bear arbitration costs. Each party to bear costs occasioned by its own defence (Section IV).	N/A
10-Jul-98	8-Dec-00	ARB(AF)/98/2 Wena Hotels Ltd. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6909649562	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 62,820,000 in damages, to be quantified precisely during the proceeding	ICSID	3, Monroe Leigh, Ibrahim Fadiallah, Hamzeh Ahmad Haddad	N/A	N/A	N/A	NAFTA Article 1121(2)(b) (Section IV). Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 8,061,896.55 in damages, plus interest at 9%	Respondent to reimburse Claimant USD 1,107,703 for the portion of its attorney's fees and costs incurred in	13 of 136
		n.b. There were multiple costs decisions.		(Section I of Summary Minutes of Tribunal Session on 25 May 1999).			USD 1,107,703 in attorney's fees and costs incurred in merits phase only (para 130).			compounded quarterly from 1 December 2000 amounting to USD 11,431,386.88, bringing total award to USD	the merits phase (para 130).	
		Tribunal denied Claimant's claim for costs of jurisdictional phase earlier in proceeding.		Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: GBP 45,700,000, i.e. USD 66,139,389, plus interest; or, in the alternative, USD						19,493,283.43 (paras 127-130).		
27-Jul-10	30-Nov-11	White Industries Australia Limited v. Republic of India, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = AUD 0,9763483748 / GBP 0.6368812375 / INR	8.819,466.93 (paras 119-120). AUD 4,085,180 in compensation of total amount of ICC Award, plus interest at 8% rate set out in Award from 24	UNCITRAL	3: J. William Rowley QC (Chairman), The Hon. Charles N.	AUD 923,040.75 plus USD 52,374 for Mallesons' and Luthra & Luthra legal fees and disbursements and witness fees and	INR 15,544,279 plus GBP 477,650.44 plus USD 8,394 plus SGD 535 for Fox Magnial leng fees and evoques common	N/A	Ctaimant win. Respondent ordered to pay: AUD 4,085,180 in compensation of the total amount of the	Each party to bear its own costs, with the exception that Respondent shall pay Claimant's witness fees	N/A
			52.2093881699 / SGD 1.2828307338	March 1998 amounting to AUD 4,033,397.07 as at 27 July 2010; USD 84,000 payable under ICC Award for arbitrators'		Brower, Christopher Lau SC	expenses, i.e. USD 997,775 (para 15.1.3).	fees, witness fees and expenses and expenses, counsel fees, witness fees and expenses and arbitration expenses, i. USD 1,056,525 (para 15.1.4).	в.	ICC Award dated 27 May 2002, plus interest at 8% from 24 March 1998 until date of payment quantified by	and expenses in the amount of AUD 86,249.82, i.e. USD 88,339 (paras 15.1.3, 15.2.5 + 16.1.1).	
				fees and expenses; AUD 500,000 payable under ICC Award for costs in the arbitration; and unassessed costs incurred by Claimant in pursuing Indian court proceedings, settlement						Claimant at AUD 4,033,397.07 as of 27 July 2010; USD 84,000 payable under the ICC Award for arbitrators' fees and expenses; and AUD 500,000 payable under the ICC		
				negotiations and this arbitration, i.e. principal amounts totalling USD 4,780,254, plus interest quantified at USD 4,131,104 as of 27 July 2010, bringing total principal and						Award for Claimant's costs in the ICC arbitration, i.e. minimum total of USD 8,911,358 awarded (i.e. including interest on first amount up to 27 July 2010 as quantified		
				4,131,104 as of 27 July 2010, bringing total principal and quantified interest to USD 8,911,358 (para 4.7.2).						by Claimant) (paras 3.2.33, 14.3.6 + 16.1.1).		
27-May-02	9-Sep-03	William Nagel v. Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 049/2002	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8938230006 / SEK 8.1689132047 / GBP	In previous court proceedings the Claimant sought damages of USD 27,000,000 (para 16).	Stockholm Chamber Commerce	of 3. Mr. Hans Danelius (Chairman), Prof. J. Martin Hunter, Prof. Dr.	USD 264,440 in "timekeeper hours" plus USD 71,958 in disbursements, i.e. USD 336,398 in total (para 340).	USD 706,908 in "timekeeper hours" plus USD 168,010 in disbursements, i.e. USD 874,918 in total (para 340).	EUR 161,404 plus SEK 37,996.52 plus GBP 648.10 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; EUR 20,936 for administrativ	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on jurisdiction as ve Claimant did not possess an asset or an investment	Claimant to reimburse 80% of Respondent's "reasonable" costs, resulting in payment of USD	9 of 345
			0.6286745290	n.b. This figure has been used in our calculations on the basis		Herbert Kronke		n.b. It has been assumed that this does not include the USD	fee of Arbitration Institute, i.e . USD 209,682 in total (para	protected under the BIT (para 335).	400,000 to Respondent (paras 338, 342-343). Claimant to bear 90% and Respondent to bear 10% of	
				that it appears likely from paragraph 45 of the award that this sum was the subject of the Claimant's claim before the SCC.				118,041 sum for experts (para 339).			costs due to arbitrators and Arbitration Institute (para 345).	
28-Jan-13	27-Sep-16	Windstream Energy LLC v Canada PCA Case No. 2013-22	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3245 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8922	486,600,000) or USD 429,218,573.05 (alternative claim - CAD	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	Veijo Heiskanen (President); Doak Bishop; Bernardo Cremades	USD 4,397,783.95 (converted from CAD 5,824,864.84) (para 487)	. USD 5,746,358.89 (converted from CAD 7,611,052.35) (para 498)	 USD 908,727.36 (converted from EUR 810,766.55) (para. 511) 	Respondent found to have breached FET standard and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 19,013,137.03 (converted from CAD 25,182,900) (para. 515(e))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 2,198,891.66 (converted from CAD 2,912,432) (para.	28 (paras. 487-514)
				568,500,000) (para. 8)			(total costs minus arbitration costs)	(total costs minus arbitration costs)		(para: 515(e))	514) 1) 50% of the Claimant's legal costs.	
											Tribunal costs shared equally between the parties	
							16					

Paper Pape	1,886,276.12 (page 135) (1) USD 452,500 as Respondent's share of the costs of the arbitration; and (2) USD 14,633,776.12 (converted from CZK 53,640,599.4) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses. The Tribunal decides (pares. 195-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Each party to beer its own costs. Fees, costs and	N/A 21 (paras. 404-424) 3 (paras. 189 - 191)
1.5 1.5	Claimant ordered to pay to the Respondent USD 1,486,276.12 (page 155) (1) USD 0,455,500 as Respondent's share of the costs of the arbitration and did (2) USD 1,403,776.12 (converted from CZX 53,940,593,34) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses. The Tribunal decides (paras. 190-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs. Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	
25 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Claimant ordered to pay to the Respondent USD 1,486,276.12 (page 155) (1) USD 0,455,500 as Respondent's share of the costs of the arbitration and did (2) USD 1,403,776.12 (converted from CZX 53,940,593,34) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses. The Tribunal decides (paras. 190-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs. Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	
15.24.00 2.50.00 Warte Builty Field Company y Karaya, Notice Comp	of the arbitration; and (2) USD 1,403,776.12 (converted from C2X 35,940,599.34) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses. The Tribunal decides (paras. 190-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Each party to beer its own costs. Fees, costs and	3 (paras. 189 - 191)
16-Jun-00 13-Jun-00 13-J	25,940,599.34) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses. The Tribunal decides (paras. 190-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Each party to beer its own costs. Fees, costs and	3 (paras. 189 - 191)
CSD Case No. ARBidot* Passage CM Control Case No. ARBidot* Passage CM Case No. ARBidot* Passage CM Control Case No. ARBidot* Passage CM Case	(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	3 (paras. 189 - 191)
Parasitic Para	(1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	3 (paras. 189 - 191)
29-Jun 60 31-Mark 20 NaA ASSEAN Action 19 NaA NAA NABAUT (Income to fine function of principles) (Principles) (Prin	(2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	
Coverment of the Union of Myammar, ASEAN LO. Each NA.RBBUTI Coverment from EUR 8.440,000 (pars. 1560) Co	Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	
Coverment of the Union of Mysamura, ASEAN LOC. Exes No. AR8Bert III. Co. See No. AR8Bert III.	Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and	
Para 1886	expenses of Tribunal and Secretariat to be borne equally by the parties (para 87).	1 of 87
Hulley = USD 38,571,834,360 Yukes = USD 1,986,000,000 Yukes = USD 1,986,000 Yu	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 69,190,434 (para. 1888)	58 (paras 1830-1887)
Yukos = USD 1,346,000,067 Veteran = USD 1,046,000,067 Veteran = USD 8,203,032,751 5-Ju-12 19-Apr-16 Yur Bogdanov and Yulia Bogdanov av Republic of Moldows (iv), SCC Case No. 1983 1 = EUR 0,787.70 Republic of Moldows (iv), SCC Case No. 1983 1 = EUR 0,787.70 (USD 19,381.10 (pags 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 19,381.10 (pags 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 19,381.10 (pags 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 19,381.10 (pags 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (pares and the award) (USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518)) WA WA WA USD 19,381.10 (pags 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 19,381.10 (pags 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518))	1 1 1	
Veteran = USD 6,203,032.751 Veteran = USD 16,279,000,000 Veteran	Hulley = USD 52,525,453 (USD 47,946,190 +	
5-Jul-12 19-Agr-16 Yurl Bogdanov and Yulia Bogdanova v W9172912 USD 19,391.10 (page 31; part 6 of the award) USD 19,391.10 (page 31; part 6 of the award) USD 19,391.10 (page 31; part 6 of the award) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 18,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 18,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518) USD 19,765.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + USD 675.75 (converted fr	4,579,263) Yukos = USD 2,462,760 (USD 2,214,217 + USD	
5-Jul-12 16-Agr:18 Yurl Bogdanov and Yulia Bogdanova v V0981/2012 19-Agr:18 Vari Bogdanova and Yulia Bogdanova v V0981/2012 Vari Bogdanova and Yulia Bogdanova v V0981/2012 USD 19,381.10 (page 31; part 6 of the award) USD 165,983.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (paras laward) USD 165,983.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (paras laward) USD 18,705.35 v USD 17,741) MDL 2,078,528.56 (MDL 1,524,145 + MDL 554,383.56) USD 19,381.10 (page 31; part 6 of the award) USD 165,983.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (paras laward) USD 165,983.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (MDL 1,524,145 + MDL 554,383.56) USD 19,381.10 (page 31; part 6 of the award) USD 165,983.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (paras laward) USD 165,983.03 (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (MDL 1,524,145 + MDL 554,383.56)	212.483)	
5-Jul-12 16-Apr-16	Veteran = USD 14,238,221.39 (USD 13,298,463 + USD 939,758)	
Republic of Modiova (IV), SCC Case No. V991/2012 (USS 1 = NEX (1 2 5.244. USS 1 = SEK 6.6899) (USD 18.705.35 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 * SEK 4.518)) (USD 18.705.35 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 * SEK 4.518)) (USD 18.705.35 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 * SEK 4.518)) (USD 18.705.35 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 * SEK 4.518)) (USD 18.705.35 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 * SEK 4.518)) (USD 18.705.35 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 * USD 675.75 (converted from EUR		
(USD 18.75.35 - USD 875.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518)) (USD 18.75.35 - USD 875.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518))	The Tribunal decides:	6 (paras. 247-252)
	(1) each party will bear bear its own legal costs	
	(paras. 248-249); and	
	(2) Claimant shall pay USD 8,298.96 (USD 7,623.21 (converted from EUR 5,847) + USD 675.75) and	
	Respondent shall pay USD 11,082.14 (converted from	
	EUR 8,500) as costs of the arbitration (page 31; part 6	
	of the award)	
24-Jun-09 USD 24,138.39 (converted from EUR 18,000 (page 22)) Reproducted season by (14,100 and 100 an	The Tribunal decides (para. 100; page 22):	2 (paras. 99-100)
RON 660,702.78 (RON 443,772.78 + RON 216,530) + EUR	(1) Each party will bear its own legal costs; and	
5,000 as moral damages	(2) Respondent to pay 2/3rd of the costs of arbitration i.e. USD 16.092.26 (converted from EUR 12.000) and	
	Claimant to pay USD 8,046.13 (converted from EUR	
	6.000)	
24-Jun-09 30.Mar-10	,	2 of 100
	Respondent to bear 2/3 of the arbitration costs, being	
	EUR 12,000 (USD 16,092) in total, i.e. Respondent	
I.e. Total sum claimed was USD 42.815 excluding interest, or	EUR 12,000 (USD 16,092) in total, i.e. Respondent bears an extra EUR 3,000 (USD 4,023). Each party to	
	EUR 12,000 (USD 16,092) in total, i.e. Respondent bears an extra EUR 3,000 (USD 4,023). Each party to bear its own costs in connection with the arbitration	

Out of the Control of	Annual Control of the		Although the class	Decree and the Association	Old words I and Ones	Barrer bull-barrel Barre	The second of the second	D	A colored to the first	Description Assets			Oracle de la Collection de la collection	March and a state of the state
31-Mar-2015 31-May-2019 9REN Holding S.a.r.I v. Kingdom US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8968	USD 58,219,942 (converted from EUR 52,200,000 at the ICSID	lan Binnie C.C., Q.C.	David R. Haigh, Q.C.	V.V. Veeder, Q.C.	USD 7,174,320.80 (para 435)	USD 1,588,990.72 (converted by the tribunal from EUR	USD 599,816.33 - USD 266,344.88 (arbitrators' fees and expenses) USD 185,471.45 (other direct expenses) + USD	Claimant win (breach of FET, other claims	No separate decision on	Claimant's divided success on quantum reflected in	14 (paras 435-448)	No	4 December 2017	543 days
of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/15	date of the award) plus interest (para 383)					1,401,037.36 from the current rates) (para 436)	expenses) USD 185,471.45 (other direct expenses) + USD 148,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) (para 446)	46,575,953.60 (EUR 41,760,000) plus interest at a rate	jurisdiction	a 20% reduction in the claimed legal costs, resulting in a net award for legal costs of USD 4,814,570 and EUR 562,458 in favour of the Claimant. Respondent				
								equivalent to the 5-year Spanish Government bond yield compounded annually from 30 June 2014 until Spain's full and final satisfaction of the Award. (para		to pay 100% of the ICSID costs of Claimant: respondent to pay 100% of the ICSID costs of Claimant in the amount of USD 299,908.16. Altogether, Respondent				
								449)		had to pay USD 5,741,801.38 (para 445, 448)				
30-Nov-2016 11-May-2020 A.M.F. Aircraftleasing Meier & US\$ 1 = EUR 0 9251	USD 375,328,861 (para 260) UNCITRAL (2010	Prof. Pierre Tercier	Prof. Stanimir A. Alexandrov	Jean E. Kalicki	USD 786,860.77 (EUR 727,924.90) (para 735)	USD 644,283.61 (EUR 596,026.77) (para 736)	USD 800,000 - USD 732,725 (fees of the arbitrators) + USD	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (p.	No separate decision on	Each party to bear half of the cost of the proceedings	30 (paras 710-739)	No	27 August 2019	258 days
Fischer GmbH & Co. KG v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2017-15	Rules)						22,940.70 (expenses of the arbitrators) + USD 21,965.37 (other tribunal expenses) + USD 22,368.93 (PCA fees) (para 721,734)	118)	jurisdiction	(USD 400,000), each party to bear its own costs. (para 739)				
							12.1124)							
10-Mar-2014 29-Jun-2018 A11Y LTD. v. Czech Republic, US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8584 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7578	USD 25,364,669.42 (converted from CZK 564,719,000 at the date of the award) plus interest (para 30)	The Hon. L. Yves Fortier, QC	Prof. Stanimir A. Alexandrov	Anna Joubin-Bret	converted from GBP 1,378,885.41) + USD 157,208.29	USD 653,769.69 - USD 448,738.21 (sum of all legal fees converted from CZK 9,990,707.61) + USD 205,031.48		Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (para 249)	9-Feb-2017	Claimant to bear the combined Tribunal costs and each Party to bear its respective legal costs.	21 (paras 228-248)	Yes	13 November 2017 (hearing on the merits and the	228 days
US\$ 1 = CZK 22.2640					(expert fees, converted from GBP 119,132.44) + USD 133,456.51 (other disbursements GBP 101,133.34) (para	(expert fees, converted from EUR 9,685 and CZK 4,313,03 (para 231)	8)			Claimant is ordered to pay the Respondent USD 373,932.02 representing the Respondent's share of the costs and expenses of the arbitration. (para 247-			remaining jurisdictional objection)	
					This figure excludes arbitration costs USD 475,000	This figure excludes arbitration costs USD 475,000				249)				
04-Dec-2013 14-Dec-2017 Fouad Alghanim & Sons Co. for US\$ 1 = KWD 0.3020	USD 81,000,000 (para 5) ICSID	Professor Campbell McLachlan	The Honourable L. Yves Fortier, PC CC OQ QC	Professor Marcelo G. Kohen	USD 9 869 187 40 - approx USD 8 099 471 73 /legal costs	IISD 4 704 820 97 - IISD 633 854 91 //aga costs) + IISD	USD 838,463.21 - USD 527,318.38 (arbitrators' fees) + USD	Respondent win Claims dismissed on the merits (nara	No separate decision on	Tribunal rejected 2 unsubstantiated items of	24 (paras 493-516)	No	25 April 2016	598 days
General Trading & Contracting, W.L.L. and Mr. Fouad Mohammed	555 51,000,000 (page 5)	oc .			partly converted from GBP 3,582,940.28 and KWD 66,247.34) + USD 1,576,162,14 (expert fees, partly	1,009,017.84 (expert costs) + USD 114,001.17 (other expenses) + USD 37,956.05 (document	65,889.43 (tribunal assistant's fees and expenses) + USD 128,000 (ICSID adminstrative fees) + USD 117,255.4 (direct	517) t	jurisdiction	Respondent's costs (both legal fees and arbitration costs). Then the remaining sum was reduced by				
Thunyan Alghanim v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case					converted from GBP 792,598) + USD 131,801.46 (witness expenses, partly converted from KWD 29,760.81) + USD 61,739 (document management and bundles, converted	management/bundles) (para 500) Excludes payments to ICSID in the amount of USD 500 000	expenses) (para 502)			20%. Claimants are to pay the Respondent the sum of USD 1,579,793.87 in respect of its costs. (para 514-				
No. ARB/13/38					from GBP 46,950.66) (para 496-499)	claimed by Respondent				Two items claimed by Respondent were disallowed				
					Note that the tribunal uses the overall figure above based or the exchange rates prevailing at 1 August 2017 when US\$ 1					and the remaining sum has been reduced by 20% in respect of costs attributable to jurisdictional issues.				
					= GBP 0.76047 and US\$ 1 = KWD 0.30279 which can lead to slight discrepancies in the claimed costs									
24-Oct-2017 01-Now-2019 (decision on application under Maintaining Touristic Maintaining Touristic	N/A ICSID	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hernández	Pascal Dévaud	Dr. Rolf Knieper	Claimant did not submit its submission on costs (para 59)	USD 612,986.39 (para 69)	USD 353,359.20 - USD 246,898.93 (arbitrators' fees) USD 84,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) + USD 22,460.27	Respondent win. Claimant's claims manifestly lack legal merit due to obvious Claimant's non-compliance with the mandatory negotiation period and non-	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant to bear Respondent's arbitration costs in the amount USD 200,000 and its legal costs in the amount of USD 612,986.39. Claimant shall pay USD	7 (paras 59-65)	No (proceedings under Rule 41(5) ICSID	14 December 2018	351 days
Arbitration Rules) Arbitration Rules) Construction Co. L.L.C. v. State of Kuwait, ICSID Case No. ARB/18/2							(estimated direct expenses) (para 64)	with the mandatory negotiation period and non- existence of property rights. (para 67-68)		amount of USD 612,986.39. Claimant shall pay USD 812,986.39 (para 69)		Arbitration Rules)		
13-Man-2015 12-Oct-2018 (Award in Alvarez v Marin Corporación S.A., N/R	USD 81,700,000 + post award interest (para 94) ICSID	Juan Fernández-Armesto	Horacio A. Grigera Naón	Henri C. Álvarez	USD 3,846,865 legal fees plus a success fee of 3,5% (paras	USD 6,810,790 legal fees (paras 408-409). Excludes USD	USD 1,044,208.37 - USD 748,826.14 (arbitrators' fees) +	Decided in favour of the State. Jurisdiction declined.	No separate decision on	Each party to bear its own procedural costs and	25 (402-426)	No	2 October 2017	375 days
Spanish) Estudios Tributarios AP S.A., Stichting Administratiekantoor					404-405) Excludes USD 425,000 costs of the proceedings.	400,000 costs of the proceeding.	USD 12,208.45 (arbitral tribunal secretary's fees) + USD 148,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) + USD 135,173,78		jurisdiction	legal expenses (para 421), except the costs related to the site visit which had to be born exclusively by				
Anbadi, Bartus van Noordenne and Cornelis Willem van							(direct expenses) (para 412)			in respondent				
Noordenne v. Republic of Panama, ICSID Case No.														
ARB/15/14 13-Mar-2014 18-Jan-2019 Anglo American PLC v. Bolivarian USS 1 = GRP 0.7754	USD 392,600,000 + post-award interest (para 116). Includes ICSID Additional	Yves Derains	Dr. Guido Santiago Tawil	Dr. Raúl E. Vinuesa		USD 10,269,032 (includes legal fees related to defense of	USD 917,204.85 (para 554)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (para	No separate decision on	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses (para	29 (paras 532-560)	No	9 December 2016	770 days
Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/1 US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3259	pre-award interest of USD 157.2 million. Facility				from GBP 9,286,116.91 and CAD 971,737.14) + USD 46,07 (costs and expenses of Claimant's witnesses) (para 538,	withdrawn claims in the amount of USD 848,570) (para 551 552)		,	gas neterorod I	,		1		
					 Excludes USD 425,000 (fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal and ICSID's administrative fees 							1		
06-May-2013 02-May-2018 Antaris Solar GmbH and Dr. US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7350	USD 14 345 851 (converted from CZK 306 530 000 at the UNCITRAL (1976	Lord Collins of Mapesbury	Doak Bishoo, replaced on 24 September 2014 by Gary Born	ME Judge Dater Tomin	incurred by Claimant) (para 539-541).	N/Δ	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (para	No separate de	Chimani shall are 1990 t see ast	16 (paras 449-464)	No.	2 May 2017	185 dwa
Michael Göde v. Czech Republic, USS 1 = CZK 21.3676	USD 14,345,551 (converted from CZK 306,530,000 at the date of the award) + post-award interest (paras 74, 77) Rules)	Colu Colleis of Mapesbury	Councilion, replaced on 24 September 2014 by Gary Born	n suge reel tolika	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	465)	jurisdiction	Claimant shall pay USD 1,992,348. This includes the sum of USD 1,750,000 and USD 242,348 (converted from GBP 178,125.50). This includes three quarters	10 (paras 449-464)	NO	≥ may 2J1/	uays
PCA Case No. 2014-01										of the arbitration costs and part of Respondent's legal costs. Tribunals alsotook into account Claimant		1		
29-Oct-2013 29-Jan-2019 (decision Antin Infrastructure Services US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7526	USD 227,695,167.28 (converted from EUR 196,000,000) ICSID	Dr. Eduardo Zuleta	Prof. Francisco Onego Vicuña, replaced by Mr. Klaus	J. Christopher Thomas QC	HIPD 4 079 247 PB/	HPD 2 240 074 004/	HICK A AFA AFA GA A AFA CA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Chimatuia Bana	No separate decision on	succeded on one issue. (para 463-466)	14 (735-747)	Al.	19 October 2016	832 days (to rectification award)
29-Oct-2013 29-Jan-2019 (decision Antin Infrastructure Services on Rectification of the Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Antin Award, original award. Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Antin Luxembourg S.à.r.l. and Antin Parcial Termosolar B.V. v.	(para 616) (CSID)	Dr. Eduardo Zuleta	Prof. Francisco Onego Vicuña, replaced by Mr. Klaus Reichert on 18 November 2018	o. Compagner monas QC	approx.USD 4,742,330 (legal costs converted from GBP 3,659,077.50) + USD 661.720 (expert fees converted from GBP 3,659,077.50) + USD 661.720 (expert fees converted from	USD 651,456 (expert report converted from EUR 1,989,287.18) - USD 651,456 (expert report converted from EUR 560,773.13) + USD 26.352 (translations converted from EII	USD 1,059,052.84 - USD 703,362.51 (fees and expenses of the tribunal) + USD 138,000 (ICSID's administrative fees) + IR USD 217,690.33 (direct expenses) (para 742)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 117,332,713.75 compensation (converted from EUR 112.000.000) plus pre-award monthly interest at rate	jurisdiction	Respondent shall pay USD 3,886,838 - it shall bear its own legal representation costs and expenses. The Respondent shall also pay 60% of the costs of the	14 (730-747)	NO	.3 October 2016	usys (io reconcation award)
Issued on 15-Jun-2018 Energia Termosolar B.V. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/31					GBP 498,011.07) + USD 15,319 (other disbursments converted from GBP 11.529.11)(para 738). Excludes USD	22,683.69) + USD 7,632 (editing services converted from FUR 6,569.85) + USD 5,423 (courier converted from		112,000,000) plus pre-award monthly interest at rate 2.07% plus post-award monthly interest at the rate 2.07% (para 748)		proceedings (USD 635,431.70) and 60% of the Claimants' legal representation costs and expenses		1		
NO. ARD/13/31					379,637 (payments to ICSID converted from GBP 285,714.78).	4,668.45) + USD 54,310 (travelling expenses converted fro EUR 46,479.85) + USD 1,535,432 (legal fees converted from EUR 1,321,700) + USD 30,369 (other costs converted from	m m			(USD 3,251,407 - converted from GBP 2,447,008.61) (para 747)		1		
						EUR 26,142.21) (para 739). Excludes approx. USD 509,15 (advance on costs paid to ICSID converted from EUR	3					1		
						438,279.03).						1		
24-Jan-2014 (notice) 18-Sep-2018 David R. Aven, Samuel D. Aven, Giacomo A. Buscemi and others	USD 69,100,000 plus interest (para 183) UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	Eduardo Siqueiros T.	C. Mark Baker	Pedro Nikken	USD 7,751,433.53 - USD 6,375,067.5 (legal fees) + USD 76,581.51 (witness costs) + USD 1,299,784.52 (expert fees	USD 1,561,833.58 - USD970,000 (legal fees) + USD + 43,543.58 (witness costs) + USD 230,000 + USD 295,000 -	USD 2,181,810.21 - USD 230,037.6 (direct expenses) + USI + 148,000 (ICSID administrative fees) + USD 666,961.81	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (Part XIV.)	jurisdiction	Each party shall bear its own legal costs and expenses. Claimants to pay Respondent USD	21 (paras 748-768)	No	5 December 2016	652 days
v. Republic of Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. UNCT/15/3)					interest (para 748). Excludes USD 1,105,000 (advances to tribunal and ICSID fees).	USD 17,080 + 6,300 (all expert and consulting fees) (para 750). Excludes USD 889,914 (advances to tribunal and	+USD 690,360.37 + USD 446,450.43 (all arbitrator fees and expenses) (para 766)			1,090,905.10 for Respondent's portion of the advances paid by Respondent to ICSID on account				
						icsiD tees).				of arbitrators' fees and expenses, ICSID administrative expenses, as well as direct expenses of the arbitration. (para 765-768)				
23-May-2014 19-Jul-2019 (Partial B-Mex (Deana Anthone, Neil N/R	USD 100,000,000 (para 64) ICSID Additional Facil	ity Dr. Gaëtan Verhoosel	Prof. Gary Born	Prof. Raúl Emilio Vinuesa	USD 8,003,600.11 (para 265)	USD 1,249,362.40 (para 265)	N/A	Jurisdiction upheld, case proceeds to merits. (para	No separate decision on	Tribunal awarded USD 1,399,362.40 to Claimants in	8 (paras 265-272)	Yes (but case	21 May 2018	424 days
Award with costs) Ayervals, Douglas Black) and others v. United Mexican States,								2/3)	jurisdiction	connection with the legal costs they incurred in this phase. (para 272)		dismissed on jurisdiction)		
ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/16/3														
11-Sep-2014 (notice) 03-Sep-2019 Michael Ballantine and Lisa US\$ 1 = DOP 51.3883	USD 20,000,000 (para 157) UNCITRAL (2013	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hernández	Marney L. Cheek	Prof. Raúl Emilio Vinuesa	USD 2,048,433.48 - USD 1,424,852.70 (legal fees) + USD	USD 3,237,508 - USD 2,611,371.56 (legal fees) + USD	USD 904,907.41 - USD 605,697.43 (fees and expenses of		No separate decision on	Each party shall bear half of the arbitration costs and	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
11-Sep-2014 (notice)	USD 20,000,000 (para 157) UNCITRAL (2013 Rules)	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hernández	Marney L. Cheek	Prof. Raúl Emilio Vinuesa	USD 2,048,433.48 - USD 1,424,852.70 (legal fees) + USD 491,898,57 (expert fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional arbitration expenses) (para 499). Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration costs). (para 637)	USD 3,237,508 - USD 2,611,371.56 (legal fees) + USD 562,529.26 (expert fees) + USD 63,607 (partly converted from DOP 2,874,522.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (arbitration costs).	arbitrators) + USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of 0 ICSID as appointing authority) + 119,053,81 (PCA fees and expenses) + USD 169,796,13 (other tribunal expenses)	Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.	jurisdiction	its own legal fees and expenses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Claimant USD 5,000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican	USD 20,000,000 (para 157) UNCTRAL (2013 Rules)	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hernández	Marney L. Cheek	Prof. Raúl Emilio Vinuesa	491,898,57 (expert fees) + USD 131,682.21 (additional arbitration expenses) (para 499). Excludes USD 460,000	562,529.26 (expert fees) + USD 63,607 (partly converted from DOP 2,874,522.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00	arbitrators) + USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of 0 ICSID as appointing authority) + 119,053.81 (PCA fees and		jurisdiction	its own legal fees and expenses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Claimant USD 5,000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing authority. As the unexpended balance in the case deposit amounted to USD 5,092.59, the PCA	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican	USD 20,000,000 (para 157) UNCTRAL (2013 Rules)	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hernández	Marney L. Cheek	Prof. Radi Emilio Viruesa	491,898,57 (expert fees) + USD 131,682.21 (additional arbitration expenses) (para 499). Excludes USD 460,000	562,529.26 (expert fees) + USD 63,607 (partly converted from DOP 2,874,522.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00	arbitrators) + USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of 0 ICSID as appointing authority) + 119,053,81 (PCA fees and expenses) + USD 169,796,13 (other tribunal expenses)		jurisdiction	its own legal fees and expenses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Claimant USD 5,000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing authority. As the unexpended balance in the case depost amounted to USD 5,002.59, the PCA therefore reimburse to the Parties the unexpended balance of the deposit as follows: the amount of USD 1000 states.	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican	USD 28,008,009 (para 157) UNCTRAL (2013 Rules)	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hernández	Marney L. Cheek	Prof. Raul Emilo Vinuesa	491,898,57 (expert fees) + USD 131,682.21 (additional arbitration expenses) (para 499). Excludes USD 460,000	562,529.26 (expert fees) + USD 63,607 (partly converted from DOP 2,874,522.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00	arbitrators) + USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of 0 ICSID as appointing authority) + 119,053,81 (PCA fees and expenses) + USD 169,796,13 (other tribunal expenses)		jurisdiction	its own legal fees and expenses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Claimant USD 5,000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing authority. As the unexpended balance in the case deposit amounted to USD 5,082.59, the PCA therefore reinburse to the Parties the unexpended	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican	USD 28,000,000 (para 157) UNCTRAL (2013 Rules)	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hemández	Marray L. Cheek	Prof. Raul Emilio Vinuesa	491,898,57 (expert fees) + USD 131,682.21 (additional arbitration expenses) (para 499). Excludes USD 460,000	562,529.26 (expert fees) + USD 63,607 (partly converted from DOP 2,874,522.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00	arbitrators) + USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of 0 ICSID as appointing authority) + 119,053,81 (PCA fees and expenses) + USD 169,796,13 (other tribunal expenses)		jurisdiction	its own logal fees and expenses incurred in this proceeding. Repondent owed Claimant USD 5,000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing authority. As the unexpended balance in the case depost amounted to USD 5,002.59, the PCA therefore reimburse to the Parties the unexpended balance of the deposit as follows: the amount of USD 5,042.90 the Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 the Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 to Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 to Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 to the amount of	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican	USD 20,000,000 (para 157) UNCTRAL (2013 Rules)	Prof. Ricardo Ramírez Hemández	Marrey L. Cheek	Prof. Raul Emilio Vinuesa	491,898,57 (expert fees) + USD 131,682.21 (additional arbitration expenses) (para 499). Excludes USD 460,000	562,529.26 (expert fees) + USD 63,607 (partly converted from DOP 2,874,522.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00	arbitrators) + USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of 0 ICSID as appointing authority) + 119,053,81 (PCA fees and expenses) + USD 169,796,13 (other tribunal expenses)		jurisdiction	its own logal fees and expenses incurred in this proceeding. Repondent owed Claimant USD 5,000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing authority. As the unexpended balance in the case depost amounted to USD 5,002.59, the PCA therefore reimburse to the Parties the unexpended balance of the deposit as follows: the amount of USD 5,042.90 the Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 the Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 to Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 to Capimants, and the amount of USD 5,042.90 to the amount of	39 (499-502, 601-636)	No	3 September 2018	365 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Ruters)				491,986.27 (expert fees) + USD 131,882.21 (seddincal subtribution expense) (grant 499); Escludes USD 469,000 (sebtration costs) (grant 697).	502,250.2 (separt leve) + USD 63,007 (safty converted mon DOP 2,174.2 (separt leve) + USD 63,007 (safty converted mon DOP 2,174.2 (separt leve) (safty safty). Excludes USD 450,00 (sebbation custs).	arbibator) - USD 10,000 (fees - Slocetary-General of USD as approximately + 110,053 (FCA tess and USD - 10,053 (FCA tess and USD	jurisdiction.	jurisdiction	Its com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Claimant USD 5.000 subthorily. At the unexpected billion to the Claimant USD 5.000 subthorily. At the unexpected billion can the case deposit amounted to USD 5.002.50 the Port Charleston in this case of the case of the Claimant Charleston in the Char		No	3 September 2018	365 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20-Nov-2017 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. NSR Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	USD 28,000,000 (para 157) UNCTRAL (2013 Rules) USD 522,200,000 (para 572) USD 522,200,000 (para 572) USD 522,200,000 (para 572)	Prof. Roando Raminiz Hemández Prof. Kast-Heinz Böcksöegel	Mamey L. Cheek Or. Michael Pryles	Prof. Plaul Emilio Vinuesa Prof. Philippe Sands QC	491,986,7 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtribution represent jours 499). Excludes USD 460,000 (authoration costs). (para 637) ISBN 7-3864-974-86 ISSN 5-692-896-4-0 (report fees) = 1550.	502.259.2 (expent less) + USD 63.007 (partly converted to mo OPP 2,874 (expent less) + USD 63.007 (partly converted to mo OPP 2,874 (expense) + USD 645.000 (parbitration costs).	arbibator) - USD 10,000 (fees - Slocetary-General of USD as approximately + 110,053 (FCA tess and USD - 10,053 (FCA tess and USD	jurisdiction.	jurisdiction	to om legal fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant USD 5.000 for not paying its part of costs of the appointing dependent of the proceeding separation of the separation of the separation of the separation separation of the separation of	39 (499-502, 601-636) 21 (paras 717-737)	No No	3 September 2018 7 September 2016	365 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 The Point Company of the Company of t	Ruters)				491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	502.259.2 (expent less) + USD 63.007 (partly converted to mo OPP 2,874 (expent less) + USD 63.007 (partly converted to mo OPP 2,874 (expense) + USD 645.000 (parbitration costs).	arbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary-General of USD as specified profit of the CSD as s	jurisdiction.	jurisdicition No separate decision on jurisdicition	to om legal fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Claimant USD 5.000 for not paying in part of cross of the aspositing advantage of the proceeding separation of the proceeding separation of the proceeding separation could be USD 5.002.95 the PCA fleerforce inclinates to the Parties See to unexpended substance of the deposition solitors to the Commission of the Separation of USD 5.004.50 to the Claimants, and the unexpended USD 6.004.50 to the Respondent (para 6.05-6.04). Tribunal found that Respondent has to bear its own		No No	3 September 2018 7 September 2016	366 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20-Nov-2017 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. NSR Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	Ruters)				189 (286.27 (expert leng) + USD 131,882.21 (caldional authoritorium cerprent) (grant 499); Escludes USO 460,000 (activation ceres) (grant 49); Escludes USO 460,000 (activation ceres) (grant 607) USD 7,388,121.85 - USD 5,522.250,49 (impal fees) * USD 500,000 (activation ceres) (grant 607); USD 500,000 (activation ceres) (USD 4,226.25) (activati	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	arbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary-General of USD as specified profile) + 110,053 (FeA see and Copy of USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary Copy of USD 10,000 (fees of Society Opens 604-61)) 100,796.13 (other Obtanal expresses) para 604-61)	jurisdiction. Claiment win. Respondent shall pay to Claiment can be seen to be considered as the seen of the considered can be from the date of paliciation of Supreme call as	jurisdicition No separate decision on jurisdicition	its own legis fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Claimant (USD 5.00) submit in A fee unexpended balances in the case deposit authorities of Libb 5.00.20 fee the Charleston amountate USD 5.00.20 fee the Secondary of USD 5.00.20 fee the Secondary of USD 5.00.20 fee the Respondent (para 650-650) USD 5.00.20 fee Respondent (para 650-650) USD 5.0		No No	3 September 2018 7 September 2016	385 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20360-0117 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. ARB/14/21 NRR. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	Rules) WSD 622,260,660 (para S72) CSID	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel We Obradel Francis Donouse, Later	Or. Michael Pryles		491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	urbibliothy - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD as appointed profit of the USD as appointed by 11,000 (feet of the USD 16,1781.15 (other thibunal expresses) (pare 504.61) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717)	Calment vin. Respondent shall pay to Calment and Section 1 and 1 a	No separate decision on jurisdiction	its own kept fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Chairman (USD 5.000 subhorly, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposit amounted build 5.000 5.000 fee Pro-Chairman (USD 5.000 fee) fee proceedings of the deposit as follows: the enusced of USD 5.000 fee fee proceedings of the deposit as follows: the enusced of USD 5.000 fee fee, and the deposit as follows: the enusced of USD 5.000 fee; the Respondent (gara 650-550) fee fee fee, and feel feel feel feel feel feel feel fee		No No	3 September 2018 7 September 2016 14 September 2015	200 days 410 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20.3(co.2012) Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. NRR Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/21	USD 522,200,060 (pairs 572) ICSID Approx. USD 63,000,000 (pairs 57 for Request for UNC1TRAL (1976	Ond. Karl-Heinz Bödastegel	Or. Michael Pryles	Phot Philippe Sands OC	491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees - Secretary-General of LCSD as appointment) - 110,053 (Fig. 10,000) (fees - Secretary General of LCSD as appointment) - 110,000 (fees - Secretary General Control of Con	Calment vin. Respondent shall pay to Calment and Section 1 and 1 a	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Its own legal fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant USD 5.000 for not paying its part of cross of the appointing deep control of the process of the approximation of the process of th	21 (parae 717-737)	No No		265 days 410 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20360-0117 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. ARB/14/21 NRR. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	USD 522,200,060 (pairs 572) ICSID Approx. USD 63,000,000 (pairs 57 for Request for UNC1TRAL (1976	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel We Obradel Francis Donouse, Later	Or. Michael Pryles	Phot Philippe Sands OC	491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	urbibliothy - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD as appointed profit of the USD as appointed by 11,000 (feet of the USD 16,1781.15 (other thibunal expresses) (pare 504.61) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717)	Calment vin. Respondent shall pay to Calment and Section 1 and 1 a	No separate decision on jurisdiction	its com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Claimant (USD 5.00) authority. At the unexpended bilance of the Claimant (USD 5.00) authority. At the unexpended bilance in the case deposit amounted to USD 5.00.25 the Pro-Ched therefore inclinates to the Friends set on unexpended bilance on the case of the Claimant (USD 6.00.25 the Pro-Ched therefore inclinates to the Friends set oursepended to Claimant (USD 6.00.25 the Pro-Ched 6.00.25 the Claimant, and the amount of USD 6.00.25 the Pro-Ched 6.00.25	21 (parae 717-737)	No No		265 days 419 days 555 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20360-0117 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. ARB/14/21 NRR. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	USD 522,200,060 (pairs 572) ICSID Approx. USD 63,000,000 (pairs 57 for Request for UNC1TRAL (1976	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel We Obradel Francis Donouse, Later	Or. Michael Pryles	Phot Philippe Sands OC	491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	urbibliothy - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD as appointed profit of the USD as appointed by 11,000 (feet of the USD 16,1781.15 (other thibunal expresses) (pare 504.61) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717)	Calment vin. Respondent shall pay to Calment and Section 1 and 1 a	No separate decision on jurisdiction	its com large fees and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent cond Claimant (SID 5.000 subthor), A fee unexpended balance in the case of the condition of the feet feet of the condition of the feet of the fe	21 (parae 717-737)	No No		385 days 410 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20360-0117 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. ARB/14/21 NRR. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	USD 522,200,060 (pairs 572) ICSID Approx. USD 63,000,000 (pairs 57 for Request for UNC1TRAL (1976	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel We Obradel Francis Donouse, Later	Or. Michael Pryles	Phot Philippe Sands OC	491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	urbibliothy - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD as appointed profit of the USD as appointed by 11,000 (feet of the USD 16,1781.15 (other thibunal expresses) (pare 504.61) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717)	Calment vin. Respondent shall pay to Calment and Section 1 and 1 a	No separate decision on jurisdiction	to om legal fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant USD 5.000 subdowly. A fee unexpended between the control of the control	21 (parae 717-737)	No No		385 days 419 days 855 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20360-0117 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. ARB/14/21 NRR. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No.	USD 522,200,060 (pairs 572) ICSID Approx. USD 63,000,000 (pairs 57 for Request for UNC1TRAL (1976	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel We Obradel Francis Donouse, Later	Or. Michael Pryles	Phot Philippe Sands OC	491,98.07 (report fees) + USD 131,882.21 (additional subtriation reports (grad 499) Excludes USD 460,000 (arbitration coxis), (grad 407) USD 7,384,121.85 - USD 5,522,836.49 (legal fees) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 1,422.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of orgent) + USD 4,424.627 34 (lets and opposes of opposes opposes of opposes opposes of opposes op	562.259.2 (separt lese) + USD 63,007 (partly converted to ma COP 278.7 622.29) (para 501). Excludes USD 450,00 (selectation cross). USD 6,887,884.65-USD 4,417,641,10 (legal fees) + USD 210,02 69 (cross of the converted to the	urbibliothy - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD as appointed profit of the USD as appointed by 11,000 (feet of the USD 16,1781.15 (other thibunal expresses) (pare 504.61) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717) USD 1,122,466.54 (pare 717)	Calment vin. Respondent shall pay to Calment and Section 1 and 1 a	No separate decision on jurisdiction	its com large fees and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent cond Claimant (SID 5.000 subthor), A fee unexpended balance in the case of the condition of the feet feet of the condition of the feet of the fe	21 (parae 717-737)	No No		2005 days 419 days 2005 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 Soldow-2012 Beer Creek Mining Corporation v. NRR Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/21 26-Dec-2006 (notion) Soldow-2017 Beijing Shougang and others v. Mongelia, PCA Case No. 2016-20	Rules) USD 522,290,060 (para 572) ICSID Approx. USD 68,060,060 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Abeliation) UNCTRAL (1976 Rules)	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomka	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatens	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Codfeller	189 1,862 (Fepent Rep.) + USD 131,862 21 (additional substitution represent) (grant 499) Excludes USD 469,000 (arbitration costs) (grant 697) Excludes USD 47,262,452 (arbitration costs) (grant 697) Excludes USD 47,262,57 (arpented with the costs) (grant 697) Excludes USD 425,000 (cross payable through CSD)	\$50,250.2 (Report less) + USD 63,007 (partly converted mod DOP 22/14 22/20) (parts 901). Excludes USD 450,00 (arbitration custs). \$10,000	urbinatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD as appointed profit of the Control of	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages amounting to USD 16,227,652 - interest at a rate 5% from the date of packation of Supreme Discrete Cit 2 - pool-wants interest at a rate 5%. (para 72) Respondent vin. Case destricted for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	to om legal fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant (USD 5.00) authority. A fee unexpended billion on the control of the con	21 (paras 717-737) 21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476)	No No	14 September 2015	2005 diays 410 diays 8005 diays
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 11-Aug-2014	USD 522,200,060 (pairs 572) ICSID Approx. USD 63,000,000 (pairs 57 for Request for UNC1TRAL (1976	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel We Obradel Francis Donouse, Later	Or. Michael Pryles	Phot Philippe Sands OC	### 188.67 (report less) + USD 131.88.22 (positional patriotion reports (para 49)) Evoluties USD 460.000 patriotication reports (para 49). Evoluties USD 460.000 patriotication cases) (para 637). #### 189.7 398.131.85.1355.5622.891.49 (logar face) + USD 1.422.697.34 (lies and operations of experts) + USD 1.422.697.34 (lies and operations of experts) + USD 1.222.691.49 (logar face) + USD 1.422.691.691.691.691.691.691.691.691.691.691	\$52,525.2 (Separt less) + USD 63,807 (salty converted mon CAP 23/14 252.29) (pass 901) Evolution USD 450,000 (sabbatton crash) USD 6,887.394.45 - USD 7,417,241 16 (legal fees) + USD 1,418,319 (less and separence of experts) + USD 1,418,319 (less 25,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,51	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages amounting to USD 16,227,652 - interest at a rate 5% from the date of packation of Supreme Discrete Cit 2 - pool-wants interest at a rate 5%. (para 72) Respondent vin. Case destricted for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	is on high fee and expresse incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Chairman (1905 5,000 authority, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposition of the control of	21 (parae 717-737)	No No		200 days 410 days 655 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 922,299,690 (para 572) (CSIO Approx. USD 96,090,090 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 96,090,090 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 92,797,884.47 + interest (convented from EUR ICSIO	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomka	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatens	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Codfeller	#89 28.03 (Fepart Res) + USD 131.88.22 (seditional substitution represent (juma 169); Evoluties USD 460.000 patrioticulor casalo; (juma 169); Evoluties USD 460.000 patrioticulor casalo; (juma 167); Evoluties USD 460.000 (jum	\$52,525.2 (Separt less) + USD 63,807 (salty converted mon CAP 23/14 252.29) (pass 901) Evolution USD 450,000 (sabbatton crash) USD 6,887.394.45 - USD 7,417,241 16 (legal fees) + USD 1,418,319 (less and separence of experts) + USD 1,418,319 (less 25,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,51	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages amounting to USD 16,227,652 - interest at a rate 5% from the date of packation of Supreme Discrete Cit 2 - pool-wants interest at a rate 5%. (para 72) Respondent vin. Case destricted for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	to om legal fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant (USD 5.00) authority. A fee unexpended billion on the control of the con	21 (paras 717-737) 21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476)	No No	14 September 2015	265 days 419 days 655 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 922,299,690 (para 572) (CSIO Approx. USD 96,090,090 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 96,090,090 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 92,797,884.47 + interest (convented from EUR ICSIO	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomka	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatens	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Codfeller	#89 28.03 (Fepart Res) + USD 131.88.22 (seditional substitution represent (juma 169); Evoluties USD 460.000 patrioticulor casalo; (juma 169); Evoluties USD 460.000 patrioticulor casalo; (juma 167); Evoluties USD 460.000 (jum	\$52,525.2 (Separt less) + USD 63,807 (salty converted mon CAP 23/14 252.29) (pass 901) Evolution USD 450,000 (sabbatton crash) USD 6,887.394.45 - USD 7,417,241 16 (legal fees) + USD 1,418,319 (less and separence of experts) + USD 1,418,319 (less 25,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,519,51	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages amounting to USD 16,227,652 - interest at a rate 5% from the date of packation of Supreme Discrete Cit 2 - pool-wants interest at a rate 5%. (para 72) Respondent vin. Case destricted for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	is on high fee and expresse incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Chairman (1905 5,000 authority, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposition of the control of	21 (paras 717-737) 21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476)	No No No	14 September 2015	265 days 419 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 66,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 98,997,884.47 interest (convented from EUR ICSID) Approx. USD 98,997,884.47 interest (convented from EUR ICSID)	Prof. Kart-Heinz Böckstlegel Wr. Donald Prancis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tomba Mr. Yves Denains	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanoliau	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Mr. Mark Cooffelter Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	## 1,856.7 (expert fees) + USD 131,862.2 (paddices) ## 1,000.000 (paddices) ##	\$25,252.5 (expert leve) + USD (53,007 (partly converted mon DOP 12/14 522.20) (pares 501). Evoluties USD 450,00 (selected on cross). USD 5,857,384.65 - USD 4,417,541.16 (legal fees) + USD 14,115,371.80 (bees and expenses of regent) + USD 14,115,371.80 (bees and expenses of regent) + USD (care 725). Evoluties USD 500,000 (costs payable through (care 725). Evoluties USD 500,000 (costs payable through USD 304,193.45 (bees and expenses of care 12,115,115) approximately care 12,115,115,115,115,115,115,115,115,115,1	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary-General of USD as specified support of the Control of Co	Clamant vin. Respondent shall pay to Clamant damages emounting to USD 15,237 862 + interest at a Docreo 032 + pod-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 738) Respondent vin. Case dismissed for tack of providedon. (para 417) Respondent vin. case dismissed on the ments. (para 447)	No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant (1905 6,000 subhorly, A fee unexpended balance in the case of the control of the contr	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 635-647)		14 September 2015 14 September 2015 20 March 2016	365 days 410 days 655 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 66,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 98,997,884.47 interest (convented from EUR ICSID) Approx. USD 98,997,884.47 interest (convented from EUR ICSID)	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomka	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatens	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Codfeller	### 1,862 (Feperf Rep.) + USD 131,862 2 (positional patriotical programs (grant 499); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotical programs (grant 499); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotical patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotical programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 525,000 (crost payable through 6,000); Euclidees USD 335,000 (grant 400,000); Euclidees USD 3	\$52,929.2 (Respect fees) + USD 63,807 (safety connected to ma COP 278,745 (speed fees) + USD 63,807 (safety connected to make 1,000 (safety) (safet	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of LCSD as sportings submitted) + 110,053 (FCA fees and CCSD as sportings submitted) + 110,053 (FCA fees and compared of the CCSD as sportings for the	Calament win (Repronders shall gay to Calament Calament win (Repronders shall gay to Calament Calament was movining) or USD 16,27,582 - Invented at a rate 0% from the date of policitation of Special Doces 022 + post-award interest at a rate 0%. (para Calament was Case daminised for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477) Respondent win, Case daminised for lack of Calament win, Case daminised on the ments, (para 648) Respondent win, Case daminised on the ments.	No separate decision on production No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant (USD 5.00) authority. As the unexpended bilance is the deposit authority of the committee of the free free includes to the free free free of the committee of the free free includes to the free free free free free free free fr	21 (paras 717-737) 21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 14 September 2015 20 March 2016	2005 days 419 days 5005 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 922,290,969 (para 572) Approx. USD 96,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 90,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) 18,466,014.45) (para 479-491) USD 91,449,177.94 + interest (para 180) USD 91,449,177.94 + interest (para 180)	Prof. Kart-Heinz Böckstlegel Wr. Donald Prancis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tomba Mr. Yves Denains	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanoliau	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Mr. Mark Cooffelter Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	### 1,862 (Feperf Rep.) + USD 131,862 2 (positional patriotical programs (grant 499); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotical programs (grant 499); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotical patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotical programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 460,000 patriotic programs (grant 497); Euclidees USD 525,000 (crost payable through 6,000); Euclidees USD 335,000 (grant 400,000); Euclidees USD 3	\$25,252.5 (expert leve) + USD (53,007 (partly converted mon DOP 12/14 522.20) (pares 501). Evoluties USD 450,00 (selected on cross). USD 5,857,384.65 - USD 4,417,541.16 (legal fees) + USD 14,115,371.80 (bees and expenses of regent) + USD 14,115,371.80 (bees and expenses of regent) + USD (care 725). Evoluties USD 500,000 (costs payable through (care 725). Evoluties USD 500,000 (costs payable through USD 304,193.45 (bees and expenses of care 12,115,115) approximately care 12,115,115,115,115,115,115,115,115,115,1	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of LCSD as sportings submitted) + 110,053 (FCA fees and CCSD as sportings submitted) + 110,053 (FCA fees and compared of the CCSD as sportings for the	Calament win (Repronders shall gay to Calament Calament win (Repronders shall gay to Calament Calament was movining) or USD 16,27,582 - Invented at a rate 0% from the date of policitation of Special Doces 022 + post-award interest at a rate 0%. (para Calament was Case daminised for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477) Respondent win, Case daminised for lack of Calament win, Case daminised on the ments, (para 648) Respondent win, Case daminised on the ments.	No separate decision on production No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction	is on high fee and expresse incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Chairman (1905 5,000 authority, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposit authority of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposit authority of 1905 6,000 authority, A fee unexpended balance of the deposit as follows: the encount of USD 600-000 fee of the deposit as follows: the encount of USD 600-000 fee of the deposit as follows: the encount of USD 600-000 fee of the deposit as follows: the encount of USD 600-000 fee of the deposit as follows: the encount of USD 600-000 fee of the deposit as follows: the encount of USD 600-000 fee of OSD 600-000 fee of USD 600	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 635-647)	Yes (for damages, but	14 September 2015 14 September 2015 20 March 2016	2005 diays 410 diays 5005 diays
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17 11-Aug-2014 20.36cs.2012 Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. NRR Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/21 26-Dec-2006 (rotton) 20.36cs.2017 Beijing Shougang and others v. Mongola, PCA Case No. 2010-20 TAUG-2015 (RFA) AND STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	Rules) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 66,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 98,997,884.47 interest (convented from EUR ICSID) Approx. USD 98,997,884.47 interest (convented from EUR ICSID)	Anol. Kast-Heinz Blückstegel Mr. Donald Franch Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tonka. Mr. Yves Derains	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanoliau	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Mr. Mark Cooffelter Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	J89 1,862 / Expert fees) + USD 131,862 21 (additional institution ceptors) (growt 96); Evolutions USO 460,000 architecture cessis) (growt 96); Evolutions USO 460,000 architecture cessis) (growt 967); Evolutions USO 462,000 architecture cessis (growt 971); Evolutions USO 462,000 (costs payable through USO 467); Evolutions USO 462,000 (costs payable through USO 467); Evolutions USO 467,000 (costs payable through USO 4	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Secretary-General of USD 1,022,460,841 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,841 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,841 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,841 (para 717) USD 1,024,461 (fees of USD + USD 17,000 (fees of bribbatory) + USD 120,004,461 (fees of USD + USD 17,000 (fees of fee tribbatory) + USD 10,004,461 (fees of USD + USD 17,000 (fees of fee tribbatory) + USD 10,004,461 (fees of USD + USD 17,000 (fees of fee tribbatory) + USD 10,004,461 (fees of USD + USD 18,000 (fees of fees of USD + USD 100,476,500 (direct expenses) + USD 100,007 (fees of usbribbatory) (para 460,474,600 (direct expenses)) + USD 100,007 (USD 469,500,474,600 (fees of usbribbatory) (para 440) USD 465,500,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,10	Calament win (Repronders shall gay to Calament Calament win (Repronders shall gay to Calament Calament was movining) or USD 16,27,582 - Invented at a rate 0% from the date of policitation of Special Doces 022 + post-award interest at a rate 0%. (para Calament was Case daminised for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477) Respondent win, Case daminised for lack of Calament win, Case daminised on the ments, (para 648) Respondent win, Case daminised on the ments.	No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction on jurisdiction on jurisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this processing, Respondent ored Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An & Perus	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 635-647)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 14 September 2015 20 March 2016	200 days 410 days 505 days 505 days
Baltantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 522,295,696 (para 572) Approx. USD 60,5960,696 (para 55 of the Response for Rules) Approx. USD 20,997,694.47 + interest (convented from EUR. CSID USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,648,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156)	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Usonald Francis Donoum, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Wr. Yves Derains Wr. Washdoom Ali Khan Wr. In Professeur Pierre Tercise	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatere Or, Vas Bandatere Professor Bernard Hanolau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Wests Moure	Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wöbeser Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	### 1,882 (Fepart Res) + USD 131,882 21 (additional institution organize) (grant 499). Evoluties USD 460,000 additional countries of the present of the pres	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 11,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (p	Claiment win Reported that gay to Claiment Claiment win Reported that gay to Claiment I are 6% from the data plackation of Square Revent at a rate 6% from the data plackation of Square Docree 02 + post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para Respondent win Claim districts of the lack of particlection, (para 477) Respondent win Claim districts on the ments, (para 648) Respondent win Claim districts of the lack of particlection, (para 452) Respondent win Claim districts of the lack of particlection, (para 452) Respondent win Claim districts of tack of particlection, (para 462) Respondent win Claim districts of tack of particlection, (para 463)	No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction on jurisdiction on jurisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent oned Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An & the unexpended balances in the case deposit amounted build to the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted arbitration costs requested by Calmant, i. a. 1930 6,964,183.29 + interest (pera 736) to the deposit and the encounted arbitration of the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the encounted of the encounter	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 75 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016	2005 days 410 days 5005 days 5005 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 922,290,969 (para 572) Approx. USD 96,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) Approx. USD 90,000,000 (para 55 of the Request for Rules) 18,466,014.45) (para 479-491) USD 91,449,177.94 + interest (para 180) USD 91,449,177.94 + interest (para 180)	Anol. Kast-Heinz Blückstegel Mr. Donald Franch Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tonka. Mr. Yves Derains	Or. Michael Pryles Or. Yas Banifateris Professor Bernard Hanolau The Honourable L. Yves Forler CC, QC	Prof. Philippe Sands OC Mr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wöbeser	JSD 7,384,171,26-1050 (part 66) (par	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbinatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages emounting to USD 18,23,682 + riferest at a Docree 032 + post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 736) Respondent win. Case dismassed for lack of periodicular, (para 477) Respondent win. Case dismassed for lack of periodicular, (para 477) Respondent win. Case dismassed on the ments. (para 468) Respondent win. Case dismassed for lack of paradiction, (para 452)	No separate decision on particular of the control	is com large fees and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent cond Charmant (1905 5,000 authority, A fee unexpended balance in the case of the condition of the condit	21 (paras 717-727) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 456-647) 12 (paras 456-647)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 25 March 2018 75 March 2018	2605 days 410 days 6055 days 6056 days 5056 days 5056 days
Baltantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 522,295,696 (para 572) Approx. USD 60,5960,696 (para 55 of the Response for Rules) Approx. USD 20,997,694.47 + interest (convented from EUR. CSID USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,648,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156)	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Usonald Francis Donoum, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Wr. Yves Derains Wr. Washdoom Ali Khan Wr. In Professeur Pierre Tercise	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatere Or, Vas Bandatere Professor Bernard Hanolau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Wests Moure	Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wöbeser Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	JSD 1,582,342.75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056.14). JSD 1,582,343.36 (converted from EUR 1,547,172.00) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,000) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,170.00) (parallacidad converte	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Clamant vin. Respondent shall pay to Clamant temages emounting to USD 15,237 862 + interest at a Docreo 022 + pod-award interest at a rate 5% (para 738) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of providedon (para 477) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of providedon (para 477) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of providedon (para 468) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of providedon (para 468) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of providedon (para 469) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of providedon (para 469) Clamant vin. Respondent volated the FET standard. As a consequence of the threat blood and held clamant vin. Respondent volated the FET standard.	No separate decision on particular of the control	is com large free and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent oned Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An & the unexpended balances in the case deposit amounted build to the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the deposit as follows: the encounted arbitration costs requested by Calmant, i. a. 1930 6,964,183.29 + interest (pera 736) to the deposit and the encounted arbitration of the encounted (1905 60,000 ftm; the common of the encounted of the encounter	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 75 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016	2005 days 419 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 522,295,696 (para 572) Approx. USD 60,5960,696 (para 55 of the Response for Rules) Approx. USD 20,997,694.47 + interest (convented from EUR. CSID USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,648,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156)	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Usonald Francis Donoum, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Wr. Yves Derains Wr. Washdoom Ali Khan Wr. In Professeur Pierre Tercise	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatere Or, Vas Bandatere Professor Bernard Hanolau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Wests Moure	Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wöbeser Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	### 1885 7 (spent fees) + USD 131,882 21 (seldional substitution organics) (grant 499) Evoluties USD 460,000 solid substitution organics (grant 499) Evoluties USD 460,000 solid substitution cessis) (grant 697) Evoluties USD 460,000 solid substitution cessis) (grant 697) Evoluties USD 460,000 solid substitution cessis) (grant 697) Evoluties USD 460,000 solid substitution of the Part 1 of the	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbinatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages amounting to 1950 112,756.48 * riversed at a rate of five most damages amounting to 1950 112,756.48 * riversed at a rate of five most date of policitation of Signare Decree 60.2 * post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 2014) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, (para 477) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467) Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 467)	No separate decision on particular decision on parti	is on high fee and expresse incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Chairman (1905 5,000 authority, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposition of the control of	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 75 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016	2005 days 410 days 2005 days 2006 days 2006 days 2006 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 522,295,696 (para 572) Approx. USD 60,5960,696 (para 55 of the Response for Rules) Approx. USD 20,997,694.47 + interest (convented from EUR. CSID USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,646,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD 91,648,177,84 + interest (para 156) USD USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156) Up to USD 91,448,177,84 + interest (para 156)	Prof. Kast-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Usonald Francis Donoum, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Wr. Yves Derains Wr. Washdoom Ali Khan Wr. In Professeur Pierre Tercise	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatere Or, Vas Bandatere Professor Bernard Hanolau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Wests Moure	Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wöbeser Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	JSD 1,582,342.75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056.14). JSD 1,582,343.36 (converted from EUR 1,547,172.00) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,000) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,170.00) (parallacidad converte	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment win. Respondent shall pay to Claiment can Respondent shall pay to Claiment can be formed to the can be called to the can can be called to the can can can be called to the can	No separate decision on particular decision on parti	is on high fee and expresse incurred in this proceeding. Respondent one Chairman (1905 5,000 authority, A fee unexpended balances in the case deposition of the control of	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 75 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016	2005 days 410 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 62,000,000 (para 55 of the Resquest for Roller) Approx. USD 92,797,584.47 interest (converted from EUR CSID USD 91,649,177,64 + interest (para 190) Up to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) CSID Additional Facility to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) SCC Roller of Additional Facility to USD 11,748,692,71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 209) SCC Roller of Addition (2010)	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Mr. Vives Denains Mr. Vives Denains M. le Professeur Pierre Tercier Mr. Vibusa Reichert, S. C. Vibusa Reichert, S. C.	Or, Michael Pryles Or, Vas Bandatere Or, Vas Bandatere Professor Bernard Hanolau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Wests Moure	Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wöbeser Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas	JSD 1,582,342.75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056.14). JSD 1,582,343.36 (converted from EUR 1,547,172.00) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,000) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,170.00) (parallacidad converte	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment win. Respondent shall gay to Claiment in Respondent shall gay to Claiment in rate 6% from the date of plackation of Signature Doces 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 022 - post-award interest of para 022 - para 022 - post-award interest of para 022 - para 02	No separate decision on particular decision on parti	is com large fies and expresses incursed in this processing. Respondent oned Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An & the unexpended balances in the case deposits and the common of the deposits as follows: the excessed of USD 60,000 feet for the common of USD 60,000 feet for the common of the commo	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 75 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016	200 days 410 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 62,000,000 (para 55 of the Resquest for Roller) Approx. USD 92,797,584.47 interest (converted from EUR CSID USD 91,649,177,64 + interest (para 190) Up to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) CSID Additional Facility to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) SCC Roller of Additional Facility to USD 11,748,692,71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 209) SCC Roller of Addition (2010)	Prof. Kast-Heinz Blockslegel Mr. Norskill Francis Discover, later replaced by Judge Peter Torska Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Haws Berains Mr. Haws Reichert, S.C.	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanotiau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, OC Alexis Mourre Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Coofeller Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professour Alain Pellet Prof. Giorgio Sacordos	JSD 1,582,342.75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056.14). JSD 1,582,343.36 (converted from EUR 1,547,172.00) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,000) (parallacidad converted from EUR 1,537,170.00) (parallacidad converte	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment vin. Respondent shall pay to Claiment damages amounting to 1930 11,275,784 * Interest at a time to find the policy to 1930 11,275,784 * Interest at a rate of fine the date of policiation of Signary Control Discrete CU * post-award interest at a rate of fin. (para Current Curre	No separate decision on jurisdiction	is com large fees and expresses incursed in this proceeding. Respondent come Claimant (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except and the common of	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 28 March 2018 15 March 2018 6 October 2016 19 February 2018	265 days 456 days 655 days 550 days 550 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 62,000,000 (para 55 of the Resquest for Roller) Approx. USD 92,797,584.47 interest (converted from EUR CSID USD 91,649,177,64 + interest (para 190) Up to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) CSID Additional Facility to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) SCC Roller of Additional Facility to USD 11,748,692,71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 209) SCC Roller of Addition (2010)	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Mr. Vives Denains Mr. Vives Denains M. le Professeur Pierre Tercier Mr. Vibusa Reichert, S. C. Vibusa Reichert, S. C.	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanotiau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, OC Alexis Mourre Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Coofeller Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professour Alain Pellet Prof. Giorgio Sacordos	JSD 1,582,342,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,421,585 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,422,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safety converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment win. Respondent shall pay to Claiment can be considered to the considered to the can be considered to the consi	No separate decision on jurisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent own Claimant (150 5.00) authority. As the unexpended balance is the control of the c	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Yes (for damages, but case dismissed on	14 September 2015 28 March 2018 15 March 2018 6 October 2016 19 February 2018	2655 days 419 days 5055 days 5056 days 5056 days 5056 days 5056 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,290,069 (para 577) ICSID Approx. USD 66,060,069 (para 55 of the Respect for Roller) Approx. USD 18,797,584.47 - interest (convented from EUR CSID 18,466,014.45) (para 479-491) USD 91,648,177,54 - interest (para 180) USD 91,648,177,64 - interest (para 180) CSID Additional Facil Up to USD 11,748,4626-71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) CSID Additional Facil Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) CSID Additional Facil CSID	Prof. Kast-Heinz Böckslegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tonks Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Frolesseur Plenn Tercier Mr. Klaus Reichert, S.C. Vaughan Love, replaced by Cavrieder Bull, SC.	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanoliau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Alexis Mourre Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stannie Alexandrov	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professou Alain Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdoli Professor Brigille Stern	JSD 1,582,342,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,421,585 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,422,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safty converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Clamant vin. Respondent shall pay to Clamant tempses emounting to USD 15,237 862 + interest at a Doces 02 12 pp. 10 pp. 1	No separate decision on jurisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent own Claimant (1905 5,000 authority, As the unexpended balance in the case of the company of the com	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 635-647) 24 (paras 635-647) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264)	Ves (for damages, but case damased on jurisdection) Yes No No	14 September 2015 28 March 2018 15 March 2018 6 October 2016 78 February 2018	2005 days 419 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,200,000 grans 577) ICSID Approx. USD 62,000,000 (para 55 of the Resquest for Roller) Approx. USD 92,797,584.47 interest (converted from EUR CSID USD 91,649,177,64 + interest (para 190) Up to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) CSID Additional Facility to USD 11,469,149,96 + 4,50% interest rate (para 125) SCC Roller of Additional Facility to USD 11,748,692,71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 209) SCC Roller of Addition (2010)	Prof. Karl-Heinz Bookstegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Peter Tomika Mr. Vives Denains Mr. Vives Denains M. le Professeur Pierre Tercier Mr. Vibusa Reichert, S. C. Vibusa Reichert, S. C.	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanotiau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, OC Alexis Mourre Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Coofeller Professor José Carlos Fernández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professour Alain Pellet Prof. Giorgio Sacordos	JSD 1,582,342,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,421,585 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,422,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safty converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Calament win. Respondent shall gay to Calament among a mounting to USB 16.23/3624 - Interest at a range a mounting to USB 16.23/3624 - Interest at a range a mounting to USB 16.23/3624 - Interest at a range of the shall be a place of the place of the composition Doces 02.2 - pool award interest at a rate 5%. (para Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation, (para 477) Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation, (para 467) Respondent win. Case damissed on the ments. (para 648) Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation, (para 469) Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation, (para 469) Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation, (para 469) Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation, (para 469) Respondent win. Respondent violated the FET standard. As a consequence of the behavior (page 169) Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation of the ferminal page 169 Respondent win. Case damissed for lack of particulation of the ferminal page 169 Clamant win. Respondent violated the FET standard. As a consequence of the ferminal page 169 Clamant win. Respondent violated the FET standard. Clamant win. Respondent violated the FET standard. Clamant win. Respondent violated the FET standard. Respondent violated the lack of the search (page 169) Clamant win. Respondent violated the FET standard. Respondent violated the lack of the search (page 169)	No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdicti	is com large fees and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent or deliberate USD 5.000 subthorly. As the unexpended balance in the case of the processing the processing of the procesi	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 456-476) 12 (paras 456-47) 24 (paras 456-451) 17 (470-486)	Ves (for damages, but case demised on particularly) Ves No No Ves (questim please	14 September 2015 28 March 2018 28 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016 59 February 2018 24 April 2017	2005 diays 410 diays 500 diays 500 diays 500 diays 500 diays
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,290,069 (para 577) ICSID Approx. USD 66,060,069 (para 55 of the Respect for Roller) Approx. USD 18,797,584.47 - interest (convented from EUR CSID 18,466,014.45) (para 479-491) USD 91,648,177,54 - interest (para 180) USD 91,648,177,64 - interest (para 180) CSID Additional Facil Up to USD 11,748,4626-71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) CSID Additional Facil Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) CSID Additional Facil CSID	Prof. Kast-Heinz Böckslegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tonks Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Frolesseur Plenn Tercier Mr. Klaus Reichert, S.C. Vaughan Love, replaced by Cavrieder Bull, SC.	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanoliau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Alexis Mourre Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stannie Alexandrov	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professou Alain Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdoli Professor Brigille Stern	JSD 1,582,342,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,421,585 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,422,75 (converted from EUR 1,537,056,14), seporal LSD 1,582,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583,583	SS2_SEG_S(expert less) + USD (SJ007 (safty converted to machine context)	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717)) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,122,460,54 (para 717) USD 1,222,460,54 (para 717)	Claiment win. Respondent shall gay to Claiment in the Respondent shall gay to Claiment in the Street	No separate decision on particular decision on parti	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent oned Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An & the unexpended balances in the case deposits of the common of the deposits as follows: the exceed of USD 60.02 fb the Port Chairman of the deposits as follows: the exceed of USD 60.02 fb the Port Chairman of the common of USD 60.02 fb the Port Chairman of the common of USD 60.02 fb the Respondent; given 60.04 fb the Chairman of the deposits and the common of th	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 635-647) 24 (paras 635-647) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264)	Yes (for damages, but case damased on particidates) Yes No No Ves (quantum phase	14 September 2015 28 March 2018 28 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016 59 February 2018 24 April 2017	200 days 410 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Roller) USD 522,290,069 (para 577) ICSID Approx. USD 66,060,069 (para 55 of the Respect for Roller) Approx. USD 18,797,584.47 - interest (convented from EUR CSID 18,466,014.45) (para 479-491) USD 91,648,177,54 - interest (para 180) USD 91,648,177,64 - interest (para 180) CSID Additional Facil Up to USD 11,748,4626-71 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) CSID Additional Facil Approx. USD 11,748,4627-1 (EUR 10,300,000) (para 269) CSID Additional Facil CSID	Prof. Kast-Heinz Böckslegel Wr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tonks Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Frolesseur Plenn Tercier Mr. Klaus Reichert, S.C. Vaughan Love, replaced by Cavrieder Bull, SC.	Or. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfateni Dr. Yas Banfateni Professor Bernard Hanoliau The Honourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Alexis Mourre Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stannie Alexandrov	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professou Alain Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdoli Professor Brigille Stern	### 1,000 F, (2017) F, (20	\$50,252.9 (separt less) + USD 5,3807 (sathy connected to machine 1,000 (sath) (sathy connected to machine 1,000 (sathy connected to machine 1	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 10,000 (fees of General occurrency) occurrency) of USD 10,000 (fees of General occurrency) occurrency of USD 10,000 (fees of General occurrency) occurrency occurren	Claiment win. Respondent shall pay a Claiment in the Respondent shall pay a Claiment in the Both from the date of publication of Supreme Doces 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 187) Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent supplies from 1 January 2015 at LIDIC Rips 12 the Claimants Leptone from 1 January 2015 at LIDIC Rips 12 the Claimants Leptone for the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) con	No separate decision on periodiction No separate decision on periodiction No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on No separate decision on Separate decision on Separate decision on Separate decision on No separate decis	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 9 to except of the deposit as follows: the service of USD 64,000 at the Respondent; guara 650-650 follows: the Chairman (1905 6,000 at the Respondent; guara 650-650 follows: the Chairman (1905 6,000 at the Respondent; guara 650-650 follows: the Chairman (1905 6,000 at the Respondent; guara 650-650 follows: the Chairman (1905 6,000 at the Respondent; guara 650-650 follows: the Chairman (1905 6,000 at the Respondent (1905 6,000 at	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 635-647) 24 (paras 635-647) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264)	Ves (for damages, but case dismissed on jurisdiction) Ves No No Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves tokund deferred only	14 September 2015 28 March 2018 28 March 2018 6 Ock-ber 2016 59 February 2018 24 April 2017	200 days 410 days 555 days 555 days 555 days 555 days 555 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	USD 922,290,069 para 577) ICSID	Prof. Kart-Heinz Blockstlegel 36: Storald Francis Discovers, falser replaced by Judge Peter Tomis- Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Haws Reichert, S. C. Vaughan Love, replaced by Caveder But, S.C. Judge Bruno Simma	Dr. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfalens Dr. Yas Banfalens Professor Bernard Hanoliau The Horourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Alexis Moune Prof. Dr. Klaus Sadra Staniner Alexandrov Professor Bryan P. Schwartz	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Mr. Mark Coofeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor José Sacerdoll Prof. Giorgio Sacerdoll Professor Brigille Stern Professor Donald McRose	JSD 1,832,842.75 (converted from BUR 1,637,006.14) - spens LSD 1,309.420 (post flows) - LSD 1,309.420 (SS2_252_32_26 (expert feee) + USD (SJ07) (safty converted from DCP 27/18-262_276) (pass 501). Excludes USD 460,00 (scholarism cross). USD 5,857_384.65 - USD 4.417_5811.16 (legal feee) + USD 1.418_371.80 (less and expenses of repertify + USD 1.418_371.80 (l	urbinators) - USD 10,000 (fees of Socretary-General of USD 10,500 asporting and another) + 110,053 (FCA fees and compared of the Compared of t	Claiment win. Respondent shall pay a Claiment in the Respondent shall pay a Claiment in the Both from the date of publication of Supreme Doces 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 187) Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent supplies from 1 January 2015 at LIDIC Rips 12 the Claimants Leptone from 1 January 2015 at LIDIC Rips 12 the Claimants Leptone for the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) con	No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction and labelly of 2.2 Miscot. 2015	is com large fees and expresses incursed in this proceeding. Respondent cond Claimant (150 5.00) authority. As the unexpended balance in the condition of the c	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-477) 24 (paras 425-451) 17 (470-456) 8 (paras 287-294)	Ves (for damages, but case dismissed on jurisdiction) Ves No No Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves tokund deferred only	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 15 March 2018 6 October 2016 78 February 2018 78 February 2018 (Hosping on damages)	2005 days 4900 days 5000 days 5000 days 5000 days 5000 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	USD 922,290,069 para 577) ICSID	Prof. Kart-Heinz Blockstlegel 36: Storald Francis Discovers, falser replaced by Judge Peter Tomis- Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Haws Reichert, S. C. Vaughan Love, replaced by Caveder But, S.C. Judge Bruno Simma	Dr. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfalens Dr. Yas Banfalens Professor Bernard Hanoliau The Horourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Alexis Moune Prof. Dr. Klaus Sadra Staniner Alexandrov Professor Bryan P. Schwartz	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Mr. Mark Coofeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor José Sacerdoll Prof. Giorgio Sacerdoll Professor Brigille Stern Professor Donald McRose	### 1,502,7 (converted from EUR 1,537,706, 1) ### 1,502,842.7 (converted from EUR 1,537,706, 1) ### 1,502,842.7 (converted from EUR 1,537,706, 1) ### 1,502,842.7 (converted from EUR 1,537,706, 1) ### 1,502,802,803,803,803,803,803,803,803,803,803,803	\$50,252.9 (separt less) + USD 5,3807 (sathy connected to machine 1,000 (sath) (sathy connected to machine 1,000 (sathy connected to machine 1	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of Society-General of USD 10,000 (fees of General occurrency) occurrency) of USD 10,000 (fees of General occurrency) occurrency of USD 10,000 (fees of General occurrency) occurrency occurren	Claiment win. Respondent shall pay a Claiment in the Respondent shall pay a Claiment in the Both from the date of publication of Supreme Doces 022 - post-award interest at a rate 5%. (para 187) Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of particular of the Respondent supplies from 1 January 2015 at LIDIC Rips 12 the Claimants Leptone from 1 January 2015 at LIDIC Rips 12 the Claimants Leptone for the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) converted at the Earloan of the Award, page 136 (1900) con	No separate decision on periodiction No separate decision on periodiction No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on No separate decision on Separate decision on Separate decision on Separate decision on No separate decis	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent owed Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except of the Chairman (1905 6,000 authority, An 9 to except of the deposit as follows: the service of USD 64,000 at the Respondent (1905 6,000 at 1900	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-477) 24 (paras 425-451) 17 (470-456) 8 (paras 287-294)	Ves (for damages, but case dismissed on jurisdiction) Ves No No Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves tokund deferred only	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 15 March 2018 6 October 2016 78 February 2018 78 February 2018 (Hosping on damages)	200 days 419 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 52,280,069 (para 572) Approx. USD 63,060 (para 55 of the Request for Arbitration) Approx. USD 53,797,584.47 - interest (converted from EUR CSID 14,465,014.45) (para 479.464) USD 91,689,177.54 - interest (para 160) USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) USD 183,780,889 (includes pre-award interest) (para 644)	Prof. Kant-Heinz Blockstegel Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tomba Mr. Yves Densins Mr. Hashdoom All Khan Mr. Brotesseur Perre Tenser Mr. Klaus Reichert, S. C. Jaughan Lowe, replaced by Cavender Bull, SC Judge Bruno Simma Sr. Yves Densins	Dr. Michael Phyles Or. Vale Bandateria Or. Vale Bandateria Professor Bernard Hanolisu Professor Bernard Hanolisu Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stanion Alexandro Professor Bryan P. Schwartz Prof. Bernard Hanolisu	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor Anin Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdeli Professor Brigille Stern Professor Brigille Stern	### 1892.645 (report leng) + USD 131.882.25 (additional institution response) (grant 499). Evoluties 1809.460,000 additional country) (grant 697). Evoluties 1809.460,000 additional country) (grant 697). #### 1892.645 (grant 697) ##### 1892.645 (grant 697) ###### 1892.645 (grant 697) ########## 1892.645 (grant 697) ###################################	\$52,529.2 (Separt Res) + USD 63,807 (safety connected to mic COP 2274.252 (spinss 501) Evolutions USD 450,000 (safety) and the control of the	### STAND USD 10,000 (fees of Society General of LCSD as sportings submitted 11,005.00 (fees of Society General of LCSD as sportings submitted 11,005.00 (fees of services) 10,000.00 (fees of services) 10,000	Claiment vin. Respondent shall gay to Claiment damages amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five from the damage amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five five flow of policitation of Signeral Discrete CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of five. Customer vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claime disminsion fiv. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para Custom vin. Claiment vin. (para Cust	No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction on jurisdiction	is com large free and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent own Claimant (1905 5.00) authority. As the unexpended balance in the case of the control of the con	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 24 (paras 455-451) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264) N/A 11 (paras 837-847)	Ves (or damages but one damages of the second of the particulation of particulation) No No No No No No No No No N	14 September 2015 25 March 2018 25 March 2018 6 October 2016 25 April 2017 15 February 2018 (hearing on damages) 22 May 2017	2005 days 419 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days 5005 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	USD 922,290,069 para 577) ICSID	Prof. Kart-Heinz Blockstlegel 36: Storald Francis Discovers, falser replaced by Judge Peter Tomis- Mr. Yves Denains Mr. Haws Reichert, S. C. Vaughan Love, replaced by Caveder But, S.C. Judge Bruno Simma	Dr. Michael Pryles Dr. Yas Banfalens Dr. Yas Banfalens Professor Bernard Hanoliau The Horourable L. Yves Forter CC, QC Alexis Moune Prof. Dr. Klaus Sadra Staniner Alexandrov Professor Bryan P. Schwartz	Prof. Philippe Sands QC Mr. Mark Coofeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor José Sacerdoll Prof. Giorgio Sacerdoll Professor Brigille Stern Professor Donald McRose	### 1,857 (converted from EUR 1,837,005.14) ### 1,852,842.75 (converted from EUR 1,837,005.14) ### 1,852,842.85 (converted from EUR 1,848,171.23) ### 1,852,842	\$52,252.3 (separt fees) + USD 63,007 (sept) connected to make USD 460,00 (selected uSD 460,00	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of shoretay-General of LCSD as sportings sundivide) + 110,053 (FCA fees and CCSD as sportings sundivide) + 110,053 (FCA fees and cerebrate of the CCSD as sportings for the CCSD as sportings as sporting for the CCSD as sporting for the CCSD as sporting as sporting for the CCSD as	Claiment vin. Respondent shall gay to Claiment damages amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five from the damage amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five five flow of policitation of Signeral Discrete CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of five. Customer vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claime disminsion fiv. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para Custom vin. Claiment vin. (para Cust	No separate decision on production No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdic	is com large free and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent oned Chairmant (1905 5,000 authority, A few transpendent balances in the case deposits and the common of the com	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-477) 24 (paras 425-451) 17 (470-456) 8 (paras 287-294)	Ves (for damages, but case dismissed on jurisdiction) Ves No No Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves (standium please bisturated in male) Ves tokund deferred only	14 September 2015 26 March 2018 15 March 2018 6 October 2016 78 February 2018 78 February 2018 (Hosping on damages)	2005 days 410 days 410 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days
Ballantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 52,280,069 (para 572) Approx. USD 63,060 (para 55 of the Request for Arbitration) Approx. USD 53,797,584.47 - interest (converted from EUR CSID 14,465,014.45) (para 479.464) USD 91,689,177.54 - interest (para 160) USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) USD 183,780,889 (includes pre-award interest) (para 644)	Prof. Kant-Heinz Blockstegel Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tomba Mr. Yves Densins Mr. Hashdoom All Khan Mr. Brotesseur Perre Tenser Mr. Klaus Reichert, S. C. Jaughan Lowe, replaced by Cavender Bull, SC Judge Bruno Simma Sr. Yves Densins	Dr. Michael Phyles Or. Vale Bandateria Or. Vale Bandateria Professor Bernard Hanolisu Professor Bernard Hanolisu Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stanion Alexandro Professor Bryan P. Schwartz Prof. Bernard Hanolisu	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor Anin Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdeli Professor Brigille Stern Professor Brigille Stern	### 1892.645 (report leng) + USD 131.882.25 (additional institution response) (grant 499). Evoluties 1809.460,000 additional country) (grant 697). Evoluties 1809.460,000 additional country) (grant 697). #### 1892.645 (grant 697) ##### 1892.645 (grant 697) ###### 1892.645 (grant 697) ########## 1892.645 (grant 697) ###################################	\$52,252.3 (separt fees) + USD 63,007 (sept) connected to make USD 460,00 (selected uSD 460,00	### STAND USD 10,000 (fees of Society General of LCSD as sportings submitted 11,005.00 (fees of Society General of LCSD as sportings submitted 11,005.00 (fees of services) 10,000.00 (fees of services) 10,000	Claiment vin. Respondent shall gay to Claiment damages amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five from the damage amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five five flow of policitation of Signeral Discrete CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of five. Customer vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claime disminsion fiv. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para Custom vin. Claiment vin. (para Cust	No separate decision on production No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdic	is com large fees and expresses incurred in this processing. Respondent come Charmant (1905 5,000 authority, An 8 to except the Charman of Charmant (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except the Charman of Charmant (1905 6,000 authority, An 8 to except the Charman of C	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 24 (paras 455-451) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264) N/A 11 (paras 837-847)	Ves (or damages but one damages of the second of the particulation of particulation) No No No No No No No No No N	14 September 2015 25 March 2018 25 March 2018 6 October 2016 25 April 2017 19 February 2018 (hearing on damages) 22 May 2017	200 days 410 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 100 days 100 days 100 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 52,280,069 (para 572) Approx. USD 63,060 (para 55 of the Request for Arbitration) Approx. USD 53,797,584.47 - interest (converted from EUR CSID 14,465,014.45) (para 479.464) USD 91,689,177.54 - interest (para 160) USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) USD 183,780,889 (includes pre-award interest) (para 644)	Prof. Kant-Heinz Blockstegel Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tomba Mr. Yves Densins Mr. Hashdoom All Khan Mr. Brotesseur Perre Tenser Mr. Klaus Reichert, S. C. Jaughan Lowe, replaced by Cavender Bull, SC Judge Bruno Simma Sr. Yves Densins	Dr. Michael Phyles Or. Vale Bandateria Or. Vale Bandateria Professor Bernard Hanolisu Professor Bernard Hanolisu Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stanion Alexandro Professor Bryan P. Schwartz Prof. Bernard Hanolisu	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor Anin Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdeli Professor Brigille Stern Professor Brigille Stern	### 1,000 F, (1997 F, 1997 F,	\$52,252.3 (separt fees) + USD 63,007 (sept) connected to make USD 460,00 (selected uSD 460,00	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of shoretay-General of LCSD as sportings sundivide) + 110,053 (FCA fees and CCSD as sportings sundivide) + 110,053 (FCA fees and cerebrate of the CCSD as sportings for the CCSD as sportings as sporting for the CCSD as sporting for the CCSD as sporting as sporting for the CCSD as	Claiment vin. Respondent shall gay to Claiment damages amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five from the damage amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five five flow of policitation of Signeral Discrete CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of five. Customer vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claime disminsion fiv. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para Custom vin. Claiment vin. (para Cust	No separate decision on production No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdic	is com large fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent cond Claimant (150 & 5.00) authority. As the unexpended balance in the case deposits and the condition of the condition o	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 24 (paras 455-451) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264) N/A 11 (paras 837-847)	Ves (or damages but one demanded on jurisdiction) No No No Ves (quantum phase bifurcated, in really tribund offered only with decision on coast) No	14 September 2015 25 March 2018 25 March 2018 6 October 2016 25 April 2017 19 February 2018 (hearing on damages) 22 May 2017	200 days 410 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 500 days 700 days
Balantine v. The Dominican Republic, PCA Case No. 2016-17	Rules) USD 52,280,069 (para 572) Approx. USD 63,060 (para 55 of the Request for Arbitration) Approx. USD 53,797,584.47 - interest (converted from EUR CSID 14,465,014.45) (para 479.464) USD 91,689,177.54 - interest (para 160) USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) Approx. USD 11,489,149,98 - 4,50% interest rate (para 125) USD 183,780,889 (includes pre-award interest) (para 644)	Prof. Kant-Heinz Blockstegel Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, later replaced by Judge Poter Tomba Mr. Yves Densins Mr. Hashdoom All Khan Mr. Brotesseur Perre Tenser Mr. Klaus Reichert, S. C. Jaughan Lowe, replaced by Cavender Bull, SC Judge Bruno Simma Sr. Yves Densins	Dr. Michael Phyles Or. Vale Bandateria Or. Vale Bandateria Professor Bernard Hanolisu Professor Bernard Hanolisu Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs Stanion Alexandro Professor Bryan P. Schwartz Prof. Bernard Hanolisu	Prof. Philippe Sanda QC Wr. Mark Clodfeller Professor José Carlos Femández Rozas Dr. Claus von Wobeser Professor Anin Pellet Prof. Giorgie Sacerdeli Professor Brigille Stern Professor Brigille Stern	### 1,000 F, (2014) F, (20	\$52,252.3 (separt fees) + USD 63,007 (sept) connected to make USD 460,00 (selected uSD 460,00	urbibatory - USD 10,000 (fees of shoretay-General of LCSD as sportings sundivide) + 110,053 (FCA fees and CCSD as sportings sundivide) + 110,053 (FCA fees and cerebrate of the CCSD as sportings for the CCSD as sportings as sporting for the CCSD as sporting for the CCSD as sporting as sporting for the CCSD as	Claiment vin. Respondent shall gay to Claiment damages amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five from the damage amounting to 1930 11,237,582 + riverest at a rate of five five flow of policitation of Signeral Discrete CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of fiv. (para CU2 + post-award interest at a rate of five. Customer vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claime disminsion fiv. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para GU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para CU2 + post-award vin. Claiment vin. (para Custom vin. Claiment vin. (para Cust	No separate decision on production No separate decision on production No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdic	is com large fees and expresses incurred in this proceeding. Respondent cond Claimant (150 & 5.00) authority. As the unexpended balance in the case deposits and the condition of the condition o	21 (paras 717-737) 22 (paras 455-476) 22 (paras 455-476) 12 (paras 455-476) 24 (paras 455-451) 17 (476-486) 8 (paras 287-264) N/A 11 (paras 837-847)	Ves (or damages but one demanded on jurisdiction) No No No Ves (quantum phase bifurcated, in really tribund offered only with decision on coast) No	14 September 2015 25 March 2018 25 March 2018 6 October 2016 25 April 2017 19 February 2018 (hearing on damages) 22 May 2017	265 days 496 days 655 days 555 days 556 days

2 New 2007 (DEA)	20 Ave 2010 (Desiries	ConocoPhillips Petrozuata B.V N/R	luen an	305.400.000 (para 998)	ICCID Index Konne	th I Keith septement	The Hon. L. Yyes Fortier	an Brownlie, replaced by Georges Abi-Saab, replaced by	USD 63,810,245.57 - USD 40,667,594.50 (legal fees) + USD	HICK SA AND 242 LICE 2 201 100 (suppose and address)	N/A	Claimant win, Respondent held liable for expropriat	12 Con 2012 Consults	Venezuela shall pay the Claimants (respectively the	43 (paras 966-1008)	IV-s (market share I	19 September 2017 (last of	710 days (to seatification arrange)
2-440V-2007 (44-14)	award of 08-Mar-2019	ConcoPhillips Hamaca B.V. and ConcoPhillips Ed. V. and ConcoPhillips Ed. V. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, CSID Case Wo. ARB/07/20		J.265/13 (EUR 416;00.000,00), reterest included		do Zuleta '	ne noù L. Ives rotter	in fillowing registed by Leonges Ale-Salon, replaced by configure Ale-Salon, replaced by configure Andrews Budder.	18.35.5.72.88 (copen fees) - USD A78.079.21 (Solutimenters and beet chapse) (pare Mol.) Excludes (Solutimenters and Color Color) Color of recellation proceedings not specified.	coals) - USD 9-50-707 (report fires) - USD 9-50-809-97 (logal feet (pas 1975) Excludes USD 9-15-5000 (CSID feet) Coals of recification proceedings and specified.	IISO KYA 294 MIJETIB SOI OODOO! ETIB 404 407 70	Claments awarder (ISO 8,06,846,922 = interest (id of the Recollication Award) 64 of the Recollication Award) Respondent wins on all claims. (para 489)	No separate decision	claimant company they designable the amount of USED 70.64(1) 000 contribution to the Claimantal size of the Claimantal and amount size of 3% useful the claim of final sayment of this amount. Claimantal (unspecified) the claimant company they designately the amount of 1000 1.40(0.00) representing the authorises for costs to ICSID paid by the Claimanta's in substitution for the size of the Claimanta's insulation of the size of the claimantal company they designately the amount of the claimantal company they designately the amount to ICSID paid by the Claimanta's insulation for tool size of the claimantal company the size of the size of the size of the claimantal company the claimantal. Except of the amounts moreoide in the two preceding paragraphs, each Party shall beer its two preceding paragraphs, each Party shall beer the two preceding paragraphs, each Party shall beer the two preceding paragraphs. Size of the two preceding paragraphs, each paragraph size and colosis, Reproduct must apy 150 21,841,000 altogether, (para 1010)	43 (paras see-100e) 13 (paras 476-489)		the four hearings on quantum)	r to carple (con econocación asserto)
18-Jun-2015 (RFA)	in French	liquidazione v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, PCA No. 2017-33 Cortec Mining Kenya Limited, N/R	= EUR 0,9039 USD 461 = DZD 120,0576 (para 13:	3)	Rules)	rie, C.C., Q.C.	Mr. Kanaga Dharmananda, S.C.	Professor Brigille Stern	(travel fees and hearing); EUR 3,632,884.80 (legal fees); EUR 190,320.00 (Financial Expert); EUR 30,000 (Legal expert); EUR 15,161.84 (Interpret and stenographer); EUR 8,080.40 (administrative fee) (para 481) USD 3,600,000 (para 389)	57.754,067.03] - DZD 57.754,067.03 (legal fees); EUR 41,055,00 (Legal Fees), 762,000.00 (financial expert); EUR 5,130 (stenographer French; EUR 5,152 (Stenographer English); EUR 2,550 (interpret); 10,884 (administrative fees) (482) USD 6,452,858.42 (para 389)	IISD 645 122 28 - LISD 134 893 57 (direct expenses) + LIS	Respondent win. Case dismissed for lack of	jurisdiction	Claimant is ordered to pay USD 930,482.56 [EUR 551 180,06 AND DZD 38 502 711,53] as two thirds for legal fiess and USD 191,761.62 [EUR 173 333,33] as two thirds for the Tribunal Costs. Claimant ordered to pay USD 1,122,244.18 altogether (para 499) The Tribunal ordered the Claimants to pay the	17 (paras 388-404)	No.		1222 days
16-Apr-2015 (RFA)	15-Jul-2019	Cortec (Pty) Limited and Stirling Capital Limited v. Republic of Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/29	= EUR 0.8883 USD 83.	417,764.27 (converted from EUR 74,100,000) (para		ughan Lowe	The Honourable James Jacob Soloelman	Professor Christian Tomuschat			106,000 (ICSID's adminsitrative fees) + USD 404,228.71 (arbitrators' fees) (para 402)	jurisdiction. (para 405)	jurisdiction	Respondent USD 322,561.14 for the expended portion of the Respondent's advances to ICSID. Claimant further ordered to pay for legal costs in the sum of USD 3,226,429.21. Claimant must thus pay USD 3,558,990.35 allogether (para 401, 404) on The Respondent shall pay the Claimants USD	18 (30-47)	Yes (Award determining		
10-Apr-2010 (KFA)	15-301-201 <u>4</u>	Cube infrastructure Fund SICAV ISSAI and others v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/20	= <u>FUN USBS</u> USD 83,	417,764.27 (converted from EUR 74, 100,000) (para	ICSID Professor va	ugnan Lowe	ne Horiourabie James Jacob Spilgeiman	roressor Unristan Tomuschat	papers, USD 3,479,884 - USD 118,974.03 (Liamants costs and expenses) + USD 2,310,557.41 (legal fees, converted from EUR 2,052,488.15) - USD 1,004,798.09 (expert fees, converted from EUR 82,052,488.15) - USD 1,004,798.09 (expert fees, converted from EUR 892,652,14) (para 33). Excludes USD 525,000 (ICSID lodging fee).	USD 1,314,214.72* USD 101,263 USD (rigidal rest, converted from EUR 445,290) + USD 812,930.767 (expert fees and other costs of representation, converted from EUR 722,126.4) (para 38). Excludes USD 503,012 (payments to ICSID converted from EUR 448,826.11).	USU 164,112.UZ - USU 193,UU.,3-9 (arrice expenses) + US 148,000 (ICSI) administrative fees) + USD 141,398 + USD 156,344.92 + USD 129,066.76 (all arbitrator fees) (para 39)	D Claimant win. The Responsent shall pay USU 37,937,634 (converted from EUR 33,700,000).	Jurisdiction, Liability ar Partial Decision on Quantum)	on the responders anal pay me culamatis USU 2 2,035,360.44 - converted from EUR 1,545,501.80 plus USD 262,500.00 (payments to ICSID) as the costs of bringing this claim. (para 48)	18 (30-47)	res (Award determining the precise sum payable as damages and costs)	9 October 2017	te days
30-Mar-2018 (notice)	3-Oct-2019 (decision or reaffication 22-Aug- 2019 was the doct- 2019 was the doct- tion of the Award on Jurisdition)	Christian Doutremepuich and Antoine Doutremepuich v. Mauriflus, PCA Case No. 2018-37	= EUR 0.8972 Approx.1 11,600.0	USD 12;21;911.65 (converted from EUR DO) (pars 9)	UNCTRAL (2013 Professor Ma Ruses)	od Scherer	Professor Olivier Caprasse	Professor Jan Paulsson	USD 448,811 - Agonov. USD 413,702 (legal fees, convented nom EUX 37 4-24 (leg 1 - USD 30,846) (clamated expenses, 107 4-24 (leg 1 - USD 30,846) (clamated expenses, 107 4-24 (leg 1 - USD 30,846) (clamated expenses, 107 4-24 (leg 1 - USD 40,946) (leg 1 - USD 40,9	Agonou USD 458,876.96 (liquid fees), Excludes USD 250.64 (glorinors on costs paid to the PCA, converted from USA 250,600) (paid 27);	USD 436,328 (converted from EUR 391,692) (para 246)	Respondent win Claims diamissed for lack of jurisdiction, (jama 252)	23-Aug-2019 final decision - case district on the artisdiction).	Each Party shall bear its own costs of legal as imprementation and sensitiance. Filtramial ordered the interest of the sensitiance of the control of the third of the sensitiance of the control of the to the Responders its share of USD 218,164.20 (converted from EUR 195,846).	15 (paras 237-251)	Yes	12 June 2019	114 days (until decision on excellation)
27-Jun-2014 (RFA)	18-Aug-2017	EuroGas Inc. and Belmont USS 1 Resources Inc. v. Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/14	= EUR 0.8513 N/A = GBP 0.7785 CHF 0.9644		ICSID Professor Pie	erre Mayer	Professor Emmanuel Galllard	Professor Brigitte Stern	Approx. USD 3,655,691 (precise itemization of costs between both claimants in para 463-466)	USD 5,931,684.75 - USD 5,301 (internal costs like travelling and translations, converted from EUR 4,512.65) + USD 3,765.450 (legal fees, converted from EUR 3,205.501.97) + USD 1,206.953.4 (coperts and other services, converted from EUR 1,073.459.44) (para 468)	USD 566,524.71 - USD 96,000 (CSID administrative fees) USD 129,979 (direct expenses) - USD 49,875 (fees of assistants) + USD 298,022.39 + USD 115,799.50 + USD 160,848.19 (fees of arbitrators) (para 469)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 476)	No separate decision o jurisdiction	The Tribunal's fees and costs and ICSID charges apportioned as they were borne by the Parties during the proceeding, which is as follows: USD 425,262.25 by the Receptories, USD 425,252 by Belmont; and USD 155,959.55 by EuroClast. Each Party shall been its own legisless and other seprement boursed in relation to this arbitration. (part 474-475)	14 (462-475)	Yes (decision to bifurcate quantum, if necessary)	12 September 2016	30 days
2-Nov-2015 (RFA)	14-Nov-2018	Foresight Luxembourg Solar 1 S.Å.R.L., Foresight Luxembourg Solar 2 S.Å.R.L., Greentech Energy System A/S, GWM Renewable Energy 1 S.P.A.V. Kingdom of Spain, SCC Case No. 2016/150	= EUR 0.8840 USD 65,	837,104.07 (converted from EUR 58,200,000) (para	Arbitration (2010)		Prof Dr Klaus Michael Sachs	Or Raúl Emilio Vinuesa	USD 6.367,160.70 - USD 5.121.447.9 (legal fees, parily converted from EUR 2,142.211.40) - USD 1,022.206.22 (legal fees, parily converted from 9.05.82.70) - USD 223.94.5 (Claimanté costs converted from 9.05.82.70) - USD 223.94.5 (Claimanté costs converted from EUR 197.378.05). Excludes USD 304.298 (SCC payments, converted from EUR 270.000) (para 548)	USD 1,367,966.51 - USD 555.305.43 (regal fees, converted from EUR 490,890) - USD 812,651.08 (export fees, varieting, feesing and other exponency (gam 551). Excludes USD 305.429.86 (advance costs to SCC, converted from EUR 270,000)	USD 475,720.88 - approx. USD 403.114.25 (fees of arbitrations, partly converted from EUR 356.353) - USD 77.206.85 (administrative fee and compensation for expenses, converted from EUR 64.184.26) (para 556)	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay to the Claimants damages assessed at USD 44,17,647 (convected from EUR 39,000,000,00) + pre-award monthly interest at the rate 1.4% and post-award monthly interest at the rate of 3.5%.	No separate decision o jurisdiction	n Tribunal awarded to the Claimants the amount of USD 1,498,784.94 (party converted from EUR 3,003,374.73), which included the entire Cost of the Arbitration as determined by the SCC in the amount of EUR 404,953.21 and USD 18,359.83. (para 561)	15 (547-561)	No	22 January 2018	67 days
2-Aug-2012 (RFA)	on rectification, original	Marco Gavazzi and Stefano Gavazzi v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/25	= EUR 0.9341 N/A		ICSID Hans van Ho		V.V.Veeder	Rubino-Sammartano	NIA (redaded)	NIA (redacted)	NVA (redacted)	Claimant win, Respondent volated provisions on Fi and exproprision. Tribunal ordered compensation around USD 3.463,350 (USD 2.7 million and EUR 657,000 plus interest (IA Reporter))	of 21 April 2015	 The claimant was reincursed all their legal costs by prior to the decision on prinsiction, to city a print of those incurred thereafter. Romania was asked to reimburse the claimants' advisors on the costs of the arbitration on a similar basis! Megoporte has beened that this costs order against Romania amounted to around EUR 1,100,000 and USD 313,000, in a total of around USD 1,490,000. 	19 (307-325)	Yes (decision to bifurcate quantum, if necessary)		529 days (to rectification award)
1-Jun-2015	13-Dec-2019 (Award or Jurisdiction) - in Spanish	Domingo Garcia Armas, Manuel Garcia Armas, Pedro Garcia Armas and others v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, PCA Case No. 2016-88	= EUR 0.8983 Data not		UNCITRAL (1976 Prof. José Er Rules)			Ned. Santiago Torres Bernárdez	payments)		USD 51,241.80 (other arbitration expenses) (paras 743-744	Decided in favour of the State. Jurisdiction declined 5) Decided in favour of the investor. USD 214,000,000	jurisdiction	n Each party shall pay its own legal fees. The Claimants were ordered pay the cost of the arbitration proceedings, i.e. USD 487,445.94 (paras 747-750)	22 (615-627, 742-750) 28 (643-570)	No	25 June 2018	36
	26-Apr-2019 (Final Award) - in Spanish	Serafin Garcia Armas and Karina Garcia Gruber v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, PCA Case No. 2013-3			UNCITRAL (1976 Prof. Eduardi	o Grebler	Prof. Guido Santiago Tawil	Rodrigo Oreamuno B.	USD 7,326 P. (2016) 10 P. (2016	1,516,816,80 (expert fees) + USD 323,619.51 (other expenses) (para 555)	USD 2,956,627.72 (converted from EUR 2,273,111.75): EUR 1,980,47.8 (robitvation: fees) + EUR 1,98.74.78 (PUR 1,980,47.8 + EUR 1,980,47.8 (PCA's fees) + EUR 1,982,22 (PCA's spees) + EUR 1,982,22 (PCA' expenses) + EUR 91,997.04 (other arbitration expenses) (para 587)	pre and post award interest awarded on compensal	+ 15-Dec-2014	Respondent to bear the all the costs of the proceeding and the legal costs of the claimants, i.e. USD 10,252,380.45 (para 566)	28 (543-570)	Yes	1 August 2016	38
26-Nov-2012 (RFA)	25-Jul-2018	Georg Gavrilovic and Gavrilovic JUSS 1 LOSID Case No. ARB/12/39	= EUR 0.8563 USD 231 = HRK 6.3375 160)	(para EUR 198, 931 (converted from EUR 198, 197, 512)	ICSID Dr Michael C	. Pryles	Or Stansimir A. Alexandrov	datthias Scherer, replaced by J. Christopher Thomas QC	Approx UBD 18,098,315 UBD 7,16,75 (hyer fives convented from 1,337,1741) + UBD 2022 42 (6 report fee, convented from 1,333,336.10) + USD 997,346 (other associated costs, converted from EUR 776,962.32) (para 1303)	USD 542(179- USD 5.556.64) (legal fees, converted from EMF 7.248,181-7.9.) + USD 890,482 (expert fees converted from HRK 5.02.63.7.) + USD 800,482 (expert fees converted from HRK 5.643,432.7.1) + USD 800,690 (either associated costs, converted from HRK 1.299,622.1.9) (para 1304)	USD 1,981,921,85 USD 1,541,706.03 (arbitrators fees) + USD 0,540; admirators where she USD 202,000 (Extris admirators where she USD 158,715.82 (other direct expenses) (para 1302)	The Respondent shall pay the amount of USD 3,467,346 (convected from HRV 9,994.63.73 and 16.08,900.49) by way of damages + interest. (para 1324)	No separate decision of EUR jurisdiction	n The Respondent shall pay the Claimarks an amount of USB 3.36.268 (convented from CL 2.878.30.64) • Interest being 30% of the Claimants' legal and other costs and 30% of the ess of USB) and tribunal paid by the claimant. (para 1324)	26 (1298-1323)	No	7 March 2016	70 days
4-Mar-2016 (RFA)	27-Aug-2019	Glencore International A.G. and C.J. Prodeco S.A. v. Republic of C.J. Prodeco S.A. v. Republic of Colombia (I), ICSID Case No. ARB/16/6	= COP 3485,3099 = CHF 0 9818 = ZAR 15.3251	3,800,600 (para 547)	ICSID Juan Fernáni	dez-Armesto	Oscar M. Garibaldi	. Christopher Thomas QC	USD 10,988,148.21 - USD 8.679.295 (legal fees, partly converted from COP 388,480,503) - USD 1,006.458.4 signered fees USD 8.708 fewer content and other, partly caper fees USD 8.708 fewer content and other, partly 19,286.68 (miscellanessus costs) (para 1535). Excludes USD 625,000 (ICSID administrative costs).	USD 3,239,083.91 - USD 794,024 (expert fees) + USD 196.418 (immocitaneous costs, partly converted from COP 196.418 (immocitaneous costs, partly converted from COP 196.2009 + USD 197.001 (legal feet partly converted from COP 45.50,0783.75) (para 1038). Excludes USD 600,000 (ICSID administrative costs).	NA.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 19,100,000 + interest (para 1687).	No separate decision o jurisdiction	Colombia must reimburse Claimants (i) the Costs of the Proceedings (set of any final reimbursements by ICSID) and (just 5,482,406 ab Defense Expersess, plus (iii) interest on both amounts at the of LIBOPS is semental deposit with a margin of the colombia common services with a mily in award until the date of payment. (para 1687)	31 (1631-1661)	No	28 May 2018	56 days
28-May-2016 (RFA) 7-Jul-2015 (RFA)	27-Mar-2020 23-Dec-2018	Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/16		67,000,000 (para 203) 535,031,85 (EUR 11,900,000 - para 542)			Gary Born David R. Haigh, Q.C.	Professor Vaughan Lowe	USD 18,064,667.51 USD 14,624.241.90 (legal fees) + USD 578,680.96 (fees of consultants and local counsel) + USD 1,561,397.09 (expert fees) + USD 1,302,347.56 (other disbursements) (para 714). Excludes USD 600,000 (advance payments to ICSID) USD 3,203,520.89 (converted from EUR 2,616,535.57) (para	USD 6,499,015.80 (converted from CAD9,140,215.82) (para 721)	USD 995,630.73 (para 722) USD 599,695.18 (converted from EUR 492.084) (para 586)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (p. 731) Respondent violated its obligations under the FET	ara No separate decision o jurisdiction	without contribution by the other Party. Parties shall bear the arbitration costs in equal parts. (para 733, 734)	17 (710-726) 16 (578-593)	No No	1 April 2019	61 days
		NovEnergia II Energy & Environment (SCA) SICAR, and NovEnergia II Italian Portfolio SA v. Italian Republic, SCC Case No. 2015/095			(2010)				(275) (275) (275) (275) (275) (275)	81)	out of the control of	standard Respondent ordered to pay USD 1,358,031.86 (converted from EUR 11,900,000) as compensation + pre-sward interest from 1 Jan 2016 the annual LIDBOR rate plus 2% per annum, compounded annually (para 594).	jurisdiction	entirely of their contribution to the costs of the arthritishing, in an amount of USD 548,076 (converted EUR 478,000), Respondent shall reimburse Claimmst for one half (50%) of their legal and related expenses in the amount of USD 1,601,760 (converted from EUR 1,408,628), Respondent shall pay USD 2,145,136 altogether. (para 594)	. (,			
5-May-2017	19-Mar-2020	Grenada Private Power Limited and WRB Enterprises, Inc. v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/17/13		000,000 (XCD 180,941,000,000) (para 104)				Mulante Addisoya SAN	(CSID) (para 365)	USD 5,976,987.99 (excludes advances made to ICSID) (para 363)		The Respondent is to pay the Claimants compense assessed at USB 08427,982 (pan 08). Responding to pay pre-award and post-award interest.		In Timuse half Respondent May 199 USD (\$73,14.88 Feptodent childing to Irributure the Claimants for advances to ICSD) in the sum of USD 259.721.1.18.8 Feptodent childing to Irributure the Claimants for advances to ICSD) in the sum of USD 259.721.73. The Respondent childing to IRributure of USD 259.721.73. The Respondent Childing to IRributure of USD 259.721.73. The State of USD 259.721.73. The IRributure of USD 259.721. The IRRIbuture	17 (363-379)	No	17 June 2019	77 days
4-Dec-2014 (RFA)	29-Apr-2020	US\$1	= EUR 0.913 USD 17, = GBP 0.8046 195)	908, 416 (convented from EUR 16,350,384.49) (para	(2010)			Prof. Philippe Sands, QC		3,812,827,13) Excludes advnaces to SCC (para 586)	USD 727,286 (converted from EUR 664,006.48) (para 596- 597)		15-Feb-2017 (Award o Jurisdiction not published)	1 The Claimant shall reimburse the Respondent's share of the Abittation Costs in the amount of PLN 1 (434,300.28 and contribute PLN 850,000 towards the Respondent's Party Costs. The Claimant shall thus pay to the Respondent USD \$44,893 (PLN 2.284,300.28). (para 606)	26 (581-606)	Yes	17 September 2019	24 days
	29-Oct-2019 (Award or Jurisdiction) in Spanish	Enrique Heemsen and Jorge Heemsen v. Boluvarian Republic of Venezuela, PCA Case No. 2017- 18	N/A		UNCITRAL (1976 Yves Derains Rules)		Tringue Gómez-Piazón	ing the stern		(para 447)	expenses) + USD 62,450 (PCA administrative fees) + USD 62,807 (direct expenses) (gara 445)		jurisdiction.	the proceeding and a part of the legal fees of the Respondent (in a mount equivalent to the expenses incurred by the Claimant Itself in its legal representation, in light of the fact that the Responder's page these were not reasonable) plus interest. USD 2,918,889.59 (para 455).	11 (444-454)	Yes	18 March 2019	25
8-May-2013 (notice)	15-May-2019	Case No. 2014-22	= CZK 22,9539	07,770.80 (CZK 39,200,000) + interest (para 125)	Rules)		Raymond Dask Blinhop, replaced by Gary Born, replaced by ichn Beechey CBE		644)	USD 1,285,093 - converted from EUR 1,146,817) (para 651)		683)	jurisdiction	In the Respondent shall bear 25% and the Colamant shall bear 27% of the costs of attribution (Claimant would thus pay USD 99,453.96 more). The PCA shall therefore reimbruse to the Respondent the unexpended balance of the deposit in the amount of EUR 1,02.00. The Colamant is requested to EUR 1,02.00. The Colamant is requested to EUR 4,02.00.00 more control to the control of the Colaman 1,000 more control of the Colaman 1,000 more control of the Award. Each party shall bear its own costs of local recresentation coars.	39 (644-682)	No	27 February 2017	zz udjs
24-Jul-2015 (notice)	29-Mar-2019	Indian Metals & Ferro Alloya Ltd v. Republic of Indonesia, PCA Case No. 2915-40	<u>= GBP 0.7672</u> USD 99;	149,000-99,289,000 (pura 66)	UNCITRAL (1976 Neil Kaplan C Rules)	BBÉ QC SBS	The Honourable James Spigelman AC GC	Northerson Muthusumara sewamy Sornarajah	USO 4,246,266 (para 329)	USD 3,869,009 (para 339)	USB 1,107,516 (convented from GBP 849,993.48) (para 32 327)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (p. 341)	ara No separate decision o jurisdiction	shall beset its own crost of fload incrementation, invariant final transcribers to in the circumstances to infrared held in works for in the discontinued to the first the circumstances to final transcribers to the circumstances of the circumstances of the circumstances of USD 2,976,017 and CSD 470,067 (a) 93 of 140,723 (b) the Respondent in respect of the Respondent costs and expenses of the circumstances	19 (322-340)	No	6 August 2018	35 days

8-May-2014 (RFA)																
	02-Aug-2019	InfraRed Environmental IIIS\$ 1 = EUR 0.8999 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.8247 Others V. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/12	USD 84,120,458 (EUR 75,700,000) (para 512) (CSID	Stephen L. Drymer	Professor William W. Park	Professor Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 6,345,890.25 (partly converted from EUR 4,949,247.57 and GBP 106,182.31) (para 608)	USD 1,894,030 (EUR 1,704,438.11) (para 608)	USD 1,285,616.40 (para 614)	Claimant win. Tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay the Claimants compensation in the amount of USD 31,336,815 (EUR 28,200,000), plus pre-award interest plus post-award interest. (para 619)	jurisdiction	Respondent to bear its own legal costs and to pay Claimants the costs of the arbitration incurred by Claimants in a total amount of USD 642,757.7, as well as an amount equivalent to 66.66 % of the legal costs (approx. USD 4,230,604), plus post-award	13 (808-618)	No	24 April 2017	830 days
30-Oct-2014 (RFA)	05-Mar-2020	Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8926	9121.80 min RON (2,112,800,000 USD) (para 225) (CSID	Professor Donald McRae	Dr. Michael Pryles, replaced by John Beechey CBE	Professor John Crook	USD 11,769,913.03 (para 450) (Excludes USD 431,784.97	USD 6,667,604.03 (para 452)(Excludes USD 431,784.97	USD 863,569.94 (para 463)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits.	No separate decision on	interest at the rate of 2% compounded annually from the date of this Award to the date of payment. The respondent should pay USD 4,873,361.7 altogether. The Claimants shall bear 75% of the costs of the	16 (450-465)	No	21 January 2019	408 days
		others v. Romania [II], ICSID Case No. ARB/14/29 US\$ 1 = RON 4.2999					fees to (CSID)	fees to ICSID)				arbitration and 75% of the Respondent's legal fees and expenses, and shall therefore to pay the Respondent USD 215,892.49 (corresponding to 50% of the expended portion of the Respondent's advances to ICSID) and USD 337,500, RON 13,917,886.4, and EUR 1,303,388.5 (corresponding to 75% of the Respondent's legal fees and expenses). Claimant must therefore pay				
16-Feb-2016 (RFA)	22-Mar-2019	Italba Corporation v. Oriental Republic of Uruguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/9	USD 62,500,000 + pre-award and post-award interest (para ICSID 212 of Claimant's memorial of 16-Sep-2016)	Rodrigo Oreamuno	John Beechey CBE	Prof. Zachary Douglas, Q.C.,	USD 8,448,862.28 (pare 287)	USD 5,552,636.75 (para 289)	USD 665,014.83 (para 292)	Respondent win, claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 300)		approx. USD 5,283,345 + interest (para 466) Claimant to pay to the Respondent the entirety of the costs of this arbitration, including the fees and expenses of the Tribunal, ICSID's administrative fees and direct expenses, as well as the Respondent's	13 (287-299)	No	13 November 2017	490 days
												legal and expert fees and expenses incurred in connection with this arbitration, assessed in the amount of USD 5,885,344.17. In particulat, tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay the Respondent the amount of USD 332,507.42 for the expended portion of Unious/95 advances to ICSID and USD.				
13-Mar-2017 (RFA)	03-Apr-2020	Itisaluna Iraq LLC and others v. N/R	N/A ICSID	Sir Daniel Bethlehem, Q.C.	Dr Wolfgang Peter	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 2,301,143.27 (para 248)	USD 1,173,330.24 (para 249)	USD 559,327.94 (para 259)	Respondent win, claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 263)	No separate decision on	of Uruguay's advances to ICSID and USD 5,552,836.75 to cover Uruguay's legal and expert fees and administrative expenses. (para 289) The Tribunal awards costs in an amount of USD 897.383.41 to be paid by the Claimants to the	19 (243-261)	Yes (but case dismissed on	2 October 2018	548 days
		Republic of traq, ICSID Case No. ARB/17/10								Januarana, Ibana rool	Julisaccom	69 (363-34) to be plat by the Claimanns at the Respondent - 61,70% of total legal costs and arbitration costs of Respondent (para 264).		jurisdiction)		
26-Sep-2016 (notice)	05-Jun-2020	Joshus Dean Nelson and Jorge Blanco v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. UNCT/17/1	USD 472,148,929 + pre-award + post-award interest (para Rules)	Dr. Eduardo Zuleta	V.V. Veeder, QC	Mariano Gomezperalta Casali	USD 5,831,160.63 (para 386)	USD 1,975,014.36 (para 398)	USD 948,376.03 (para 392)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para 396)	jurisdiction	Claimant should (a) bear the arbitrators' fees and expenses and ICSID's administrative fees and direct expenses, for a total amount of (USD 948,375.03); (b) bear 80% of the costs of Respondent in the amount of USD 1,880,011.49; and (c) bear his own costs. Claimant should pay USD 5,283,386.62	10 (386-395)	No	22 April 2019	409 days
24-Jun-2013 (RFA)	11-Oct-2017	Jürgen Wirtgen, Stefan Wirtgen, Gisela Wirtgen and JSW Solar	Approx. USD 22,520,729.68 (converted from approx. EUR 19.012,000) (para 144)	Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann- Kohler	Gary Born	Judge Peter Tomka	N/A (redacted)	N/A (redacted)	N/A (redacted)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (para 483)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	altogether (para 395) Tribunal decided to follow the BIT's guidance, which provided that each party should pay the costs of the member of the tribunal it appointed, as well as half of	13 (470-482)	No	9 May 2016	520 days
		(zwei) GmbH & Co. KG v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-03										the chair's and the arbitration's costs. Although allowed to depart from this rule, the tribunal saw no reason to do so. Each party was also left to bear				
16-Jan-2013	22-Aug-2017	Karkey Karadeniz Elektrik Uretim A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/1	USD 1,482,200,000 + interest (para 276) ICSID	Yves Derains	Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naón	Sir David A.O. Edward	USD 21,518,943.76 (para 1030)	USD 13,007,097 (converted from GBP 10,372,878.77) (para 1047)		Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 490,389,182 + interest (para 1081)		304,385.17 as reimbursement for 50% of Karkey's share of the costs of the arbitration. Respondent to	64 (1017-1080)	No	29 February 2016	540 days
28-Jun-2011	11-Apr-2018 (decisio on rectification, origins award dated 30-Oct- 2017)	Koch Minerals Sârl and Koch Klitogen International Sârl v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/19	USD 672,400,000 (para 9.129) ICSID	V.V. Veeder, QC	Hon. Marc Lalende PC, OC, QC	Justice Florentino Feliciano replaced by Professor Zachary Douglas QC	USD 22,598,113.56 (excludes ICSID fees of USD 650,000) (para 11.16)	USD 10,389,280.39 (excludes ICSID fees of USD 650,000) (para 11.18)	USD 1,257,672.87 (para 11.19)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 306,950,000 + compound interest. (para 12.3-12.5 + para 74 of the decision on rectification)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	oav USD 10.384.385.17 altosether (sara 1081) The Calmants shall recover from the Respondent the total sum of USD 17.450.865.10 (25% reduction) as Legal Costs and the total sum of USD 628.836.435 as Arbitration Costs (bome fully by respondent). Respondent shall pay USD	12 (11.15-11.26)	No	9 June 2016 (third hearing following reconstitution of the tribunal)	672 days (until decision on rectification)
1-Jul-2014 (RFA)	02-Jul-2018	Krederi Ltd. v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/17	N/A ICSID	Professor Albert Jan van den Berg, replaced by Prof. Dr. August Reinisch	Dr. Markus Wirth	Dr. Gavan Griffith QC	N/A	N/A (award redacted) - but payments to legal defence amounted to USD 2,191,332.26 (para 739)	USD 627,423.33 (para 742)	Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (para 745)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	18,064,918.535 altogether (para 11.26) Each Party shall bear its own costs and that the Parties shall bear the costs of the proceedings in equal shares. Respondent shall therefore reimburse Claimant the amount of USD 313,711.67 corresponding to its share of the costs of the	26 (719-744)	No	4 September 2017	301 days
15-Aug-2012 (RFA)	06-Aug-2019	Lao Holdings N.V. v. Lao People's N/R	USD 1,000,000,000 (para 69) (CSID Additional	The Honourable Ian Binnie, C.C.,	Professor Bernard Hanotiau	Professor Brigitte Stern	N/A - particular number not specified, USD 20,929,951.36	USD 1,467,483.72 (para 290)	USD 1,735,789 (para 291)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para	21-Feb-2014	proceedings advanced by Claimant. (para 740-744)	12 (281-292)	Yes	3 September 2018	337 days
		Democratic Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/6	Pacity	u.c.			were costs for the case at hand and the parallel case Sarum v Laos together (para 281)			295)		US \$1.274.989 and the Respondent made advances for a total of US \$81,022.95. The Thomal orders the Claimant LHNV to bear all the arbitration costs of the ICSID proceeding, accordingly, the Thomal orders the Claimant to pay the Respondent US\$ 448.822.95 for the capmender proting of the Respondent advances to ICSID. The Claimant shall pay the Respondent's advances to ICSID. The Claimant shall pay the Respondent USD 481,022.95 in arbitration costs and				
31-Mar-2014 (notice)		Louis Drevfus Armateurs SAS v. ILSS 1 = EUR 0.8632	USD 36,155,825 plus interest (para 95) UNCITRAL (1976	Jean E. Kalicki	Prof. Julian D.M. Lew QC	J. Christopher Thomas QC	USD 3,738,900 (partly converted from EUR 2,032,022,39	USD 9,467,102.65 (para 430)	USD 1,352,213.25 (para 437)	Respondent win. Majority of claims dismissed due to	000	1039 (1407)403.12 in the Respondents representation costs and expenses. The Respondent must pay USD 1,949,106.67 (para 294) 90% of Tribunal and PCA costs to be borne by	28 (427-454)	W	27 November 2017	200
31-Mar-2014 (notice)	11-580-2018	Louis preyrus Armateurs SAS V. The Republic of India, PCA Case No. 2014-26 No. 2014-26	USU 36, 156,825 plus interest (pars 95) UPC-I RVIL (1970 Rules)	Jean E. Kalicki	Prof. Julian D.M. Lew UC	J. Crinstopner i nomas QC	USD 3,785,990 (painty converted from EUR 2,032,022,39 and GBP 824,408.35) (para 428)	USU 9,467,102.69 (para 430)	USU 1,362,213.20 (para 43/)	responder with wasted to the control of the control	22-080-2015	surs or intuitial and r-Lx costs to be corner by investor. TWh of respondent's legal costs to be borne by investor. LDX is ordered to pay India: (a) USD 40,885.30, lowerds british share of the Tribunal and PCA costs of arbitration, and (b) USD 6,805.971.85, towards India's shares of legal representation and assistance. Investor shall pay USD 7,167,867.15 altogother (para 444, 445, 454)	25 (421-454)	res	2/ November 2017	206 days
21-Dec-2016 (RFA)	06-Mar-2020	Lidercón, S.L. v. Republic of Peru, US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8837 ICSID Case No. ARB/17/9	N/A ICSID	Jan Paulsson	Francisco González de Cossio	Hugo Perezcano	USD 4,202,365 (partly converted from EUR 3,609,986.49) (para 279)	USD 6,217,880.54 (para 279)	USD 929,402.93 (para 279)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits (para 283)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Tribunal ordered the Claimant to reimburse to the Respondent 60% of the latter's contribution to the costs of the arbitration, as well as 60% of the cost of presenting its defense. Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 4,006,516,64 (para 282, 283)	6 (278-282)	Yes (for quantum, but case dismissed on the merits)	19 September 2019	169 days
												Respondent USD 4,006,516.64 (para 282, 283)				
28-Jul-2017 (RFA)	06-Apr-2020	Lotus Holding Anonim Şirketi v. Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No.	USD 71,526,686.37 (partly converted from EUR ICSID 51,702,697.9) (para 88)	Professor Vaughan Lowe QC	James H. Boykin	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 158,184.58 (para 202, 203)	USD 952,186.33 (para 204)	USD 251,780.56 (para 211)	Claims dismissed under ICSID Arbitration Rule 41/5 for being manifestly withou legal merit. (para 214)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the	13 (201-2013)	No	17 September 2019	202 days
28-Jul-2017 (RFA) 14-Jul-2017 (RFA)	06-Apr-2020 13-Nov-2019	Lotus Holding Anonim Şirketi v. Turkmenistan, ICSBD Case No. ARBI1730 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Hongaey, ICSBD Case No. ARBI1737 ARBI1737 Dase Mo.		Professor Vaughan Lowe QC Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler	James H. Boykin Or. Stanimir A. Alexandrov	Professor Brigite Stem Dr. Inka Hanefeld	USD 158.194.58 (para 202, 203) USD 978,440.88 (para 4:38)	USD 982,166.33 (para 204)	USD 281,780.56 (para 211) USD 564,448.79 (para 436)	Claims dismissed under ICSED Arbitration Rule 4 1/5 for being manifestly withou legal ment. (para 214) Claimsnit win (expropriation). The Respondent shall pay to the Claimants compensation for the expropriation in the amount of USD 7/5,86,223.59 (EUR 7,146,824) plus interest. (para 441)	jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant shall pay the Respondent its share of the	13 (201-2013) 8 (433-440)	No No	17 September 2019 27 May 2019	202 days
	06-Apr-2020 13-Nov-2019 26-Jul-2018	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIYT30 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Missy Arman Company Ltd, Missy King and Inicial Zrt v. Maley Wideling Case No. ARBIYT37 Marfin Investment Group v. Marfin Investment Group v. The Republic of Cyprus, ICSID	51,702,697.9) (para 88)		,			USD 952,186.33 (para 204) NUA		being manifestly withou legal merit. (para 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall pay to the Claimants compensation for the expropriation in the amount of USD 7,866,223.59 (EUR	jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction on jurisdiction	Gainment shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's legal less and disbursements in the stame of USD 983, 109.88, (per 314). See 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1		No No		202 days 170 days 305 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) NIA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA)	28-Nev 2018 (decision, original and dated 16-May 2018)	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT730 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre KR and Inicial Zrt v. Newgrey, ICSID Case No. ARBIT737 Marfin Investment Group v. The Republic of Cyprins, ICSID Case No. ARBIT427 Marfin Liver Standard Company Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company	15.170.2697.9) (para 89) ' 1959 19.696,302 (converted from EUR 17.500,000) (para CSID 434) USD 1,513,644,702 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,000) USD 1,513,644,702 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,000) USD 294,457,696.76 (converted from EUR 250,000,000) USD 294	Professor Gabriele Kaufmann- Kohler Benard Haroliau John Beechey CBE	Or. Stanieri A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born	Dr. Inka Hanefeld	USD 978,440.88 (para 438) NUSD 4,864,101.24 (para 684-686)	NIA NIA USD 2.486,285.39 (converted from EUR 2.421,673.98 minus) advances to ICSD() (para 688)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A 10SD 1,151,994.59 (para 661)	being manifestly withou legal ment (para 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall apply to the Claimant own part of the paragraphic of the expropriation in the amount of USD 7,868,223,59 (EUR 7,148,824) plus interest. (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part 201). Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Claimant damages at USD 78,016,495.71 (convented from EUR 64,500,000) = sterest. (para 697)	Iurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claiment shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's legal less and disbussments in the Respondent's legal less and disbussments in the Claiment for the Cost of	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (884-696)	No No No	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 19 September 2016	202 days 170 days 205 days 802 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) NIA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA)	13-Nov-2019 28-Jul-2018 29-Nov-2018 (decision en redification, origina award dated 16-May	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT730 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre KR and Inicial Zrt v. Newgrey, ICSID Case No. ARBIT737 Marfin Investment Group v. The Republic of Cyprins, ICSID Case No. ARBIT427 Marfin Liver Standard Company Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company Liver Standard Company Marfin Liver Standard Company	51.702.697.9) (para 89) ' USD 18.696,302 (converted from EUR 17.500.009) (para ASI) USD 18.113.444.702 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,009) (para ASI) USD 1.813.644.702 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,009) (para 178)	Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann- Kohler Bernard Hanoliau	Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov	Dr. Inka Hannefeld	USD 978,440.85 (para 436)	NIA NIA USD 2.486,285.30 (converted from EUR 2.421,673.98 minut	USD 564,448.79 (pera 436)	being manifestly withou legal ment (para 214) Claimant win (expreptiation). The Respondent shall apply to the Claimant own (expreptiation) and the expreptiation in the amount of UBD 7,866,223.99 (EUR 7,146,524) plus interest. (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part XXI,) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Claimant damages at UBD 7,661,4647 (convented from 2 UBD 7,661,4647) (convented	Iurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claiment shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's logislic bear and disbursements in the same of USD 983-169-88, (page 31-41). 100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-10	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVI.)	No No No No	27 May 2019 0 March 2017	202 days 170 days 000 days 602 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) NIA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA)	13-Nos-2019 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 29-Nov-2018 (decision original and discolor Money 2018) 20-Mar-2018 (Award 2018) 31-Mar-2018 (Final 2019)	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT730 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Mintyre KR and Incide 2rt v. Mintyre	\$1,102,597.59 (para 89) ' USD 18,696,302 (converted from EUR 17,500,000) (para 434) USD 12,13,644,702 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,000) (para 178) USD 234,437,896.76 (converted from EUR 200,000,000) (para 900) USD 179,928,443.86 (converted from EUR 200,000,000) USD 179,928,443.86 (converted from EUR 200,0	Professor Gabriele Kaufmann- Kohler Bernard Hanoliau John Beschey CBE	Or. Stanieri A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born	Dr. Inka Hanefeld	USD 978,440.85 (para 438) 3UA USD 4,654,191.24 (para 694-699) USD 12,456,667 (concluding advances to ICSD of USD 445,000) (para 9.8)	N/A N/A USD 2.486,255.39 (converted from EUR 2.421.673.98 minular advances to ICSD) (para 685) USD 7.686,279 (cockularing advances to ICSD of USD	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) NUA USD 1,151,594.59 (para 691) USD 762,598.92 (para 9.12)	being manifestly withou legal ment (para 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall apply to the Claimant own part of the paragraphic of the expropriation in the amount of USD 7,868,223,59 (EUR 7,148,824) plus interest. (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part 201). Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Claimant damages at USD 78,016,495.71 (convented from EUR 64,500,000) = sterest. (para 697)	jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction 32-Max.2019	Caimant stall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent is gain less and disbursements in the same USD 953:105.81 (pax 21-1). 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (884-696)	No N	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 19 September 2016	202 days 170 days 005 days 602 days 602 days
14-Jul 2017 (RFA) NUA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Agr-2012 (RFA)	13-Nos-2019 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 29-Nov-2018 (decision original and discolor Money 2018) 20-Mar-2018 (Award 2018) 31-Mar-2018 (Final 2019)	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT/30 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre KH and Inicia 2rt v, Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARBIT/37 Maryar Farming Company Ltd, LiSS 1 - ELR 0.0000 (1951 to 100 pp. 7720 p	15.170.597.9 (para 89) USD 18.696,302 (convented from EUR 17.500.009) (para USD 18.696,302 (convented from EUR 17.500.009) (para USD 12.13.544,792 (convented from EUR 1,041.100.009) USD 29.4517,99.78 (convented from EUR 250,000.009) USD 179.528,641.98 (convented from EUR 250,000.009) USD 179.528,643.98 (convented from EUR 250,000.009) USD 379.528,643.98 (convented from EUR 250,000.009) USD 379.528,643.98 (convented from EUR 250,000.009) USD 379.528,643.98 (convented from EUR 250,000.009)	Professor Calabratile Kaufmanns- Kodler Bernard Hancollau John Beechey CBE V. V. Veeder Professor Dunald M. McRae, C.C.	Or: Stanimir A. Alexandrov Orand M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Orrego Viculta	Dr. Inka Hannefeld Dr. Inka Hannefeld Dravid A. O. Edward QC Professor Brigite Stern Professor Zachary Douglas QC	USD 978,440.88 (para 438) NIA USD 4,854,161.24 (para 664-686) USD 12,456,467 (senduding shrances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,222,685 (excluding shrances to ICSID of USD 445,000) (para 9.6)	NIA NIA USD 2,486,285.39 (converted from EUR 2,421,673.98 minu advances to ICSED (graze 688) USD 7,686,279 (excluding advances to ICSED of USD 425,000) (gass 9.7) USD 3,466,358.87 (including advances to ICSED of EUR	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A 10SD 1,151,594.59 (para 691) 0SD 762,998.92 (para 9.12) USD 835,234.15 (para 29)	being manifestly without legal ment; (para 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall pays to the Claimant compensation for 197, 198, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 20	jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claiment shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's legal less and debusements in the Respondent's legal less and debusements in the Claiment of the Respondent's legal less and debusements for the Respondent shall restrict the Respondent of USD and Respondent of USD 400 200 covered from CGD 200 200 covered to the Claiment's legal costs (GDP 200 200 covered to the Respondent to the Park 400 200 covered from Respondent to be paid the amount of USD 300 covered from Respondent to be paid the amount of USD 300 covered from Respondent to the paid the amount of USD 300 compression for Respondent res	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (694-696) 18 (9.1-9.18)	No No No No No No	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 18 September 2016 21 July 2015	202 days 170 days 205 days 802 days 802 days 256 days 268 days
14-Jul 2017 (RFA) REA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Agr-2012 (RFA)	28-Ad-2018 28-Ad-2018 29-New-2018 (decision of the control of th	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBITATO Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Incident V. Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Incident V. Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Incident V. Magyar Case No. ARBITATO Case No. ARBIT	15.170.267.91 (para 89) 1950 18.696,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.009) (para 434) 1950 18.696,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.009) (para 434) 1950 12.13,544.792 (converted from EUR 1,041,100,009) (CSID (para 175) (pa	Professor Calabratile Kaufmanns- Kodler Bernard Hancollau John Beechey CBE V. V. Veeder Professor Dunald M. McRae, C.C.	Or Stammer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Orrego Vicuta The Honourable L. Yves Forfer, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C.	David A. O. Edward CC David A. O. Edward CC Professor Brights Stern Professor Zachary Douglas CC Professor Laurence Bosson de Chazoumes	USD 978,440.85 (para 438) USD 978,440.85 (para 438) USD 4,654,191.24 (para 694-696) USD 12,455,667 (para 694-696) USD 12,000 (para 8) USD 12,000 (para 8) USD 14,500 (para 8) USD 14,500 (para 8) USD 14,500 (para 8)	NIA NIA USD 2,484,285.36 (converted from EUR 2,421,673.36 minusobvences to ICSID) (gara 688) USD 7,656,279 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (gara 9,7) USD 3,466,438.87 (including advances to ICSID of EUR 439,422.13) (gara 25, 26) USD 1,187,188.81 (converted from EUR 1,188,125.52) (gar	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A USD 1,181,994.89 (para 691) USD 762,998.92 (para 9.12) USD 762,998.92 (para 9.12)	being manifestly without legal ment; (pure 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall apply to the Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall apply to the Claimant win (expropriation) and the expropriation in the amount of UED 7,664,223.59 (EUR 7,146,1624) plus interest. (pure 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part XVI.) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Claimant diamages at UED 76,614,627 (converted from EUR 64.500,000) = setnest. (para 667) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6, 45.500,000) = setnest. (para 667) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay UED 324,113,145,89 (EUR 209,000) + pre-judgment and post-judgment interest in compensation, (para 37) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay UED 324,113,145,89 (EUR 209,000) + pre-judgment interest in compensation, (para 37) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay to the Claimant win.	jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction 28 Jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction	Caimant stall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety) percent of the Respondent is paid in the proceeding and ninety) percent of the Respondent is paid its and disbursaments in the same of USD 953 (169.81 jazza 214). 282.224.40, for the ICSD 161/961 jazza 2141. 282.224.24.0, for the ICSD 161/961 jazza 2141. 282.224.24.0, for the ICSD 161/961 jazza in the amount of USD 282.224.0, for the ICSD 282.24.0 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.000000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.00000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.00000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.0000000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.00000000 jazza in USD 968.20.20.00000	8 (433-440) 1 (8*art XVI.) 13 (884-696) 18 (9.1-9.16) 18 (19-36)	No	27 May 2019 28 March 2017 5 March 2017 19 September 2016 21 July 2015 12 December 2016	202 days 170 days 205 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA A-F-eb-2014 (RFA) 30-Apr-2012 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA)	28-Aut-2019 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 Aut-2018 Aut-2018 Aut-2018 Aut-2018 15-Feb-2018	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBH7109 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Ministry Rt Han Institute Com	15.170.267.97 (para 89) USD 18.696,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.000) (para USD 18.696,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.000) (para USD 18.13,844.792 (converted from EUR 1,041,00.000) USD 18.13,844.792 (converted from EUR 250.000.000) USD 284.837.898.78 (converted from EUR 250.000.000) USD 179.918.448.88 (converted from EUR 250.000.000) USD 179.918.448.88 (converted from EUR 521,400.000) USD 581.936.228.38 (converted from EUR 521,400.000) USD 78.698.785 (converted from EUR 61.300.000) (para SCC Arbitration Rula 1860 78.698,785 (converted from EUR 61.300.000) (para	Professor Cabrielle Kaufmann- Kohler Bernard Hanoliau John Beechey CBE V. V. Veeder Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C.	Or. Stanmer A. Alexandrov Ourself M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Crisgo Vicuta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.O., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro	David A. O. Edward OC Phofessor Brigitis Sitem Phofessor Zachary Douglas GC Phofessor Laurence Bolsson de Chazournes Judge Juez Bernando Sepúlveda-Annor	USD 978,440.85 (para 438) NSA USD 4,654,191.24 (para 664-686) USD 12,466,647 (enroluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 846,000) (para 21,22) USD 1,692,365 (enroluding advances to ICSID of USD 446,000) (para 21,22) USD 3,692,365 (enroluding advances to ICSID of USD 1,693,365,20) (para 364,860) (para 21,22)	NUA USD 2,486,285.39 (converted from EUR 2,421,673.98 minus advances to ICSID) (para 688) USD 7,856,276 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 9.7) USD 3,486,458.87 (including advances to ICSID of EUR 429,422.13) (para 25,28) USD 1,137,186.81 (converted from EUR 1,188,125.52) (para 25,28)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A USD 1,151,694.59 (para 691) USD 762,696.92 (para 9.12) USD 763,696.92 (para 9.12) USD 763,648 (para 9.5)	being manifestly without legal ment; (pure 214) Claimant win (exprepriation). The Respondent shall apply to the Claimant compensation for the exprepriation in the amount of UBO 7,666,223.59 (EUR 7,146,524) plus interest. (pare 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part XVI) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part XVI) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay Claimant damages at UBO 7,661,6427 (converted from EUR 64.500,000) = reterest. (para 697) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6 Claimant win. Respondent shall pay UBD 324,113,458 (EUR 200,000,000) = rep-judgment and post-judgment interest. (para 37) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay UBD 324,113,1458 (EUR 200,000,000) = rep-judgment and post-judgment interest (para 860) Claimant win. Respondent shall pay to the Claimant win. Respondent shall pay to the Claimant win. Respondent shall pay the Respondent shall pay the Respondent win. Respondent shall pay the Re	purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction 2.6.46ac.2013	Calment shall pay the Respondent list share of the rooted of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's lag list be and debustments in the state of the Respondent's lag list be and debustments in the Calment of the Respondent shall be and debustments in the Calment's list of the Respondent shall restrict the Calment's list of the Respondent shall restrict the Calment's list of the Respondent shall restrict the Calment's list of USD 240 200 covered from Calment's list of USD 240 200 covered from Calment's list of USD 240 200 covered from Calment's list of Calment's list of USD 240 200 covered from Calment's li	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (684-696) 18 (3-1-9-18) 18 (19-36)	No	27 May 2019 28 March 2017 5 March 2017 19 September 2016 21 July 2015 12 December 2016	200 days 170 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 200 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA 1-F-db-2014 (RFA) 30-Apr-2012 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA) 3-May-2015 (RFA)	28-Aut-2019 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 28-Aut-2018 Aut-2018 Aut-2018 Aut-2018 Aut-2018 15-Feb-2018	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT/130 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Intelligative, USS 1 = EUR 0.8050 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, William 1.0000 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, William 1.0000 Marcin Investment Group V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Sale No. ARBIT/127 Macrin Investment Group V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/137 Case No. ARBIT/137 Macrin International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. ARBIT/147 Novenergia II = Energy Sale V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Environment (SCA), SICAR V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Case No. Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Mercar International Inc. V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.8050 Libya, ICC Case No. 20356/MCP V. 1000 1 = EUR 0.	15.170.076.076.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.00	Professor Dunald M. McRae, C.C. Schan Steker V. V. Veeder Professor Dunald M. McRae, C.C. Is Johan Steker Nayla Comain-Oberd	Or. Stanmer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Criego Viculia The Honourable L. Yves Forfer, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziade	David A. O. Edward OC David A. O. Edward OC Professor Brigitis Stern Professor Zachary Douglas OC Professor Laurence Bolsson de Chazoumes Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda Amor	USD 978,440.88 (para 436) JUD 14,654,101.24 (para 684-686) JUSD 14,654,101.24 (para 684-686) JUSD 15,255,655 (concluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 16) JUSD 18,222,655 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 454,000) (para 17) JUSD 18,922,655 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 454,000) (para 17) JUSD 18,923,655 (converted from GBP 7,194.55, EUR 1,060,417.56 and GER 287,863.20) (para 846, 646) JUSD 1,644,410.23 (para 535-537)	NIA NIA USD 2,486,285.39 (convented from EUR 2,421.673.58 minustrances to ICSIO) (para 689) USD 7,885,279 (excluding advances to ICSIO of USD 425.000) (para 8.7) USD 1,486,485.87 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 439,422.13) (para 25.76) USD 1,137,185.81 (convented from EUR 1,188,125.52) (para 50.65) USD 1333,399 (not including athliation codes not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-540) USD 1333,399 (not including athliation codes not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-540) USD 1333,399 (not including athliation codes not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-540)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A USD 1,151,694.59 (para 691) USD 762,696.92 (para 9.12) USD 763,648 (para 9.5) USD 773,068 (para 9.5)	being manifestly without legal ment; (para 214) Claimant win (exprepriation). The Respondent shall pays to the Claimants compensation to the waysupration in the amount of USD 7,665,223.59 (EUR 7148,824) plus interests (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part 471, 148,824) plus interests (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part XX1) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part XX1) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part XX1) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part 64,500,000) = interest (para 697) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6, 14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14,1	jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction 28-Marc.2013 No separate decision on jurisdiction No separate decision on jurisdiction on jurisdiction	Calmant shall pay the Respondent list share of the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listes and disbursaments in the Respondent's large listes and disbursaments in the Calmant's listes and disbursaments in the Calmant's listes and disbursaments in the Calmant's listes and disbursaments and calmant's listes and USD 2.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	8 (433-440) 1 (994 XVL) 13 (884-666) 18 (8-1-9-18) 18 (19-36) 12 (848-659) 16 (835-556)	No	27 May 2010 27 May 2010 6 March 2017 19 September 2016 12 December 2016 12 July 2017	202 days 170 days 205 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Agr-2012 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA) 8-May-2014 (RFA) 3-Jul-2014 (RFA)	28-Ad-2018 28-Ad-2018 28-Ad-2018 28-Nov. 2018 (decade on restification, origin around ideal 75-Ad-2018 (Ananti on Table 2018) 28-Ad-2018 (Front Ananti on Characteria (Ananti on Ch	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBITATO Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Mungary ICSID Case No. ARBITATO Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Mungary ICSID Case No. ARBITATY Marfin Investment Group v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8592 Marfin Investment Group v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8592 Marfin Investment Group v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8593 Marcar Investment Group v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8593 Marcar International Inc. v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8485 Lake No. ARBITATY Marcar International Inc. v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8485 Link And Mexiter School School Case No. ARBITATY Movement Group Canada, ICSID E. NevtEra Energy Global Holdings Link And Mexiter School School School Case No. ARBITATY Novement (SCA), SICAR v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8604 Movement (SCA), SICAR v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8605 Link And Mexiter School School School Case No. ARBITATY Novement (SCA), SICAR v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8605 Link Movement (SCA), SICAR v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8605 Link Movement (SCA), SICAR v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8605 Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings AD v. Link Dillin Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys, ICC Case No. 2035SiMCP Dillin Holdings Limited v. State of Libys No. 4 Bit Li	15.1702 (97.97 (9) gaza 89 / 1950 (1957 (9) gaza 19	Professor Cashrelle Kaufmann- Kohler Bernard Hanoliau John Beechey CBE V. V. Veeder Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C. Donal Siddler Replicator Dr. Kall-Heinz Bookstegel Do. Laurent Lévy	Or. Stanmer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Chrago Viculta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziade Prof. MMag. Dr. August Reinisch, LL.M.	David A. O. Edward OC David A. O. Edward OC Pholessor Brigitis Sitem Pholessor Zachary Douglas OC Pholessor Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda Annor Brahm Fadiallah Prof. Philippe Sands, Q.C.	USD 978,440.88 (para 436) JUD 14,654,101.24 (para 684-686) JUSD 14,654,101.24 (para 684-686) JUSD 15,255,655 (concluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 16) JUSD 18,222,655 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 454,000) (para 17) JUSD 18,922,655 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 454,000) (para 17) JUSD 18,923,655 (converted from GBP 7,194.55, EUR 1,060,417.56 and GER 287,863.20) (para 846, 646) JUSD 1,644,410.23 (para 535-537)	NIA NIA USD 2,486,285.39 (convented from EUR 2,421.673.58 minustrances to ICSIO) (para 689) USD 7,885,279 (excluding advances to ICSIO of USD 425.000) (para 8.7) USD 1,486,485.87 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 439,422.13) (para 25.76) USD 1,137,185.81 (convented from EUR 1,188,125.52) (para 50.65) USD 1333,399 (not including athliation codes not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-540) USD 1333,399 (not including athliation codes not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-540) USD 1333,399 (not including athliation codes not calculated by the Respondent) (para 540-540)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 160 1,151,594.59 (para 691) 160 762,998.92 (para 912) 160 763,548 (para 852) 160 773,648 (para 853) 160 773,648 (para 546) 160 773,648 (para 546)	being manifestly without legal ment; (para 214) Claimant win (expression). The Respondent shalt pays to the Claimant compensation to the expression of the	purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdi	Calmanst shall pay the Respondent list share of the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent shall be and disbursements in the Calmanst for the Respondent shall be and disbursements in the Calmanst for the C	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (684-696) 18 (9.1-9.18) 18 (19-36) 12 (849-859) 16 (535-550) 23 (723-745)	No	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 19 September 2016 12 July 2015 12 June 2017 13 July 2017	202 days 170 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 200 days 402 days 402 days 402 days 402 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Agr-2014 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA) 5-Jul-2014 (RFA) 31-Jul-2015 31-Jul-2015 31-Jul-2015	28-Ad-2019 28-Ad-2018	Turkmenistani, CSID Case No. ARBITATO Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kington Kin	15.170.267.91 (para 89) USD 18.695,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.000) (para USD 18.695,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.000) (para USD 12.13,544,792 (converted from EUR 1,041,00.000) USD 12.13,544,792 (converted from EUR 250,000.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 250,000.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 250,000.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 621,400.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 621,400.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 621,400.000) USD 18.158,225.38 (converted from EUR 63,000.000) (para 72) USD 122,418,918 (converted from 104,300.000) (para 72) USD 162,418,918 (converted from 104,300.000) (para 73)	Professor Cashrelle Kaufmann- Koster Bernard Hanoliau John Berchey CBE V. V. Vender V. V. Vender Professor Donald M. McRan, C. C. Donald Gallery Region Common-Obeid Professor Dr. Kast-Heinz Docustinged Dr. Laurent Lévy Thomas Bengham, replaced by H.E. Thomas Bengham, replaced by H.E.	Or. Staremer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Chrego Viculta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziado Prof. MMag, Dr. August Remisch, LL.M. L. Yves Forter, P.C., CC, O.Q., Q.C. Chartee N. Brower, replaced by Nell Kaplan, C.B.E., Q.C. SBS	David A. O. Edward QC David A. O. Edward QC Professor Brigitis Sitem Professor Zachary Douglas QC Professor Laurence Bolsson de Chazoumes Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda Amor Brahm Fadiollah Prof. Philippe Sanda, Q.C.	USD 978,440.88 (para 438) USD 4,684,101.24 (para 664-686) USD 1,684,687 (emcluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,928,683 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 444,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,928,683 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 444,000) (para 9.6) USD 1,684,683 (convented from GBP 7.194.85, EUR 1,000),411.50 and SBR 287,883.80) (para 9.46, 649) USD 1,684,419.23 (para SBR 537) USD 1,811,293.48 (EUR 1,008.001,77) - including legal and expert fires (para 430) USD 1,723,332 (para 909)	NIA NIA USD 2,484,285.38 (convented from EUR 2,421,673.38 minustrances to ICSIO (gives 689) USD 7,684,276 (excluding advances to ICSIO of USD 425,000) (gives 8.7) USD 1,587,485.87 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 436,422.13) (gives 25,26) USD 1,537,485.81 (convented from EUR 1,188,125.52) (gar 850,65) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,477.31 - DA 22.762.248,57 (loggel fees); USD 1,315,724,13 (loggel fees); DA 100.153,549.39 (expert fees) USD 2,77,44,88.41 (gara 869)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A 16BD 1,151,994.59 (para 691) USD 762,998.92 (para 9 12) USD 762,998.92 (para 9 12) USD 773,099 (para 648) USD 773,099 (para 548) USD 773,099 (para 548) USD 1,042,994.37 (para 740) USD 588,722,79 - USD 354,098.47 (para fees) 158,000 (para fees) (para 439) USD 9,594,693.73 (para 1020)	being manifestly without legal ment, (para 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall pay to the Chainest compensation is the 17,148,824) plus interest. (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part 7,148,824) plus interest. (para 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part 300,000) in the ments (part 300,000,000) in the ments (part 300,0	purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction 3.2.Mar.2013 No separate decision on purisdiction 2.3.Jun 2011 (Decision on durisdiction) 2.3.Jun 2011 (Decision on durisdiction) 2.3.Jun 2011 (Decision on durisdiction) 2.3.Jun 2011 (Decision on durisdiction and on Jun 2.3.Jun 2011 (Decision on Jun 20	Calmant shall pay the Respondent list share of the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listed and disbursements in the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listed and disbursements in the Calmant State of the Calmant	1 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (684-696) 18 (8.1-9.18) 18 (19-36) 12 (949-859) 16 (535-550) 23 (723-745)	No	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 19 September 2016 12 July 2015 12 June 2017 13 July 2017 11 June 2018	202 days 170 days 205 days 205 days 802 days 802 days 205 days 205 days 405 days 415 days 415 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Agr-2014 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA) 5-Jul-2014 (RFA) 31-Jul-2015	28-Ad-2019 28-Ad-2018	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT730 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARBIT737 Maryar Farming Company Ltd, Kintyre Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARBIT737 Marfin Investment Group v. USS 1 = EUR 0.8939 Marfin Investment Group v. USS 1 =	15.170.267.91 (para 89) USD 18.696,392 (converted from EUR 17.590.009) (para USD 18.696,392 (converted from EUR 17.590.009) (para USD 18.13,544.792 (converted from EUR 1.04.100.000) USD 18.13,544.792 (converted from EUR 250.000.009) USD 284.457,595.76 (converted from EUR 250.000.009) USD 179.515.448.88 (converted from EUR 250.000.009) USD 179.515.448.88 (converted from EUR 521.400.009) USD 981,595.252.36 (converted from EUR 521.400.009) USD 78.599,785 (converted from EUR 63.000.009) (para 72) USD 78.599,785 (converted from EUR 63.000.009) (para 72) USD 122,418,918 (converted from EUR 63.000.009) (para 72) USD 124,418,918 (converted from EUR 63.000.009) (para 72) USD 124,418,918 (converted from EUR 63.000.009) (para 72)	Professor Cashrelle Kaufmann- Koster Bernard Hanoliau John Berchey CBE V. V. Vender V. V. Vender Professor Donald M. McRan, C. C. Donald Gallery Region Common-Obeid Professor Dr. Kast-Heinz Docustinged Dr. Laurent Lévy Thomas Bengham, replaced by H.E. Thomas Bengham, replaced by H.E.	Or. Stanmer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Chrego Viculta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziede Prof. MMag, Dr. August Rennech, LL.M.	David A. O. Edward QC David A. O. Edward QC Professor Brigitis Sitem Professor Zachary Douglas QC Professor Laurence Bolsson de Chazoumes Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda Amor Brahm Fadiollah Prof. Philippe Sanda, Q.C.	USD 978,440.85 (para 438) NSA USD 12,465,467 (para 684-686) USD 12,465,467 (para 684-686) USD 12,465,467 (para 684-686) USD 18,228,657 (para 684-686) USD 18,247,658 (para 685-637) USD 18,475,484 (para 585-637) USD 18,473,484 (para 585-637)	NIA NIA NIA USD 2,484,285.39 (converted from EUR 2,421,673.38 minustrances to ICSIO) (pure 689) USD 7,886,279 (contacting advances to ICSIO of USD 425.000) (pure 6.09) USD 1,887,486,478 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 436.402.13) (pare 25.20) USD 1,887,486,485,87 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 436.402.13) (pare 25.20) USD 1,837,186,81 (converted from EUR 1,188,125.52) (pare 50.20) USD 233,399 (not including arbitration costs not calculated by the Report Sciol (pare 540-540) USD 1,322,682.12 (converted from EUR 1,541,677.39 minustrances to ICSIO) (pare 734) USD 1,232,682.12 (converted from EUR 1,541,677.39 minustrances to ICSIO) (pare 734)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A 10SD 1,181,994.59 (para 691) 10SD 762,998.92 (para 9.12) 10SD 763,994.99 (para 9.12) 10SD 793,448 (para 853) 10SD 773,099 (para 546) 10SD 1,642,994.37 (para 740) 10S 688,722,79- USD 354,098.47 (paraisstors* fems); 10S,000 (paraisstors bees); USD 78,024.23 (circet feed) (para 439)	being manifestly without legal ment; (para 214) Claimant win (expression). The Respondent shalt pays to the Claimant compensation to the expression of the	purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction 13. Mar. 2019 No separate decision on purisdiction 12. Sep. 2014 (Decision on purisdiction) 12. Sep. 2014 (Decision on purisdiction) No separate decision on purisdiction and on Author) No separate decision and on Author) No separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction and on Author) No separate decision on purisdiction purisdiction purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction purisdictio	Calment shall pay the Respondent its share of the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's legal likes and debusements in the costs of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's legal likes and debusements in the Calment of the Calment's likes and debusements in the Calment's likes and the Calment's likes and calment of USD and Talment of Calment's likes and the Calment's likes and the Calment's likes and the Calment's likes and the Calment's likes and USD 262,000 and for the Calment's likes and USD 262,464.83 although the reliable (para 441) and the Calment's likes and the Calment's coals in the arthresion. Respondent shall pay to the Calment's likes and the Calment's coals in the arthresion. Respondent shall pay to the Calment's likes and the Calment's coals in the arthresion. Respondent shall pay to the Calment's likes and the Calment's coals in the arthresion. Respondent shall be coals of the arthresion and the reasonable coals incurred that coals of the arthresion and the respondent chall pay the armonic of USD 174.000 to respect of the ICC coals of shall be between the particle (para 441). The Respondent shall be pay to the Calment's like and the calment of the Calment's like and the calment's like and the Calment's lik	1 (433-440) 1 (Part XVL) 13 (684-696) 18 (8.1-9.18) 18 (19-36) 12 (949-859) 16 (535-550) 23 (723-745)	No	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 19 September 2016 12 July 2015 12 June 2017 13 July 2017 11 June 2018	202 days 170 days 205 days 802 days 802 days 802 days 205 days 160 days 160 days 160 days 160 days 160 days 160 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Agr-2014 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA) 5-Jul-2014 (RFA) 31-Jul-2015 31-Jul-2015 31-Jul-2015	13-New 2019 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 27-36-2019 27-36-2019	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT730 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kindyr Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARBIT737 Mary Revenue of Company Ltd, Kindyr Kit and Inicial Zrt v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARBIT737 Marfin Investment Group v. 1255 1 = 81.87 0.8507 Marfin Investment Group v. 1255 1 = 81.87 0.8507 Margin Investment Grou	15.170.267.91 (para 89) USD 18.695,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.000) (para USD 18.695,392 (converted from EUR 17.500.000) (para USD 12.13,544,792 (converted from EUR 1,041,00.000) USD 12.13,544,792 (converted from EUR 250,000.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 250,000.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 250,000.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 621,400.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 621,400.000) USD 179.925,448,98 (converted from EUR 621,400.000) USD 18.158,225.38 (converted from EUR 63,000.000) (para 72) USD 122,418,918 (converted from 104,300.000) (para 72) USD 162,418,918 (converted from 104,300.000) (para 73)	Professor Cashrelle Kaufmann- Kohler Bernard Hanoliau John Beechey CBE V. V. Veeder Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C. Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C. Saylar Comain Closed Professor Dr. Kash-Heinz Bookstegel Dr. Laurent Lévy Thomas Bingham, replaced by H.E. Professor Dr. Hars van Houtle	Or. Staremer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Chrego Viculta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziado Prof. MMag, Dr. August Remisch, LL.M. L. Yves Forter, P.C., CC, O.Q., Q.C. Chartee N. Brower, replaced by Nell Kaplan, C.B.E., Q.C. SBS	David A. O. Edward QC David A. O. Edward QC Professor Brigitis Sitem Professor Zachary Douglas QC Professor Laurence Bolsson de Chazoumes Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda Amor Brahm Fadiollah Prof. Philippe Sanda, Q.C.	USD 978,440.88 (para 438) USD 4,684,101.24 (para 664-686) USD 1,684,687 (emcluding advances to ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,928,683 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 444,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,928,683 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 444,000) (para 9.6) USD 1,684,683 (convented from GBP 7.194.85, EUR 1,000),411.50 and SBR 287,883.80) (para 9.46, 646) USD 1,684,419.23 (para SBR 537) USD 2,937,884 (convented from EUR 2,634,758.49 and CHF 28.800.15) (para 72-5) USD 1,811,293.48 (EUR 1,668.601,77) - including legal and sepert fires (para 430)	NIA NIA USD 2,484,285.38 (convented from EUR 2,421,673.38 minustrances to ICSIO (gives 689) USD 7,684,276 (excluding advances to ICSIO of USD 425,000) (gives 8.7) USD 1,587,485.87 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 438,422.13) (gives 25,26) USD 1,537,485.81 (convented from EUR 1,188,125.52) (gar 850,65) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,477.31 - DA 22.762.248,57 (loggel fees); USD 1,315,724,13 (loggel fees); DA 100.153,549.39 (expert fees) USD 2,77,44,88.41 (gara 869)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A 16BD 1,151,994.59 (para 691) USD 762,998.92 (para 9 12) USD 762,998.92 (para 9 12) USD 773,099 (para 648) USD 773,099 (para 548) USD 773,099 (para 548) USD 1,042,994.37 (para 740) USD 588,722,79 - USD 354,098.47 (para fees) 158,000 (para fees) (para 439) USD 9,594,693.73 (para 1020)	being manifestly without legal ment; (para 214) Claimant win (exprepriation), The Respondent shall pays to the Claimants compensation to the Table 1999 to the Claimants compensation to the Table 1999 to the Claimant compensation to the Table 1999 to the Claimant win Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits (part 441) Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits (part XVI). Claimant win, Respondent shall pay Claimant diamages at USD 74,918,495.71 (converted from EUR 64.500,000) = interest (para 697) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits, (10.6) Claimant win, Respondent shall pay USD 204,112,115,49 (CUR 200,000,000) = pa-judgment and pool-payment extensit in compensation (para 517) Claimant win, Respondent shall pay to the Claimant win Respondent shall pay the R	purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction Sa separate decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction on purisd	Calmant shall pay the Respondent list share of the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listed and disbursements in the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listed and disbursements in the Calmant State of the Calmant	1 (Part XVI.) 1 (Part XVI.) 13 (684-696) 18 (9.1-9.18) 18 (19.36) 12 (949-859) 12 (723-745) 12 (429-440) 55 (967-1021)	No	27 May 2019 27 May 2019 6 March 2017 19 September 2016 12 July 2015 12 July 2017 13 July 2017 11 June 2018 11 March 2019 9 November 2015	202 days 203 days 203 days 203 days 203 days 204 days 205 days
14-Jul-2017 (RFA) RUA 4-Feb-2014 (RFA) 30-Apr-2012 (RFA) 15-May-2014 (RFA) 5-Jul-2014 (RFA) 31-Jul-2014 (RFA) 31-Jul-2014 (RFA) 31-Jul-2015 31-Jul-2015 31-Jul-2017	13-New 2019 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 26-34-2018 27-36-2019 27-36-2019	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBIT/130 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Ministry Rt and Inside 2rt v. Market Ministry Rt and Inside 2rt v. Ministry Rt and Insid	15.102.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.	Professor Cashrelle Kaufmann- Kohler Bernard Hanoliau John Beechey CBE V. V. Veeder Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C. Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C. Saylar Comain Closed Professor Dr. Kash-Heinz Bookstegel Dr. Laurent Lévy Thomas Bingham, replaced by H.E. Professor Dr. Hars van Houtle	Or. Staremer A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Orrego Viculta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziede Prof. MMag, Dr. August Remech, LL.M. L. Yves Forter, P.C., CC, O.Q., Q.C. Chartes N. Brower, replaced by Ned Keplan, C.B.E., Q.C. SBS. Raymond Doka Bishop, replaced by Gary Born, replaced Jurin Beechey C.B.E.	David A. O. Edward OC David A. O. Edward OC Pholessor Brigitis Sitem Pholessor Zachary Douglas OC Pholessor Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes Judge Juez Bernardo Sepúlveda Annor Brahm Fadiallah Prof. Philippe Sands, Q.C. Bernard Hanoliau J. Christopher Thomas, OC	USD 978,440.85 (para 438) NSD 4,854,101.24 (para 664-686) USD 1,845,467 (emolusing schances in ICSID of USD 425,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,282,652 (exclusing schances in ICSID of USD 445,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,282,652 (exclusing schances in ICSID of USD 445,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,282,652 (exclusing schances in ICSID of USD 445,000) (para 9.6) USD 18,287,364 (convented from EUR 2,634,768,40 and CHE 68,00,15) (para 72-0) USD 2,297,364 (convented from EUR 2,634,768,40 and CHE 68,00,15) (para 72-0) USD 1,811,203,48 (EUR 1,668,601,77) - including legal and expert fees (para 430) USD 17,223,332 (para 908)	NIA NIA USD 2,484,285.38 (convented from EUR 2,421,673.38 minustrances to ICSIO (gives 689) USD 7,684,276 (excluding advances to ICSIO of USD 425,000) (gives 8.7) USD 1,587,485.87 (including advances to ICSIO of EUR 438,422.13) (gives 25,26) USD 1,537,485.81 (convented from EUR 1,188,125.52) (gar 850,65) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,399 (roll including arbitration costs not calculated by the Responded) (gara 540-542) USD 233,477.31 - DA 22.762.248,57 (loggel fees); USD 1,315,724,13 (loggel fees); DA 100,153,549,39 (expert fees) USD 2,73,477.31 - DA 22.762.248,57 (loggel fees); USD 1,315,724,13 (loggel fees); DA 100,153,549,39 (expert fees) USD 2,7144,884.41 (gara 999)	USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 76A 16BD 1,181,994.59 (para 691) USD 762,998.92 (para 9 12) USD 762,998.92 (para 9 12) USD 773,099 (para 549) USD 773,099 (para 549) USD 773,099 (para 549) USD 1,042,994.37 (para 740) USD 584,724,79 - USD 354,098.47 (pathinators' fees); USD 1,042,694.37 (para 740) USD 9,594,698.37 (para 1020)	being manifestly without legal ment; (pure 214) Claimant win (expropriation). The Respondent shall pays to the Claimant compensation for 197, 148,824) plus interest. (pare 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part 7,148,824) plus interest. (pare 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the ments (part 500,000) in the ments (part 64,000,000) in the ments (part 64,000,000,000,000) in the ments (part 64,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction 12. Mar. 2013 No separate decision on purisdiction Plantacide decision on purisdiction No separate decision on purisdiction Autorition assess of purisdiction on purisdiction decision on purisdiction decision on purisdiction decision on purisdiction decision on purisdiction 2. No separate decision on purisdiction on purisdiction on purisdiction decision on purisdiction on pur	Calmanet shall pay the Respondent list share of the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listes and disbussments in the Respondent's large listes and disbussments in the Respondent shall be seen and disbussments in the The Respondent shall be seen and disbussments in the The Respondent shall be seen and disbussments from the Collar and Therman of USD at 2020 covered from CSD and Therman of USD at 2020 covered from CSD and Therman of USD 402 2020 covered from CSD 2020, 2020 covered for the Collarametria legal costs (SQP), in the amount of USD 402 2020 covered from CSD 2020, 402, 402, 402, 402, 402, 402, 402	1 (Part XVL) 13 (684-696) 18 (8.1-9.18) 18 (9.1-9.18) 12 (949-859) 16 (535-550) 23 (723-745) 12 (429-440) 55 (967-1021)	No	27 May 2019 28 March 2017 19 September 2016 12 July 2015 12 July 2017 13 July 2017 11 June 2018 11 March 2019 9 November 2015	202 days 203 days 203 days 203 days 204 days 205 days 205 days 205 days 205 days 205 days 206 days 207 days 208 days 208 days 208 days 208 days 209 days 208 days
NJA A-Feb-2014 (RFA) SD-Age-2012 (RFA) TS-May-2012 (RFA) SJ-May-2013 (RFA) SJ-Jul-2014 (RFA) SJ-Jul-2015 (RFA) SJ-Jul-2015 (RFA) SJ-Jul-2015 (RFA) SJ-Jul-2015 (RFA)	13-New 2019 28-New 2019 28-New 2018 28-New 2018 (decision reself-state) (de	Turkmenistan, ICSID Case No. ARBITATO Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintrys Rt and inside 2rt v. Mingany i Gold Case No. 251 - 100 - 2713 Magyar Farming Company Ltd, Kintrys Rt and inside 2rt v. Mingany i Gold Case No. 251 - 100 - 2713 March Inside Case No. 251 - 100 - 2713 March Inside Case No. 251 - 100 - 2713 March Inside Case No. 251 - 100 - 2713 March Inside Case No. ARBITAT J. V. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. 251 - 100 - 2713 March International Inc. v. Company Case No. ARBITATO	15.170.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.07.0	Professor Cacheries Kaufmann- Koeler Bernard Hanoliau John Berchey CBE V. V. Veeder V. V. Veeder Professor Donald M. McRae, C.C. Donald State Professor Dr. Kart-Heinz Bookstread Dr. Laurent Lévy Thomas Bingham, replaced by H.E. Judge Peter Tomba Professor Dr. Hara van Houtte Professor Dr. Hara van Houtte	Or. Starente A. Alexandrov Darriel M. Price Gary Born Professor Francisco Orrego Viculta The Honourable L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Professor Antonio Crivellaro Roland Ziade Prof. MMag. Dr. August Reinsch, LL.M. L. Yves Forter, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. Charles N. Brower, replaced by Nell Kaplan, C.B.E., Q.C. SSS Raymond Doka Bishop, replaced by Gary Born, replaced John Beschey C.B.E. Raymond Doka Bishop, replaced by Gary Born, replaced John Beschey C.B.E. Professor Julian D. M. Lew	Dr. Inka Hanefeld Professor Edward QC Professor Zachary Douglas QC Professor Laurence Bolsson de Chazourines Dr. Inka Hanefeld Dr. Inka Hanef	USD 978,440.85 (para 438) USD 978,440.85 (para 438) USD 4,654,191.24 (para 694-696) USD 12,456.667 (para 694-696) USD 12,5528.063 (para 694-696) USD 1,5528.063 (para 21, 22) USD 3,5528.063 (para 21, 22) USD 3,5528.063 (para 21, 22) USD 1,854.300 (para 21, 22) USD 2,857.264 (para 595-537) USD 2,857.264 (para 595-537) USD 2,857.264 (para 224) USD 1,814.333.48 (EUR 1 698 691, 77) - reducing legal and expert fees (para 430) USD 1,752.332 (para 595-537) USD 2,957.264 (para 595-537) USD 2,957.264 (para 696)	NIA NIA USD 7,848,285.30 (convented from EUR 2,421,673.38 minuselvences to ICSID) (gare 688) USD 7,868,279 (excluding advances to ICSID of USD 423,000) (gare 26,700) (gare 25,700) (USD 564,448.79 (para 436) 160 564,448.79 (para 436) 160 1,151,594.59 (para 691) 160 762,598.92 (para 9.12) 160 763,544 (para 693) 160 773,069 (para 693) 160 773,069 (para 546) 160 07 773,069 (para 546)	being manifestly without legal ment; (pura 214) Claimant win (expropriation), The Respondent shall apply to the Claimants compensation to the 144 7,148,824) plus interest. (pare 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part 741,88,824) plus interest. (pare 441) Respondent win, claims dismissed on the merits (part 741,88,824) plus interest. (pare 441) Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits (part 741,88,824) plus interest. (pare 697) Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6, 450,000) = interest. (para 697) Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6, 450,000) = interest. (para 697) Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits. (10.6, 450,000) = interest. (para 697) Claimant win, Respondent shall pay USD Claimant win, Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 66,333,616 (CUR 53,000,000) as damages + interest (para 800) Claimant win, Respondent shall pay to the Respondent shall pay	purisaction No separate decision on purisaction No separate decision on purisaction No separate decision on purisacticion No separate decision on purisacticion No separate decision on purisacticion 22. Jun 2019 (not public) No separate decision on purisacticion 22. Jun 2011 (Decision on Benealiza plasses of Junio 1001) 23. Jun 2017 (Partial Cesticio on purisacticion No separate decision on purisacticion	Calmant shall pay the Respondent list share of the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listed and disbursements in the coots of the proceeding and ninety percent of the Respondent's large listed and disbursements in the Respondent shall percent shal	8 (433-440) 1 (Part XVI.) 13 (684-696) 18 (8.1-9-18) 19 (19-36) 12 (948-859) 16 (635-550) 23 (723-745) 12 (429-440) 55 (967-1021) 38 (905-642)	No N	27 May 2010 6 March 2017 18 September 2016 12 December 2016 12 December 2016 12 June 2017 13 July 2017 11 June 2018 1 Naverber 2016 1 November 2015 27 February 2017	202 days 203 days 203 days 203 days 203 days 203 days 204 days 205 days 205 days 206 days 207 days 207 days 207 days 207 days 207 days 207 days

22-Oct-2013 (RFA)	11-Dec-2019	RREEF Infrastructure (G.P.) Limited and RREEF Pan-European Infrastructure Two Lux S.à r.l. v.	USD 496,894,409.94 (EUR 448,000,000) (para 511 of the Decision on Responsibility and on the Principles of Quantum	ICSID Professor Alain I	Pellet Professor Robert Volterra	Professor Pedro Nikken	USD 6,889,816.91 (para 70)	USD 3,170,276.21 (para 76)	N/A	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay a sum of USD 8-Jun-2018 66,104,703 (converted from EUR 59,600,000) as compensation + interest. (carn 81)	Each Party shall bear its legal and other expenses. The fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal and the charges for	11 (70-80)	Yes	0 March 2017	996 days
25-May-2012 (notice)	30-Nov-2017	Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/30 Saint-Gobain Performance N/R	USD 115,100,000 (para 630 of the Decision on Liability)	ICSID Professor Dr. Ki	aus M. Sachs The Honorable Charles N. Brower	Gabriel Bottini	USD 5,075,000 - 5,575,000 (excludes advances to ICSID in	USD 6,500,000 - 7,000,000 (para 64)	USD 1,303,189.99 (para 62)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 29,600,000 + 30-Dec-2016 (Decision 2016)	the use of the facilities of the Centre shall be borne equally between the Parties	17 (55-71)	No :	February 2015	1032 days
		Plastics Europe v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/13								pre-award and post-award interest (para 72) (Countum)	es ed. § dil. Consequently, Respondent shall reimburse Claimant with an amount of USD 1,303,189.99. Respondent shall further bear two thirds of the costs incurred by Claimant in connection with this arbitration proceeding and thus reimburse Claimant with an amount of USD 4,634,532.05. Respondent shall				
14-Aug-2013 (notice)	06-Aug-2019	Sanum Investments Limited v. Lao People's Democratic Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2013-13	USD 1,000,000,000 (para 67)	UNCITRAL Dr. Andrés Rigo	Professor Bernard Hanolau	Professor Brigitte Stern	USD 16,199,872.71 for legal work prior to the Settlement of 15 June 2014, later costs not specified: (para 256)	USD 1,313,282.31 (para 251, 261)	USD 1,772,871.86 (para 262)	Respondent win. Case dismissed on the ments. No opporate decision jurisdiction	on VBO \$597,722.04 altocether (para 72) The Claimant Sauma shall pay be Respondent its legal costs of USD 1.313.262.31. The Claimant Compared to the Claimant of Compared to the Compared to the Compared to the Compared to the Triburual and PCA. Accordingly, the Claimant Samun shall pay the Respondent USD 466.000 for the expended portion of the Respondent's advances to expended portion of the Respondent's Advances to 250, 269.01 for the Compared to the Compar	13 (251-263)	No :	September 2018	337 days
12-Jul-2018 (notice)	27-Sep-2019	Jin Hae Seo v. Republic of Korea, N/R HKIAC Case No. 18117	USD 3,000,000 (notice of arbitration, p. 14 and 15)	UNCITRAL Judge Bruno Sin	Dr. Benny Lo	Professor Donald McRae	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of No separate decision jurisdiction (para VIII.)	on Each Party shall bear and pay its own legal fees and expenses, and half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the HKIAC. (part VIII.)	14 (170-183)	No 3	11 July 2019	423 days
3-Aug-2015 (RFA)	31-Jul-2019	SolEs Badajoz GmbH v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/38	USD 106,610,282.66 (para 6)	ICSID Judge Joan E. D	Donoghue Dr. Staniniri A. Alexandrov, replaced by Sir David A.R. Williams KNZM, QC	Anna Joubin-Bret, replaced by Professor Giorgio Sacerdoti	USD 3,248,129.46 (para 560)	USD 1,127,929.31 (para 565)	USD 714,012.15 (para 569)	Respondent shall pay Claimant compensation in the amount of USD 45,604,273.31+ interest. (para 576) jurisdiction	an on Each Party shall bear its legal costs and expenses without contribution by the other Party. Respondent shall bear 100% of the costs of the proceedings. To give effect to the Tribunal's decision that Respondent shall bear the costs of this proceeding, Respondent	16 (560-575)	No :	8 June 2018	400 days
30-Apr-2013 (notice)	22-Nov-2018	South American Silver Limited v. Bolivia, PCA Case No. 2013-15	USD 307.200,000 + pre-award and post-award interest (para 106 of Claimant's post-hearing brief)	UNCITRAL Dr. Eduardo Zuli	eta Jaramillo Osvaldo César Guglielmino	Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña	USD 5,943,945.59 + approx. USD 400,000 - USD 2,000,000 (excludes USD 701,500 as advance payment of costs) (para 903, 904)	USD 4,164,784.34 (para 910)	USD 1,401,800 (para 927).	Claimant win. Respondent to provide compensation to the Claimant in the amount of USD 18,700,000 (para jurisdiction 937)	shall pay Claimant USD 357,006.075. (para 576)	38 (900-937)	No	11 July 2016	964 days
29-Dec-2014 (RFA)	02-Dec-2019	Stadtwerke München GmbH and others v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/1	USD 304,607,886.58 (para 95)	ICSID Professor Jeswa	ald W. Salacuse Professor Kaj Hobér	Professor Zachary Douglas QC	USD 4,994,996.74 (para 386)	USD 3,171,931.76 (para 388)	USD 869,404.53 (para 389)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para No separate decisio jurisdiction	a 936) Claimants to pay the Respondent USD 2,654,772.80 (EUR 2,396,728.88) regarding the Respondent's Defense Expenses (83.33%) and USD 362,237.40 regarding the Respondent's costs of the proceeding	21 (385-406)	No :	11 July 2017	854 days
											(83.33%). Claimants to pay USD 3,017,010.20 (para 407)				
4-Nov-2016 (RFA)	28-Feb-2020	Staur Elendom AS, EBO Invest AS and Rox Holding AS v. Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/38	USD 46,109,827 (converted from EUR 41,900,000) (para 7)		Professor Kaj Hobér	Toby Landau QC	N/A	USD 3,138,136.26 (para 529)	USD 658,994.42 (para 532)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the ments (para No separate decision (para la final distribution (para la fina	2,875,467.61 (EUR 2,612,937.42, legal fees reduced by 10%) and USD 329,497.21 in respect of the arbitration costs incurred by the Respondent.	14 (520-533)	No 4	March 2019	361 days
26-Aug-2016 (RFA)	25-Mar-2020	Sun Reserve Luxco Holdings SRL v. Italy, SCC Case No. 132/2016	USD 44,238,883 (converted from EUR 40,890,000) (para 10	SCC Arbitration Rules Professor Dr. All Berg	bert Jan Van den Prof. Dr. Klaus Sachs	Prof. Andrea Giardina	USD 4,733,248.46 (para 1018)	USD 1,136,351 (para 1021)	USD 425,369.94 (para 1025)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the ments. (para 1043) No separate decision untidiction	on Claimants start pay 0.00 3,000,900.40 (plant 304) Claimants to pay to the Blaitan Republic all costs of this arbitration in the amount of EUR 393,169.44 (plas VAT), Claimants to pay to the Italian Republic 75% of the costs incurred by the Italian Republic, including costs for legal representation, in theamount of EUR 990,000. Claimants to pay USD 1,496,450.76 altocether (ours 1043)	29 (1014-1042)	No :	6 November 2018	485 days
15-Oct-2014 (RFA)	21-Jun-2019	United Utilities (Tallinn) B.V. and Aktsiaselts Tallinna Vesi v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/24	USD 72,935,366 (converted from EUR 65,000,000) (para 322)	ICSID Stephen L. Drym	ner Sir David A. R. Williams QC	Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 5,843,438.13 (EUR 5,207,672.06) (para 996)	USD 2,241,883.72 (EUR 1,997,966.77) (para 936)	NA	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (pars 1/10 separate decision (939)	con Claimants shall pay Respondert an amount equivalent to (i) 25% of Respondent's legal costs, fees and expenses, plus (ii) 25% of the expended portion of Respondent's share of the advance on cost. Claimant shall pay USD 747,962.18 (para 939)	11 (928-938)	No :	November 2016	956 days
23-Sep-2016 (RFA)	13-May-2020	TECO Guatemala Holdings, LLC v. NRR Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/23	USD 243,660,060 (para 52)	ICSID Prof. Vaughan L	owe, Q.C. Dr. Stanimir Alexandrov	Prof. Brigitte Stem	USD 3,669,892.49 (not including USD 9,277,593.86 which are the original proceedings) (gava 146)	USO 4,782,415.80 (para 149)	USD 527,518.84 (para 142)	Claimant vin. Claimant is entitled by way of damages. No separate decision to recover the the sum of USD \$3.73.001 + pre-award purification and proclement stemes. (pare 103) 3.001 + pre-award purification.	on Nespondent shall bear its own costs and reimburse 75% of Claimant's costs, housing its contribution to the costs and exposes incurred by 1550, prising is the proceedings before the Original Tribunal. Each Party shall say by excists and operance reading to principle of the Cost of the Cost of the Cost paragraph 382 of the Armalment Decision. Each Party shall be set its own costs and one half of the costs and expenses incurred by 1550 in the proceedings before this Tribunal. (para 155)	14 (141-154)	No	11 March 2019	429 days
12-Dec-2008 (RFA)	21-Jul-2017	Teinver S.A., Transportes de Cercanias S.A. and Autobuses Urbanos del Sur S.A. v. The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARBi09/1	USD 1,590,000,000 (para 170)	ICSID Judge Thomas 8	Buergenthal Henri C. Alvarez, Q.C.	Dr. Kamal Hossain	USD 16,049,035 (para 1134)	USD 2,444,100.30 (para 1138)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation in the amount of USD 320,760,000 + interest. (para 1147)	Tribunal determined that Claimants are entitled to payment of 20% of their costs claimed. Respondent ordered to to pay to Claimants the sum of USD 3,494,807, being a contribution toward their accordable lengt and other costs of these	17 (1130-1146)	Yes	March 2014	1235 days
29-Nov-2011 (RFA)	12-Jul-2019	Tethyan Copper Company Pty Limited v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/1	USD 10,920,000,000 (including pre-award interest as of 30 April 2018) + remaining pre-award and post-award interest (para 129)	ICSID Professor Dr. Kli	Jon Beechey, replaced by Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov	Rt. Hon. Lord Leonard Hoffmann	USD 59,447,596.83 (para 1824)	USD 23,686,010 (para 1831)	USD 3,763,194.02 (para 1847)	Chimment win. Respondent shall pays Respondent shall pays of Chimment 1954, 4987, 900 as the principal amount of compensation + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 1858)	proceedings = interest. [para 1147] Respondent shall bear the costs of arbitration in the amount of USD 3,763,194.02 in full. Respondent shall further bear amount of USD 98,47,996.03 of the costs incurred by Claimant in connection with this arbitration proceeding + post-award interest. Respondent shall pay USD 83,210,796.02 altogether	48 (1810-1857)	Yes (tribunal informed parties of possible eservation of the issue or costs for a separate decision)	4 May 2018 (hearing on quantum)	424 days
16-Nov-2011 (para 11) the Preliminary award on jurisdiction)	28-Feb-2020	The PV Investors v. Spain, PCA Case No. 2012-14 USS 1 = EUR 0.9088 USS 1 = GBP 0.7827	USD 1,983,714,789 (converted from EUR 1,802,800,000) (para 823)	UNCITRAL Prof. Gabrielle K	Kaufmann-Kohler The Hon. Charles N. Brower	Judge Bernardo Sepúlveda-Amor	USD 12,948,301 (para 866, para 885 and para 373 of the Preliminary Award on Jurisdiction)	USD 5,084,359 (excluding the advances in the amount of EUR 1,455,000) (para 873, 885 and para 373 of the Preliminary Award on Jurisdiction)	USD 2,715,502 (converted from EUR 2,467,847.78) (par 895, 896)	a Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 13-Oct-2014 100,242,077. (para 909)	(para 1858) The costs of the arbitration shall be borne in equal shares. Each Party shall bear the legal fees and other expenses which it incurred during the proceedings. (para 909)	42 (866-907)	Yes	6 January 2018	773 days
13-Sep-2016 (RFA)	18-Feb-2020	Thomas Gosling and others v. Republic of Mauritius, ICSID Case No. ARB/16/32	USD 25,627,162.63 (para 85)	ICSID Dr. Andrés Rigo	Pref. Stanimir Alexandrov	Prof. Brigitte Stem	USD 4,218,903.34 (converted from EUR 3,901,841.81) (para 282)	USD 5,276,809.17 (para 283)	USD 577,611.78 (para 287)	Respondent win, Claims dismissed on the merits, (pars. No separate decision (289)		7 (282-288)	No	7 June 2019	246 days
14-Feb-2014 (notice)	31-Aug-2018	Unión Fenosa Gas, S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ISS 1 = EIRO 2821 USS 1 = EIRO 2821 USS 1 = EIRO 1827	USD 3,219,488,000 (para 10.2)	ICSID V.V. Veeder	J. William Rowley	Mark Clodfelter	USD 12,407,199.71 (para 12.4)	USD 1,896,380 (converted from €1,386,951.25; \$159,959.13; £59,606.94 and ESP 686,345.40) (para 12.11	USD 690,080.19 (para 12.18)	Claimant win. The total principal sum of compensation to be paid to the Claimant by the Respondent is USD part of the Claimant by the Respondent is USD part of the Claimant o	non The Respondent shall pay to the Claimant its legal costs in the total amount of USD 10,000,000; and as the costs in the total amount of USD 10,000,000; and as the Claimant in the Respondent shall pay USD 10,000,000 allogether (para 13.12) used to USD 10,000,000 allogether (para 13.12)	20 (12.1-12.20)	No 4	March 2017	543 days
3-Dec-2013 (RFA)	09-Oct-2018	UP (formerly Le Chèque Déjeuner) and C.D Holding Internationale v. Hungary, ICSII Case No. ARB/13/35 USS 1 = EUR 0.8709 LUR 0.8709	USD 31,465,319 (converted from EUR 27,400,000) (para 520)	ICSID Professor Dr. Ka Böckstiegel	The Honourable L. Yves Forter PC CC OQ QC	Sir Daniel Bethlehem KCMG QC	USD 3,975,684 (para 601, 620 - exclusion of advances to (CSID)	USD 3,100,616 (para 606, 620 - exclusion of advances to ICSID)	USD 999,567.33 (para 619)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay to Claimants damages amounting to USD 2637.375 (converted from EUR 22.196(.000) + interest. (pass 622)	Respondent shall bear its own costs of arbitration and shall reimburse Claimant 75% of Claimants' total arbitration costs, i.e. 75% of the expended portion of Claimants' advances to CISID and the ICSID lodging fee (USS 93.98.778), and of Claimants' legal fees and expenses (USS) 3075.408, converted from EURO 2,078.065.32). Respondent shall therefore pay USD 3,48.95% in interest. (para	22 (601-622)	Yes	12 May 2017	505 days
1-Oct-2018 (notice)	06-May-2020	Václav Fischer v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2019-37 USS 1 = EUR 0 9265	N/A	UNCITRAL Prof. Pierre Terc	citer Prof. Stanimir A. Alexandrov	Jean E. Katicki	USD 725,134 (para 49)	USD 6,683 (para 50)	USD 76,978.46 (para 41)	Respondent win. Chaimant failed to pay its advance on No separate decisio sorts. (para 14)	627) on Claimant is ordered to reimburse Respondent an amount of USD 78 97.65, corresponding to the balance of its initial deposit to the PCA. Claimant is ordered to reimburse Respondent an amount of CZK 167.850.07 for its costs incurred in these proceedings. Claimant must thus pay USD 83.858.14, (sprt V.)	24 (29-52)	No i	4/A	N/A
10-May-2013 (RFA)	25-Jul-2017	Valores Mundiales, S.L. and N/R Consorcio Andino S.L. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela,	USD 629,700,000 (para 655)	ICSID Dr. Eduardo Zuli	eta Dr. Horacio A. Grigera-Naón	Dr. Yves Derains	USD 9,893,930 (para 824)	USD 5,674,448.52 (para 825)	USD 682,245.24 (para 827)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD No separate decision 430,400,000 as compensation + interest. (para 834)	n on Respondent to pay 60% of legal fess incurred by Claimant and 60% of the arbitration costs, USD 5,925,765.14, (para 82)	10 (824-833)	No i	February 2016	504 days
8-May-2013 (notice)	15-May-2019	ICSID Case No. ARB/13/11 Voltaic Network GmbH v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-20 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8924 US\$ 1 = CZK Z2.9839	USD 8,647,768 (converted from CZK 198,500,000) (para 125)	PCA Professor Dr. Ha	ans van Houtte Gary Born, replaced by John Beechey CBE	Raymond Doak Bishop, replaced by Toby Landau QC	USD 999,499 (converted from EUR 891,952.73) (para 610)	USD 1,246,817 (para 617)	USD 222,891 (converted from EUR 198,907.97) (para 6: 637)	32. Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para No separate decisio jurisdiction	and pay to the Respondent the sum of USD	39 (610-648)	No :	7 February 2017	807 days
											55,110.91 (EUR 49,180,98). Each Party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance. (para 649)				
8-May-2013 (notice)	15-May-2019	WA Investments Europa Nova Ltd. USS 1 = EUR 0.8924 v. Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-19	USD 3,337,123.54 (converted from CZK 76,600,000) (para 125)	UNCITRAL Professor Dr. Ha	ans van Houtte Gary Born, replaced by John Beechey CBE	Raymond Doak Bishop, replaced by Toby Landau QC	converted from USD 451,576.63 (EUR 402,996.09) (para 691)	USD 1,246,817 (para 698)	USD 222,891 (converted from EUR 198,907.97) (para 71718)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para No separate decision principle) Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits. (para No separate decision principle)	ton The Claimant shall bear 75% of costs of arbitration and pay to the Respondent the sum of USD 55,110.94 (ICR 49,180.98). Each Party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance. (para 725, 728)	39 (691-729)	No 2	27 February 2017	807 days
26-Oct-2015 (RFA)	13-Jul-2020 (decision on redification, origina award of 21-Jan-2020	Watkins Holdings S.ä r.l. and others v. Klingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/IS/44	USD 137,783,356 (converted from EUR 123,900,000) (para 643)	ICSID Tan Sri Dato' Ce	Dr. Michael C. Pryles AO PBM	Prof. Dr. Hélène Ruiz Fabri	USD 3,446,125 (para 760 of award and para 76 of the rectification decision)	USD 1,213,985 (para 765 of award + para 75 of the rectification decision)	USD 753,799.87 (para 771 of award + para 82 of the reciffication decision)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay damages in sum of USD 85,441,533 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 775)	The Respondent shall pay the Claimants USD 3,112,771 i.e. 75% of the Claimants cost of the proceedings (inclusing the arbitration costs) + 8s full legal costs in rectification proceedings. (para 775)	15 (760-774)	No :	11 May 2018	783 days (until decision on rectification)
5-Jun-2013 (RFA)	27-Sep-2017	Caratube international Oil Company LLP and Devincel Salah Hourant v. Republic of Kezashidan (ii), ICSID Case No. ARB/13/13	USD 991,060,060 (para 252)	ICSID Or. Laurent Lévy	y Prof. Laurent Ayrels	Dr. Jacques Salès	USD 2,486,370,78 (para 1228)	USD 17,239,921.37 (para 1237)	NA	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay CIOC the amount of USD 39,200,000 + interest (para 1268) syntheticides.	The Patiest shall equally share the costs of the Arbitation. The Respondent shall pay the Claimants for the advance payments to ICSD that the Claimants and on behalf of the Respondent to meet such costs, for a total of USB 1.207.787.44. Each Party shall bear the fees, costs and expenses it incurred for the preparation and presentation of its case. (para 1.004)	40 (1228-1267)	No :	: November 2015	696 days
23-Jul-2012 (RFA)	13-Nov-2017	C.A. and Owens-Illinois de Venezuela, C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID	USD 1,033,052,912 (para 10)	ICSID Professor Hi-Tae	ek Shin The Honorable L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C.	Professor Zachary Douglas, Q.C.,	USD 11,580,409.80 (including the arbitration costs, para 308 310)	USD 3,320,725.51 (including arbitration costs, para 311-313	3) USD 915,096.55 (para 314)	Respondent win, claim dismissed for lack of No separate decision jurisdiction. (para 322)	on Each Party shall bear its own legal costs and expenses. The Claimants shall bear the costs of the arbitration. (para 322)	15 (307-321)	No 4	April 2016	589 days
24-Jul-2012 (RFA)	22-Nov-2017	Case No. ARBH1221 Transban Investments Corp. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARBH12224	NA	ICSID H.E. Judge Pete	er Toméa Professor David D. Caron	Dr. Santiago Tornes Bernárdez	USD 867,511.52 (para 180)	USD 2,875,000 (para 186)	USD 738,188.97 (para 185)	Respondent win, claim dismissed for tack of jurisdiction. (jam 191) his report of choice of purisdiction. (jam 191) grant for tack of jurisdiction.)	proceedings (fees and expenses of the members of	14 (177-190)	Yes	March 2016	631 days
16-Dec-2014 (RFA)	22-Dec-2017	Lighthouse Corporation Pty Ltd and Lighthouse Corporation Ltd, IBC v. Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/2	NA	ICSID Professor Gabrie Kohler	Stephen Jagusch QC	Professor Campbell McLachlan QC	USD 1,319,184.80 (para 339)	USD 3,197,328 (para 340)	USD 546,868.51 (para 341)	Respondent win, claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para 347) No separate decision jurisdiction. (para 347) No separate decision jurisdiction. (claim dismissed for lack o jurisdiction)	on The Claimants shall bear the entirety of the costs of this arbitration, including the and thus pay to the Respondent USD 273,434.26. The Claimants shall pay USD 1,300,000 on account of the Respondent's legal fees and expenses incurred in connection with this arbitration. The Claimants shall pay USD	9 (338-346)	Yes	3 February 2017	303 days
15-Aug-2012 (RFA)	22-Dec-2017	UAB E energija (Lithuania) v. Republic of Latvia, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/33	USD 9,932,520.42 (para 440)	ICSID Dr. Paolo Michel	de Patocchi Samuel Wordsworth QC	Prof. Dr. August Reinisch (appointed by the Chairman of th (CSID Administrative Council)	e USD 3,200,247.14 (including a success fee, para 442)	USD 197,176.84 (para 447-448)	USD 842,940.41 (para 1166)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 1,876,486.82 No separate decisis in pre-award and post-award interest. (part X.) production.	1 of 73.432.26 allocation: (scars 347) 1 of 73.432.26 allocation: (scars 347) 1 of Respectored shall pay the Claimant authorized to the Common of the Comm	14 (1155-1168)	No 2	13 February 2015	1157 days

N/A 19-Fe	of Def	ngton Ingalls Inc. v. Ministry N/R ense of the Bolivarian ilic of Venezuela, UNCITRAL	USD 149,401,347 (para 199-1607)	UNCITRAL	José Emilio Nunes Pinto	Horacio A. Grigera Naón	Antonio Hierro	USD 11,159,089.06 (para 1725)	USD 4,043,138.02 (para 1731)	USD 1,430,812.8 (para 1725, 1731)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 54,015,389.5. (part XVII.)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Each party shall bear its own legal costs and expenses in connection with this arbitration and shall spit eventy the costs and fees (including the arbitrators' fees) related to this arbitration. (para 1737)	21 (1720-1740)	No	12 January 2015	1135 days
10-Oct-2014 (RFA) 24-Ag	Venez Conoc Petrol Corpo Petrol	s Petroleum Company s Petroleum Company uela Limited, opphillips Petrozuata B.V. v. eco De Venezuela, S.A., guaning, S.A., PUVSA ec, S.A., ICC Case No. ASMUPA (C-20550/45M)	USD 25,200,000,000 (para 70)	ICC	Laurent Lévy	Laurent Ayriès	Andrea Giardina	USD 14,143,568.79 (para 1134)	USD17,891,768 (para 1138)	USD 3,050,600 (para 1157)	Claimants win. Respondent to pay USD USD 1,986,047,214.72 (para 1163)	No separate decision on jurisdiction.	Each Party is to bear its own legal fees, costs and expenses. The fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the expenses of the Tribunal's Secretary as well as the ICC administrative expenses are to be evenly divided between the Parties. (para 1163)	33 (1130-1162)	No	28 November 2016	513 days
22-Apr-2015 (RFA) 3-Ma	Reput No. Al	uxos Capital GmbH v. Lic of Kosovo, ICSID Case B/15/22	USD 496,653,901.39 (para 47)	ICSID	Philippe Pinsolle	Or. Michael Feit	J. Christopher Thomas QC	USD 4,112,226.81 (para 261)	USD 1,818,642.99 (para 262)	USD 633,506.44 (para 263)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 275)		The Claimants shall hear the entirety of the costs of this arbitration, including the fees and expenses of the Tribunal, ICSIU's administrative fees, and direct expenses, as well as the Respondent's reasonable legal fees and expenses incurred in connection with this arbitration. The Claimants shall thus pay to the Respondent USD 1,871,863.71 (para 275)	18 (257-274)	No	6 November 2017	179 days
5-Aug-2016 (RFA) 11-Me	Engin Serbia	Integrament D.O. and HPK <u>USS 1 - GRP 2.137</u> energy B.V. Regulated of the USS 1 - GRP 2.137 energy B.V. Regulated of the USS 1 - RSO 90.0756 LCIA Case No. 163397	USD 20,369,275.27 + interest (para 133)	LCIA	Maxi Scherer	Rehard Jacobs	Modrag V Ortic	1,885,160.99 (pure 453)	USD 751,298.89 (para 455)	USD 319,269.86 (para 461)	Claimant win regarding core clams against the second respondent. Second Repondent to pay USD 11,014,745.35 (para 470)	jurisdiction	Parties should bear the NET Arbitration Costs in equal shares. Quan 461) Regarding the First Respondent, the Claims were unsuccessful, and the Claims are thus ordered to pay the First Respondent's Legal Costs in the amount of USD 474,262. TRegarding the Second Respondent's Legal Costs in the amount of USD 474,262. TRegarding the Second Respondent, the Claimsteric Colaims were partially accounted and colaimsteric Colaims were partially accounted and colaimsteric Colaims and Colaimsteric Colaimst	18 (453-470)	No	30 October 2017	194 days
26-Feb-2016 (RFA) 6-Jur	Trans Reput	Iding Limited v. Iniestrian Moldovan Ilic, ICC Case No. MHM	USD 26,432,670 (para 214)	ICC	Noah D. Rubins	Sophie Nappert	Galina Zukova (appointed by the appointing authority)	Claimant did not advance any claim for its legal fees and expenses. (para 288)	Respondent has not made any claim for costs (para 287)	USD 367,000 (para 287)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,807,336. (para 295)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant to bear the costs of arbitration (USD 367,000).	8 (287-294)	No	18 May 2017	351 days
20-Jan-2016 (RFA) 14-Ju	Mater	Construction, Construction als Trading Inc. v. State of ICC Case No. 21603/ZF/AYZ	USD 2,883,975.06 + interest (para 24)	ICC	Jacob Grierson	Tolga Ayoglu	Mohamed Abdel Wahab	USD 115,290 (para 181)	USD 113,893.43 (para 182)	USD 236,900 (para 180)	Respondent win. Part of the claims dismissed on jurisdiction and part on the merits. (para 190)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Claimant to bear the legal costs of respondent and costs of arbitration, Claimant to pay USD 350,793.43 (para 190)	11 (179-189)	No	6 November 2017	221 days
N/A 7.Seg	p-2018 Hydro (I), ICC	Sri v. Republic of Albania Case No. 20854/EMT/GR	158 518 527,95 (para 70)	icc	Bernard R. Hanotiau	Eduardo Zuleta Jaramillo	Bernard Rix	USD 165,462.07 - only costs of the quantum phase of proceedings (para 203)	USD 31,246.38 - only costs of the quantum phase of proceedings (para 204)	USD 1,100,000 (para 209)	Respondent win on the counterclaim. Claimant shall pay USD 7,957,055.89 (para 211)		Respondent to bear all of the Claimant's legal and other costs for the second phase of the proceedings (USD 165,462,70.80) of the fees and expenses of the abitrators and the ICC administrative expenses shall be supported by the Claimant, i.e., USD 880,000, and 20% shall be supported by the Respondent, i.e., USD 220,000. Claimant thus contributed USD 330,000 to the Respondent.	11 (201-211)	Yes	N/A	NA
25-May-2017 (RFA) 14-Se	the Ga	nsaat ve Sanayi A.S. v. 1. uss 1 = EUR 0.8577 uss 1 = XOF 548.0965 to ce nationale des grands x, ICC Case No. 22841/DDA	USD 48,565,242.44 (para 103-108)	icc	Xavier Favre-Bulle	Fernando Mantilla-Serrano	Mathias Audit	622,110.49 (para 463)	USD 41,972.77 (para 464)	USD 670,000 (para 460)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 48,565,242.44 (para 477)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Gabon should be made to pay 75% of the claimant's legal fees as well as 85% of the costs of the arbitration. It shall pay USD 1,036,082.86 (para 473- 476)	19 (458-476)	No	No hearing	N/A
18-Dec-2015 (RFA) 7-Nov	A.S v.	z Inşaat Sanayi ve Ticaret Libya, ICC Case No. ZF/AYZ	USD 302,600,000 + interest (para 688)	icc	Juan Fernandez-Armesto	Pierre Mayer	Georges Khairaffah	USD 2,419,263.72 (para 656)	USD 598,548.57 (para 657)	USD 939,400 (para 667)	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 51,200	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Arbitration costs shall be borne equally by both parties, and each party shall bear its own legal expenses. (para 691)	27 (651-677)	No	15 January 2018	297 days
9-Mar-2015 (notice) 7-De	Corpo	nester Securities US\$ 1 = PLN 3,7657 ration v. Republic of d, PCA Case No. 2015-18	N/A	UNCITRAL	Andrés Rigo Sureda	Charles N. Brower	Brigitte Stern	N/A (redacted)	N/A (reducted)	USD 750,975.08 (para 538)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 9,985,883.74 +pre-award and post-award interest. (para 540)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Each Party shall be responsible for 50% of the costs of the arbitration, namely, the fees and expenses of the arbitrators and of the PCA. Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs of legal representation and assistance. (para 540)	20 (350-358, 529-539)	No	25-Sep-2017	439 days
29-Dec-2016 (RFA) 7-Fet	Reput	-Adriatic Group Limited v. ilic of Albania, ICSID Case RB/17/6	NA	ICSID	Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto	Dr. Georg von Segesser	Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 686,635.16 (para 297)	USD 1,168,301.16 (para 299)	USD 308,036.50 (para 300)	Respondent win for lack of tribunal's jurisdiction. (para 311)	No separate decision on jurisdiction	Investor shall bear the totality of the arbitration costs (USD 308,036.60). Each Party shall bear its own legal costs and expenses. (para 311)	14 (297-310)	No	17 July 2018	206 days
30-Dec-2016 29-Ju	v. Cor Petról Venez	rophillips Gulf of Parie B.V. NR poración Venezciana de poración Venezciana de poración y construir de de poración	USD 1,586,080,080 + pre-award and post-award interest pare 140)	icc	Laurent Lévy	Laurent Aynès	Andrea Giardina	7,949,482.04 (para 409)	6,719,018.02 (para 411)	USD 1,626,000 (para 420)	Claimont win. Respondent to pay USD 33,700,000 + interest (para 423)		The Chimset must be it on visigif fees, and other costs and expenses, including the expenses related to its expert witheresses, as well as pay the Respondents USD 250,000 to lowwards their legal fees, and other costs and expenses. The Chairmant should bear 17% to the costs of the activation of the cost of the artifaction of the cost of the cost of the artifaction of the artifacti	16 (407-422)	No	29 October 2018	274 days
13-May-2015 (notice) 20-Au	Mining (II), PC	Energy Corp. and Kutteay 1 LC v. Kyrgyz Republic A Case No. 2015-32	USD 128,230,000 + pre-award and post-award interest (155)	para UNCITRAL	Professor Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel	The Honourable Colin L. Campbell, Q.C.	Stephen Jagusch, Q.C.	USD 4,733,136,54 (para 896)	USD 5,417,453.57 (para 898)	USD 1,317,042.32 (para 918)	Claimant win. The Respondent shall pay damages to the Claimants amounting to USO 15,027,081.89+ pre-award and post-award interest. (para 922)		The Claimants shall bear one third and the Respondent two thirds of the total costs of arbitration as fixed by the Tribunal. These two thirds amount to EUR 791,630.24. The Respondent shall irributures the Claimants two thirds of their costs of legal representation and assistance. These two thirds amount to USD 3, 137,891.77, CAD 16,472.14 and EUR 4,973.62, Por Respondent shall pay USD	70 (852-921)		9 April 2018	499 days
18-Sep-2015 (RFA) 11-Ox	Kong)	ard Chartered Bank (Hong Limited v. United Republic zania, ICSID Case No. 5/41	USD 352,514,258 (para 50)	ICSID	Professor Lawrence Boo	Sir Stanley Burnton, replaced by Justice David Unterhalter SC	Dr Kamal Hossain	USD 3,322,776.88 (para 533)	USD 4,529,607.94 (para 539)	USD 1,002,875.81 (para 542)	Claimant win. Respondent shall pay USD 185,449,440,04+ interest. (Part IX.)		4,933.45.87 (nars 927) Claimant has stated in the Submission of Costs It would not be seeking any order for cost of arbitration should the damages and compensation awarded herein be sufficient to discharge the Respondent's indebtedness under the Facility Agreement, the Tribunal therefore made no order on the costs of	17 (527-543)	No	16 July 2018	453 days
3-Nov-2011 (notice) 23-De		med Abdel Raouf Bahgat v. PCA Case No. 2012-07 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9018 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7744 US\$ 1 = EGP 16.0618	USD 108,500,000 (para 88)	UNCITRAL	Professor Rüdiger Wolfrum	Professor W. Michael Reisman	Laurent Lévy	USD 10,120,388.43 (excluding funding costs, para 564)	USD 1,299,909.49 (para 575)	USD 1,286,316.26 (EUR 1,160,000) (para 560)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 43,770,000 + pre-award and post-award interest. (para 618)	30-Nov-2017	arbitration. (para 543) Respondent shall pay 90% of the arbitration costs and 90% of the reasonable legal costs of Claimant. It shall pay USD 9,576,388.50 (para 618)	75 (543-617)	Yes	24 April 2019	244 days