

## Study of Cost Awards in Investment Treaty Arbitrations up to 31 May 2017 Master Table

Matthew Hodgson and Alastair Campbell, Allen & Overy LLP

Start date	Date of Award	Parties	Historical conversion rate	Amount in dispute	Arbitral Institution	Number of Arbitrators	Claimant's Legal Costs	Respondent's Legal Costs	Tribunal's Costs	Result	Award on Costs	Paragraphs dealing with Costs
22-Feb-08	<a href="#">18-Apr-13</a>	Abengoa S.A. y COFIDES S.A. v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/09/2	US\$ 1 = MXN 12.2623	USD 68,999,725.32 (MXN 846,440,330.41) plus interest (para 493).	ICSID (AF)	3: Alexis Mourre (President), Eduardo Siqueiros Twomey, Juan Fernandez Armesto	USD 2,898,606.73 (converted from MXN 35,558,078.30) (para. 792).	N/A (para. 791)	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent asked to pay a total of 491,809,534.54 Mexican Pesos (USD 40,114,970) comprising of: i) 403,080,533 pesos as compensation for expropriation; ii) 42,451,144 pesos as compensation for lost profits; iii) 7,878,107.77 pesos in additional costs; iv) 17,541,797 pesos as compensation for devolution of VAT; v) 20,857,852.77 pesos as compensation for costs plus interest on the amounts above. (para. 794-796)	Respondent ordered to pay half of Claimant's legal and tribunal costs: USD 1,700,288.80 (converted from MXN 20,857,952.77) (para. 791).	5 (paras 788 - 793)
28-Oct-2011	<a href="#">17-Apr-15</a>	Accession Mezzanine Capital L.P. and Danubius Kereskedelmi Vagyonkezesi Zrt. v Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/3)	N/R	N/A Not stated in the award (and neither on UNCTAD or other websites).	ICSID	3: Arthur W. Rovine (President), Marc Lalonde, Zachary Douglas.	USD 2,197,979.70 (para.193)	USD 1,658,411.04 (para.194)	USD 390,688.04 (para. 195)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 203(1))	The Tribunal decides (para. 202): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	11 (paras 192-202)
01-Oct-08	<a href="#">07-Dec-12</a>	Achmea B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2008-13 (formerly Eureko B.V. v. The Slovak Republic)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7728	USD 83,721,532.09 (converted from EUR 64,700,000 (para. 306))	UNCITRAL	Prof. Vaughan Lowe (President); V. V. Veeder; Prof. Albert Jan Van den Berg	USD 3,759,511.70 (converted from EUR 2,905,350.64) for the liability and quantum phase (para. 351).	N/A	USD 878,852.34 (converted from EUR 679,177.09 (para. 345).	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 28,597,308.49 (EUR 22,100,000) (para. 352).	The Tribunal decides (para. 352): (1) the Respondent shall pay USD 3,759,511.70 (EUR 2,905,350.94) as the Claimant's legal costs relating to the merits and quantum phase; and (2) the Respondent shall pay USD 285,679.01 (EUR 220,772.74) as the Claimant's share of the merits phase of the arbitration.	15 of 352 (paras 337-351)
06-Feb-13	<a href="#">20-May-14</a>	Achmea B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2013-12	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7300 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5932	N/A Not disclosed in the award but claims quantified at at USD 83,000,000 (converted from EUR 72,000,000) as per the UNCTAD website ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/519">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/519</a> )	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3: Dr. Laurent Levy (Presiding Arbitrator), John Beechey, Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 782,948.71 (USD 782,948.71 (equivalent to EUR 571,552.56 (arrived from EUR 547,725.78 + EUR 23,826.78)) (para. 274)	USD 1,847,516.08 (USD 1,847,516.38 (equivalent to EUR 1,348,686.96) (para. 277)	USD 475,618.92 (converted from USD 465,372.30 (EUR 339,721.78) + USD 10,246.62 (GBP 6,083.42)) (para. 284)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 291)	The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,861,256.21 (para. 291): (1) Claimant shall bear the entire arbitration costs USD 475,618.92 (USD 465,372.30 (converted from EUR 339,721.78) + USD 10,246.62 (converted from GBP 6,083.42)); and (2) Claimant shall also bear 75% of all of the Respondent's costs of legal representation, i.e. an amount of USD 1,385,637.29 (converted from EUR 1,011,515.22)	17 (paras. 274-291)
20-Feb-12	<a href="#">27-Jan-15</a>	Active Partners Group Limited v Republic of South Sudan, PCA Case No. 2013/4	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8797	USD 248,518,537.00 including interest; alternatively USD 218,556,798.00 (para. 314(f))	UNCITRAL/PCA	3: Philippe Pinsolle (President); Richard Omwela; Karel Daele	USD 6,368,104 (the total of USD 1,740,000 + USD 750,000 + USD 3,878,104) (para. 412) (the above figure includes success based portion)	N/A Respondent did not submit the details of the costs incurred (paras 313, 413)	USD 252,151.39 (converted from EUR 221,817.58 (arrived from EUR 59,311.48 + EUR 40,480.00 + EUR 62,048.40 + EUR 21,514.37 + EUR 37,713.33 + EUR 750.00)	Claimant wins on the merits in the amount of USD 39,897,785.16 (USD 35,770,243.33 + USD 4,127,541.83) (para. 418.3 and 418.4)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 863,413.24 (para. 418): (1) USD 86,190.24 (converted from EUR 75,821.55) as compensation for the Claimant's advance of the costs of the arbitration; (2) USD 777,223.00 as compensation for the Claimant's reasonable legal costs.	4 (paras. 310 - 313)
07-May-03	<a href="#">02-Oct-06</a>	ADC Affiliate Limited and ADC & ADMC Management Limited v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16	N/R	Alternative amounts submitted based on three different valuation approaches: USD 68,423,638 (Time of Expropriation Approach), USD 76,227,279 (Restitution Approach), or USD 99,722,430 (Unjust Enrichment Approach), each sum inclusive of interest (para 243). n.b. Tribunal applied Chorzow, rejecting the Claimants' claim under the unjust enrichment approach and concluding that the restitution approach was appropriate (paras 499-500).	ICSID	3: The Hon. Charles Brower, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, Neil Kaplan CBE QC (President)	USD 7,623,693 in Claimant's costs and expenses of the arbitration, minus USD 350,000 ICSID advance, i.e. USD 7,273,693 (para 527).	USD 4,380,335 in Respondent's costs and expenses of the arbitration minus USD 350,000 ICSID advance = USD 4,030,335 (para 528)	USD 700,000 paid by parties to ICSID as deposit for Tribunal fees and expenses (paras 527-528).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay to ADC Affiliate Ltd. the sum of USD 55,426,973 and to ADC & ADMC Management Ltd. the sum of USD 20,773,027, i.e. total award of USD 76,200,000 (para 543). n.b. Tribunal considered that no pre-award interest had accrued as the damages calculation was based on the value of the expropriated investments as of the date of the award (para 520).	Respondent ordered to pay to the Claimants the sum of USD 7,623,693 in full satisfaction of both Claimants' costs including costs advanced to ICSID (para 542).	19 of 354
05-Dec-11	<a href="#">03-Nov-15</a>	Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v Sultanate of Oman (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/33)	N/R	USD 273,000,000 (para. 41(b)) plus interest	ICSID	3: Professor David A R Williams QC (President), Judge Charles N Brower, J Christopher Thomas QC	USD 15,080,749.93 (para. 469) (assuming Claimant has made the ICSID payments identical to the Respondent i.e. USD 449,965) (Total costs of Claimant including ICSID payments = USD 15,530,714.93 (para. 469))	USD 7,119,915.32 (para. 479) (USD 5,335,095.52 (lawyer fees and disbursements) + USD 1,784,819.90 (witness and consultancy fees) = USD 7,119,915.42) (para. 479)	N/A Not clear. Respondent paid USD 449,965 in Tribunal costs and presumably Claimant paid the same (para. 479). Thus, this rounds up to USD 899,930.	Respondent won on merits, all of Claimants claims dismissed (para. 474).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,677,410.24 (para. 480)  This amounts to 75% of the Respondent's total costs (including Tribunal costs)	13 (paras 469-481)
21-Jul-00	<a href="#">09-Jan-03</a>	ADF Group Inc. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Judge Florentino P. Feliciano (President), Prof. Armand deMestral, Ms. Carolyn S. Lamm	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed: some were declared inadmissible, the others failed on the merits (para 199).	Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses. Costs of the proceedings (including Tribunal fees) should be shared on a fifty-fifty basis (para 200).	1 of 200
06-Jul-07	<a href="#">23-Sep-10</a>	AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erőmű Kft v. The Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/22	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7492320515	N/A n.b. Claimants claimed to have invested approximately USD 260,800,597 in the Hungarian electricity sector (para 6.2.2), and to have suffered price cuts of 43% and 35% resulting in direct loss of revenue for AES Tisza and being declared in default under loan documentation in respect of EUR 98 million project finance loan facilities (paras 4.24-4.25). However, the Claimant does not appear to have quantified its damages. The alleged expropriation was of revenues rather than the whole investment.	ICSID	3: Mr. Claus Werner von Wobeser (President), Prof. Brigitte Stern, J. William Rowley QC	USD 8,787,993.70 in total costs "including" legal fees and expenses (para 15.1).  It is not entirely clear whether this sum is inclusive or exclusive of the Claimant's ICSID/Tribunal advance of USD 459,945. It is assumed from the award's wording that it was inclusive, i.e. that total legal fees and expense amounted to USD 8,328,048.70.	USD 5,522,883 in total costs "including" legal fees and expenses (para 15.2).  It is not entirely clear whether this sum is inclusive or exclusive of the Respondent's ICSID/Tribunal advance of USD 460,000. It is assumed from the award's wording that it was inclusive, i.e. that total legal fees and expense amounted to USD 5,062,883.	USD 887,839.04 including arbitrators' fees, Tribunal expenses, Secretariat admin fee and use of Centre (para 15.3).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as there was no breach of the Treaty (para 16.1).	Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat costs to be borne equally. Parties shall pay their own costs and expenses (para 15.3).	N/A
31-Aug-05	<a href="#">29-Jul-08</a>	African Holding Company of America, Inc. and Société Africaine de Construction au Congo S.A.R.L. v. Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/21	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), O.L.O. de Witt Wijnen, Dominique Grisay	N/A	N/A	USD 140,000	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 44).	Each party to pay its own legal and other expenses and to bear half the arbitration costs. Respondent to reimburse Claimant for USD 70,000 advance to Centre paid by Claimant paid on Respondent's behalf (para 125).	N/A
17-Jul-06	<a href="#">11-Dec-08</a>	Aguytia Energy, LLC v. Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/13	N/R	USD 91,100,000 (para. 31 - see footnote 7)	ICSID	3: Robert Briner (President); William Rowley QC; Claus von Wobeser	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimant's claim dismissed on the merits (p. 56)	The Tribunal decides (p. 56): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras. 98-102)
11-Mar-11	<a href="#">15-Jul-13</a>	AHS Niger and Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. v. Republic of Niger, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/11	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7669	N/A	ICSID	3: Fernando Mantilla-Serrano (President), Patrick Hubert, Gaston Kentack-Douani	USD 205,878.92 (converted from EUR 157,907.08) (para. 162)	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent directed to pay EUR 4,641,592.15 (USD 6,051,698.40) as damages.	Respondent to bear 100% of the costs of the arbitration and must also contribute up to EUR 118,000 (USD 153,848) (representing nearly 75% of the total legal fees) towards Claimant's legal costs (para 167)	8 of 167
03-May-01	<a href="#">07-Oct-03</a>	AIG Capital Partners, Inc. and CJSC Tema Real Estate Company Ltd. v Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/6	N/R	USD 13,500,000 plus interest until the date of payment (para. 12.1.7)	ICSID	3: Fali S. Nariman (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Dr Branko Vukmir	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant wins on the merits in the amount of USD 5,959,330 (page 118)  (Comprising: (1) USD 3,560,000 and (2) USD 2,399,330)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,250,000 to cover legal and tribunal costs (page 118, para. 5)	1 (on p.117)
16-Jul-08	<a href="#">16-Jul-12</a>	Alapik Elektrik B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/13	N/R	N/A Redacted but UNCTAD says USD 100,000,000 ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/312">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/312</a> ).	ICSID	3: Prof. William W Park (President), Hon. C Lalonde, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 8,264,624 (para. 422)	USD 4,439,540.77 (para. 423)	N/A Not clear - claimant advanced USD 449,960 and respondent advanced USD 450,000.	Respondent won on jurisdiction (para. 425).	The tribunal decided that (para. 424): (1) each party should bear its own legal fees; and (2) the costs of the arbitration shall be divided on an equal basis.	6 (paras 419-424)
10-May-04	<a href="#">19-May-10</a>	Alasdair Ross Anderson and others v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3	N/R	N/A n.b. Although Claimants appeared to be claiming for amount of lost deposits (para 28), this sum was not quantified. USD 405 million was deposited in total by all depositors, not just the Claimants (para 22).	ICSID	3: Dr. Sandra Morell Rico (President), Prof. Jeswald W. Salacuse, Prof. Raúl E. Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 61).	Costs of proceedings including fees and expenses of arbitrators and Secretariat to be borne equally between Parties. Each party to bear its own costs and expenses.	3 of 66
02-Feb-99	<a href="#">25-Jun-01</a>	Alex Genin, Eastern Credit Limited Inc. and A.S. Baltov v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2	N/R	USD 1,639,344 plus estimated potential worth of "USD 50,000,000 - USD 70,000,000", i.e. total of USD 71,639,344 (paras 98-99).	ICSID	3: Mr. L. Yves Fortier CC QC (President), Prof. Meir Heth, Prof. Albert Jan Van den Berg	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on merits, as Claimants failed to prove that Respondent's agents had acted in violation of BIT (para 375). Counterclaim also dismissed (para 378).	Each party shall bear all of its own costs and expenses, and arbitration costs including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of ICSID facilities to be borne by Claimants and Respondent, respectively, in equal shares (para 383).	6 of 385
01-Jun-07	<a href="#">08-Nov-10</a>	Alpha Projektholding GmbH v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/16	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 10,085,000 in net present value as of 2004, plus interest at average 12-month LIBOR rate until February 2009, totalling USD 12,100,000 (para 476).  Claimed in Reply: USD 9,467,000 in net present value as of 2004, plus interest at average 12-month LIBOR rate until February 2009, totalling USD 11,400,000 (para 476).	ICSID	3: Hon. Davis R. Robinson (Chairman), Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov, Dr. Yoram Turbowicz	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,979,232 in total damages, plus annually compounded interest from 1 July 2004 to February 2009 at 9.11% (being the risk-free rate plus market risk premium, i.e. not the rate requested by the Claimant), resulting in total damages of USD 5,250,782 owing as at 31 December 2010 (paras 513-514).	Each party shall bear its own legal fees and one half of the total arbitration costs, but with an adjustment of costs associated with challenge: Claimant's share of USD 30,000 shifted to Respondent (para 516).	2 of 517

19-May-08	<a href="#">05-Mar-11</a>	Alps Finance and Trade AG v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A (amounts in para 140 redacted)	UNCITRAL	3. Hans Stuber, Bohuslav Klein, Prof. Antonio Crivellaro (Chairman)	N/A (amounts in para 258 redacted)	N/A (amounts in para 258 redacted)	N/A (amounts in para 255 redacted)	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction.	Respondent indemnified in full. Claimant ordered to pay Respondent's share of Tribunal's costs, and 100% of Respondent's legal costs (para 269).	18 of 270
15-Jan-81	31-May-90	Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1	N/R	USD 15,000,000	ICSID	3. Rosalyn Higgins, Marc Lalonde, Per Magid	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 2,696,330 to the Claimant plus non-compounded interest of 6%.	Each party to bear its own costs, and the costs of the arbitration to be split equally.	N/A
25-Jan-93	<a href="#">21-Feb-97</a>	American Manufacturing and Trading, Inc. v. Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1	N/R	USD 21,574,405 in compensation, plus 8% on that sum since 23 September 1991 and for sum of USD 305,368 since 30 January 1993 i.e. USD 21,879,773, plus interest (para 3.06). n.b. Unclear from the wording whether the USD 305,368 is further principal sum or a portion of the USD 21,574,405 on which interest is to be paid, or a sum of interest itself. It has been assumed that it is a further principal sum.	ICSID	3. Sompong Sucharitkul (President), Heribert Golsong, Keba Mbaye	N/A n.b. Claimant claimed expenses including USD 126,500 for Loyds reports, and other expenses and fees (para 3.06).	N/A	USD 209,657.92 in total costs of proceedings (page 42). n.b. This is assumed from fact that (i) the parties bore an equal share of Tribunal fees and expenses, and (ii) Respondent was ordered to pay Claimant USD 104,828.96 "representing one half of the costs of the proceedings for which advance payments have been made by AMT" (see page 42).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 9,000,000 inclusive of interest (pages 41-42).	Each party to bear its own legal fees and expenses, and an equal share of the arbitration costs, including Tribunal fees and expenses. Additionally, Respondent to pay Claimant USD 104,828 representing one half of the costs of proceedings for which advances made by Claimant.	1 of 138
26-Jul-10	<a href="#">19-Dec-13</a>	Anatolie Stati, Gabriel Stati, Ascom Group SA and Terra Raf Trans Trading Ltd v Kazakhstan, SCC Case No. V (116/2010)	USS 1 = EUR 0.7316 USS 1 = CZK 25.3291	USD 1,049,078,000 (para. 199) plus interest	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (Chairman), David R. Haigh QC, Prof. Sergei N. Lebedev	USD 16,525,543.92 (USD 17,950,992.87 - USD 1,425,448.95) (para. 1865)	USD 17,478,518.6 (para. 1872)	USD 1,461,824.74 (converted from EUR 1,069,470.98) (para. 1885)	Respondent to pay to Claimants a net amount of USD 497,685,101 plus interest. (NB: the total compensation calculated by the tribunal amounted to USD 508,130,000 but from this sum the Tribunal deducted the Claimants' debts amounting to USD 10,444,899 to reach this final figure of compensation) (para. 1859)	The Tribunal orders the Respondent to pay USD 10,071,864.96 (page 414): 1) Respondent shall pay to Claimants 50% of Claimants' costs of legal representation (amounting to USD 8,975,496.40); 2) Respondent shall bear 3/4 of the arbitration costs to be determined by SCC and sent to parties after the award. On the basis that tribunal costs are USD 1,461,824.74, 75% of these costs is USD 1,096,368.56.	24 (paras 1862-1885)
06-May-14	<a href="#">10-Mar-17</a>	Anglia Auto Accessories Ltd. v Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2014/181	USS 1 = EUR 0.9373 USS 1 = CZK 25.3291	N/A Not clear. Arbitration relates to the claimant seeking relief for deprivation of the (previous) arbitral award sum due to the undue delay by the courts in enforcement of the award. This arbitral award was rendered in 1997 and was for USD 189,985.83 (CZK 4,812,170) (para. 210). In all these years, it has claimed CZK 1,959,444.50 and CZK 1,774,765.30 (para. 278).	SCC	3. Dr. Yas Banifatemi (President); Prof. August Reinsch; Prof. Philippe Sands QC	N/A USD 17,969.02 (converted from EUR 16,842.36 (para. 310)) (EUR 8,715 + EUR 208 + EUR 840 + EUR 4,581.36 + EUR 2,498) CZK 4,557,430.91 (CZK 4,329,800.60 + CZK 120,852.85 + CZK 99,861.85 + CZK 6,915.61) EUR 2,895.63 (EUR 2,290.68 + EUR 604.95)	USD 183,017.99 (para. 315) (USD 179,928.66 (converted from CZK 4,557,430.91) + USD 3,089.33 (EUR 2,895.63)) CZK 4,557,430.91 (CZK 4,329,800.60 + CZK 120,852.85 + CZK 99,861.85 + CZK 6,915.61) EUR 2,895.63 (EUR 2,290.68 + EUR 604.95)	USD 141,573.57 (converted from EUR 132,696.91 (para. 323)) (EUR 53,123 + EUR 30,938 + EUR 31,938 + EUR 384.91 + EUR 16,313)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 323)	The Tribunal decides (para. 323): (1) Each party shall bear its own legal costs; and (2) Each party shall pay half of the costs of the arbitration.	19 (paras. 304-322)
07-Oct-14	<a href="#">09-Mar-17</a>	Ansung Housing Co., Ltd. v People's Republic of China, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/25	USS1 = KRW 117.9153 USS1 = EUR 0.9448 USS1 = CNY 6.9106	N/A Not clear from the award, although UNCTAD Investment Policy Hub notes that the claim is for USD 16.3 million (CNY 100 million) - see: <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/602">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/602</a>	ICSID	Prof. Lucy Reed (President); Dr. Michael Pryles, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 374,661.68 (converted from KRW 54,340 + KRW 433,772,145) (para. 147)	USD 651,600.33 (USD 6,471 + USD 377,424.64 (converted from EUR 356,590.80) + USD 267,704.69 (converted from CNY 1,850,000)) (para. 154)	USD 139,521.09 (para. 167)	Claimants claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction <i>ratione temporis</i> (para. 143)	Claimant ordered to pay Respondent USD 558,460.79 The Tribunal decides (para. 169): 1) Claimant ordered to pay USD 69,760.55 on account of the Respondent's contribution to tribunal costs; and 2) USD 488,700.24 (USD 4,853.25 + USD 283,068.48 (converted from EUR 267,443.10) + USD 200,778.51 (converted from CNY 1,387,500)) to cover 75% of the Respondent's legal fees)	25 (paras. 145-169)
04-Mar-10	<a href="#">07-Feb-14</a>	Antoine Abou Lahoud and Leila Bounafteh Abou Lahoud v Democratic Republic of the Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/4	USS 1 = EUR 0.7346	USD 22,250,000 (para. 179) plus interest (of which USD 763,978 for destroyed property, USD 841,022 for profits the Claimants could have obtained from orders received, USD 17,645,000 representing value of Claimants' enterprise and USD 3,000,000 compensation for moral damage)	ICSID	3. Prof. William Park (Chairman), Karim Hafez, Marie-Andrée Ngwe	USD 931,257.17 (USD 526,229.10 + USD 19,989.05 (EUR 14,683.96) + USD 26,601.16 + USD 108,850 + USD 112,940.38 (EUR 82,966) + USD 4,483.84 (EUR 3,293.83) + USD 132,163.64) Flat fee of USD 267,000 plus success fee of 15% from amounts received (1,728,194 x 0.15 = USD 259,229.10) (para. 658)	USD 651,887.49 (para. 658)	N/A Tribunal to inform the parties later	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 1,728,174 plus interest in compensation (para. 664)	The Tribunal decides (para. 663): 1) 75% of arbitration costs shall be paid by Respondent, 25% by Claimants. 2) Respondent shall pay its own legal and other fees and shall pay 50% of Claimants' legal and other fees	23 (paras 641-663)
18-Dec-95	<a href="#">10-Feb-99</a>	Antoine Goetz et consorts v République du Burundi, ICSID Case No. ARB/95/3 - Award	N/R	USD 175,000,000 (page 480)	ICSID	3. Prosper Weil (President); Mohammed Badjaoui; Jean-Denis Bredin	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Parties settled and the Respondent agreed to pay the Claimant USD 2,989,636 to be paid in 12 instalments (+ interest at 8%) (page 527). The settlement was incorporated into the award.	The Tribunal decided (page 526): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. As the costs were all advanced by the Claimant, the Respondent is ordered to reimburse the Claimant for its share. Late payment will be subject to interest at 8% (page 527).	2 (para. 7 on page 526 and para. 8 on page 527)
06-Mar-12	<a href="#">25-Aug-14</a>	Apotex Holdings Inc. and Apotex Inc. v. The United States of America (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/1)	USS 1 = EUR 0.7575	USD 1,500,000,000 (para. 2.34) However, quantum phase bifurcated and therefore full details not discussed (para. 2.35)	ICSID	3. V.V. Veeder (President), J William Rowley, John R Cook.	USD 7,117,273.57 (para. 10.17) (sum of USD 6,717,342.57 (converted from EUR 5,088,387) + USD 250,450 + USD 112,205 + USD 37,276)	USD 1,222,584.38 (para.10.24)	Not disclosed, however a total of USD 750,000 (USD 700,000 + USD 50,000) lodging fees advanced by the parties in total. (para. 10.17 and 10.24)	Claimant loses on the merits (para. 12.1).	The Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,785,084.38 (para. 12.1): (1) USD 1,222,584.38 for the Respondent's legal costs; (2) half of the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs, i.e. Claimant ordered to pay 75% of all Tribunal costs of USD 562,500 (75% of USD 750,000, on the assumption that the Tribunal costs equal the amount advanced by the parties).	35 (paras 10.1 - 10.35)
21-Sep-07	<a href="#">14-Jun-13</a>	Apotex Inc. v The Government of the United States of America, UNCITRAL, ICSID Case No. UNCT/10/2	N/R	USD 8,000,000 (para. 104)	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Toby T. Landau QC (Presiding Arbitrator), Hon. Fern M. Smith, Clifford M. Davidson	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	USD 525,814 (para. 345)	USD 277,863.62 (para. 355)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 358)	Claimant shall reimburse the Respondent USD 595,279.91 in the following amounts (para. 358): 1) USD 525,814 in respect of the Respondent's legal costs; and 2) 50% of the Respondent's share i.e. USD 69,465.91 of the arbitration costs.	14 (paras 344-357)
04-Aug-04	<a href="#">21-Nov-07</a>	Archer Daniels Midland Company and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas, Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/5	N/R	N/A (amount in para 260 redacted)	ICSID	3. Bernardo M. Cremades (President), Arthur W. Rovine, Eduardo Siqueiros T.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay to the Claimants the sum of USD 33,510,091 as principal plus interest on this sum for each month of the period from the date the damage was calculated (31 Dec 2005) until the payment is effectively made, at a rate equivalent to the yield for the month, as the simple interest rate paid on U.S. Treasury Bills.	Each party to bear its own costs, and fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the Secretariat to be borne equally.	3 of 340
20-Jul-87	<a href="#">27-Jun-90</a>	Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. v. Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3	N/R	USD 8,067,368 plus either R's assumption of a guarantee or payment in escrow of USD 888,000, plus interest at rate of 10% from 28 Jan 1987 (paras 9 + 112).	ICSID	3. Dr. Ahmed Sadek El-Kosheri (President), Prof. Berthold Goldman, Dr. Samuel K.B. Asante	USD 164,917.20 in fees and expense incurred in preparation and presentation of Claimant's case (para 116). n.b. This excludes amounts which the Tribunal considered had not been proven necessary in connection with the proceedings.	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 460,000 plus interest of 10% from 9 July 1987 to date of payment (page 572).	Respondent ordered to pay USD 54,972.40 representing 1/3 of the Claimant's fees and expenses, and to bear the cost of its own fees and expenses. Respondent shall also bear 60% of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and the charges for the use of the facilities of the Centre (para 116).	1 of 116
30-Oct-07	<a href="#">17-Sep-10</a>	Astaldi S.p.A. v Republic of Honduras, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/32	N/R	USD 6,020,735.48 (pages 9, 11) (USD 5,569,148.06 + USD 451,587.42)	ICSID	1. Eduardo Sancho González (Sole Arbitrator)	USD 637,951.95 (para.84)	N/A Not stated on the Award.	N/A Not clear - claimant advanced USD 205,026.26 but Respondent did not pay third advance	Claimant win on certain claims - USD 5,488,695.91	The Tribunal decided that all costs were to be borne by the Respondent in the amount of USD 637,951.95 (para. 84 or page 116)	3 (paras.84-85)
28-Feb-08	<a href="#">18-May-10</a>	ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Company v. The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/2	N/R	USD 5,906,828.30 plus interest (paras 44 + 83).	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C. (President), Prof. Dr. Ahmed Sadek El-Kosheri, Prof. W. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Claimant allowed to continue with arbitration in accordance with its Arbitration Agreement, but claim regarding annulment of Final Award declared inadmissible for lack of jurisdiction. No award of compensation.	All Tribunal and Institutional fees and expenses shall be borne equally, and parties shall bear their own legal costs.	N/A
08-Apr-08	<a href="#">09-Oct-09</a>	Austrian Airlines v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A	UNCITRAL (ad hoc)	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Köhler (President), The Honorable Charles N. Bower, Dr. Vojtěch Trápl	N/A	N/A	N/A (amounts redacted from paras 142-145)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 140).	All costs and fees to be borne equally and each party to bear its own legal and other costs (para 146).	5 of 147
23-Jun-00	<a href="#">23-Sep-03</a>	Autopista Concesionada de Venezuela, C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela ICSID Case No. ARB/00/5	On 30-Sep-95, USS 1 = VEB 169,795 On 23-Sep-03, USS 1 = VEB 1,599	Claimed in Memorial: between Bs. 25,431,864,000 and Bs. 27,466,327,000 stated in Bolívares constant as of 30 Sep 1995 augmented by an amount sufficient to make the sum equal on date of payment to stated 1995 amount or converted into USD at the rate of Bs 170/US\$1, i.e. between USD 149,599,200 and USD 161,566,629, plus interest at rate prescribed by Concession Agreement (paras 84, 415, 421). Claimed in Post-Hearing Reply: all amounts awarded in constant bolívares to be updated as of date of payment in accord with change in Consumer Price Index since 1995, and either converted into USD at the most favorable available exchange rate, or alternatively permitting repatriation by Claimant and conversion into USD at most favorable available exchange rate (paras 84 + 415).	ICSID	3. Prof Kaufmann-Köhler, Prof Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, Dr Bernardo Cremades	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay Bs. 2,055,288,000 plus interest calculated under the "bank rate" method chosen by Claimant according to the Concession Agreement (para 387), i.e. USD 12,089,929. Compound interest not awarded (para 397). Exchange rate to be used in the event of conversion = Bs 170/US\$1 (paras 421-422).	Each party shall bear its own legal expenses, and 50% each of the arbitration costs (para 425).	3 of 425

2003	<a href="#">09-Apr-15</a>	AWG Group Ltd. v The Argentine Republic, Award, UNCITRAL (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19)	N/R	USD 34,100,000 (Loss for AWG = USD 19.8 million + USD 14.1 million + USD 0.2 million) (para. 21)	UNCITRAL (see para. 106)	3. Jeswald W. Salacuse (President), Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Professor Pedro Nikken.	USD 1,215,947.82 (para. 110)  [This figure is for total expenses. No breakdown is provided vis. arbitration costs / legal costs]	Total amount (including the amount spent in the Suez/iviendi claims) = USD 2,651,444.90 (para. 21)	N/A  Not mentioned	Claimant won on merits in the amount of USD 20,957,809 (para 105)	The Tribunal decides: (para. 116):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;  (2) the Claimants and Respondent shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras 110 - 113)
13-Jul-06	<a href="#">08-Sep-09</a>	Azpetrol International Holdings B.V., Azpetrol Group B.V., Azpetrol Oil Services Group B.V. v. Republic of Azerbaijan, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/15	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Judge Florentino P. Feliciano (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, Q.C.	N/A  n.b. GBP 247,863.70, i.e. USD 409,191, incurred in relation to Settlement Application only, including fees and expenses of hearings and advance payments to ICSID (para 106)	N/A  n.b. USD 789,760.53 incurred in relation to Settlement Application only, including fees and expenses of hearings and advance payments to ICSID (para 106)	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as there was no "legal dispute" under ICSID Convention or "dispute" under the ECT (para 105).	Each party to bear its own legal costs; costs of the arbitration to be borne in equal shares (para 107).	2 of 107
23-Oct-01	<a href="#">14-Jul-06</a>	Azurix Corp. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12	N/R	Alternative amounts claimed in Memorial: \$566,400,000 using actual investment method; \$516,900,000/\$484,600,000/\$483,900,000/\$482,200,000 using book value method (depends on date used); or minimum of \$552,900,000 using unjust enrichment method (para 411). Additional ARS120 million of accounts receivables also claimed (para 412). Interest claimed on all damages at average rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit compounded semi-annually (para 439).  Claimed post-hearing: minimum of USD 608,414,000 (para 414). Tribunal ignored this later submission when it came to awarding damages (para 416).	ICSID	3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), The Honorable Marc Lalonde P.C., Dr. Daniel Hugo Martins	USD 7,900,00 in costs for preparation, registration and participation in proceedings (para 432).  n.b. Appears to include Tribunal and/or Institutional Costs.	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 165,240,753 plus compounded semi-annually interest at average rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit during that period i.e. at 2.44% from 12 March 2002 to 30 June 2006 (para 442).	Each party to bear its own costs and counsel fees, and the Respondent shall bear the fees and expenses of the arbitrators and the costs of the ICSID Secretariat except for USD 34,496, which shall be borne by the Claimant (para 441).	1 of 442
01-Dec-03	<a href="#">27-Aug-09</a>	Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A.S. v. Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29	N/R	Claimed in Reply: USD 584,902,941 plus pre- and post-award compound interest (para 99).  Claimed post-hearing: USD 494,600,000 million plus 8% compound interest (para 100).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as Respondent found not to have breached the Treaty (para 486).	Parties to bear the costs of the arbitration in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own legal and other costs (para 490).	1 of 490
01-Jul-05	<a href="#">19-Jun-07</a>	Bayview Irrigation District et al. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/05/1	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Vaughan Lowe, Prof. Ignacio Gómez-Palacio, The Honorable Edwin Messes II	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 124).	Each Party to bear its own costs, and Tribunal costs divided equally between the Parties (para 125).	1 of 125
04-Jun-03	<a href="#">22-Apr-09</a>	Bernardus Henricus Funnekotter and others v. Republic of Zimbabwe, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/6	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7686549939	EUR 10,960,000 in immovables plus EUR 1,410,384 in moveables plus USD 440,000 for uniform disturbance (USD 40,000 per Claimant) i.e. USD 16,533,545 plus interest of 10% compounded monthly (paras 49-51).  Amount of disturbance claim restated during hearing as EUR 37,440 per Claimant i.e. EUR 411,840, producing new total of USD 16,629,338.  New claim at hearing for EUR 100,000 per claimant in moral damages (para 139), i.e. EUR 1,100,000 producing a total of USD 18,060,410 excluding interest.	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Dean Ronald A. Cass, H.E. Mr. Mohammad Wasi Zafar	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. EUR 7,200,000 awarded for immovables, EUR 800,000 for moveables, and EUR 220,000 for disturbance reparation (EUR 20,000 per Claimant) totalling EUR 8,220,000, i.e. USD 10,694,005, plus 10% interest compounded every six months (paras 132, 136, 138 + 146).	Each party to bear its own costs of representation. Fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the charges of ICSID to be borne by Respondent (para 147).	1 of 148
11-Jun-10	<a href="#">28-Jul-15</a>	Bernhard von Pezold and others v Republic of Zimbabwe (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/15)	US\$ 1 = ZAR 12.5693 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6405	USD 153,598,717 (para. 88 onwards) plus interest  Breakdown:  Declaratory relief + restitution + compensation of USD 37,372,172 + USD 7,186,302 + USD 42,222,481 + USD 13,000,000 (moral damages) + USD 48,817,761.60 (restitution) [Possibly typo in award shows this figure without a decimal point] + USD 5,000,000 (moral damages) = USD 153,598,717 (paras. 88 et seq.)	ICSID	3. Yves Fortier (President), David A.R. Williams, Michael Hwang	USD 13,269,878 (para. 965)  Breakdown:  (converted from GBP 4,985,719.92) + USD 844,612.45 (legal fees) + USD 104,009.25 + USD 25,653.74 + USD 152,659.77 (converted from GBP 97,778.58) (disbursements) + USD 1,799.39 (converted from GBP 1,152.51) + USD 34,348.17 (converted from GBP 22,000.00) + USD 39,033.00 + USD 55,192.82 (converted from GBP 35,351.00) + USD 4,012,457.58 (converted from GBP 2,569,979.08) + USD 36,350.47 (converted from ZAR 456,900) + USD 61,124.60 + USD 1,272.94 (converted from ZAR 16,000.00) + USD 15,075.91 (converted from ZAR 189,493.62) (expert fees) + USD 4,300 + USD 3097.58 (converted from GBP 1,984) + USD3,512 + USD 2449.65 (converted from GBP 1,569) + USD 45,912.71 (converted from GBP 29,407.09) + USD 21,608.82 (converted from GBP 13,840.45) + USD 14,184.23 (converted from GBP 9,065) + USD 1,198 + USD 585.49 (converted from GBP 375) + USD 1,980 + USD 2,273.22 (converted from GBP 1,456) + USD 1,080 (expert disbursements) (para.965 et seq.) = USD 13,269,877.75 (para. 965 et seq.)	USD 1,369,531 (para. 983)  Breakdown:  USD 1,177,836 + USD 6,450 + USD 53, 817 + USD 131, 428 = USD 1,369,531 (para. 983)	USD 1,311,452.70 (para. 999)	Respondent found to have breached Treaty and Claimants awarded USD 64,896,339.  (return of title to various properties + USD 27,446,539 + USD 29,263,498 + USD 7,186,302 + USD 1,000,000) (para. 1020.2 and 1020.5)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 14,683,476 (para. 1010).  1) This comprises: USD 12,132,822.22 (converted from GBP 7,771,072.63) + USD 1,792,229.39 + USD 52,699.32 (converted from ZAR 662,393.62) as the legal costs  2) In addition, the claimants' share of the arbitration costs, i.e. USD 705,726.35.	26 (paras 986-1011)
25-Apr-03	<a href="#">24-Dec-07</a>	BG Group Plc. v. Republic of Argentina, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5057651297	USD 238,100,000 plus semi-annually compounded interest at average interest rate applicable to USD six-month certificates of deposit (para 86).	UNCITRAL	3. Alejandro M. Garro, Albert Jan van den Berg, Guillermo Aguilar Alvarez C. (President)	USD 624,390 and GBP 3,448,773 in legal fees and expenses i.e. USD 7,443,312 (para 465).	N/A	USD 848,571.44 in Tribunal fees; USD 202,595.32 in Tribunal expenses (including fees and expenses of Administrative Secretary and translation costs); USD 59,312.50 in administrative fee paid to ICSID as custodian; USD 126,020.74 in costs of Preliminary Conference and evidentiary hearing, i.e. total Tribunal and Institutional Costs of USD 1,236,500 (paras 461-462).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 185,285,485.85 in damages plus interest at the average interest rate applicable to US six-month certificates of deposit, compounded semi-annually (para 457).	Respondent to bear 70% of arbitration costs, being USD 865,550 in total or an additional USD 247,300, and 70% of Claimant's legal fees, being USD 5,210,318, resulting in a payment to the Claimant of USD 247,300 for arbitration costs and USD 437,073 plus GBP 2,414,141.10 for legal costs (paras 460 + 466).	9 of 467
10-Feb-88	<a href="#">30-Jun-90</a>	Biloune and Marine Drive Complex Ltd v. Ghana Investments Centre and Government of Ghana  Award on Jurisdiction and Liability dated 27-Oct-89; Award on Damages and Costs dated 30-Jun-90	US\$ 1 = DEM 1.6618 GBP 0.5732	Alternative amounts submitted: USD 689,961 using investment value method, or USD 1,584,504 using lost profits method, of which USD 1,571,828 was claimed by Mr Biloune as 99.2% shareholder (Section C of BIICL case summary).	UNCITRAL (ad hoc)	3. Judge Stephen M. Schwebel (Chairman), Prof. Don Wallace, Jr., Monroe Leigh, Esq.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Tribunal calculated damages on basis of Mr. Biloune's actual investment in MDCL plus interest and costs. Respondents ordered to pay USD 334,637.49, GBP 61,811.67, DM 430.55 and USD 266,721.67 (representing GHC 46,790,982.85) i.e. total of USD 709,454 plus simple interest at LIBOR rate.	Each party to bear its own costs and fees as well as half of the advance payments to ICSID.	N/A
29-Mar-05	<a href="#">15-Jul-11</a>	Binder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A	UNCITRAL	Hans Danelius (President), Prof. Jurgen Creutzig; Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (para. 486).	The Tribunal decides (para. 489):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;  (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 of 495 (paras 487-495)
02-Nov-05	<a href="#">24-Jul-08</a>	Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v. United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22	N/R	Alternative amounts calculated using "net investment" approach: USD 19,059,205 assuming 20% lost return, or USD 20,158,775 assuming 25% lost return (para 751).	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Toby Landau, Q.C., Gary Born	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim failed at merits stage on causation, or alternatively on quantum: Tribunal considered that Respondent's violations of BIT had not caused the loss and damage referred to and quantified by Claimant (para 798).	Each party to bear its own legal costs, and the costs of the arbitration borne in equal shares (para 813).  n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Gary Born.	5 of 814
25-Jun-12	<a href="#">26-Apr-17</a>	Blue Bank International & Trust (Barbados) Ltd. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/20	N/R	N/A  Not disclosed in the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed as USD 200,000,000  (http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/468)	ICSID	3. Christer Söderlund (President), Prof. George Bermann, Loretta Malintoppi	USD 1,924,345.06 (para. 202)	USD 1,709,295 (para. 203)	USD 792,902.24 (para. 210)	Respondent win on jurisdiction (para. 215).	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay USD 2,502,197.24 (para. 215)  (1) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent the amount of USD 1,709,295 representing its legal costs and expenses; and  (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration, namely USD 792,902.24.	15 (paras 200-214)
04-Feb-14	<a href="#">27-Dec-16</a>	Blusun S.A., Jean-Pierre Lecorcier and Michael Stein v Italian Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/3	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9563	USD 196,381,888.52 (converted from EUR 187,800,000) (para. 48(b)) plus interest	ICSID	3. James Crawford (President), Dr Stanimir Alexandrov, Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 4,057,657.55 (converted from EUR 3,880,337.92) (para. 413)	N/A  Respondent did not submit the details of the costs incurred (para. 415)	USD 608,821.38 (para. 420)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 423)	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 29,410.69 (para. 423):  (1) Each party shall bear its own legal costs; and  (2) Respondent to pay USD 29,410.69 to the Claimant as costs of the arbitration.	13 (paras 410-422)
22-Aug-08	<a href="#">25-Oct-12</a>	Bosh International, Inc. and B&P Ltd Foreign Investments Enterprise v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/11	US\$ 1 = UAH 8.172997	UAH 6,666,700 claimed in damages including compensation in respect of future lost profits i.e. USD 815,698 (para 227).  n.b. Possible that this represents only part of the claim. NPV of the project was estimated at USD 9-11 million (para 38).	ICSID	3. Dr Gavan Griffith QC (President), Prof. Philippe Sands QC, Prof. Donald McRae	USD 1,322,770 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (para 289).	USD 914,920 plus UAH 17,331 (estimated at USD 2,101 by Tribunal) i.e. total costs of USD 917,021 excluding ICSID fees (para 289).	N/A  n.b. Respondent paid USD 303,945 in ICSID fees, and it appears that Claimant also paid an advance, but this has not been quantified (para 289).	Respondent win. Claims failed on merits due to lack of attribution (para 184).	Claimants ordered to pay a 1/6 share of the Respondent's costs (excluding Respondent's share of ICSID fees), being USD 150,000 (para 292).	6 of 292
24-Mar-08	<a href="#">02-Aug-11</a>	Brandes Investment Partners, LP v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno (President), Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as the BIT did not contain Respondent's consent to ICSID jurisdiction (para 118).	Each party to bear its own fees and expenses. Fees and expenses of the Arbitral Tribunal and of ICSID to be borne on an equal basis (para 120).	2 of 121

04-May-10	19-Dec-14	British Caribbean Bank Ltd. v. Government of Belize, PCA Case No. 2010-18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8174 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6407	USD 45,170,733.86 (para. 116(2)) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Professor Albert Jan van den Berg (Chairman), John Beechey, Rodrigo Creamuno	USD 2,845,852.00 (converted from GBP 1,823,337.39) (para. 318)	USD 523,738.91 (para. 323)	USD 618,422.93 (converted from EUR 505,498.90 (para 315))	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 44,797,442.86 (para. 328(n)-(j)) (sum of USD 1,624,496.39 + USD 1,399,850.43 + USD 41,773,096.04)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 3,024,073.86 (para. 328 (l) - (m))  This amount comprises:  (1) USD 482,153.88 as reimbursement of the amount the Claimant has paid in Tribunal costs.  (2) USD 2,541,919.98 as reimbursement for the Claimant's legal costs.	10 (paras 318-327)
16-Jun-11	29-May-13	Burimi sri and Eagle Games s.h.a v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7724	USD 10,244,465 (para. 87(3)) plus interest of "approximately USD 2.4 million"	ICSID	3. Daniel M. Price (President), Prof. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. Ibrahim Fadlallah	USD 526,929.05 (converted from EUR 407,000) (para. 156)	USD 451,652 (converted from EUR 348,856) (para. 155)	USD 186,550.59 (para. 158)	Claimants' claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (page 35)	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 544,877.30 (page 35):  1) Claimants shall pay to Respondent USD 93,225.30 for Respondent's arbitration costs (i.e. Claimant responsible for 100% of arbitration costs); and  2) Claimants to pay USD 451,652 (converted from EUR 348,856) for Respondent's legal costs and expenses in this arbitration.	12 (paras 154-165)
14-Nov-95	07-Feb-17	Burlington Resources Inc. v Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/5 (formerly Burlington Resources Inc. and others v. Republic of Ecuador and Empresa Estatal Petróleos del Ecuador (PetroEcuador))	N/R	USD 1,515,603,095 (para. 132) including pre-award interest	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President); Prof. Brigitte Stern; Stephen Drymer	USD 45,571,235.14 (para. 597)	USD 25,917,575.43 (para. 616)  USD 13,429,238.96 (costs incurred in defending principal claims + USD 12,439,746.75 (costs incurred in defending counterclaims) + USD 48,589.72 (costs incurred by Petroecuador)	The award says that the costs of the arbitration will be determined by ICSID's final financial statement (para. 635.D.(2)), though the parties advanced USD 6,200,000 in total  USD 3,250,000 advanced by the Claimant (para. 597) + USD 2,950,000 advanced by Ecuador (Footnote No. 1147)	Denies Respondent's request for Reconsideration of the Decision on Liability. Respondent asked to pay to the Claimant USD 379,802,267 + interest (para. 635)	The Tribunal decides (para. 635):  (1) Each party will bear its own legal costs;  (2) Respondent will bear 65% of the costs of arbitration and Claimant will bear 35%; and  (3) Claimant shall pay to the PetroEcuador (Respondent No. 2) USD 48,589.72 for its legal costs.	46 (paras. 589-634)
14-Nov-95	13-Jan-97	Cable Television of Nevis Ltd. and Cable Television of Nevis Holdings Ltd. v. The Federation of St. Christopher (St. Kitts) and Nevis, ICSID Case No. ARB/95/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. G. Arthur A. Maynard, Rex Mckay S.C., Woodbine A. Davis Q.C (President)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 8.02).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses (para 8.05). Fees and expenses of Tribunal members and ICSID charges to be paid in equal shares (para 8.04).	N/A
16-Mar-05	28-Jan-08	Canadian Cattlemen for Fair Trade v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	Claimed in various notices of arbitration by the various Claimants: USD 235,000,000 in total (para 5).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Dr. Böckstiegel (Chairman), James Bacchus, Lucinda A. Low	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because there was no "investment" under NAFTA (para 233).	Each Party to bear 50% of the costs of arbitration, and its own costs of legal representation (paras 231-232).	9 of 234
09-Jul-02	19-Jul-07	Canfor Corporation v. United States of America; Terminal Forest Products Ltd. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL  Decision on Preliminary Question dated 06-Jun-06, Joint Order on the Costs of Arbitration and for the Termination of Certain Arbitral Proceedings dated 19-Jul-07  n.b. US requested consolidated of proceedings on 7 March 2005; the NAFTA Article 1126 Tribunal was established on 6 May 2005 and Tembec withdrew from the consolidated proceeding on 10 January 2006 (para 85). There were no previous NAFTA Article 1120 proceedings in Terminal (para 159).	N/R	Canfor claimed USD 250,000,000 (para 18 of Consolidation Order); Terminal claimed USD 30,000,000 (para 24 of Consolidation Order); Tembec claimed USD 200,000,000 (para 21 of Consolidation Order).  n.b. For the purposes of our calculations, only the figures relating to Tembec have been used, as figures relating specifically to the other two Claimants were not available.	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (Presiding Arbitrator), Prof. Armand L.C. de Mestral, Davis R. Robinson Esq.	Tembec: USD 101,052 for presenting case on costs and USD 2,019.36 in disbursements as of 13-Oct-06 claimed by Tembec in February 2007, i.e. USD 103,071.36 (paras 74 + 104).  n.b. Legal costs for the other two claimants were not available.	Vis-à-vis Tembec: USD 94,720.68 in total legal costs claimed against Tembec (USD 50,086.71 for Art 1120 proceedings; USD 24,054.91 for one-third of consolidation proceedings; USD 20,579.06 for presenting case on costs) (paras 179-183).  Art 1126 proceedings: Total of USD 72,164.73 claimed in legal fees of in-house attorneys.  n.b. This last sum has not been taken into account in our calculations because Respondent's legal costs vis-à-vis Canfor in the Art 1120 proceedings were not available.	Tembec Art 1120: Total Tribunal fees and expenses of USD 34,329.15 (Tribunal fees of USD 26,190 plus ICSID admin charges of USD 8,139.15) (para 162).  Canfor Art 1120: USD 372,623.25 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and Administrative Secretary (para 156).  Art 1126 proceedings: USD 995,509.59 (in Tribunal fees and expenses of USD 930,294.80 and ICSID admin charges of USD 65,214.79) for Phases I, II and III (para 175).  n.b. Portion of Art 1126 proceedings relating to Tembec estimated using Tribunal's apportionment, i.e. USD 329,614.50 in total (paras 170 + 176)  Total costs of Tembec Art 1120 and Art 1126 proceedings = USD 363,944	Tembec Art 1120: Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to satisfy burden of proof (paras 468-469).	In Canfor and Terminal, parties to bear their own costs pursuant to their respective agreements. Fees and expenses of Art 1120 Tribunal in Canfor to be borne in equal shares by Canfor and US (para 158). In Tembec, on the reasoning that "a claimant is liable for the costs of the proceedings when that claimant unilaterally withdraws from the proceedings" (para 148), Tembec to bear arbitration costs in both Art 1120 proceedings (para 163) and Art 1126 proceedings between it and US (para 152). Tembec to contribute towards the US's legal costs.  Arbitration costs Fees and expenses of the Art 1126 Tribunal (para 170): Phase I (from establishment of Tribunal to withdrawal of Tembec) to be borne 1/3 by Tembec (bearing 100% of the Tembec allocation), 1/3 by US (bearing 50% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation), 1/6 by Canfor and 1/6 by Terminal (each bearing 25% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation). Phase II (from withdrawal of Tembec to date of Tribunal's Decision on Preliminary Question) to be borne 25% each by Canfor and Terminal 50% by US. Phase III (from Decision on Preliminary Question to date of Joint Order) to be borne 90% by Tembec (bearing 100% of the Tembec allocation), 2.5% by Canfor and 2.5% by Terminal (each bearing 25% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation) and 5% by US (bearing 50% of the Canfor/Terminal allocation).  Total arbitration costs per party for Art 1126 proceedings: Canfor: USD 166,473.77 Terminal: USD 166,473.77 Tembec: USD 329,614.50 US: USD 332,947.55	Whole Award
26-Aug-08	05-Jun-12	Caratube International Oil Company LLP v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/12	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 1,005,700,000 in damages, plus 3.7% interest compounded quarterly from 31 January 2008 to date of award, amounting to total of USD 1,121,400,000 (paras 2 + 122).  Claimed in Reply: USD 1,149,000,000 plus interest at 3.7% per annum compounded quarterly (para 122).  Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: USD 1,145,000,000 plus interest at 3.7% per annum compounded quarterly (para 124).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Kari-Heinz Böckstiegel (President) Dr. Gavan Griffith QC, Arbitrator Dr. Kamal Hossain, Arbitrator	USD 5,948,908.25 total costs (paras 473 + 486).	USD 14,725,206.71 in professional, witness and expert fees and expenses (paras 480 + 485).	ICSID deposits of USD 950,000 paid by Respondent, and of USD 975,000 paid by Claimant (including USD 25,000 ICSID registration fee), totalling USD 1,925,000 (paras 485-486).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to satisfy burden of proof (paras 468-469).	Claimant to pay Respondent USD 3,200,000, comprising USD 3,000,000 for Respondent's legal costs and USD 200,000 in recovery of part of ICSID deposit (para 495).	24 of 495
18-Mar-11	15-Apr-13	Caraveli Cotaruse Transmisora de Energia S.A.C. v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/9	N/R	USD 26,389,851 including pre-award interest (para. 105).	ICSID	3. Luiz Olavo Baptista (President), Alexis Mourre, Horacio A. Grigera Naón	N/A Not disclosed	USD 2,672,691.82 (para. 184)	N/A USD 425,000 paid by the Respondent (para. 184). Amount paid by Claimant unclear.	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on merits. (para. 185)	Claimant to bear its own costs and expenses and pay Respondent for its costs - both arbitration and legal costs - amounting to USD 3,097,691.82 (paras. 184-185)	1 (para 186)
30-Aug-05	18-Sep-09	Cargill, Incorporated v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/05/2	N/R	USD 123,810,000, "brought to present value considering the time value and opportunity cost of money", with 46.77% attributed to CdM (Cargill's wholly owned Mexican enterprise) and 53.23% attributed to Cargill (para 435).  Alternative amount of USD 100,000,000 submitted by Claimant to eliminate the effect of antidumping duties period (para 450). Tribunal adopted this Alternative Damage Model as the basis for its calculation of damages (paras 465 + 538.)	ICSID	3. Dr. Michael C. Pyles (President), Prof. David D. Caron, Prof. Donald M. McRae	USD 3,350,946 in legal representation and assistance costs (para 561).	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 77,329,240 plus interest at the U.S. Monthly Bank Loan Prime Rate, compounded annually (paras 5, 540 + 544).	Respondent to bear all arbitration costs and half of the Claimant's legal costs (para 547).  Paragraph 561: "Respondent to pay all of the costs of this arbitration and half of Claimant's costs of legal representation and assistance, in addition to its own costs of representation, a total of US \$3,296,140; and Claimant to maintain responsibility for the remaining half of its legal representation and assistance costs, or US \$1,675,473." It is unclear from wording whether the USD 3,296,140 sum relates to the arbitration costs plus half the Claimant's costs, or whether it also includes the Respondent's costs. It has been assumed that USD 1,675,473 equals half the Claimant's costs, which would therefore total USD 3,350,946.  n.b. Tribunal applied not only Art 59 of the ICSID Additional Facility Arbitration Rules but also Art 40(1) and (2) of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (para 545).	4 of 562

18-Dec-01	<a href="#">01-Jan-04</a>	<b>CCL v. Republic of Kazakhstan, SCC Case 1222001</b> Jurisdictional Award dated 01-Jan-03; Final Award dated 01-Jan-04	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8310320232	EUR 178,892,338, estimated by Tribunal at around USD 219,000,000 (or <b>USD 215,265,276</b> according to actual conversion rate at date of Award) (page 124).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3: Norway (Chairman), James H. Carter, Christer Soderlund	N/A	N/A	EUR 123,000 i.e. <b>USD 148,009</b> for cost of the arbitration (page 124).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as there was no legal basis for finding expropriation or damage (pages 175-176).	Parties to bear costs of arbitrators and Arbitration Institute in equal shares (page 176).	
07-Nov-02	<a href="#">17-Dec-03</a>	<b>CDC Group plc v. Republic of Seychelles, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/14</b>	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5675470551	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: GBP 2,103,379.32 i.e. USD 3,706,088 plus further amounts owed under guarantees not yet calculated by the Claimant (para 20). Claimed subsequently: principal, interest and premiums owing under the guarantees amounting to GBP 2,446,701.38 i.e. <b>USD 4,311,011</b> , plus further interest accruing at GBP 611 per day from 25 August 2003 on loan agreements and the guarantees (para 62).	ICSID	1: Sir Anthony Mason, AC KBE	GBP 124,295.15 sought in "indemnity costs" i.e. <b>USD 219,004</b> (para 63). n.b. It appears sufficiently certain that this sum represents legal fees and disbursements only - see wording of para 63 and of the final Award on page 22.	N/A	n.b. Respondent was ordered to pay USD 40,000 in reimbursement of the Claimant's payment in respect of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal (page 23). However, the amount of the total advances made by the Claimant and/or Respondent are not clarified and so this figure has been excluded.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay GBP 1,771,096.75 as principal owing under the guarantees and GBP 672,915.45 as interest owing under the guarantees, totalling GBP 2,444,012.20 or <b>USD 4,306,272</b> , plus interest at a daily rate of GBP 611 from 25 August to 17 December 2003, plus further interest provided for by the loan agreements until date of payment (para 62).	Respondent to bear Claimant's legal fees and disbursements of GBP 100,000 i.e. USD 176,197, and to pay USD 40,000 to the Claimant in reimbursement of fees and expenses paid to the Tribunal and Centre (para 63). n.b. Unclear whether the USD 40,000 payment represented full reimbursement of the Tribunal's fees and expenses, or whether this was only partial reimbursement of a larger advance made by the Claimant. This figure has therefore been excluded from our costs awards calculations.	1 of 63
20-Mar-14	<a href="#">26-Jul-16</a>	<b>CEAC Holdings Limited v Montenegro (ICSID Case No. ARB/14/6)</b>	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9105</a>	N/A Not disclosed in award (see para. 40) but according to GAR, Claimant claims "more than" USD 658,978,583 (converted from EUR 600,000,000). <a href="http://globalarbitrationreview.com/article/1033243/montenegro-faces-new-icsid-claim">http://globalarbitrationreview.com/article/1033243/montenegro-faces-new-icsid-claim</a>	ICSID	3: Bernard Hanon (President), William W. Park, Brigitte Stern	<b>USD 2,530,931.63</b> (para. 215)	<b>USD 950,772.63</b> (converted from EUR 865,678.51) (para. 219).	<b>USD 446,125.32</b> (para. 222)	Tribunal decides it has no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (para. 212) Respondent win on jurisdiction. Tribunal finds that CEAC does not have a "seat" in Cyprus and does not qualify as an "investor" for purposes of Article 1(3)(b) of the BIT, and finds that it lacks jurisdiction to hear this case.	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent <b>USD 1,222,739.52</b> (para. 223). The amount comprises (para. 223): (1) the Claimant shall pay all of the Tribunal's costs (USD 446,125.32) and therefore shall reimburse the Respondent for its share already paid; (2) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent USD 776,614.20 (converted from EUR 707,105.71 - which represents the Respondent's legal costs minus the costs incurred in connection with the Preliminary Objections Phase; (3) the Respondent's request for interest on any costs awarded to it is rejected.	12 (paras. 214-225)
16-Nov-06	<a href="#">17-Sep-09</a>	<b>Cementownia "Nowa Huta" S.A. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/06/2</b>	N/R	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: <b>USD 4,648,157,411</b> plus interest (paras 24 + 123). Claimed in Memorial: minimum of USD 4,000,000,000, to be quantified in quantum phase (paras 45 + 158). <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/526">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/526</a>	ICSID	3: Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Honorable Marc Lalonde, P.C., O.C., Q.C., J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 873,198.70 in legal advisor and notary fees plus USD 415,251.25 in disbursements i.e. <b>USD 1,288,449.95</b> (paras 87 + 173).	USD 3,859,053.35 in legal fees plus USD 1,045,768.71 in disbursements, i.e. <b>USD 4,904,822.06</b> (paras 90 + 173).	<b>USD 400,000</b> in fees and expenses of Tribunal and of ICSID Secretariat (para 178).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed in its entirety on jurisdictional grounds, as Claimant (i) was unable to prove that it owned an "investment" and (ii) had brought its claim fraudulently and in bad faith (para 179).	Claimant to bear all ICSID costs, resulting in a USD 325,000 payment to the Respondent, and all Respondent's legal costs of USD 4,904,822.06 (para 178). Tribunal calculates total payment to be made by Claimant to Respondent as USD 5,304,822.06 (para 179).	7 of 179
19-Feb-13	<a href="#">07-Mar-17</a>	<b>Cervin Investissements S.A. and Rhone Investissements S.A. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/2</b>	N/R	N/A Not clear from the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed was USD 30,000,000 <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/526">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/526</a>	ICSID	3: Alexis Mourre (President); Ricardo Ramirez; Andres Jana	<b>USD 1,358,500</b> (para. 704)	<b>USD 1,560,021</b> (para. 709)	<b>USD 1,061,906.95</b> (para. 711)	Claimant win on the merits but no damages awarded (para. 726)	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay <b>USD 1,045,487.24</b> (para. 726): (1) Claimant must pay its share of the arbitration costs plus 50% of Respondent's share; and (2) Claimant must pay its own legal costs plus 50% of Respondent's costs (para. 719).	22 (paras 704-725)
25-Apr-97	<a href="#">29-Dec-04</a>	<b>Ceskoslovenska Obchodni Banka, a.s. v. Slovak Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4</b>	US\$ 1 = SKK 28.593762	Claimed in Memorial: SKK 24,659,907.271 for principal and interest due under loan agreement plus SKK 9,064,537,958 for additional losses, plus interest in each case; and sums to be quantified at later date for lost productive management time and professional fees and expenses i.e. minimum of SKK 33,724,445,229 or USD 1,179,433,655 (para 38). Claimed in final submission: SKK 32,443,747,036 in actual damage, SKK 7,857,193,540 in lost additional gains, i.e. 40,300,940,576 or <b>USD 1,409,431,210</b> (para 39).	ICSID	3: Prof. Hans van Houfte (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Andreas Bucher	<b>USD 16,351,846</b> including all costs and expenses of the proceeding (para 369).	<b>USD 14,314,236.17</b> in total costs associated with proceeding, including attorney's fees and expenses (para 370).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay SKK 24,796,381,842 (representing SKK 13,178,227,533 in principal plus SKK 11,618,154,309 in accumulated interest) i.e. <b>USD 867,195,504</b> , plus further interest at 4.19% from 1 to 29 Dec 2004 (paras 351 + 374).	Respondent to pay USD 10,000,000 as a contribution to Claimant's costs, expenses, counsel fees, and share of Tribunal and ICSID costs (paras 372 + 374).	5 of 374
08-Aug-02	<a href="#">27-Oct-06</a>	<b>Champion Trading Company, Ameritrade International, Inc., v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9</b>	US\$ 1 = EGP 0.786052	Claimed by original claimants in Request for Arbitration dated 29 May 2002: minimum of USD 100,000,000 plus interest at 10% compounded quarterly (para 33). Claimed in Reply dated 30 Oct 2005: USD 73,034,224 plus interest for Champion Trading and USD 292,136,897 for Ameritrade International plus interest, totalling <b>USD 365,171,121</b> for the remaining two Claimants (para 37). n.b. Originally the claimants were Champion Trading Company, Ameritrade International, Inc., James T. Wahba, John B. Wahba and Timothy T. Wahba. Claim by the three Wahba claimants was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction on 21-Oct-03.	ICSID	3: Mr Robert Briner (Chairman), Mr L. Yves Fortier, Q.C., Prof. Laurent Aynès	USD 283,121.71 for jurisdictional phase and USD 1,089,158 for merits phase, i.e. USD 1,372,279.71 excluding ICSID advances (paras 166-168). n.b. Tribunal calculates total as <b>USD 1,375,279</b> (para 169). n.b. This is for the two corporate Claimants, not including the original individual claimants.	Jurisdictional phase: USD 170,000 plus EGP 236,021.11 plus EUR 9,738.30 (para 167). Merits phase: USD 548,075 plus EGP 152,679.88 plus EUR 19,791.48 (para 168). Total: USD 718,075 plus EGP 388,700.88 plus EUR 29,529.78, i.e. USD 823,366. n.b. Tribunal calculates total as USD 668,075 plus EGP 388,700.99 plus EUR 29,529.78 i.e. <b>USD 773,366</b> (para 169). n.b. Part of the jurisdictional phase related to the claimants whose claims were dismissed, and so technically these should be excluded from the total costs figure above. However, as this was not quantified, this was not possible.	<b>USD 450,000</b> in advance payments made by parties for Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID expenses and administrative fee (para 166).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Claimants had failed to discharge burden of proof (para 164).	Claimants to bear total amount of Tribunal and ICSID fees and expenses, resulting in a payment to the Respondent of USD 225,000 (para 176). Claimant to bear one half of the Respondent's expenses, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 334,037.50 plus EGP 194,350 plus EUR 14,765 (para 177). Tribunal further held that the three original individual claimants were jointly and severally liable to the Respondents for 20% of the total amount owed by the Claimant, i.e. USD 66,807.50 plus EGP 38,870 plus EUR 2,953 in respect of Respondent's expenses, and USD 45,000 in respect of Tribunal and ICSID fees and expenses (para 178).	14 of 178
28-Apr-11	<a href="#">21-Jan-16</a>	<b>Charanne B.V. and Construction Investments Sarl v Spain (062/2012)</b>	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9230</a>	N/A Redacted	SCC	3: Alexis Mourre (President); Guido Santiago Tawil; Claus Won Wobeser	N/A Redacted	N/A Redacted	N/A Redacted	Claimant lost on the merits	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent <b>USD 1,425,909.1</b> (paras 566-570): 1) Claimant to reimburse Respondent in the amount of USD 1,274,302.61 (converted from EUR 1,176,181.31) on account of legal costs; 2) Claimant to reimburse Respondent in the amount of USD 150,988.30 (USD 145,833.30 (EUR 134,604.14) + USD 5,155) on account of tribunal's costs.	13 (paras 550-572)
17-Oct-02	<a href="#">02-Aug-10</a>	<b>Chemtura Corporation (formerly Crompton Corporation) v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL</b>	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.0227240304	Originally claimed: <b>USD 83,139,672</b> plus compound interest payable from date of expropriation (para 94). Claimed in Reply: USD 78,593,520 plus compound interest (para 95).	UNCITRAL (ad hoc, NAFTA)	3: Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (Chairperson), The Honorable Charles N. Brower, Prof. James R. Crawford	<b>USD 1,294,640</b> in legal and other costs (para 268). n.b. Small possibility that this includes advances to the institution.	CAD 5,778,467.60 in fees and expenses i.e. <b>USD 5,650,075</b> (paras 268 + 273).	USD 587,680 in total fees of Tribunal and Secretary; USD 98,253 in Tribunal expenses; USD 2,286 in PCA fees; i.e. <b>USD 688,219</b> in total (paras 269-270).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as the Respondent had not breached Articles 1105, 1103 or 1110 of NAFTA (para 267).	Claimant to bear total costs of the arbitration at USD 688,219 (para 272). Claimant to bear one half of Respondent's fees and costs at CAD 2,889,233.80, i.e. USD 2,825,038 (para 273).	6 of 273
21-Dec-06	<a href="#">31-Aug-11</a>	<b>Chevron Corporation (USA) and Texaco Petroleum Company (USA) v. Republic of Ecuador, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 34877</b>	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6941603334	As assessed for Partial Award: for TexPet, USD 587,823,427 in damages, or between USD 1,484,000,000 and <b>USD 1,605,000,000</b> including accumulated interest first at simple rate and after date of denial of justice at annual compound rate of 11.41% equal to Ecuador's cost of capital (paras 53 + 356). Partial Award on Merits dated 30-Mar-10; Final Award dated 31-Aug-11	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (Chairman), The Honorable Charles N. Brower, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	<b>USD 12,390,265.87</b> in costs of legal representation and assistance (para 355). n.b. Claimants also submitted USD 1,203,962.11 as "costs of the arbitration" (para 355). It is not clear whether these are amounts paid to the Tribunal, and so this sum has not been added to the USD 12,390,265.87 submitted as Claimant's legal costs.	<b>USD 17,836,463</b> in costs of legal representation and assistance (para 361). n.b. Respondent also submitted USD 40,468 and EUR 850,000 as "costs of the arbitration" (para 361). It is not clear whether these are amounts paid in respect of Tribunal Costs, as these costs are stated to include USD 28,290 incurred in the production of eleven witnesses for cross-examination at the Hearing on the Merits (para 362). As this is insufficiently certain, we have not added these further amounts to the USD 17,836,463 submitted as Respondent's legal costs.	EUR 1,346,910.22 in arbitrators' fees; EUR 291,690.78 in other tribunal costs including court reporters, hearing rooms, meeting facilities, travel, bank charges and other expenses; EUR 155,940 in PCA fees for registry services; i.e. total Tribunal and Institutional Costs of <b>USD 2,585,198</b> (paras 369-370).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 77,739,696.94 in direct damages and pre-judgment interest, plus additional pre-award annual compound interest at New York Prime Rate until 31-Aug-11, to a total of <b>USD 96,355,369.17</b> (paras 349-350).	Each party to bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (as well as expenses of witnesses and experts), and tribunal costs to be divided equally (para 376).	23 of 376
22-May-12	<a href="#">06-Dec-16</a>	<b>Churchill Mining and Planet Mining v Indonesia (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/14 and 12/40)</b>	N/R	N/A Not clear from the award but according to UNCTAD - USD 1,315,000,000 This award deals with an application from the Respondent to dismiss the Claimant's claims on the basis of forged documents. (para. 106) <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/452">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/452</a>	ICSID	3: Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President); Michael Hwang; Albert Jan van den Berg.	<b>USD 4,084,021.34</b> (para. 536)	<b>USD 12,328,704.18</b> (para. 533)	Exact figure not given but <b>USD 1,600,000</b> advanced by the parties in total (para. 557(4))	All Claimant's claims dismissed based on unauthentic documents (para. 557)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent <b>USD 9,446,528</b> (para. 557) This amount comprises: (1) USD 800,000 to reimburse the Respondent's share of Tribunal costs; and (2) USD 8,646,528 as 75% of the Respondent's legal costs.	24 (paras. 533-556)

22-Feb-00	<a href="#">14-Mar-03</a>	<b>CME Czech Republic B.V. v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL</b>  Partial Award dated 13-Sep-01; Final Award dated 14-Mar-03  n.b. Costs were awarded in both Awards. These have been considered as one for the purposes of this methodology.	N/R	Claimed in Statement of Claim Respecting Quantum and in Reply Respecting Quantum: USD 526,900,000 (para 31).  Claimed in Skeleton Quantum Arguments dated 04-Nov-02 (reduction to reflect receipt of payments awarded in ICC arbitration): <b>USD 495,200,000</b> , plus interest at Czech statutory rate of 12% per annum since 6 August 1999 (para 31 of Final Award).	UNCITRAL	3: Dr. Wolfgang Kühn (Chairman), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Mr. Ian Brownlie C.B.E. QC	N/A	N/A	Partial Award: USD 1,096,498.86 in Tribunal fees and expenses (para 622 of that award)  Final Award: USD 1,351,203.44 in Arbitrators' fees, disbursements and costs (page 161 of that award).  Total for both Awards = <b>USD 2,447,702.30</b>	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 269,814,000</b> plus interest at 10% since 23 February 2000 (paras 620 + 649).	Partial Award (determining liability): Respondent to refund USD 750,000 being a portion of Claimant's legal fees and disbursements (para 621 of that award). Respondent to bear two-thirds of Tribunal costs and expenditures, being USD 730,999.24 in total or an extra USD 182,749.81 (para 624 of that award).  Final Award (determining quantum): Each Party to bear its own out-of-court fees and expenses. Tribunal fees and costs to be borne equally (para 649 of that award).	2 of 650 [Final Award only]
26-Jul-01	<a href="#">12-May-05</a>	<b>CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8</b>	N/R	<b>USD 261,100,000</b> as fair market value on 17 August 2000 in the event that Respondent decides to take title to shares, or USD 243,600,000 in the event that title to the share remains with CMS (para 396).	ICSID	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), The Honorable Marc Lalonde P.C. O.C. Q.C., H.E. Judge Francisco Rezek	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 133,200,000 to the Claimant plus simple interest at the annualized average rate of 2.51% of the United States Treasury Bills for the period August 16, 2000 to 60 days after the date of the Award, or the date of effective payment if before, applicable to both the value loss suffered by the Claimant and the residual value of its shares.  Claimant to transfer ownership of TGN shares to Respondent, upon payment by Respondent of additional USD 2,148,100 - producing total of <b>USD 135,348,100</b> (paras 468-469).  However, the interest on the residual value of the shares shall cease to run upon written notice by Argentina to the Claimant that it will not exercise its option to buy the Claimant's shares in TGN. After the date indicated above, the rate shall be the arithmetic average of the six-month U.S. Treasury Bills rates observed on the aforementioned date and every six months thereafter, compounded semi-annually.	Each party shall bear its own fees and expenses. The arbitration costs, including the fees of the members of the Tribunal, shall be borne equally (para 472).	1 of 472
02-Jul-09	<a href="#">14-Mar-11</a>	<b>Commerce Group Corp. and San Sebastian Gold Mines Inc. v. Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/17</b>	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naón, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	<b>USD 145,120.59</b> in attorney fees and expenses paid for and/or incurred by Claimants (para 130).	<b>USD 790,399</b> excluding legal fees and costs incurred before 1 July 2010 i.e. when the Tribunal was constituted (para 131).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimants had not raised any causes of action under the Foreign Investment Law (para 128).	Each party shall bear one half of the costs of arbitration, and each Party shall bear its own legal fees and expenses.	11 of 140
26-Dec-96	<a href="#">21-Nov-00</a>	<b>Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Compagnie Générale des Eaux v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3 - VIVENDI I</b>	N/R	Over <b>USD 300,000,000</b> (page 2).	ICSID	3: Judge Francisco Rezek, President Judge Thomas Bürgenthal Mr. Peter D. Trooboff	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as there was no basis for holding that the Respondent had breached its obligations under the BIT (para 92).	Each party shall bear its own expenses. Fees and expenses of Tribunal and charges for use of Centre to be borne equally (para 96).	4 of 96
29-Aug-03	<a href="#">20-Aug-07</a>	<b>Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3 - VIVENDI II</b>	N/R	<b>USD 316,923,000</b> in damages, plus interest compounded from 27 November 1997 (para 3.2.4).	ICSID	3: J. William Rowley QC (President), Prof. Carlos Bernal Vera, Prof. Gabriella Kaufmann-Kohler,	Jurisdictional phase: USD 701,961.08 in attorney fees and other costs excluding ICSID payments plus USD 4,312.15 in transportation costs for hearing, i.e. USD 706,273.23 (para 10.1.2).  Substantive phase: USD 5,978,612.78 (para 10.1.4).  Total for both phases, including transportation costs = <b>USD 6,684,886.01</b>	N/A  n.b. Respondent's counsel withdrew costs claim for jurisdictional phase (para 10.1.2). Respondent claimed USD 796,119.35 in total costs excluding ICSID payments of USD 355,000 for substantive phase excluding amount of ICSID payments (paras 6.13.1 + 10.1.4).	N/A  n.b. No Tribunal Costs given for jurisdictional phase. USD 719,973 in ICSID payments by both parties, for substantive phase only (para 10.1.4).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 105,000,000</b> plus interest at 6%, compounded annually, on the amount of USD 51,000,000.00 as from 28 August 1997 until the date of payment, and on the further amount of USD 54,000,000 as from 5 September 2002 until the date of payment.	Respondent shall pay to Claimants the sum of USD 701,961.08, being their reasonable legal and other costs for the jurisdictional phase, plus 6% simple interest from date of Award to date of payment (para 10.2.6).  Parties to bear their own costs and counsel fees for the substantive phase, and to bear equally the arbitrators' fees and expenses and cost of ICSID Secretariat, together with any related costs or charges for use of the ICSID facilities (para 10.2.6).	N/A
02-Jun-95	<a href="#">17-Feb-00</a>	<b>Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena S.A. v. Republic of Costa Rica ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1</b>	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: fair market value of <b>USD 41,200,000</b> , excluding interest and other amounts, as fair and full compensation for expropriation of the Property (paras 29 + 57).  Claimed in Reply: USD 40,337,750 plus compound interest as of date of expropriation on 5 May 1978 (paras 38 + 57).  "Worst case scenario" claims were USD 22,200,000 and USD 33,400,000 (para 58).	ICSID	3: Yves Fortier, Prof. Sir Elihu Lauterpacht and Prof. Prosper Weil	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 16,000,000</b> by way of compensation for the expropriation (this represents principal and adjusted compound interest for over 20 years) (para 107).	Each party shall bear its own costs and expenses, and the costs of the proceeding including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of ICSID facilities to be borne in equal shares (para 109).	3 of 111
28-Jun-00	<a href="#">22-Dec-03</a>	<b>Consortium R.F.C.C. v. Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/6</b>	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8056333254	EUR 72,186,174.35 inclusive of interest, i.e. <b>USD 89,601,773</b> (para 20).	ICSID	3: Maitre Robert Briner (President), Maitre Bernardo M. Cremades, M. le Professeur Ibrahim Fadallah	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits (para 110).	Each party shall bear its own costs and fees, and the costs of the arbitration proceedings shall be borne equally.	2 of 113

03-Feb-03	<a href="#">10-Jan-05</a>	Consorzio Groupement L.E.S.I. – DIPENTA v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/08	N/R	N/A (see page 15, para 7)	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Maître André Faurès, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard	N/A	N/A	USD 209,900 in costs of arbitration (para 43).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction.	Each party shall bear its own fees and expenses. The arbitration costs, including the fees of the members of the Tribunal, shall be borne equally. Consequently the Respondent shall pay the Claimant USD 45,050 in reimbursement of its advance payment for the costs of the arbitration.	1 of 43
17-Jan-03	<a href="#">05-Sep-08</a>	Continental Casualty Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/9	N/R	Minimum of USD 69,000,000 for violation of contractual and expropriation obligations (para 22). n.b. USD 3,500,000 claimed in respect of LETE losses, the only claim which succeeded (para 305)	ICSID	3. Prof. Giorgio Sacerdoti (President), Mr. V.V. Veeder, Lic. Michell Nader	USD 3,323,849.91 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (FN 445).	USD 844,776.43 in total costs excluding ICSID fees (FN 445).	N/A	Claimant win. Claims based on FET and on umbrella clause failed either due to defence of necessity or due to lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (paras 304-305).  Claimant succeeded on breach of FET claim in respect of LETEs. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,800,000 plus compound interest at the rates for USD 6 month LIBOR plus 2 per cent compounded annually from 1 January 2005 until payment (paras 305 + 315).	Each party to bear its own expenses of presenting and preparing its case, and half of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre's facilities and services (para 319).	3 of 320
02-Feb-10	<a href="#">21-May-13</a>	Convil Callo v Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/2	N/R	USD 104,438,504 plus interest (para. 242)	ICSID	3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Eduardo Zuleta and Brigitte Stern	USD 2,504,937 (USD 2,829,937 - USD 325,000 for ICSID fees) (para. 677)	USD 3,809,978.53 (USD 4,109,978.53 - USD 300,000 for ICSID fees) (para. 678)	USD 1,025,017 as total costs advanced by both the parties  Not Clear - Claimant spent USD 600,017.00 (USD 475,017.00 on administrative expenses and USD 125,000.00 on additional ICSID expenses) and Respondent paid USD 425,000.00 (USD 300,000.00 on ICSID costs and USD 125,000.00 additional payment).	The Tribunal dismissed all claims. (para. 681 (3))	The Tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay 50% of the Respondent's costs (including both party and tribunal costs) in the amount of USD 2,117,489.27 (para. 681).	12 (paras. 669-680)
21-Jan-11	<a href="#">15-Mar-16</a>	Copper Mesa Mining Corporation v Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-2)	N/R	USD 69,700,000 (para. 1.124(d)) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. V.V. Veeder QC (President); Bruno Sinima; Bernardo Cremades	USD 2,639,264.20 (para. 9.4)	USD 5,704,179.92 (para. 9.4)	USD 1,063,515.05 (para. 9.5)	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 19,447,494.80 (para. 11.5)	The Tribunal decides (para. 9.10): (1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	10 (paras. 9.1-9.10)
10-Jun-14	<a href="#">31-May-16</a>	Corona Materials, LLC (U.S.) v Dominican Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/14/3)	N/R	USD 100,000,000 (para. 125 of the Request for Arbitration) plus interest	ICSID	3. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President); Fernando Mantilla-Serrano; J. Christopher Thomas	USD 1,121,972.79 (para. 274)	USD 1,685,991.00 (para. 274)	USD 400,000 advanced, split between the parties but actual costs not disclosed: see para. 274	The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the claim (para. 270)  The Tribunal decides the request for arbitration was time-barred as the Claimant did not satisfy conditions required under DR-CAFTA Article 18.10.1, and at present the Tribunal has no jurisdiction over the claims	The Tribunal decides (para. 279): (1) each Party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each Party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	9 (paras. 271-279)
09-Mar-11	<a href="#">04-Apr-16</a>	Crystallex International Corporation v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/2)	N/R	USD 3,160,000,000 (para. 719) plus interest	ICSID	3. Dr. Laurent Levy (President), Prof. Laurence Boisson de Chazoumes, Prof. John Y. Gotanda	USD 30,493,635 (para. 949)	USD 14,322,826 (para. 950)	USD 1,974,750 advanced in total by the parties (paras 949 and 950).	Respondent ordered to pay Claimant damages of USD 1,202,000,000 for breach of BIT (para. 961).	The Tribunal decides (para. 960): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	13 (paras. 948-960)
02-Aug-04	<a href="#">22-Aug-12</a>	Daimler Financial Services AG v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/1	N/R	EUR 272,000,000, i.e. USD 339,147,670 (FN 267)	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. All claims dismissed for lack of BIT-based jurisdiction (para 286).	The costs of the arbitration to be split evenly between the parties, with each party bearing its own legal costs.	3 of 286
16-Jul-10	<a href="#">16-May-14</a>	David Minnotte & Robert Lewis v. Republic of Poland, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/10/1	N/R	N/A Claims not quantified in the Award but stated to be USD 35,000,000 ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/388">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/388</a> )	ICSID (AF)	3. Vaughan Lowe, QC (President), Professor Maurice Mendelson, QC, Professor Eduardo Silva Romero	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not clearly mentioned but Claimant was asked to reimburse Respondent USD 931,051.23 for the legal costs (para. 216)	USD 573,380.12 (para. 215)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 217)	The Tribunal decides that the Claimant shall pay to the Respondent USD 1,791,121.41:  1) Claimants should bear all the ICSID arbitration costs and expenses i.e. USD 573,380.12; and 2) Claimant should pay to the Respondent a total of USD 1,217,741.29 as part of its legal costs	4 (paras 213-216)
02-Aug-05	<a href="#">06-Feb-08</a>	Desert Line Projects LLC v. Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5101443184 / OMR 0.3850299977 / EUR 0.6830536677  n.b. Further historical conversion rate used for purposes of calculating amount awarded: OMR 1 = YER 479.765168654 as of 9-Aug-04	Sums totalling OMR 96,409,954, i.e. USD 250,395,948, plus interest at 7% from date amounts were due (para 81)	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Jan Paulsson, Prof. Ahmed S. El-Koshery	USD 717,191 in Salans fees and expenses including those of Mr. Fathallah; GBP 21,209 (USD 41,575) in fees of Mr. JH Scott; OMR 75,500 (USD 196,089) in KPMG fees; OMR 4,147 (USD 10,771) in expenses paid directly by Claimant for visit by counsel, expert and witnesses to Muscat; OMR 3,981 plus EUR 18,172 (USD 10,339 + 26,604) in expenses incurred by Claimant for representatives and witnesses for hearing (para 300).  i.e. total of USD 1,002,569	USD 471,534.82 in legal costs (para 301).  n.b. Respondent excluded costs borne by Yemeni Government for its own costs and time spent participating in proceedings.	USD 225,000 paid by Claimant as lodging fee and advance on costs (para 300).  USD 200,000 paid by Respondent in advance costs to ICSID for administrative expenses of proceedings including Tribunal fees and expenses (para 301).  i.e. Total of USD 425,000 advanced to ICSID	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 1,000,000 for moral damages including loss of reputation (without pre-award interest), plus an amount in Omani Riyals equivalent to YER 3,585,446,554 at exchange rate of Omani Central Bank as of 9 August 2004 with simple interest at 5% per annum from 9 August 2004 (paras 253, 291 + 295-296), i.e. USD 20,409,753.  n.b. On 9 August 2004, YER 3,585,446,554 was equal to OMR 7,473,337. On the date of the award OMR 7,473,337 was equal to USD 19,409,753.	Claimant to bear 30% and Respondent 70% of the arbitration costs. On basis of amounts advanced by parties to ICSID, it is assumed that USD 297,500 was borne by Respondent and USD 127,500 by Claimant, i.e. Respondent bore an extra 20% or USD 85,000.  Respondent to pay an additional USD 400,000 to the Claimant for legal expenses (para 304).	6 of 304
29-Apr-11	<a href="#">17-Aug-15</a>	Detroit International Bridge Company v Government of Canada (PCA Case No. 2012-25)	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3089	USD 5,000,000,000 (para. 27) (As per the Claimant's first and second Notice of Intent) In addition, see paras 182, 209 on the award for jurisdiction)	PCA/UNCITRAL	3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Hon. Michael Chertoff, Vaughan Lowe	N/A Not disclosed.	USD 2,638,105.24 (converted from CAD 3,453,015.95) (para. 22)	Exact figure not given but USD 1,600,000 advanced by the parties in total (para. 557(4))	The Tribunal decides it does not have jurisdiction to hear the Claimant's case (para. 340 of the Award on Jurisdiction).	Claimant ordered to pay USD 1,625,849.95 (para. 61).  This amount comprises:  (1) the Claimant shall pay 2/3 of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,358,168.16); (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs (USD 267,681.79).	61 (it is an Award on Costs) (paras.1-61)
17-Feb-09	<a href="#">31-Oct-12</a>	Deutsche Bank AG v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/02	N/R	USD 60,368,993 plus interest (para 8(2)).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Mr. Makhdoom Ali Khan, Prof. David A.R. Williams QC	USD 7,995,127.36 submitted in Statement of Costs including legal fees and expenses (para 576).	USD 2,822,435.11 submitted in Statement of Costs including legal fees and expenses (para 577).	USD 960,928.72 including arbitrators' fees, Tribunal expenses, Secretariat admin fee and charges for use of Centre facilities (para 578).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 60,368,993 plus interest based on a nine-month LIBOR rate as of 9 December 2008, plus a market-based funding spread based on credit risks associated with DB, based on DB's one-year credit default rate, at 1.12% (paras.574-575).  Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,578,566 plus interest at the simple active rate quoted by Central Bank of Ecuador from the date on which each fine became due and payable until full payment.  For BIT breach relating to late invoice payments, Respondent ordered to pay interest at a simple active rate quoted by the Central Bank of Ecuador on the sum of USD 96,980.64 between 28 August 2002 and the date on which this sum was actually paid by the Respondent (para 491).	Respondent to pay USD 7,995,127.36 representing the full amount of the Claimant's legal fees and expenses. Costs of the arbitration to be borne by parties in equal parts (para 590).	15 of 590
25-Aug-04	<a href="#">18-Aug-08</a>	Duke Energy Electroqu Partners & Electroquil S.A. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID No. ARB/04/19	N/R	Claimed in Reply: USD 24,720,904 in damages resulting from unlawful conduct; in the alternative, USD 19,263,434 for impairment of investment value; plus further USD 358,954 in damages resulting from denial of justice; each amount inclusive of annually compounded interest until 31 December 2005. i.e. maximum of USD 25,079,858 inclusive of interest (para 106).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Dr. Enrique Gómez Pinzón, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,578,566 plus interest at the simple active rate quoted by Central Bank of Ecuador from the date on which each fine became due and payable until full payment.  For BIT breach relating to late invoice payments, Respondent ordered to pay interest at a simple active rate quoted by the Central Bank of Ecuador on the sum of USD 96,980.64 between 28 August 2002 and the date on which this sum was actually paid by the Respondent (para 491).	Parties shall bear the costs of the arbitration equally and each party shall bear its own legal and other costs (para 491).	2 of 491
04-Dec-09	<a href="#">28-Jun-16</a>	Dunkeld International Investment Limited v Belize (PCA Case No. 2010-13)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9049 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7523	USD 175,379,241 (para. 182) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), John Beechey, Rodrigo Oreamuno	USD 4,713,624.76 (para. 353)	USD 939,592.02 (para. 358)	USD 679,930.67 (para. 349)	The tribunal decides (paras. 362(g) and (h)): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	23 (paras. 339-361)	
06-Dec-04	<a href="#">12-Apr-07</a>	Eastern Sugar B.V. (Netherlands) v. Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 008/2004  Partial Award dated 27-Mar-07; Final Award (concerning arbitration costs only) dated 12-Apr-07	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7486494963	Claimed on 1 July 2005: EUR 95,858,000, plus bi-annually compounded interest at 100 basis points above 6-month EURIBOR (para 20 of Partial Award).  Claimed on 15 March 2006: EUR 109,078,000 plus bi-annually compounded interest at 12% as Eastern Sugar's cost of capital, or in the alternative 100 basis points above 6-month EURIBOR, i.e. USD 145,699,691 excluding interest ( para 21 of Partial Award).  Claimed on 8 September 2006: EUR 88,537,000 on 8 September 2006 plus bi-annually compounded interest at 12% as Eastern Sugar's cost of capital, or in the alternative 100 basis points above 6-month EURIBOR, i.e. USD 118,262,285 excluding interest (para 22 of Partial Award).  n.b. Tribunal deemed submission on 8 September to be Claimant's final Prayers for Relief (para 23 of Partial Award). However, it noted that the EUR 109,078,000 submitted on 15 March was the "high mark" (para 380 of Partial Award).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Mr. Robert Volterra, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard and Dr. Pierre A. Karrer (President)	EUR 3,366,120.35 in representation costs, i.e. USD 4,496,257 (para 381 of Partial Award).  n.b. Claimant also claimed an additional EUR 1,500 in costs for the Stockholm Institute". This has been excluded from Party Costs and added to Tribunal Costs.	EUR 1,242,486.90 in representation costs, i.e. USD 1,659,638 (para 381 of Partial Award).  n.b. Respondent also claimed an additional EUR 1,500 in costs for the Stockholm Institute". This has been excluded from Party Costs and added to Tribunal Costs.	EUR 856,719.50 in arbitrators' fees and expenses, i.e. USD 1,144,353 (para 5 of Final Award).  EUR 3,000 paid by parties to Stockholm Institute, i.e. USD 4,007 (para 381 of Partial Award).  i.e. total fees of Tribunal and Institute amounting to USD 1,148,360	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay EUR 25,400,000, i.e. USD 33,927,759, plus simple annual interest at 7 percentage points above repo rate published by Czech National Bank from 31 July 2006 to date of payment (paras 368, 374 + 376 of Partial Award).	Of arbitration costs, 30% allocated to Respondent and 70% to Claimant, so that Claimant bears EUR 599,703.65 in total, or an extra EUR 171,343.90, i.e. an extra USD 228,871; resulting in balance payment of EUR 171,343.90 by Claimant to Respondent (paras 6-7 of the Final Award).  Of party representation costs (note that this includes payments to the Stockholm Institute), Respondent to pay 30% of Claimant's party representation costs (EUR 1,010,786) and Claimant to pay 70% of Respondent's party costs (EUR 670,791), resulting in balance payment of EUR 139,995 by Respondent towards Claimant's costs (para 383 of Partial Award).  i.e. Claimant bore an extra USD 228,871 (EUR 171,343.90) in arbitration costs but was reimbursed USD 186,997 (EUR 139,995) by Respondent towards party representation costs, resulting in a net costs award of USD 41,874 in favour of Respondent.  n.b. There appears to be a small error in Tribunal's calculations - 30% of Claimant's party representation costs would be EUR 1,010,286, not EUR 1,010,786.	6 of 384
31-Jul-09	<a href="#">19-Sep-13</a>	ECE Projektmanagement v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2010-5	US\$1 = EUR0.7532	USD 93,320,499.20 (converted from EUR 70,289,000 (para. 1.33))	UNCITRAL/PCA	Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC (President); Andreas Bucher; Christopher Thomas QC	USD 1,249,607.81 (converted from EUR 941,204.60 (para. 6.7))	USD 4,856,753.31 (converted from EUR 3,454,742.59)	USD 1,407,589.52 (converted from EUR 1,060,196.43 (para. 6.4).	Respondent win on the merits (page 382).	(1) the Claimants shall pay USD 3,260,175.29 (converted from EUR 2,455,564.03) being 85% of the Respondent's costs of legal representation; and  (2) the Claimants shall pay USD 492,656.33 (converted from 371,068.75) being an additional 35% - making USD 1,196,451.09 or 85% in total - of the arbitration costs.	76 (paras 6.1 - 6.76)

14-Jun-05	<a href="#">08-Oct-09</a>	EDF (Services) Limited v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/13	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6766121564	USD 132,576,000 plus interest, based on DCF method (para 110).	ICSID	3: Prof. Piero Bernardini (President), Mr. Arthur W. Rovine, Mr. Yves Derains	USD 2,761,308.90 and EUR 3,678,294.82 in "arbitration costs", i.e. <b>USD 8,197,651</b> (para 321). n.b. May include ICSID advances.	USD 18,574,642.14 in "arbitration costs" (para 321). n.b. May include ICSID advances.	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits: although there was attribution there was no contractual breach and therefore no State responsibility in international law for violation of umbrella clause (para 319).	Claimant to pay USD 6,000,000 on account of Respondent's legal fees and other costs. Parties to share equally all fees and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID administrative charges (para 329). n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Mr. Arthur W. Rovine.	9 of 330
16-Jun-03	<a href="#">11-Jun-12</a>	EDF International S.A., SAUR International S.A. and León Participaciones Argentinas S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/23	N/R	Originally USD 153,600,000 plus interest (para 717) Revised to USD 147,800,000 plus interest based on Claimant's own bid, or USD 125,200,000 plus interest based on second highest bid (para 880)	ICSID	3: Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Prof. Jesús Remón, Prof. William W. Park (Presiding)	USD 15,786,490.54 in total costs including inter alia fees and expenses of international and Argentine counsel, expert fees, and ICSID payments (para 878). n.b. The amounts of ICSID payments made by Respondent were not quantified, but given that the total costs of the arbitration were quantified by the Tribunal, we have taken half that sum (i.e. USD 815,649) and deducted this from total costs, reducing the Claimant's amount to <b>USD 14,970,842</b> .	USD 3,640,566.77 in total costs including inter alia expenses of legal team, expert fees, and ICSID payments (para 879). n.b. The amounts of ICSID payments made by Respondent were not quantified, but given that the total costs of the arbitration were quantified by the Tribunal, we have taken half that sum (i.e. USD 815,649) and deducted this from total costs, reducing the Respondent's amount to <b>USD 2,824,918</b> .	USD 1,631,297.95 in total costs of arbitration including arbitrators' fees and expenses and ICSID administrative expenses (para 1346).	Claimant win. Respondent to pay <b>USD 136,138,430</b> plus interest compounded annually at rate for the ten year U.S. Treasury Bonds for the period from 31 December 2001 until date of payment (para 1182).	Each side to bear its own legal expenses, including fees for attorneys and experts. Arbitration costs, including the fees of the arbitrators and the administrative expenses of the Centre, to be divided on an equal basis (para 1345).	N/A
13-Dec-13	<a href="#">04-May-17</a>	Eiser Infrastructure Limited and Energía Solar Luxembourg S.à.r.l. v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/36	US\$1 = EUR 0.9117 US\$1 = GBP 0.7742	USD 229,242,075.24 (EUR 209,000,000) (para. 431)	ICSID	3: Prof. John R Crook (President); Dr Stanimir Alexandrov; Campbell McLachlan	USD 5,537,906.21 (GBP 4,287,446.99) (para. 482) N.B. Includes advances to ICSID	USD 3,163,803.77 (EUR 2,884,439.90) (para. 482) N.B. Includes advances to ICSID	USD 956,159.58 (para. 483)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay <b>USD 140,397,060.44</b> (EUR 128,000,000).	The Tribunal decided (para. 485): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the costs of the arbitration.	6 (paras 479-485)
06-Jun-03	<a href="#">31-Oct-11</a>	EI Paso Energy International Company v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15	N/R	USD 228,200,000 using DCF method; USD 210,000,000 using transactions method; plus interest "at commercially reasonable rate from the date of expropriation" (paras 689-692).	ICSID	3: Prof. Lucius Caflisch (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 7,950,823 excluding ICSID fees and expenses (para 749)	USD 546,456.71 excluding ICSID fees and expenses (para 749).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 43,030,000</b> to the Claimants, plus semi-annually compounded interest at LIBOR plus 2% from January 1, 2002 until the date of full payment (para 752).	The Parties shall bear all their own legal costs and expenses. The Parties shall bear equally the costs and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID (para 751).	4 of 752
13-Aug-07	<a href="#">25-Nov-15</a>	Electrabel S.A. v Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9421 US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6619	N/A Declaratory relief and unspecified damages (para. 89) Quantum phase bifurcated.	ICSID	3: Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Brigitte Stern, V. V. Veeder (President)	USD 8,068,281 (para. 230) (sum of first phase: USD 5,583,470 (assumes a missing 0 is a typo in the award) and second phase: USD 1,873,190.51 (converted from EUR 1,764,732.78), USD 177,877 (converted from GBP 117,737.28) and USD 433,744.85 (para.230))	USD 10,055,733 (para. 230)	N/A Notified to the parties separately (para. 236)	Respondent won on merits. No declaratory relief ordered (para. 227)	The Tribunal decides (para. 236): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs.	9 (paras 228 - 236)
12-Sep-13	<a href="#">16-Mar-17</a>	Eli Lilly and Company v The Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, ICSID Case No. UNCT/14/2	US\$1 = CAD 1.3316	USD 375,488,134.57 (converted from CAD 500,000,000) (para. 95) plus interest	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3: Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Sir Daniel Bethlehem QC, Gary Born	USD 8,968,006 (USD 8,128,444 + USD 839,562) (para. 449)	USD 4,454,416.05 (USD 3,438,916.28 (converted from CAD 4,579,260.92) + USD 1,015,499.77 (converted from CAD 1,352,239.50)) (para. 453)	USD 749,697.97 (para. 457)	Claimants claims of arbitrariness and discrimination dismissed (para. 442)	Claimant ordered to pay in total <b>USD 3,715,661.04</b> . The Tribunal decides (para. 458): 1) Claimant ordered to pay USD 374,848.99 representing the Respondent's share of tribunal costs; 2) USD 3,340,812.05 (converted from CAD 4,448,625.32), representing 75% of the Respondent's legal fees and disbursements (para. 460)	18 (paras. 443-460)
17-Mar-09	<a href="#">16-Nov-12</a>	Elsamex S.A. v. Republic of Honduras (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/4)	N/R	Claim: <b>USD 11,546,088.81</b> plus interest (para 190). Counterclaim by Respondent: USD 16,545,075 plus interest, minus amount for executed guarantees, i.e. USD 12,790,766.53 (paras 195 + 202).	ICSID	1: Dr. Enrique Gómez Pinzón	USD 524,982.96 in fees and expenses of Cremades & Asociados; USD 79,957.59 in technical reports; USD 68,718.60 in transport and accommodation costs for the hearing; USD 77,630.79 in other costs associated with proceedings; USD 187,141.54 in indirect, general and financial expenses, i.e. total of <b>USD 938,431.48</b> (para 868).	USD 230,729.09 for legal fees of Dorsey & Whitney and USD 42,368.42 in expert fees, i.e. <b>USD 273,097.51</b> (paras 202 + 870).	N/A Claimant paid USD 496,320.31 for costs of the ICSID tribunal (para 868). Respondent also appears to have paid ICSID fees but this not fully quantified (para 870), and so this figure has been excluded.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay sums totalling <b>USD 8,070,495</b> (USD 8,075,995.19 minus USD 5,500 for Claimant breach) (para 866).	Respondent to pay USD 214,729.40 towards Claimant's legal expenses in respect of the jurisdictional phase and the counterclaim phase (paras 871-872). Otherwise, each party to bear its own fees and expenses. Costs and expenses of the proceedings, including Tribunal fees, to be divided equally between the parties (para 866).	19 of 886
18-Jul-97	<a href="#">13-Nov-00</a>	Emilio Agustín Maffezini v. Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7 Award dated 13-Nov-00; Rectification of the Award dated 31-Jan-01	US\$ 1 = ESP 193.0455969418	N/A n.b. It appears likely that part of the claim was for ESP 30,000,000, i.e. USD 155,404, plus interest - but there is no reference to actual amount claimed, which may have been higher (paras 72, 94-96).	ICSID	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Judge Thomas Büergenthal, Mr. Maurice Wolf	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay ESP 30,000,000, i.e. USD 155,404, in compensation, plus interest compounded annually since 4 February 1992 at LIBOR rate amounting to ESP 27,641,265.28, totalling ESP 57,641,265.28, i.e. <b>USD 298,589</b> (paras 95-97).	Each of the parties shall bear the entirety of its own expenses and legal fees for its own counsel. Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of the Centre to be borne equally by the parties (para 98). Each party to bear entirety of its own expenses and counsel fees (para 99).	2 of 100
28-Oct-11	<a href="#">16-Apr-14</a>	Emmis International Holding, B.V. and others v. Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/2	N/R	N/A Claims not quantified in the award due to the bifurcation of the proceedings.	ICSID	3: Campbell McLachlan QC (President), Marc Lalonde PC QC, J Christopher Thomas QC	USD 2,594,404.00 (para. 263)	USD 1,866,421.00 (para. 263)	USD 331,267.32 (USD 87,846.13 + USD 243,421.19) (para. 263)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 265).	The Tribunal decides (para 265): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (paras 256-264)
13-Dec-04	<a href="#">02-Jun-09</a>	Empresa Eléctrica del Ecuador Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/9	N/R	USD 326,578,182.18 (irreconcilable amounts submitted by Claimant, see para 46)	ICSID	3: Judge Bernardo Sepúlveda (President), Mr. John Rooney, Prof. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 136).	Each Party shall pay an equal portion of the costs and expenses. Each Party shall assume its own costs and expenses of representation (para 137).	1 of 137
24-Dec-02	<a href="#">07-Feb-05</a>	Empresas Lucchetti S.A. and Lucchetti Peru S.A. v. Peru, ICSID No. ARB/03/4	N/R	N/A n.b. Total amount invested by Claimants in Peru was more than USD 150,000,000 (para 17). Claimants sought compensation for consequential damage and loss of earnings associated with the investment (para 24).	ICSID	3: Judge Thomas Büergenthal (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Mr. Jan Paulsson	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as it did not fall within scope of Respondent's consent to international adjudication under the BIT (para 62).	Each Party to pay one half of the arbitration costs and bear its own legal costs.	N/A
14-Mar-03	<a href="#">03-Feb-06</a>	EnCana Corporation v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3481, UNCITRAL Interim Award dated 31-Jan-04; Partial Award on Jurisdiction dated 27-Feb-04; Award dated 03-Feb-06	N/R	Reimbursement of denied tax credits of <b>USD 80,000,000</b> (para 1).	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Crawford, Dr. Grigera, Mr. Thomas	N/A	N/A	USD 594,182.69 in Tribunal fees and expenses; USD 4,180.20 in Secretary fees and expenses; USD 39,152.19 in hearing room, court reporting and translation costs; USD 48,272.96 in LCIA charges; i.e. <b>USD 685,788.04</b> in total (para 203).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, except for expropriation claim, which was rejected on the merits as the State's actions were not considered to amount to expropriation in breach of the relevant BIT article (paras 167-168, 178 + 199).	Respondent to bear costs of arbitration and reimburse Claimant for sum of USD 330,267.44 deposited with LCIA as deposit-holder in connection with arbitration costs. Otherwise, each party to bear its own costs of representation (paras 202 + 204).	4 of 204
08-Jul-10	<a href="#">23-Oct-13</a>	Energogalians TOB v. Republic of Moldova, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = MDL 12.8750	USD 73,649,928.47 (converted from MDL 948,242,829.08).	UNCITRAL	Dominic Pellet (President); Michael Yurevich Savarski; Viktor Kornelevich Volchinskij	USD 200,000	N/A	USD 343,679.28	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay a total of <b>USD 46,048,962.72</b> (converted from MDL 592,880,395 (MDL 195,547,212 + MDL 357,916,008 + MDL 39,417,175)).	The Tribunal decides: (1) Respondent shall pay USD 200,000 as the Claimant's legal costs; and (2) Respondent shall pay USD 340,000 as the costs of the arbitration.	10
06-Aug-12	<a href="#">13-Jun-14</a>	Enkev Beheer B.V. v. Republic of Poland, PCA Case No. 2013-01 29 Apr 2014: First Partial Award; 13 June 2014: Final Award on Costs	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7394	N/A Quantum of damages not disclosed. (the UNCTAD website verifies this: <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/660">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/660</a> )	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	3: VV Veeder (President), Albert Jan van den Berg, and Klaus M Sachs	USD 1,833,431.18 (converted from EUR 1,355,639.02) (para. 32)	USD 935,867.70 (converted from EUR 691,980.58) (para. 33)	USD 599,615.22 (EUR 450,000 - EUR 6,444.51 remaining deposit - para. 59)	Claimant loses on merits (para. 384 of the Partial Award available here: <a href="http://www.itlaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw6208.pdf">http://www.itlaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw6208.pdf</a> )	The Tribunal decides (para. 58-59): (1) each party shall pay the costs of the arbitrator they each appointed; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the other Tribunal costs.	60 (1-60 it is a costs award)
26-Feb-01	<a href="#">22-May-07</a>	Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets L.P v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3	N/R	USD 582,018,216 using unjust enrichment approach, USD 472,823,217 using book value approach, or USD 382,016,802 using the DCF method (para 348).	ICSID	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego-Vicuña (President), Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, Mr. Pierre-Yves Tschanz	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 106,200,000</b> plus semi-annually compounded interest at 6 month average LIBOR rate plus 2 per cent for each year, or proportion thereof, beginning on 1 January 2002 until the date of dispatch of the Award (paras 450-452).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and arbitration costs to be borne in equal shares by the parties.	1 of 453
27-Oct-97	<a href="#">26-Jul-01</a>	Eudoro Aranda Olguin v. Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5	n.b. Used conversion rate at date of investment (30 June 1995), at US\$ 1 = PYG 1,798. Conversion rate at date of Award was US\$ 1 = PYG 4279.999999366 due to currency devaluation.	PYG 2,407,057,500 for unpaid portion of Claimant's investment as of 30 June 1995, i.e. <b>USD 1,338,742</b> , plus applicable adjustment for devaluation of guaranis from June 1995 to effective payment date, plus interest on that amount at rate agreed in TDis, plus damages incurred due to failed payments of principal (para 63).	ICSID	3: Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno (President), Mr. Francisco Rezek, Mr. Eduardo Mayora Alvarado	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits, as requirements for "expropriation" were not met (paras 83-84).	Each party shall pay one half of the fees for these proceedings and the entire cost of their representation.	1 of 85
11-Feb-03	<a href="#">19-Aug-05</a>	Eureko B.V. v. Republic of Poland	N/R	N/A n.b. Consortium's investment totalled nearly 700,000,000 (para 41).	Ad hoc arbitration under Netherlands-Poland BIT	3: Mr. Fortier, Judge Schwebel, Prof. Rajski	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Decision on award deferred (page 86).	Respondent to bear both Claimant's costs and Tribunal's costs (para 261).	1 of 262
06-Mar-07	<a href="#">13-Aug-09</a>	Europe Cement Investment & Trade S.A. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/2	N/R	"An amount exceeding" <b>USD 3,800,000,000</b> (para 26).	ICSID	3: Prof. Donald M. McRae, Dr. Laurent Lévy, Dr. Julian D.M. Lew QC	USD 1,011,204.18 in legal costs and expenses (para 183).	USD 3,907,383.14 in legal fees and disbursements (para 182).	USD 259,480 in total disbursements made by ICSID (para 186).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed in its entirety for lack of jurisdiction, the Tribunal concluding that the claim to jurisdiction had been based on a fraudulent assertion of ownership (para 185).	Claimant to pay USD 3,907,383.14 representing Respondent's full legal expenses and costs, plus USD 129,740 for Respondent's share of arbitration costs (para 186).	5 of 186



23-Nov-09	<a href="#">20-Aug-14</a>	European American Investment Bank AG (Austria) v. Slovak Republic, PCA Case No. 2010-17	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7527</a>	USD 178,970,307.82 (para. 32 of Second Award on Jurisdiction - <a href="http://www.itlaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw4227.pdf">http://www.itlaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw4227.pdf</a> ) (converted from EUR 131,400,000)	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Sir Christopher Greenwood (President), Brigitte Stern, Alexander Petsche	USD 2,090,434.82 (paras 20-21) (converted from EUR 1,573,470.29)	USD 6,952,703.19 (paras 20-21) (converted from EUR 5,233,299.19)	USD 1,371,012.55 (para. 19) (converted from EUR 1,031,961.15)	Respondent wins on jurisdiction (pages 5, 6).	The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,088,195.64 (para. 64): This amount comprises: (1) USD 759,645.09 to reimburse the Respondent for the Tribunal costs paid (converted from EUR 571,785.10); (2) USD 1,328,550.55 to contribute for the Respondent's legal costs (converted from EUR 1,000,000).	64 (paras. 1-64 - it was an award on costs)
17-Feb-03	<a href="#">28/01/2010 (Award on costs)</a>	European Media Ventures SA v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	<a href="#">US\$1 = GBP0.6196</a>	N/A	UNCITRAL	Lord Mustill (President); Sir Christopher Greenwood QC; Dr Julian Lew QC	N/A	USD 2,276,674.74 (converted from GBP 1,410,400 (para. 8))	USD 393,778.24 (converted from GBP 243,945.62 (para. 22))	Respondent win on the merits (para. 94 of Award on Liability).	The Tribunal decides: (1) the Claimant shall pay USD 645,682 (GBP 400,000) as a contribution to the Respondent's legal costs (para. 20); and (2) the Claimant shall pay two thirds of the Tribunal costs, i.e. USD 262,518.82 (GBP 162,630.41 (para. 23)).	27
17-Jun-96	<a href="#">09-Mar-98</a>	Fedax N.V. v. Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3	N/R	Claimed in request for arbitration: USD 598,950 in outstanding capital plus USD 80,071.63 in outstanding interest, i.e. USD 679,021.63 including interest (para 16).	ICSID	3. Prof. Vicuna (President), Prof. Heith, Mr. Roberts B. Owen	N/A	N/A	USD 100,300 in institutional expenses including Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre facilities (para 34).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 598,950 representing principal of promissory notes due, plus USD 161,245.14 in regular and penal interest due on the notes, i.e. USD 760,195.14 in total principal and interest (para 32).	Each party to bear the entirety of its own expenses and legal fees for its own counsel, and an equal share of the institutional expenses (paras 34-35).	2 of 63
30-Oct-01	<a href="#">17-Jul-06</a>	Fireman's Fund Insurance Company v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/02/01	N/R	Claimed in Notice of Arbitration and Memorial on Merits: USD 50,000,000 plus interest (paras 15 + 107).	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Andreas F. Lowenfeld, Mr. Alberto Guillermo Saavedra Olavarrieta, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Although Claimant had demonstrated injury and loss of investment, this did not amount to expropriation. Claim relating to preliminary question lost on a "technicality" and dismissed on merits, and other claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (paras 217-218 + 221).	Each Party to bear its own costs. Parties to share Tribunal's costs in equal shares (paras 220-221).	2 of 226
06-Jan-14	<a href="#">12-Aug-16</a>	Fleming DutyFree Shop Private Limited v Poland	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8861</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = PLN 3.8227</a>	USD 91,200,770.86 (para. 42) plus interest	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Hans van Houfte (President); John Townsend, Wolfgang Kuhn	USD 2,368,293.16 (Converted from EUR 2,119,859.21 (EUR 2,544,859.21 - EUR 425,000) (para. 948) (total costs minus Tribunal costs)	USD 520,423.16 (USD 519,394.36 + USD 1,028.80) (converted from PLN 1,985,488.81 + EUR 1,149.37) (para. 955) (total costs minus Tribunal costs)	USD 926,625.51 (paras. 975) (EUR 829,422.49 (arrived from EUR 850,000 - EUR 20,577.51) (para. 975)	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 20,000,862.58 (para. 976(v))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,705,860.80 (para. 976(v)) 1) This amount comprises 60% of the Claimant's legal costs. 2) The parties shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs (para. 976(vii))	30 (paras. 946-975)
9-Aug-10	<a href="#">18-Nov-14</a>	Flughafen Zürich A.G. and Gestión e Ingeniería IDC S.A. v. Venezuela	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9583</a> <a href="#">US\$1 = CHF 997.7794</a>	USD 82,285,140.27 plus interest (USD 42,133,124.28 (CHF 40,376,173) for Flughafen Zurich + USD 40,152,015.99 (CHF 24,002,048,029) for Gestión e Ingeniería IDC) (para. 51)	ICSID	3. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), Henri Alvarez, G.C., Raúl E. Vinuesa	USD 3,800,720 (para. 981)	USD 3,385,122 (para. 984)	Not clear, USD 950,000 advanced in total by the parties	Claimants win in the amount of USD 19,928,261 (page 205)	Respondent ordered to pay Claimant USD 2,399,000 (page 205): 1) USD 1,874,000 in legal costs; and 2) USD 525,000 in tribunal's costs.	23 (paras 979-1001)
09-Jan-14	<a href="#">15-Dec-14</a>	Forminster Enterprises Limited (Cyprus) v. Czech Republic	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = CZK 22.1110</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8019</a>	USD 36,327,264.20 plus interest (converted from CZK 803,232,139) (para. 36(1)) plus interest @ 3.038% per year from 1 November 2002 on USD 36,327,264.20	UNCITRAL	3. Martin Hunter, August Reinsch, Paolo Patocchi (President)	N/A Claimant did not claim any costs (para. 120).	USD 82,014.95 (converted from CZK 1,813,432.65) (para. 95)	USD 24,940.76 (converted from EUR 20,000.00) (para. 119)	Claimant's claims withdrawn without prejudice (p. 28).	The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 40,839.77 (p. 28): (1) USD 15,899.00 (converted from CZK 340,222.79 + CZK 11,320) for the Respondent's legal costs (para. 112); (2) USD 24,940.77 (converted from EUR 20,000) to reimburse the Respondent for the Tribunal costs.	34 (paras 90 -123)
03-Aug-11	<a href="#">08-Apr-13</a>	Franck Charles Arif v. Republic of Moldova, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/23	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = MDL 12.4000</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7888</a>	USD 36,371,878.25 (converted from EUR 27,962,700) (para. 305) plus interest	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades (President), Dr. Bernard Hanotiau, Dr. Rolf Knieper	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant win on the merits. The Tribunal ordered Respondent (para. 633): (1) to pay USD 529,470 (converted from MDL 6,565,429) (if Claimant elects to accept restitution) (2) if Claimant elects to reject restitution, then Respondent shall pay damages of USD 2,833,572 (converted from MDL 35,136,294)	The Tribunal decides (para. 633): (1) Each Party shall bear equally the costs and expenses of the arbitration; and (2) Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and costs.	5 (paras 628-633)
10-Oct-95	<a href="#">07-Jul-98</a>	Franz Sedelmayer v. Russian Federation, SCC	US\$ 1 = DEM 1.9158056960 / SEK 8.0923076923	USD 7,648,637.61 and DEM 494,430 being the value of expropriated investments and property, in each case plus interest at 30% or alternatively 12.18% from 25 November 1996 or alternatively from date of Award; i.e. principal sums excluding interest totalling USD 7,921,930 (paras (i)-(ii) of Section III).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	4. Mr Magnusson, Mr Wachler, Mr Zykin, Mr Sandesjo	SEK 1,570,275 in Claimant's costs of the arbitration, i.e. USD 194,045 (Section III).	N/A n.b. Respondent did not claim costs.	SEK 1,168,573 in Arbitrators' fees and expenses plus SEK 220,346 in Secretary's fees and expenses, i.e. USD 171,634 (page 118).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,350,000 in compensation for investments under the Treaty, plus interest at 10 % p.a. from 25 November 1996 until date of payment (para 3.5 + 3.6.3).	Each party to bear its own litigation costs, plus the costs of its own Tribunal member, i.e. Claimant liable for Dr Wachler's costs of SEK 344,967 and Respondent liable for Professor Zykin of SEK 363,606. Cost of the Chairman and remaining costs, totalling SEK 680,346, to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 3.7). Total arbitration costs borne by Claimant = SEK 685,140, i.e. USD 84,665 Total arbitration costs borne by Respondent = SEK 703,779, i.e. USD 86,969 n.b. There appear to be a couple of minor errors in the figures and calculations given in the Award: (1) Tribunal calculates the total amount borne by Respondent as SEK 685,140, which doesn't reflect that Professor Zykin's costs as fixed by the Tribunal are higher than Dr Wachler's; (2) Respondent is ordered to pay SEK 485,000 to the Claimant, which would mean the Claimant would have paid SEK 705,000 for arbitration costs and the Respondent only SEK 695,000 (see paras 3 + 5 of Section VI).	N/A
30-Mar-11	<a href="#">10-Dec-14</a>	Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines [I], ICSID Case No. ARB/11/12	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8048</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6374</a>	USD 510,000,000 (footnote 72)	ICSID	3. Piero Bernardini (President), Stanimir Alexandrov, Albert Jan van den Berg	USD 18,867,681.48 (para. 521) (USD 12,386,291.80 + USD 232,679.04 (GBP 148,309.62) + USD 6,248,710.64 (EUR 5,026,962.32) The amount includes the costs of the arbitration	USD 15,375,989.13 (para. 524)	USD 1,341,946.93 (para. 529)	Tribunal dismissed claim due to lack of jurisdiction (para. 530)	The tribunal decides (para. 528): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (2) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 5,000,000	10 (paras. 520-529)
17-Sep-03	<a href="#">16-Aug-07</a>	Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25	N/R	Amount of Claimant's investment was allegedly more than USD 425,000,000 (para 292). Previously Claimant had sought to settle with Respondent for USD 300,000,000 (para 202).	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., Q.C., (President), Dr. Bernardo M. Cremades, Prof. W. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction <i>ratione materiae</i> as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (para 404).	Each party to bear in full its own legal costs. Tribunal fees and expenses and administrative fees for the use of the Centre to be paid in equal share by each party (para 406).	1 of 406
03-Dec-07	<a href="#">12-Nov-10</a>	Frontier Petroleum Services Ltd. v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	<a href="#">US\$1 = CAD1.0081</a> <a href="#">US\$1 = EUR0.7300</a>	USD 20,000,000 (para. 184)	UNCITRAL	David Williams QC (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Christoph Schreuer	USD 1,727,852.14 (CAD 1,549,847.74 + CAD 192,000 (para. 531))	USD 2,641,645.79 (EUR 1,721,109.34 + EUR 195,378.18 + EUR 10,649.24 + EUR 1,264.67 (para. 538))	USD 569,571.08 (EUR 415,786.89 (para. 545))	Respondent win on the merits (para. 547).	The Tribunal decides (paras 544-546): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) the Claimant shall bear the costs of the arbitration and pay USD 271,311.27 (EUR 198,057.23) to the Respondent.	16 of 547 (paras 531-546)
28-Sep-01	<a href="#">03-Mar-06</a>	F-W Oil Interests Inc. v. Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/14	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr. Fal S.Nariman (President), Sir Franklin Berman, Lord Mustill	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claimant failed to establish an "investment" i.e. there was lack of jurisdiction the Tribunal considered questions of jurisdiction and of substance together) (paras 102 + 214).	Each Party shall bear its own costs, and the costs of the Arbitration shall be borne equally by the two Parties (para 214).	1 of 214
02-Oct-02	<a href="#">15-Nov-04</a>	Gami Investments Inc. v. Government of United Mexican States, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A n.b. Total investments of around USD 42,000,000 (para 14).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Michael Reisman, Julio Lacarte Muro, Jan Paulsson	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims failed on merits as Claimant unable to prove that its investment had been "expropriated" (para 133).	Each party shall bear its own expenditures. Amounts paid to Tribunal on account of fees and expenses to be divided equally (para 136).	3 of 136
18-May-11	<a href="#">19-Dec-16</a>	Garanti Koza LLP v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/20)	N/R	USD 46,100,000 (para. 404)	ICSID	3. John M. Townsend (President); George Constantine Lambrou; Laurence Boisson de Chazournes	N/A	N/A	USD 1,425,000 is the total costs advanced by both the parties (paras 443(a) and 444(a)).	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 2,529,900 (para. 453(c)) to the Claimant.	The Tribunal decides that Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 362,500: 1) Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 362,500 (para. 453(e)). This amounts to 50% of the Claimant's Tribunal costs. 2) Each party shall bear its own legal costs.	11 (paras. 442-452)
24-Oct-08	<a href="#">31-Mar-11</a>	GEA Group Aktiengesellschaft v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/16	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7049001928 / UAH 7.9600000381	USD 30,654,661.44 and EUR 141,689.38, i.e. USD 30,855,667, plus interest at LIBOR three-month US Dollar rate plus 5% from 1 January 1999 compounded monthly (para 87).	ICSID	3. Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg (President), Mr. Toby Landau QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern	EUR 1,309,084.74 plus USD 315,016.44 plus interest claimed in Submission on Costs, i.e. USD 2,172,137 (para 358). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 1,595,337.47 plus UAH 4,300 claimed in Submission on Costs i.e. USD 1,595,878 (para 359). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed, partially on jurisdiction and entirely on merits due to lack of liability (paras 357 + 365).	Claimant to bear entirety of costs in the matter, and to reimburse Respondent for all its costs, being USD 1,595,337.47 plus UAH 4,300, i.e. USD 1,595,878 (para 366).	9 of 367

09-Mar-05	<a href="#">16-Jun-10</a>	Gemplus S.A., SLP S.A. and Gemplus Industrial S.A. de C.V. v. United Mexican States; Talsud S.A. v. United Mexican States; ICSID Nos. ARB(AF)/04/3 and ARB(AF)/04/4	N/R	USD 37,000,000 plus interest and costs (the equivalent of 340 million pesos) or alternatively USD 24,000,000 (the equivalent of 22 million pesos), 29% of such sum for Talsud and 20% for Gemplus Claimants (para 1-12).	ICSID	3. L. Yves Fortier QC, Eduardo Magalón Gómez, V. V. Veeder QC (President)	USD 2,314,031.74 claimed by Gemplus and USD 3,048,941.48 by Talsud, i.e. <b>USD 5,362,973.22</b> in total (paras 17-6 + 17-26). n.b. Appears to include ICSID advances.	<b>USD 2,553,437.68</b> in costs of external legal counsel, expert fees and disbursements, witness expenses, administrative expenses and other expenses relating to hearing (para 17-12).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,483,164 to Gemplus and USD 6,458,721 to Talsud, i.e. principal sums totalling USD 10,941,885, plus compound interest from 24 June 2001 to 31 December 2009 with yearly rests amounting to USD 1,867,589 for Gemplus and USD 2,698,907 for Talsud, i.e. total principal and interest of <b>USD 15,508,381</b> , plus compound interest on principal sums from 1 January 2010 until full payment at 2% per annum (paras 18-5 to 18-7).	Respondent to bear Claimants' costs in full (albeit marginally rounded down) of USD 5,450,000, being USD 2,375,000 for Gemplus and USD 3,075 for Talsud (paras 7-27 + 18-8). Respondent to bear all "other" arbitration costs in full without recourse to Claimants (para 18-9).	27 of 810
21-Jul-00	<a href="#">16-Sep-03</a>	Generation Ukraine Inc. v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/9	N/R	<b>USD 7,087,518,868</b> claimed in compensation for unlawful indirect and direct expropriation and for moral punitive damages (para 5.1).	ICSID	3. Dr Eugen Salpius, Dr Jürgen Voss, Mr Jan Paulsson (President)	N/A On 12 July 2001 Claimant submitted claim for legal defence expenses of USD 2,358,768,473 (para 5.1). n.b. This figure is unusually large and has been excluded from our calculations for this reason.	<b>USD 739,309.80</b> in "contract payments of lawyers and experts services and expenses for business trips". Tribunal considered this amount to be uncorroborated and vastly overstated (para 24.8).	N/A Respondent paid USD 265,000 in costs to ICSID (para 24.8). n.b. As it is not clear whether Claimant also paid a deposit to ICSID, this figure has been excluded from our calculations.	Respondent win. Claim rejected on merits, as Respondent's acts not considered tantamount to expropriation in breach of the BIT (para 23.1).	Claimant ordered to pay USD 365,000 towards Respondent's costs, representing full amount of USD 265,000 payment by Respondent to ICSID, plus a contribution of USD 100,000 towards Respondent's legal fees (para 24.8).	8 of 310
29-Sep-11	<a href="#">16-Aug-16</a>	Getma v Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/29)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8867</a>	<b>USD 303,955,551.37</b> (EUR 269,515,614 (EUR 108,428,125 + EUR 160,087,489 + EUR 1,000,000)) (para. 107) including pre-award interest (para. 402)	ICSID	3. Vera Van Houtte (President); Bernardo Cremades; Pierre Tercier	<b>USD 1,950,512.38</b> (para. 470) EUR 1,729,519.33 (EUR 961,148.49 + EUR 484,770.84 + EUR 283,600)	<b>USD 1,838,597.51</b> (para. 472) EUR 1,630,284.41 ( EUR 1,485,799.05 + EUR 140,000 + EUR 4,229.36)	<b>USD 822,036.30</b> (para. 474)	Respondent for liable for certain claims and ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 506,184.73</b> (EUR 248,834 + EUR 200,000) (page 194-195)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 340,000</b> (page 195). (comprising 40% of the Tribunal costs advanced by the Claimant).	10 (paras. 469-478)
21-Jul-03	<a href="#">08-Jun-09</a>	Glamis Gold Ltd. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A n.b. Claimant's total expenditures amounted to investment of more than USD 18,600,000 in Imperial Project through 1997 (para 98) with total reclamation costs of USD 98,500,000 (para 287).	UNCITRAL	3. Michael K. Young, Prof. David D. Caron, Kenneth D. Hubbard	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as Claimant failed to establish that Respondent's acts fell short of customary international law minimum standard of treatment (paras 824-830).	Claimant to pay 2/3 and Respondent 1/3 of the arbitral costs. Each Party to bear its own costs of representation (para 883).	3 of 838
21-May-09	<a href="#">01-Dec-10</a>	Global Trading and Globalex v Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/11	N/R	N/A Not mentioned but UNCTAD says USD 35,000,000 ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/362">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/362</a> ).	ICSID	3. Sir Franklin Berman (President), Emmanuel Gaillard, Christopher Thomas QC	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	Claims dismissed on the merits (para. 58).	No order for costs made (para. 59).	1 (para. 59)
21-Oct-09	<a href="#">22-Sep-14</a>	Gold Reserve Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/09/1)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7797</a>	<b>USD 1,735,124,200</b> (para. 5(4)) plus interest	ICSID	3. Pierre Marie Dupuy, David A.R. Williams, Piero Bernardini (President)	<b>USD 20,462,628</b> (para. 861)	<b>USD 12,815,260.16</b> (para. 861) (total arrived from USD 12,788,617.23 + USD 26,742.93 (converted from EUR 20,851.46))	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of <b>USD 713,032,000</b> plus interest (para. 863(ii))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 5,000,000</b> as part of the Claimant's legal costs (para. 863). The Tribunal also decided (para. 863): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 (paras 857-862)
05-Apr-06	<a href="#">28-Dec-09</a>	Government of the Province of East Kalimantan v. PT Kaltim Prima Coal, Rio Tinto plc, BP p.l.c., Pacific Resources Investments Limited, BP International Limited, Sangatta Holdings Limited, Kalimantan Coal Limited, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3, Award on Jurisdiction	N/R	<b>USD 469,333,921.56</b> plus interest from May 2003 until payment (para 69).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Mr. Michael Hwang, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 218). n.b. Here the Respondent was the investor, not the State.	Each party to bear its own legal fees and other costs. Costs, fees and expenses of the Tribunal and Centre to be borne equally by Claimant on one hand and Respondents on the other (paras 220-221).	2 of 221
12-Mar-04	<a href="#">12-Jan-11</a>	Grand River Enterprises Six Nations Ltd. et al. v. United States of America, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A n.b. Previous amount of USD 38,000,000, revised down to 24,000,000, in respect of Canada plant was withdrawn by Claimant at hearing due to expert's concerns about accuracy (para 86).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Prof. James Anaya, Mr. John R. Crook	<b>USD 3,917,376.57</b> (para 241).	<b>USD 2,792,592.23</b> (para 241).	N/A n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	Respondent win. Claims by Grand River Enterprises, Jerry Montour and Kenneth Hill dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as claimants had no investment in the US. Claims by Arthur Montour Jr dismissed on merits, as Respondent's conduct held not to be in breach of NAFTA (para 238).	Each Party shall bear its own costs of representation and half of the costs and expenses of the proceedings (para 246).	9 of 247
06-Mar-12	<a href="#">04-Dec-15</a>	Grupo Francisco Hernando Contreras v Republic of Equatorial Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/2)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = XOF 602,7664</a>	<b>USD 1,194,492.6</b> (para. 73)	ICSID	3. Juan Bernardo Sepúlveda Amor (Chairman), Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Prof. Raul E. Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	<b>USD 504,355.22</b> (para. 269)	The Tribunal decided that it lacked jurisdiction with respect to the dispute. (para. 277)	The Tribunal decides: 1) The parties shall bear its own costs. 2) The parties are obliged to pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the use of the services of the Centre, thus the Respondent shall reimburse the Claimant USD 203,655.58. (para. 277)	11 (paras. 265 to 276)
24-Nov-10	<a href="#">31-Jan-14</a>	Guaracachi America, Inc. and Rurelec PLC v. The Plurinational State of Bolivia, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011-17	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7408</a>	<b>USD 142,961,535</b> (USD 142,300,000 + USD 661,535 (para. 4))	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Dr José Miguel Júdez (Presiding Arbitrator), Manuel Conrde, Dr Raul Emilio Vinuesa	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	<b>USD 1,031,293.36</b> (USD 1,085,025.65 - USD 53,732.29) (para. 621-622)	Respondent asked to pay Rurelec compensation in the sum of <b>USD 28,927,582</b> plus interest. Claims of GAI dismissed but expropriation claim made by Rurelec upheld.	The Parties shall bear their own legal costs and equally bear the costs incurred in connection with this arbitration (page 208)	5 (paras 618-622)
02-Aug-12	<a href="#">22-Sep-15</a>	Guardian Fiduciary Trust, Ltd, f/w/a Capital Conservator Servings & Loan, Ltd. v Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/31)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8978</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6511</a>	<b>USD 20,000,000</b> (para. 4) (reduced from USD 600,000,000)	ICSID	3. Veijo Heiskanen (President), Andreas Bucher, Brigitte Stern	<b>USD 190,114.79</b> (para. 145) USD 101,565 + USD 88,549.79 (converted from EUR 79,500) = 190,114.79 (para. 145)	<b>USD 1,403,854.59</b> (para. 141) (USD 1,340,885 + USD 62,971 (Converted from GBP 40,999.50)) (para. 141)	N/A Not clear. Respondent paid USD 175,000 in Tribunal costs and presumably Claimant paid the same (para. 141).	Respondent won on jurisdiction (para.152).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent <b>USD 1,123,084.27</b> (para. 152). The Tribunal decides (para. 151-152) (1) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent 80% of the Respondent's legal costs i.e. 1,123,084.27 (USD 1,072,708 + USD 50,376.29 (converted from GBP 32,800)); (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs .	11 (paras 141-151)
24-Sep-07	<a href="#">18-Jun-10</a>	Gustav F W Hamester GmbH & Co KG v. Republic of Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/24	US\$1 = GBP 0.6762503126	Maximum of <b>USD 174,870,435</b> n.b. Original amount of up to EUR 141,467,586.30, i.e. EUR 33,045,031.29 for year 2002, EUR 27,984,000 from 2003 to 2008, EUR 13,396,555 from 2002 to 2008, future profits EUR 37,788,000 to EUR 67,042,000 (para 78).	ICSID	3. Prof. Brigitte Stern (President), Prof. Bernardo Cremades, Mr. Toby Landau Q.C.	GBP 697,801.45 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,031,869, minus USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. <b>USD 726,869</b> (para 359).	GBP 2,326,712.84 in total costs, i.e. USD 3,440,609, minus USD 305,000 ICSID advance, i.e. <b>USD 3,135,609</b> (para 359).	<b>USD 610,000</b> in advances paid by both parties to ICSID (para 359).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, either due to lack of attribution, or, where there was attribution, because the relevant acts did not amount to violation of the BIT (para 362). Counterclaim also rejected (para 358).	Parties to bear the arbitration costs in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own legal fees and other costs (para 361)	3 of 362
03-Jun-10	<a href="#">02-Mar-15</a>	Hassan Awdi, Enterprise Business Consultants, Inc. and Alfa El Corporation v Romania (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/13)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8936</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6505</a>	<b>USD 386,190,889.35</b> (converted from EUR 345,100,000) (para. 445-459) plus interest This figure includes principal claim (EUR 307.7 million) and additional claim (EUR 37.4 million) but not alternative claim (EUR 101.9 million).	ICSID	3. Piero Bernardini (President), Dr. Rudolf Dolzer, Hamid G. Gharavi	<b>USD 3,977,614.2</b> (para. 524) (USD 2,656,066.35 (converted from EUR 2,373,460.89) + USD 1,314,073.31 + USD 7,474.54 (converted from GBP 4,862.19) (para. 524))	<b>USD 5,984,218.02</b> (para. 525) (converted from EUR 5,347,497.22 (EUR 4,503,204.67 as legal fees + EUR 341,936.45 as expenses + EUR 502,356.10 as costs for Respondent's experts and consultants) (para 525).	N/A Not clear. Assuming each party bears half the Tribunal costs and that the Respondent is claiming all its Tribunal costs, total Tribunal costs = USD 660,687.22 * 2 = USD 1,321,374.44 as fees and expenses of the Tribunal and ICSID (para.525)	Claimants win on the merits in the amount of USD <b>8,606,231.64</b> (converted from EUR 7,543,176.59 + EUR 147,352.00 (para. 532))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 1,539,767.96</b> (para. 532). The Tribunal decides: (para. 532) (1) Respondent shall Claimant pay USD 1,539,767.96 (USD 1,000,000 + EUR 482,336.65) to cover legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (para.523-531)
08-Mar-05	<a href="#">03-Jul-08</a>	Heinan International Hotels A/S v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/05/19	US\$1 = EUR 0.626148569 / EGP 5.3334401176 / GBP 0.5044183527	EUR 41,786,406 claimed in damages, i.e. <b>USD 65,638,440</b> , plus interest; or, in the alternative, other remedies and declarations requested plus EUR 10,800,000 in damages (para 89).	ICSID	3. Mr Yves Derains (President), Prof. Rudolf Dolzer, Mr Michael Lee	GBP 2,503,867 requested in total, i.e. <b>USD 4,963,870</b> (para 171). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 742,556 plus EUR 116,133 plus EGP 668,610.32 requested in total i.e. <b>USD 1,050,341</b> (para 172).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Claimant failed to prove liability and/or causation (paras 168-170)	Each party shall bear the expenses incurred by it. Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 174).	4 of 174
01-Aug-11	<a href="#">15-Dec-14</a>	Hesham Talaat M. Al-Warraq v. Republic of Indonesia	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6387</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = SGD 1.3123</a>	<b>USD 11,100,475.97</b> (paras. 649-655) Claimant's claim: USD 10,448,925.62 [USD 5 million (damages) + USD 1,100,475.97 (converted from GBP 702,874) (expenses incurred with defence of asset seizure) + USD 5 million (moral damages) (paras. 649-650)] NB: Respondent's counterclaim: USD 360,735,638 (para. 655)	UNCITRAL	3. Bernardo M Cremades (President), Michael Hwang, Fall S Nariman	<b>USD 2,805,637.01</b> (para. 677) (does not include GBP 471,000 arbitration costs)	<b>USD 6,403,612</b> (para. 678) (comprises USD 5,500,000 + USD 150,000 + USD 625,000 + USD 50,000 + USD 10,589 + USD 68,023)	<b>USD 3,604,098.24</b> (paras. 677-679). This amount appears to cover the Tribunal's fees and amounts paid to SIAC. Comprising: GBP 471,000 (i.e. USD 737,435.41) (listed in Claimant's costs - para. 677) + SGD 1,258,848.65 (i.e. USD 959,268.95) (listed in Respondent's costs - para. 678) + SGD 2,503,072.97 (i.e. USD 1,907,393.98) (listed as advances to SIAC - para. 679).	Claimant loses claim on merits. Respondent loses counterclaim on merits (paras. 683).	The Tribunal decides (para. 638): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	10 (673-682)

17-Dec-08	<a href="#">23-May-11</a>	HICEE B.V. v. The Slovak Republic, PCA Case No. 2009-11 Partial Award dated 23-May-11; Supplementary and Final Award dated 17-Oct-11	Conversion rate on 23-May-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7134852627 Conversion rate on 17-Oct-11: US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7261907885	N/A	UNCITRAL	3: Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC, Judge Charles N. Bower, Judge Peter Tomka	N/A	N/A	EUR 389,495 in Tribunal fees; EUR 27,726.44 in Tribunal expenses and costs of hearings and meetings; EUR 44,465 in fees of the Registry; i.e. total of USD 647,086 (FN 196 of PA).  Further Tribunal fees and expenses of EUR 60,182.26 and further PCA costs of EUR 6,625 relating to Claimant's challenge of arbitrator fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, i.e. total of USD 91,997 (para 8 of SFA).  Total costs in both Awards = USD 739,083	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Respondent was not an "investor" and/or did not have "investment" for purpose of the BIT (para 150 of PA).	Claimant to bear 6/10 of the arbitration costs, i.e. EUR 277,011.86 or USD 388,252, and Respondent to bear 4/10 of the arbitration costs, i.e. EUR 184,674.58 or USD 258,834, resulting in payment of EUR 46,168.64 by Claimant to Respondent (para 152 of Partial Award).  Each party shall bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 152 of Partial Award).  Claimant to bear fees, costs and other expenses associated with challenge to arbitrator and fixed in Supplementary and Final Award, i.e. EUR 66,807.26 or USD 91,997 (para 9 of Supplementary and Final Award).	11 of 162
07-Nov-07	<a href="#">29-Dec-14</a>	Hochtief Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31	N/R	USD 157,200,000 (para. 311)  The Claimants' experts valuations ranged from USD 109.4 million to USD 157.2 million (para. 311).	ICSID	3: Professor Vaughan Lowe Q.C. (President) Judge Charles N. Brower, J. Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 9,233,758 (para. 331)	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	Claimant wins on merits. Exact quantum to be calculated by parties (paras. 328, 335). Claimant ultimately awarded USD 13,410,000 plus interest from 29 December 2014 (para. 104(3) of the Final Award).	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant USD 7,125,318.5.	3+1 (329 - 331 plus one para in the Final Award)
04-Nov-05	<a href="#">17-Dec-16</a>	Hrvatska Elektroprivreda D.D. v Republic of Slovenia (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/24)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9254	USD 31,848,181.33 (converted from EUR 29,472,307) (see para. 258) plus interest	ICSID	3: David A.R. Williams (President); Charles Bower, Jan Paulsson	USD 13,319,289.23 (para. 589) (USD 10,175,907.71 in the initial phase + USD 3,143,381.52 in the Tribunal expert phase) + interest from the date of the Final Award at the 1-month EURIBOR rate + 2% compounded monthly (para.589)	USD 8,562,919.47 (para. 592) (USD 9,171,644.335 - USD 608,623.99 Costs of the arbitration) (converted from EUR 7,924,125.68 (EUR 8,487,339.69 - EUR 563,214.01 Costs of the arbitration)) (para.592)	N/A Total Tribunal costs not clear - Respondent claimed USD 608,616.83 (converted from EUR 563,214.01 (para. 592)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 21,598,227.79 (plus interest)  Breakdown: Claimant win in the sum of USD 21,598,482,2113 (para.518) (converted from EUR 19,987,000) + interest at the average 6-month EURIBOR rate + 2% semi-annually compounding from 1 July 2002 till date award debt satisfied (paras 545 - 546)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 10,000,000 (plus interest at EURIBOR + 2%, compounded semi-annually) (paras 612-613). This amount includes costs of the arbitration and the legal costs incurred by the Claimant.	33 (paras. 581 - 613)
16-May-02	<a href="#">07-Jul-04</a>	Hussein Nuaman Soufiani v. United Arab Emirates, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/7	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: L. Yves Fortier C.C. Q.C. (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Dr. Aktham El Kholi	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 84).	Arbitration costs, including fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat, to be borne two-thirds by Claimant and one-third by Respondent. Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses (para 85).	1 of 86
17-Apr-09	<a href="#">17-Aug-12</a>	Iberdrola Energia, S.A. v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/5	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Eduardo Zuleta (President), Rodrigo Oreamuno, Yves Derains	USD 4,221,427.66 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 5,312,107 in total costs incurred (para 511). n.b. Appears to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Most claims (expropriation, violations of FET standard, obligation to provide full protection and security) dismissed on jurisdiction. Claim for denial of justice dismissed on merits, as Claimant failed to prove that Respondent had committed an act of denial of justice (para 508).	Claimant to bear all costs incurred by the Respondent, in the sum of USD 5,312,107 (para 518).	10 of 518
20-Dec-10	<a href="#">08-Mar-16</a>	İçkale İnşaat Limited Şirketi v Turkmenistan, (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/24)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9070	USD 566,770,430.29 plus interest (USD 62,067,030.33 + USD 475,289,500 + USD 29,413,899.96 (converted from EUR 26,678,407.26) (para. 148)	ICSID	3: Dr Veijo Heiskanen (President), Carolyn B. Lamm and Prof. Philippe Sands QC.	USD 2,847,462.22 (USD 1,066,148 + USD 1,781,314.22 (converted from EUR 1,615,652)) (para. 404)	USD 8,737,603 (para. 405)	N/A Not clear - Respondent advanced USD 525,000 (para. 405)	The Tribunal decides to dismiss all claims against the Respondent based on the merits of the dispute (para. 411).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,747,521 (para. 411).  The Tribunal decides (para. 411): (1) the Claimant shall pay 20% of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,747,521); (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs.	8 (paras. 403-410)
26-Jun-09	<a href="#">10-Feb-12</a>	ICS Inspection and Control Services Limited (United Kingdom) v. Argentine Republic, PCA Case No. 2010-9	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7583352432	Principal amounts totalling USD 11,039,248.81 plus interest of USD 14,237,762.29, i.e. total of USD 25,277,011.10 in principal and interest (para 248).	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy (President), Dr. Santiago Torres Bernárdez, The Honorable Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	EUR 234,500 in arbitrators' fees and expenses, plus EUR 62,852.22 in other tribunal expenses (travel, translation, courier deliveries) and all other costs relating to the arbitration; EUR 64,135 for PCA's registry fees, i.e. USD 476,685 (paras 331-333).	Respondent win. All claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant had failed to comply with mandatory 18-month litigation prerequisite under the BIT (paras 326-327).	Claimant to bear arbitration costs and to reimburse the Respondent EUR 180,743.61 (para 338).  Parties to bear their own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 343).	16 of 343
25-Jul-07	<a href="#">21-Jun-11</a>	Impregilo S.p.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/17	N/R	Claimant's experts considered that the total value of Impregilo's investment in AGBA, including compound interest, was USD 119,362,503 as of October 2008 (paras 372 + 381).  n.b. Claimant alleged expropriation, unfair treatment and discrimination (para 182).	ICSID	3: Judge Hans Danelius (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation of USD 21,294,000 plus interest compounded annually at 6% from 11 July 2006 until the date of payment (paras 381-384).  n.b. Tribunal found that the Respondent's violation consisted in breach of its obligation to afford the Claimant fair and equitable treatment, and not in expropriation (para 384).	The Tribunal decides (para. 385): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	1 of 385
21-Jul-03	<a href="#">02-Aug-06</a>	Inceysa Vallisoletana S.L. v. Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB03/26	N/R	USD 107,532,329 as agreed damages, plus annual interest at 8.7% from 10 November 2002; USD 15,000,000 as damages for expropriation, plus interest of SVC 131,250,000, i.e. USD 15,000,000, plus interest at 8.7% from 29 April 2003 (para 44).  i.e. USD 122,532,329 in total principal sums, or USD 137,532,329 including quantified interest, plus further 8.7% interest.	ICSID	3: Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Burton A. Landy, Claus von Wobeser	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 337).	Claimant to bear all arbitrators' fees and expenses and administrative fees for use of the Centre. Each party to bear its own legal fees (para 338).	1 of 339
28-May-08	<a href="#">01-Mar-12</a>	Inmaris Perestroika Sailing Maritime Services GmbH and Others v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/8	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6380434162	N/A (amount of damages in para 311 redacted)	ICSID	3: Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov (President), Prof. Bernardo Cremades, Mr. Noah Rubins	EUR 910,333.47 in costs of legal representation plus EUR 1,131,496.53 in other costs including honoraria paid to experts, i.e. USD 3,200,143 (para 41).	USD 669,144.71 in costs of legal representation plus EUR 642,727.75 in other costs including honoraria paid to experts, i.e. USD 2,056,087 (para 42).	N/A n.b. USD 425,000 paid by Claimant as advance payment to ICSID (para 41). Not addressed whether Respondent also made advance payments to ICSID, and so this figure has been excluded.	Claimant win. Amount of damages awarded redacted. Counterclaim dismissed on merits (para 432).	Each party to bear its own costs, fees and other expenses (para 438).	5 of 438
01-Aug-02	<a href="#">26-Jan-06</a>	International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v. United Mexican States, UNCITRAL	N/R	Claimed in Notice of Arbitration: USD 100,000,000, plus pre-award interest at rate to be fixed by Tribunal, plus tax consequences (para 8).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3: Lic. Agustín Portal Ariosa, Prof. Thomas W. Wälde, Prof. Dr. Albert Jan van den Berg (President)	USD 1,163,375.20 in legal fees and expenses (para 210).	USD 1,502,065.84 in legal fees and expenses (para 211).	USD 405,620 in fees of arbitrators plus USD 99,632.08 in disbursements of the arbitration including rent of hearing rooms, travel, hotel accommodation and court reporters, in total USD 505,252.08 (para 221).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent held not to have violated any of the NAFTA articles relied upon by Claimant (para 209).	All costs to be allocated on a Claimant 3/4 - Respondent 1/4 basis.  Claimant to reimburse Respondent for USD 1,126,549.38, representing 3/4 of the Respondent's legal representation costs (para 220).  Claimant to reimburse Respondent for USD 126,313.02 in respect of deposits made for arbitrators' fees and disbursements of the arbitration (para 221).	12 of 222
23-Oct-08	<a href="#">29-May-12</a>	InterTrade Holding GmbH v. The Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2009-12	US\$1 = EUR0.8008 US\$1 = CHF0.9618 US\$1 = GBP0.6398 US\$1 = CZK20.4011	USD 105,424,575.42 (converted from EUR 84,424,000) (para. 36)	UNCITRAL	Yves Fortier QC (President); Henri Alvarez QC; Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 2,932,704.38 (USD 1,852,267.78 (CHF 1,781,511.15) plus USD 455,145.42 (EUR 384,480.45) plus USD 625,291.18 (GBP 400,061.30)) (para. 271)	USD 4,951,751.32 (USD 262,237.76 (EUR 210,000) plus USD 4,689,513.56 (CZK 95,671,235.09) (para. 272)	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (para. 277).	The Tribunal decides (para. 276): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 of 277 (paras 271-276)
14-Jun-14	<a href="#">14-Aug-15</a>	Inversion y Gestion de Bienes, IGB, S.L. and IGB18 Las Rozas, S.L. v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/17)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9000	N/A Redacted (para. 168)	ICSID	1: Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (Sole Arbitrator)	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	The Tribunal dismissed all claims. (para. 214)	The parties shall bear its own costs and pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the services of the Centre. (para. 214)	3 (paras. 210 to 213)
14-Feb-07	<a href="#">26-Jun-09</a>	Invesmart v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.7136	N/A	UNCITRAL	Dr Michael Pryles (President); Christopher Thomas QC; Prof. Piero Bernardini	USD 8,267,721.41 (EUR 5,899,846) (para. 560) - N.B. includes Tribunal costs.	USD 5,768,934.98 (EUR 4,116,712) (para. 560) - N.B. includes Tribunal costs.	N/A	Respondent win on the merits (page 133).	The Tribunal decides (para. 578): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	19 of 578 (paras 560-578)
02-Aug-05	<a href="#">11-Dec-13</a>	Ioan Micula and others v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20	US\$ 1 = RON 3.4037 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7622	USD 822,871,893.70 (RON 2,655,350,000) (para. 891) plus interest  Note - The above amount is the damages claimed as per Method A. There are Methods B & C as well for alternative claims.	ICSID	3: Dr. Laurent Lévy (President), Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov, Prof. Georges Abi-Saab	USD 24,152,732.88 (converted from EUR 18,409,213) (para. 1324)	USD 15,087,047.98 (converted from EUR 11,499,347.97) (para. 1325)	Unclear, but the sum advanced by both parties is USD 2,995,000 (paras. 1324-1325)	Respondent to pay USD 110,595,301.87 (converted from RON 376,433,229) as damages plus interest (which is a substantial sum) (para. 1329)	The Tribunal decides (para. 1329): 1) Each party shall bear 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses; 2) Each party shall bear its own costs.	6 (paras 1323-1328)
02-Aug-05	<a href="#">03-Mar-10</a>	Ioannis Kardassopoulos and Ron Fuchs v. Republic of Georgia, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/05/18 and 07/15	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6817509992	Reduced calculation in Post-Hearing Brief: USD 30,200,000, being the reduced weighted average calculated by Claimant's quantum expert as proxy for FMV of Claimants' 50% stake in GTL (paras 542-543).  n.b. Damages claimed at hearing were not fully quantified. Mr Kardassopoulos and Mr Fuchs both claimed "no less than the fair market value of [Mr Kardassopoulos'] investments on the Valuation Date" and further damages of USD 137,901 each (para 66).	ICSID	3: Mr. L. Yves Fortier, C.C., O.Q., Q.C., (President), Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Prof. Vaughan Lowe QC	USD 7,942,297.56 in total fees and disbursements for both Claimants, including USD 1,449,070 in expert fees and advances on arbitration costs (para 681).  n.b. Includes ICSID advances.	GBP 3,075,844.22 in total legal fees plus GBP 627,641.07 in expert fees and other disbursements and expenses, i.e. USD 5,596,494 (para 682).	N/A n.b. GBP 343,919.84 paid by Respondent on account of ICSID fees (para 682). However, Claimants also paid advances which remained unquantified. Therefore, this figure has been excluded.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sums of USD 15,100,000 to each of the two Claimants, i.e. USD 30,200,000 as total principal sum; plus compound interest from 20 February 1996 to 28 February 2010 in the amount of USD 30,024,736.83 in each case, resulting in total sums of USD 45,124,736.83 payable to each Claimant or USD 90,249,473.66 payable in total (para 693).	Respondent to bear both Claimants' total costs of the arbitration proceedings of USD 7,942,297, including legal fees, experts' fees, administrative fees and Tribunal fees (para 692).	14 of 693
21-Oct-04	<a href="#">22-Sep-05</a>	Iurii Bogdanov, Agurdino-Invest Ltd and Agurdino-Chimia JSC v. Republic of Moldova (I), SCC	US\$ 1 = MDL 12.5800004005 / EUR 0.8230066915	Nominal value of Transferred Assets, being MDL 621,021, i.e. USD 49,366, plus interest, and reimbursement for moral damages (page 4 + para 5.1).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	1: Guiditta Cordero Moss	N/A	N/A	EUR 19,457 in fees and costs of sole arbitrator plus EUR 6,000 for administrative fee of Arbitration Institute, i.e. USD 30,932 (para 7.2).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sum of 310,000 lei or USD 24,642, plus interest at various rates 19 June 2001 to 31 March 2005 amounting to 384,896 lei, totalling 694,896 lei or USD 55,238 including interest (para 7.1).	Respondent to bear 100% of arbitration costs at EUR 25,457, i.e. USD 30,932 in total or an extra USD 15,466 (para 7.3).  Each party to bear its own costs and expenses including legal costs (para 7.4).	2 of 100

06-May-14	<a href="#">10-Mar-17</a>	Ivan Peter Busta and James Peter Busta v Czech Republic, SCC Case No. V 2015/014	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9373</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = CZK 25.3291</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = GBP 0.8229</a>	USD 2,487,257.74 (converted from CZK 63,000,000) (para. 5)	SCC	3. Dr. Yas Banifatemi (President); Prof. August Reinsch; Prof. Philippe Sands QC	N/A USD 17,804.72 (converted from EUR 16,688.36) (para. 444)  (EUR 8,715 + EUR 104 + EUR 370 + EUR 420 + EUR 4,581.36 + EUR 2,498)  Note: the claimant's legal fees are not quantified - the above figure appears to represent disbursements only.	USD 263,810.45 (para. 450) (USD 255,833.29 + USD 7,977.16)  CZK 6,480,026.97 (CZK 5,743,648.37 + CZK 652,632.16 + CZK 3,250 + CZK 70,794.44 + CZK 9,702)  EUR 7,476.99 (EUR 6,872.04 + EUR 604.95)	USD 265,178.66 (para. 440) (USD 265,057.08 + USD 121.58) (converted from EUR 248,438 + GBP 100)  (EUR 99,394 + EUR 650 + EUR 1,000 + EUR 59,636 + EUR 59,636 + EUR 1,000 + EUR 27,122)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 458)	The Tribunal decides (para. 458):  (1) Each party shall bear its own legal costs; and  (2) Each party shall pay half of the costs of the arbitration.	20 (paras. 438-457)
23-Dec-03	<a href="#">06-Nov-08</a>	Jan de Nul N.V. and Dredging International N.V. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/04/13	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7845599781 / GBP 0.6329535757 / EGP 5.5322130782	Claimed in Request for Arbitration dated 23-Dec-03: USD 74,000,000 plus EUR 2,890,370 plus USD 4,500,000, i.e. USD 81,390,370 in total, plus compound interest on those amounts at 9% from 30 June 1993 until date of payment (para. 8).  Claimed in Statement of Claim dated 15-Mar-05: USD 76,531,040 plus EUR 3,307,008.47, i.e. USD 80,746,152 in total, plus interest (para. 18).  Claimed in Second Post-Hearing Brief: USD 73,631,040 plus EUR 3,307,008.47 plus USD 2,900,000, i.e. USD 80,746,152 in total, plus interest compounded quarterly at 9% p.a. from 30 July 1998 in respect of USD amounts and 30 July 1998 for EUR amount (para. 115).	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Köhler (President), Prof. Pierre Mayer, Prof. Brigitte Stern	EUR 2,342,305.40 and USD 352,000 in total costs, i.e. USD 3,337,502 (para. 277).  n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	EGP 253,089.05 plus GBP 8,500 plus EUR 874,803.65 plus USD 373,162.50 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,547,385 (para. 277).  n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on the merits, as Claimants failed to establish that Respondent had breached the BIT (para. 276).  Respondent's claim for payment by Claimants of USD 5,000,000 dismissed (para. 281).	Parties to bear arbitration costs in equal shares. Each party shall bear its own costs and legal fees (para. 280).	5 of 282
28-Mar-06	<a href="#">23-Apr-12</a>	Jan Oostergetel and Theodora Laurentius v. Slovak Republic, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = SKK 22.7204166185 / EUR 0.7617354854  n.b. Date used for SKK conversion was 16 January 2009 (i.e. last available SKK date)	Claimed in Statement of Claim: SKK 750,325,505 plus EUR 18,129,833.79, i.e. USD 354,795,308, plus interest at 8% from 31 December 2007 until date of payment (para. 106).  Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: SKK 405,600,592.84 plus SKK 555,000,000, plus SKK 383,699,841 plus SKK 15,000,000, in total SKK 1,359,300,433.84 i.e. USD 59,827,267, plus compound interest at 8% from 14 April 2003 until date of payment (para. 105).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Köhler (President), Prof. Mikhail Waldirimiroff, Dr. Vojtech Trapl	EUR 1,461,855 in legal costs and expenses plus EUR 237,606.76 in "external costs", i.e. USD 2,231,039 (para. 324).	EUR 12,439,240.48 in costs of arbitration, i.e. USD 16,330,131 (para. 326).	EUR 796,258.93 in Tribunal fees and expenses including court reporters and interpreters plus VAT of EUR 69,985.50, i.e. USD 1,137,198 (paras 331-335).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed as Respondent held not to have breached the BIT articles relied on by the Claimant (para. 322).	Claimants to bear entirety of arbitration costs of EUR 796,258.93 plus related VAT of EUR 69,985.50, i.e. USD 1,137,553 (para. 341).  Claimants to contribute EUR 2,000,000, i.e. USD 2,625,583 towards Respondent's legal and other costs (para. 340).	18 of 372
11-Sep-06	<a href="#">28-Mar-11</a>	Joseph Charles Lemire v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/18	N/R	Various calculations based on different scenarios: USD 30,469,000, USD 40,402,000, USD 46,651,000 or USD 43,617,000 (para. 124).  Alternative valuation used by Claimant in response to Respondent's criticisms: USD 26,791,000, USD 35,303,000, USD 40,696,000, or USD 38,066,000 (para. 223).	ICSID	3. Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), Mr. Jan Paulsson, Dr. Jürgen Voss	USD 1,339,427 for international and Ukrainian counsel, damages expert, and expenses (para. 365).	USD 4,427,814 in fees and disbursements of international and Ukrainian counsel and of EBS (para. 367).  n.b. Only USD 1,549,180 had been paid at point of quantification (para. 368).	USD 424,921 paid by Claimant to ICSID and Tribunal plus USD 400,000 paid by Respondent as advance on costs, i.e. USD 824,921 (para. 365).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 8,717,850 in damages (para. 297). Claim for moral damages rejected (para. 344).	Respondent to reimburse Claimant for USD 750,000, being a proportion of the reasonable costs and expenses of pursuing the arbitration (para. 383).  n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Dr. Jürgen Voss.	20 of 384
22-Apr-13	<a href="#">12-Jan-16</a>	Joseph Houben v Republic of Burundi (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/7)	N/R	USD 9,092,581  Two possible expropriation dates meant two proposed valuations (para. 46):  Primary position: USD 9,092,581 (plus interest from this date at a rate of 13.57%)  Alternative position: USD 5,389,371 (plus interest from 2007 expropriation date at a rate of 13.57%)	ICSID	3. Gilbert Guillaume (President), Yas Banifatemi, Brigitte Stern	USD 201,371.25 (para. 29)	USD 134,872.67 (para. 29)	USD 399,879.00  Claimant paid an advance of USD 199,909.00 and the Respondent paid an advance of USD 199,970.00 (para. 29)	Tribunal finds that Burundi breached article 3 (FPS) and article 4 (expropriation). However, Claimant only awarded USD 209,340.00 plus interest from the 2010 expropriation date at 6-month LIBOR + 2% (para. 260)	The Tribunal decided (para. 259):  (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs;  (2) each party shall pay their own legal fees.	2 (paras. 29 and 259)
26-Feb-03	<a href="#">06-Aug-04</a>	Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5416796616	GBP 2,500,000, i.e. USD 4,615,274, plus interest, plus the full value of bank guarantees if not released, being GBP 9,605,228, i.e. maximum amount in dispute USD 22,347,577 excluding interest (paras 17 + 24).	ICSID	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Mr. William Laurence Craig, Judge C. G. Weeramantry	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of ICSID jurisdiction.	Each Party to pay one half of the arbitration costs and to bear its own legal costs.	N/A
25-Jul-12	<a href="#">02-Mar-15</a>	Khan Resources Inc., Khan Resources B.V., and Cauc Holding Company Ltd. v. The Government of Mongolia (UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011-09)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8938</a>	USD 326,000,000 (para. 277) (damages of USD 255,000,000 (para. 259) plus interest of USD 71,000,000 (para. 277))	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Bernard Hanotiau (Chairman), L. Yves Fortier, David A. R. Williams	USD 12,997,403.68 (para. 427)	USD 7,097,172.80 (= USD 7,116,707.80 + USD 335,720 (converted from EUR 300,000 - Tribunal costs of USD 355,255) (paras 284, 437)	USD 710,510.00 (para. 436)	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 80,000,000 (para. 451 (iii))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 9,074,143.51 (para. 451(v)).  This amount includes arbitration costs (USD 355,255.00) + expert costs (USD 1,112,271.96) + disbursements (USD 530,680.20) + other costs (USD 84,204.53) and legal costs (USD 6,991,731.82) (para. 450).	24 (paras. 427 - 450)
30-Dec-09	<a href="#">02-Jul-13</a>	Kilic Insaat v Turkmenistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/1)	N/R	N/A  Not clear from award but UNCTAD says USD 300,000,000 claimed: <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/386">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/386</a>	ICSID	3. William Rowley QC (President), William W Park, Philippe Sands QC	USD 1,563,386 (para. 9.1.3)	USD 4,002,583 (para. 9.1.4) (difference between total costs and ICSID fees = USD 4,227,583 - USD 225,000)	USD 618,176.40 (para. 9.2.3 - p. 94)	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 10.1.1)	The Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 1,464,278.05 (para. 10.1.1 - page 97):  (1) USD 1,000,645.75 to indemnify the Respondent for 50% of its legal costs (50% of what the Tribunal considered were reasonable costs - see para. 9.2.9);  (2) half of the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs (75% of 618,176.40 = USD 463,632.30).	17 (paras 9.1.1 - 9.2.10)
01-Nov-13	<a href="#">27-Jul-16</a>	Kristian Almas and Geir Almas v Poland, PCA Case No 2015-13	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = NOK 8.5937</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9101</a>	N/A  Not clear from award (quantum submissions reserved see para. 86) but according to UNCTAD: USD 24,800,000.  <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/663">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/663</a>	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. James Crawford (President); Ola Mestad; August Reinsch	USD 711,533.38 (para. 285)	USD 210,467.17 (para. 293)  (total costs minus arbitration costs)	USD 266,831.53 (para. 303)	Claimant's claims dismissed on the merits (para. 312)	Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 102,014.48 (para. 311)  This amount comprises the Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs.  Each party ordered to pay their own legal costs (para. 308).	27 (paras. 285-311)
24-Apr-09	<a href="#">17-Oct-13</a>	KT Asia Investment Group B.V. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/8	N/R	USD 1,500,000,000 (para. 54)	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Köhler (President) Ian Glick, Q.C., Christopher Thomas, Q.C.	USD 2,184,456.31 (para. 225)	USD 5,602,042.39 (para. 225)	N/A  Not clear. (Respondent incurred ICSID fees of USD 300,000. Claimant did not indicate how much it paid towards the costs of the arbitration proceedings)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 229)	The Tribunal decides (para. 229):  1) Each party shall bear 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses;  2) Each party shall bear its own costs.	5 (para. 224-228)
03-Feb-03	<a href="#">12-Nov-08</a>	L.E.S.I. S.p.A. et ASTALDI S.p.A. v. People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Bernard Hanotiau, Prof. Emmanuel Gallard	N/A	N/A	USD 734,000 (i.e. USD 239,000 + USD 140,000 paid by the Claimants; and USD 135,000 + USD 220,000 paid by the Respondent).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits.	The parties shall bear their own costs, and split the cost of the arbitration equally between them.	N/A
31-Jan-02	<a href="#">25-Jul-07</a>	LG&E v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1)	N/R	USD 268,000,000 plus pre-award and post-award interest and costs (para. 15)	ICSID	3. Dr. Talianna B. de Maekelt (President), Judge Francisco Rozak, Professor Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A  Figures not disclosed.	N/A  Figures not disclosed.	N/A  Figures not disclosed.	Claimant wins on merits and awarded USD 57,400,000 (para. 115)	The Tribunal decides (para. 114):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;  (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras. 110-114)
23-Feb-06	<a href="#">02-Sep-11</a>	Libananco Holdings Co. Limited v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/8	N/R	USD 10,100,000,000 (para 562).	ICSID	3. Mr Michael Hwang S.C. (President), Mr Henri C. Alvarez Q.C., Sir Franklin Berman Q.C.	USD 18,099,523 in legal fees and USD 6,282,033 in other expenses, i.e. total of USD 24,381,556 (para 558).	USD 25,699,521 in legal fees and USD 10,002,896.76 in other expenses, i.e. total of USD 35,702,417.76 (para 559).	Approximately USD 1,205,000 in fees and expenses of Tribunal and of ICSID Secretariat (para 568).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant failed to show it had an investment (para 570.1).	Claimant to bear arbitration costs resulting in a payment of USD 602,500 in reimbursement of the Respondent's advance on half the costs (para 569). Claimant to pay USD 15,000,000 towards Respondent's legal fees and out-of-pocket expenses (para 567).	13 of 570
16-Jul-07	<a href="#">22-Jun-10</a>	Liman Caspian Oil BV and NCL Dutch Investment BV v Republic of Kazakhstan (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/14)	N/R	N/A  Paragraphs on relief redacted (see Sections F.I. and F.II.) but UNCTAD says the compensation claimed was USD 200,000,000  ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/248">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/248</a> )	ICSID	3. Kari-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), Kaj Hobér, James Crawford	N/A  Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A  Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	N/A  Award does not discuss quantum of costs.	Respondent wins on merits (Section K.2 on p. 101)	The Tribunal decides (paras. 467-468):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;  (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras.465-468)
31-Oct-05	<a href="#">26-Mar-08</a>	Limited Liability Company Arto v. Ukraine, SCC Case No. 090/2005	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6344856154	EUR 14,999,194 and USD 594,902, i.e. USD 24,234,830, plus interest at 8% (para 32).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Bernardo M. Cremades (Chairman), Per Runeland, Christer Soderlund	N/A	N/A	EUR 331,845.75 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 39,330 in SCC Institute administrative fee and expenses, i.e. USD 585,003 (section X).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as Claimant failed to establish liability and/or attribution (paras 114-115). Respondent's counterclaim also dismissed (para 118).	Each party to bear its own costs for legal representation and other expenses. Each party liable for 50% of the Arbitration Costs (para 122).	4 of 122
27-Nov-99	<a href="#">18-Apr-02</a>	Link-Trading Joint Stock Company v. Department for Customs Control of the Republic of Moldova, UNCITRAL  Award on Jurisdiction dated 16-Feb-01; Final Award dated 18-Apr-02	N/R	USD 3,458,813.25 in compensation for damages and lost profits resulting from expropriation (para 9).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Buriana, Jeffrey Hertzfeld (President), Ivan Zykin	N/A	USD 144,422.80 in attorney fees and expenses, legal expert fees, and other expenses (para 94).	USD 120,000 for Tribunal fees - actual costs exceeded this but Tribunal decided not to charge for additional amount above security deposit (para 96).	Respondent win. Claims failed on the merits, as Claimant had not been able to show the causal link necessary to prove expropriation (para 91).	Arbitration costs borne by the Claimant (para 96). Claimant ordered to pay USD 22,200 towards Respondent's counsel fees and expenses and legal expert fees (para 95) (though this is stated as USD 22,500 in the deposit).	4 of 96
29-Jul-98	<a href="#">26-Jun-03</a>	Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3	N/R	N/A	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Sir Anthony Mason, Judge Abner J. Mikva, Lord Mustill	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Both Claimants' claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (page 69).	Each party to bear its own costs, and shall bear equally the expenses of the Tribunal and Secretariat to be borne equally (para 240 + page 70).	1 of 240

19-Mar-10	<a href="#">17-May-13</a>	Luigiterzo Bosca v. Lithuania, UNCITRAL	<a href="#">US\$1 = EUR0.7801</a>	USD 266,595,308.29 (converted from EUR 207,971,000 (para. 105))	UNCITRAL	Marc Lalonde (President), Daniel Price, Brigitte Stern	USD 5,824,822.17 (converted from EUR4,580,421.21 - conversion performed in award, para. 305)	USD 628,419.78 (converted from EUR 490,230.27 (para. 306))	USD 876,261.75 (converted from EUR 683,571.79 (para. 325))	Claimant win, but able to recover direct costs only, which had already been recovered (para. 329).	The Tribunal decides (para. 329): (1) Respondent to pay 80% of Claimant's costs, amounting to USD 4,287,100.33 (converted from EUR 3,344,366.97); and (2) Respondent to pay 100% of the arbitration costs, namely USD 876,261.75 (converted from EUR 683,571.79).	25 of 329 (paras 304-328)
02-Oct-12	<a href="#">22-Dec-15</a>	Lundin Tunisia v Republic of Tunisia (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/30)	N/R	N/A Not disclosed.	ICSID	3. Azzedine Kettani (President), Emmanuel Gallard, Anna Joubin-Bret	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	The Tribunal held that Tunisia was liable for the Public Entity's failure to prove violation of standards of fair and equitable treatment (pages 25-26)	The Tribunal decides (p. 25-26): (1) each party to pay 50% of Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	11 (paras. 390-401)
16-Dec-02	<a href="#">31-Jul-07</a>	M.C.I. Power Group L.C. and New Turbine Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID No. ARB/03/6	N/R	In previous court lawsuit before Ecuadorian courts, Claimant claimed USD 25,000,000 in damages for breach of contract (para 327).	ICSID	3. Prof. Raul E. Vinuesa (President), Judge Benjamin J. Greenberg QC, Prof. Jaime Iranzabal C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Claimants had failed to prove violation of standards of fair and equitable treatment (para 373)	Each party to pay half the costs and expenses incurred by the Centre, and to bear its own costs and expenses for legal representation (para 372).	1 of 373
30-Sep-04	<a href="#">17-May-07</a>	Malaysian Historical Salvors Sdn Bhd v. Government of Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10	N/R	Claimant claimed to be contractually entitled to 70% of USD 2.98 million amount realized at auction, but to have received only USD 1.2 million, i.e. outstanding amount of USD 886,000 due to Claimant, plus further (unquantified) amount due to Claimant's share of best attainable value of items valued at over USD 400,000 (paras 13-14).	ICSID	1. Mr. Michael Hwang, S.C.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant did not have an "investment" (para 147).	Each Party shall bear one half of the arbitration costs. Each Party shall bear its own legal costs (para 150).	1 of 151
20-Apr-04	<a href="#">07-Feb-11</a>	Malicorp Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID No. ARB/08/18	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7375044179	N/A	ICSID	3. Prof. Pierre Tercier (President), Prof. Luiz Olavo Baptista, Maitre Pierre-Yves Tschanz	EUR 239,734.14 in total expenses, i.e. USD 325,061 (para 145). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	USD 489,773.60 in total expenses (para 145). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as Respondent's action held not to be an expropriatory measure (para 143).	Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID costs to be borne by both parties in equal shares. Each party to bear its own costs and legal fees and expenses.	5 of 148
08-Jul-11	<a href="#">30-Mar-15</a>	Mamidoil Jetoil Greek Petroleum Products Societe Anonyme S.A. v Republic of Albania (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/24)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7017</a>	N/A Not clear from the Award but UNCTAD suggests the Claimant claimed USD 23,000,000. <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/402">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/402</a>	ICSID	3. Rolf Knieper (President), Yas Banifatemi, Steven A. Hammond	USD 1,286,309.79 (converted from EUR 902,603.58) (para. 830))	USD 753,103.045 (converted from EUR 528,452.40 (para. 831))	USD 844,990.46 (para. 836)	Tribunal accepts jurisdiction but rejects Claimant's claims on merits (para. 839)	The tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay USD 149,759.14 (para. 835): 1) Respondent ordered to reimburse the Claimant USD 149,759.14 (para. 835) for the tribunal's costs; This is because the applicable treaty requires the parties to bear the costs of the arbitrator it has appointed (plus 50% of the President's costs). Respondent was therefore ordered to pay the sums it owed. 2) Each party shall pay its own legal costs.	9 (paras 830-838)
25-Jan-08	<a href="#">16-May-12</a>	Marion Unglaube and Reinhard Unglaube v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/08/1 and ARB/09/20	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7855937810	N/A n.b. Claimant claimed compensation for losses of value of expropriated properties, but losses do not appear to be quantified in Award (para 98).	ICSID	3. Mr. Judd Kessler (President), Prof. Frank Berman, Dr. Bernardo Cremades	EUR 1,735,239.42 plus USD 1,165,749.30 in total costs, i.e. USD 3,374,575 (para 329).	USD 1,647,969.08 in total costs (para 329).	USD 876,815.94 in Tribunal fees and expenses and costs for use of Centre (para 329).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 3,100,000 in compensation, plus semi-annually compounded interest at 5-year US Treasury Bill rate from 1 January 2006, amounting to USD 965,900.33 as of date of Award, i.e. USD 4,065,900.33 in total principal and interest (paras 318 + 325).	Each party to bear its own legal and other costs and expenses. Parties to share equally the Tribunal fees and expenses and costs for use of Centre (para 331).	5 of 332
30-Apr-99	<a href="#">16-Dec-02</a>	Marvin Feldman v. Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/1	US\$ 1 = MXN 10.195109055	USD 50,000,000 plus undefined interest (para 24) revised down to USD 30,381,938 plus undefined interest by the memorial stage (para 190).	ICSID (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Konstantinos D. Kerameus (President), Mr. Jorge Covarrubias Bravo, Prof. David A. Gantz	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay MXN 9,464,627.50, i.e. USD 928,350, plus simple interest from date rebates should have been paid at interest rates on Mexican Government Federal Treasury Certificates with 28 days' maturity, amounting to MXN 7,496,428.47 as of the date of the award, i.e. total of USD 1,663,646 including pre-award interest assuming payment on date of award (para 205).	Each party shall be responsible for its own legal fees and related costs. Arbitration costs to be borne equally by the parties (paras 208 + 213).	1 of 213
06-Apr-07	<a href="#">12-May-11</a>	Meerapfel v CAR (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/10)	N/R	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	ICSID	3. Azzedine Kettani (President), Francois TKint, Marie-Madeleine Mborantsuo	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A Figures not disclosed in the award.	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached its contractual obligations and awarded the Claimant undisclosed damages (para. 456).	The Tribunal decided (para. 455): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 453-455)
05-Jan-09	<a href="#">02-Aug-10</a>	Melvin J. Howard, Centurion Health Corp. & Howard Family Trust v. Government of Canada  Order for the Termination of the Proceedings and Award on Costs dated 02-Aug-10; Correction of Order for the Termination of Proceedings and Award on Costs dated 09-Aug-10	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.0227240304	USD 160,000,000 claimed in damages for loss of value of investments, loss of business opportunities, fees and expenses, loss of goodwill, and loss of profits (paras 6-7).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. H.E. Judge Peter Tomka (President), Prof. Marjorie Forestal, Mr. Henri Alvarez QC	N/A	CAD 227,651.69 in legal fees and CAD 4,667.99 in disbursements for consultant fees, travel, and court and document costs, i.e. USD 227,158 (para 78).	USD 29,525.32 in Tribunal fees and office expenses; USD 8,380.13 in cost of services provided by PCA (comprising USD 8,076.25 in fees of Tribunal Secretary and USD 303.88 in expenses for express courier deliveries), i.e. USD 37,905.45 (para 70-72).	Proceedings terminated at Respondent's request in accordance with Article 41 of UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, due to Claimants' failure to pay deposit required by the Tribunal (paras 63-64).	Claimants to bear all Tribunal fees and expenses and costs of assistance required by Tribunal in the amount of USD 37,905.45 (para 77). Claimant to pay CAD 4,667.99 towards Respondent's costs of legal and other assistance, i.e. USD 4,564 (para 82).	18 of 83
17-Apr-15	<a href="#">06-Aug-16</a>	Menzies Middle East and Africa S.A. & Aviation Handling Services International Ltd v Senegal (ICSID ARB/15/21)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9026</a>	USD 46,125,824.29 (EUR 23,339,289 + EUR 2,286,755 + EUR 7,622,450 + EUR 4,573,470 + EUR 3,811,225) (para. 6)	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President); Hamid Gharavi; Pierre Mayer	USD 652,098.04 (converted from EUR 586,583.69) (para. 160)	USD 699,151 ( converted from EUR 631,053.69) ( para. 159)	USD 300,095.37 (para. 161)	The tribunal declined jurisdiction to hear the claims (para. 165)	USD 999,246.37 Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 699,151 as part of Respondent's legal costs (para. 165). Claimant also ordered to pay 100% of the Tribunal costs of 300,095.37 (but it had already advanced the whole amount).	6 (paras. 159-164)
25-Sep-06	<a href="#">31-Mar-10</a>	Merrill & Ring Forestry L.P. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, ICSID Administered Case	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.0155148296	Losses in connection with Article 1102 breach claimed at CAD 16,804,068; in the alternative CAD 16,804,068 claimed for Article 1105 breach; in addition, or in the alternative, losses due to Article 1106 breach estimated at CAD 16,756,272 and for Article 1110 breach at CAD 18,682,368, totalling maximum amount claimed of CAD 52,242,708 i.e. USD 51,444,555 (para 250).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Prof. Kenneth W. Dam, J. William Rowley QC	N/A	N/A	USD 820,904.75 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 138,595.25 in charges and expenses of the administering institution, i.e. USD 959,500 (Section IV).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as damages were not proven to Tribunal's satisfaction and/or Respondent had not been shown to have breached the FET standard (para 266).	Each party to bear arbitration costs equally, and to pay for its own costs (para 271).	2 of 271
04-Oct-11	<a href="#">24-Mar-16</a>	Mesa Power Group LLC v Government of Canada, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2012-17	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = CAD1.3276</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR0.8959</a>	USD 583,760,168.73 (converted from CAD 775,000,000) (para. 44)	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohle (President); Toby Landau Q.C., The Hon. Charles N. Brower	USD 8,518,585.47 (para. 695)	USD 4,601,538.08 (converted from CAD 6,109,001.95) (para. 695)	USD 1,731,797.05 (converted from EUR 1,551,343.80) (para. 694)	The Tribunal decides to dismiss all claims against the Respondent based on the merits of the dispute. (para. 706)  Respondent win on the merits. Decides that Respondent has not acted in breach of NAFTA. Tribunal recalls that the Claimant advanced a large number of procedural requests in the course of this arbitration. While it has its right to do so, many of these requests unnecessarily burdened the arbitral process and were decided against the Claimant.	In total: Claimant ordered to pay USD 2,548,994 (para. 706)  The Tribunal decides (para. 706): (1) The Claimant shall pay 100% of the arbitration costs (USD 1,168,532); (2) The Claimant shall pay its own legal costs and 30% of the Respondent's legal costs (USD 1,380,461.74).	23 (paras. 683-705)
30-Dec-96	<a href="#">30-Aug-00</a>	Metalclad Corporation v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1	N/R	Alternative amounts submitted: USD 90,000,000 using discounted cash flow analysis to establish fair market value of investment; USD 20-25,000,000 using actual investment value (para 114).  An additional USD 20-25,000,000 was claimed for negative impact on other business operations. This claim was disallowed by the Tribunal (para 115).  i.e. Maximum amount claimed of USD 115,000,000.	ICSID	3. Prof. Sir Eihü Lauterpacht QC CBE (President), Mr Benjamin R. Civiletti, Mr José Luis Siqueiros	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 16,685,000, inclusive of pre-award interest at 6% compounded annually (para 131).	Each party shall bear its own costs and fees, and half the advance payments made to ICSID (para 130).	1 of 131
03-Feb-03	<a href="#">06-Jun-08</a>	Metalpar S.A. and Buen Aire S.A. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/03/5	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 9,567,769 for consequential damages and lost profits, plus USD 3,000,000 for moral damages, plus USD 2,870,330.81 for loss of chance, plus USD 2,500,000 for other damages related to economic, financial and legal advisory services, i.e. amounts totalling USD 17,938,099.81 (paras 124-125 + 149).	ICSID	3. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Duncan H. Cameron, Jean Paul Chabaneix	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as damage not proven (para 233).	Each party to bear its own costs (para 253).	1 of 235
04-Feb-10	<a href="#">04-Oct-13</a>	Metal-tech Ltd. v. The Republic of Uzbekistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/3	N/R	USD 173,962,625 (para. 108)	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), John M. Townsend, Claus von Wobeser	USD 1,112,966.86 (para. 414)	USD 7,435,954.95 (para. 414)	USD 1,150,000 (advance payments made by each party). Unclear whether the entire advance was used as costs of arbitration. (para. 414)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 423).	The Tribunal decides (para. 423): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	9 (paras 414-422)
03-Dec-99	<a href="#">03-Aug-05</a>	Methanex Corporation v. United States of America  Partial Award dated 07-Aug-02; Final Award dated 03-Aug-05	N/R	USD 970,000,000 plus interest and tax payable (para 32 of Part II, Chapter D; para 2 of Part IV, Chapter A).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. J. William F. Rowley, Prof. W. Michael Reisman, V.V. Veeder (President)	USD 11-12,000,000 in legal costs (para 12, Part V).	USD 2,989,423.76 in legal costs (para 12, Part V).	USD 1,500,000 in total costs of the arbitration (para 8, Part V).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and/or on the merits (Part IV, Chapter F, Page 2, paras 5-6).	Claimant to reimburse Respondent for legal costs of USD 2,989,423.76 plus USD 1,071,539.21 for Respondent's share of interim deposits paid for arbitration costs including interest of USD 21,539.21 (paras 6 + 13, Part V).	N/A
19-Nov-99	<a href="#">12-Apr-02</a>	Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling Co. S.A. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6	N/R	Claimed in Memorial: USD 34,000,000 plus interest (para 64).  Claimed in Reply Memorial: USD 42,240,000 plus compound interest from the time of taking of the investment (paras 64, 79 + 119).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Prof. Don Wallace	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,190,430 in compensation, plus compound interest from 1 January 2000 to 30 days after date of Award amounting to USD 1,558,970, i.e. total award of USD 3,749,400 (paras 172, 175 + 178).	Tribunal and ICSID costs to be borne in equal portions by each Party. Each Party to bear its own legal fees and costs (para 176).	2 of 178

29-Jul-99	15-Mar-02	Mihaly International Corporation v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Sompong Sucharitkul (President), Hon. Andrew Rogers, David Suratgar	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction (paras 61-62).	Costs of proceedings including fees and expenses of the Arbitrators and the Secretariat shall be shared by the Parties in equal portion. Each Party to bear its own costs for legal fees and for preparation of the written and oral proceedings (para 63).	1 of 63
06-Dec-12	04-May-16	MNSSL B.V. and Recuperero Credito Acclio N.V. v. Montenegro (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/8)	US\$1 = EUR0.8704	N/A	ICSID	3: Andres Rigo Sureda (President), Emmanuel Gaillard, Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	USD 697,073.64 (para. 373)	The Tribunal found there to be a breach of FPS standard but Claimant had not shown loss, so no damages (page 128). The Tribunal dismissed all other claims on the merits.	Claimant ordered to pay USD 697,073.64 (para. 128) The Tribunal decides (page 128-129): (1) each Party shall pay for its own costs; (2) the Claimant shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs.	5 (paras. 371-375)
07-Sep-12	20-Feb-15	Mobil Investments and Murphy Oil Corporation v. Canada, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/07/4 (Redacted)	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.2505	N/A	ICSID/NAFTA	3: Hans van Houtte (President), Professor Merit E. Janow, Professor Philippe Sands QC	USD 8,204,365.40 (para. 171)	USD 4,288,868.22 (para. 172) (converted from CAD 5,363,229.70)	Not clear. (up to USD 1,075,000 - as each party has advanced USD 525,000 and claimant has paid a lodging fee of USD 25,000) (paras 171-172)	Claimants win on merits in the amount of USD 13,829,876.05 (para. 178 (a) and (b)) (= USD 8,245,185.92 (converted from CAD 10,310,605) + USD 1,818,180.73 (converted from CAD 2,273,635) + USD 2,864,780.49 (converted from CAD 3,582,408) + USD 901,728.91 (converted from CAD 1,127,612))	The Tribunal decides (para. 178(c)): (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	7 (para.171 - 177)
27-Mar-11	22-Mar-13	Mohamed Abdulmohsen Al-Kharafi & Sons Co. v. Libya and others	N/R	USD 2,055,530,000 (p. 17, para. 24)	Ad-hoc (Unified Agreement for the Investment of Arab Capital in Arab States)	3: Abdel Hamid El-Ahdab (Chairman), Ibrahim Fawzi, Mohamed El-Kamoudi El-Hafi	N/A	N/A	USD 1,940,000 (p. 385)	The tribunal found that the defendants "committed contractual and delictual faults ascertaining their contractual and legal liability", and ordered them, to pay USD 935,000,000 in damages (page 392)	The tribunal decided (p.385): (1) each party shall bear its own legal costs; (2) the defendant should pay 100% of the tribunal costs.	1 (p. 385)
30-May-08	08-Jun-10	Mohammad Ammar Al-Bahloul v. Republic of Tajikistan, SCC Case No. V (064/2008) Partial Award on Jurisdiction and Liability dated 02-Sep-09; Final Award dated 08-Jun-10	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8331938149 / SEK 8.0451723234	USD 27,780,000 plus USD 55,160,000 plus USD 87,220,000 plus USD 58,300,000, i.e. principal amounts totalling USD 228,460,000, plus compound interest of USD 240,010,000 as marginal rate plus reference rate from 2 July 2001 to 30 October 2009, i.e. principal amounts plus interest totalling USD 468,470,000 (para 35).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3: Jeffrey M. Herzfeld (Chairman), Dr. Richard Happ, Prof. Ivan S. Zykin	First phase: EUR 1,106,000 in costs including legal fees Second phase: EUR 36,500 in disbursements plus USD 21,299.81 in legal representation plus USD 35,084.04 in expert costs (paras 112-113). i.e. total of USD 1,427,613	N/A	EUR 461,582 in Tribunal fees and expenses; EUR 63,395 plus SEK 8,125 in SCC Arbitration Institute administrative fee and expenses; i.e. total of USD 631,088 (para 122).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for failure on quantum; despite Respondent's established liability and ongoing breach of the BIT, Claimant failed to prove damages suffered and so Tribunal had no basis on which to assess damages (paras 98-99).	Respondent to pay EUR 300,000, i.e. USD 360,060, towards Claimant's costs (para 119). Respondent to reimburse Claimant 50% of arbitration costs, such 50% being EUR 262,488 plus SEK 4,063, i.e. USD 315,544 (paras 121 + 123).	18 of 123
06-May-99	11-Oct-02	Mondev International Ltd. v. United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2	N/R	No less than USD 50,000,000 plus interest (para 2).	ICSID (NAFTA)	3: Sir Ninian Stephen (President), Prof. James Crawford, Judge Stephen M. Schwebel	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed, some for lack of jurisdiction and others on the merits as the decisions of the US courts were held not to violate NAFTA (paras 154 + 157).	Each party to bear its own costs. Expenses of the Tribunal and the Secretariat to be borne equally.	2 of 159
26-Jun-01	25-May-04	MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. & MTD Chile S.A. v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Mr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), Marc Lalonde, Mr. Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,871,322.42 plus compound interest at LIBOR rate from 5 November 1998 until date of full payment (para 253). Damages were reduced because Claimants had failed to protect themselves from inherent business risks (para 246).	Parties to bear all their own expenses and fees, and to share equally the fees and expenses incurred by ICSID and the Tribunal (para 252).	1 of 253
21-Sep-11	10-Feb-17	Murphy Exploration & Production Company International v Ecuador (PCA Case No. 2012-16)	US\$ 1 = EUR0.8757	USD 473,290,000 (USD 118,300,000 + USD 354,990,000) (paras. 426 and 452)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3: Bernard Hanotiau (President), Yves Derains, Kaj Hober	USD 4,473,915.28 (para. 528)	USD 6,790,360.27 (para. 532)	USD 1,514,311.50 (para 528, 532)	The Tribunal awarded the Claimant USD 19,971,309 (para. 548(c))	Respondent ordered to pay USD 4,289,768.96 (para. 548) (converted from EUR 3,756,550.68) This amount comprises 75% of the Claimant's costs, including legal costs and tribunal costs. N.B. Tribunal ordered that the parties should each bear their own costs of the very final stage of the arbitration.	24 (paras. 524-547)
03-Mar-08	15-Dec-10	Murphy Exploration and Production Company International v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/04	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Rodrigo Oreamuno Blanco (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Nsón, Dr. Raul E. Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction as the negotiation period under the BIT had not yet elapsed (para 161).	Each party to pay one half of Tribunal's fees and expenses and of charges for use of Centre facilities. Each party to bear its own legal fees and other costs (para 161).	2 of 161
14-Feb-11	03-Apr-14	National Gas S.A.E. v. Arab Republic of Egypt (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/7)	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: VV Veeder QC (Chairman), Yves Fortier, Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 158).	Claimant ordered to pay all Tribunal costs (amount not disclosed) (para. 157) Parties to pay their own legal costs (para. 157).	5 (paras 153-157)
25-Apr-03	03-Nov-08	National Grid plc v. Argentine Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 59,069,583 for loss of fair market value of Claimant's investment, plus USD 22,321,139 for opportunity cost of lost equity, plus USD 31,009,278 for historical return on equity owed to Claimant, i.e. amounts totalling USD 112,400,000 (paras 263-265).	UNCITRAL	3: Alejandro Miguel Garro, Judd L. Kessler, Andrés Rigo Sureda (President)	N/A	N/A	USD 1,074,155.46 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 267,681.84 in expenses prior to administration by ICSID Secretariat and in administration fees and expenses of ICSID Secretariat i.e. USD 1,341,837.30 in total (para 296).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 38,800,000 in compensation, plus interest at rate of LIBOR plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 25 June 2002 to 31 October 2008 at an amount of USD 14,792,439.25, bringing the total actualised damage figure to USD 53,592,439.25 plus further interest updated to date of Award (paras 290 + 294).	Respondent and Claimant to bear 75% (USD 1,006,378) and 25% (USD 335,459), respectively, of Tribunal fees and expenses and costs of administration of ICSID Secretariat, i.e. Respondent bears an extra 25% or USD 335,459. Each party to bear its own legal costs (para 295).	1 of 296
03-Aug-06	24-Nov-10	Nations Energy Corporation, Electric Machinery Enterprises Inc. and Jamie Jurado v. Republic of Panama, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/19	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Sr. Alexis Mourre (President), Dr. José María Chillón Medina, Dr. Claus von Wobeser	USD 1,798,289.56 (para 705).	USD 10,364,183.56 (para 706).	USD 661,069.72 in fees and expenses of members of arbitral tribunal and expenses of its assistant; USD 65,000 for use of the Centre; USD 157,021.78 in costs incurred by Centre; i.e. USD 883,091.50 in total (para 296).	Respondent win. All claims dismissed on the merits as Claimants had not been able to prove indirect expropriation (para 693).	Claimants to bear arbitration costs in full, i.e. an additional 50% or USD 441,545.75 (para 710) and to contribute 40% of Respondent's costs and expenses, being USD 4,145,673.42 (paras 713-714).	N/A
21-Aug-01	12-Oct-05	Noble Ventures Inc. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/11	N/R	USD 143,531,000 plus applicable tax gross-up plus interest compounded from 31 July 2001 (para 35).	ICSID	3: Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), Sir Jeremy Lever KCMG QC, Prof. Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 3,145,210.27 in total costs (para 231). n.b. Possible that this includes Tribunal Costs.	USD 8,930,868.05 in total costs (para 231). n.b. Possible that this includes Tribunal Costs.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as they failed on liability (para 229).	Each party shall bear its own legal and other expenses. Arbitration costs including Tribunal fees to be borne by the parties in equal shares (para 236).	6 of 236
17-Feb-06	23/11/2009 (Award on costs)	Nordzucker v. Poland, UNCITRAL	US\$1 = EUR0.6681	USD 230,055,380.93 (converted from EUR 153,700,000 (para. 34))	UNCITRAL	3: Vera Van Houtte (President), Prof. Andreas Bucher, Dr. Maciej Tomaszewski	USD 3,925,061.58 (converted from EUR 2,622,333.64 (para. 74))	USD 1,817,530.89 (converted from EUR 1,214,292.39 (para. 75))	USD 849,049.54 (converted from EUR 567,250 (paras 77-78))	Claimant win on the merits but no damages awarded (page 19)	The Tribunal decides (paras 86-87): (1) each party shall bear its own legal costs, except the Claimant shall pay USD 106,271.52 (EUR 71,000) to the Respondent as the cost of Respondent's supplementary expert report; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	21 (paras 67-87)
01-Oct-08	30-Aug-10	Nova Scotia Power Incorporated (Canada) v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7880807356	N/A	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), D. John Beechey, Prof. Philippe Sands QC	USD 2,152,966 (para 6 of Award on Costs).	USD 1,584,135 (paras 7-8 of Award on Costs)	EUR 171,088 in tribunal costs plus EUR 33,920 in institutional costs, i.e. USD 260,135 in total (paras 22-23 of Award on Costs).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction (para 152 of Decision on Jurisdiction).	Claimant to pay 100% of arbitration costs and to contribute USD 850,179 towards Respondent's legal costs (paras 20, 38-39 of Award on Costs).	N/A
02-Nov-10	30-Apr-14	Nova Scotia Power Incorporated v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/1)	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Hans Van Houtte (President), David Williams, Raul Emilio Vinuesa	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win on jurisdiction (para. 150).	The Tribunal decides (para. 153): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	2 (paras 151-152)
11-Dec-01	16-Dec-03	Nykomb Synergetics Technology Holding AB v. Republic of Latvia, SCC	US\$ 1 = SEK 7.3228310297 / LVL 0.5392951321 / EUR 0.8112741255	Claimed in Statement of Claim (and restated in Brief No.III) as primary prayer for relief: amounts totalling LVL 7,097,680, i.e. USD 13,161,031, plus 6% interest on amounts from 17 September 1999/ 28 February 2000/ 16 September 2002 respectively (para 1.2.1, page 2). Claimed in Brief No.I dated 21-Mar-03 as secondary prayer for relief: LVL 3,484,749.70, i.e. USD 6,461,675, plus 6% interest on amounts from 17 September 1999/ 28 February 2000 respectively (para 1.2.1, page 2). Claimed in Brief No.III dated 09-Sep-03: LVL 7,097,680, i.e. USD 13,161,031, plus interest as before restated as primary prayer for relief, and secondary prayer for relief adjusted to LVL 3,686,186, i.e. USD 6,835,196, plus interest as before (para 1.2.1, page 3).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3: Bjørn Haug (Chairman), Rolf A. Schütze, Johan Gemandt	SEK 8,354,000 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,140,816 (para 6.1).	SEK 6,435,270 plus LAT 229,174 in total costs, i.e. USD 1,303,746 (para 6.1).	EUR 209,915 in Tribunal fees and costs, plus VAT of EUR 13,066 for Mr Johan Gemandt and EUR 9,596 for Mr Rolf A. Schütze; EUR 20,946 in fees of Arbitration Institute, i.e. USD 312,500 (para 6.3). n.b. There was an additional plus 25% VAT on Claimant's portion, i.e. an additional EUR 2,618 payable by the Claimant only (para 6.3). This would increase total Tribunal Costs USD 315,727.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay LVL 1,600,000 inclusive of simple interest at 6% from the mid-point of the respective period in question until the date of the Award, i.e. USD 2,966,636 (paras 5.2-5.3, pages 41-24).	Respondent ordered to pay SEK 2,000,000 towards Claimant's legal costs, i.e. USD 273,118. Each party to bear 50% of amounts due to arbitrators and Arbitration Institute. Claimant to pay an additional EUR 2,618, i.e. USD 3,227, in 25% VAT on its part of Arbitration Institute's administrative fee (para 6.3).	N/A
21-May-08	29-Jul-14	OA O Tatroft v. Ukraine, UNCITRAL	N/R	USD 1,144,000,000 (para. 51(a))	UNCITRAL	Prof Orrego Vicuna (President); Charles Brower; Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	USD 2,040,308.12 (para. 640)	Claimant win. Respondent to pay USD 112,000,000 (para. 642).	The Tribunal decides (para. 641): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	2 of 641 (paras 640-641)

11-Nov-02	<a href="#">01-Jul-04</a>	Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Administered Case No. UN 3467	N/R	Claimed in post-hearing Memorial: USD 80,263,930 in reimbursement of VAT paid up to 31 December 2003 (including interest of USD 19,725,707), plus USD 12,300,000 in future damages, i.e. <b>USD 201,563,930</b> in total, plus unquantified amounts for refunds of VAT paid from 1 January 2004 (paras 20-21 + 203).	UNCITRAL (LCIA)	3. Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (Presiding Arbitrator), Hon. Charles N. Brower, Dr. Patrick Barrera Sweeney	N/A	N/A	USD 561,433.38 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus USD 32,611 in costs of administration, i.e. <b>USD 594,044.38</b> in total (page 73).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal sum of USD 71,533,649 plus simple interest of USD 3,541,280 to a total amount of <b>USD 75,074,929</b> , plus further simple interest on total sum at 2.75% per annum from 1 January 2004 to date of Award (paras 211-212).	Respondent to pay 55% of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 326,724.40 in total (or an extra USD 29,702.21), and Claimant to pay 45%, i.e. USD 267,319.98. Each party to bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 216).	1 of 216
17-May-06	<a href="#">05-Oct-12</a>	Occidental Petroleum Corporation and Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/11	N/R	N/A n.b. In their Request for Arbitration, Claimants requested damages in amounts to be determined at the hearing, which they believed would exceed USD 1,000,000,000 (para 106). Claimants later contended that damages should be equal to the full fair market value of the investment, but do not appear to have quantified this (para 594). USD 201,000,000 was claimed in consequential damages (para 789).	ICSID	3. Mr. L. Yves Fortier CC QC (President), Mr. David A.R. Williams QC, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent to pay <b>USD 1,769,625,000</b> plus interest at 4.188% compounded annually from 16 May 2006 until date of Award (paras 825 + 848). Respondent's counterclaims dismissed.	Each party shall bear its own legal costs. Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID administrative charges to be borne equally as between the Claimants and the Respondent (para 874).	6 of 876
07-Sep-11	<a href="#">10-Mar-15</a>	Oi European Group B.V. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/25)	N/R	<b>USD 929,544,714</b> (para. 82(3))	ICSID	3. Juan Fernandez-Armesto (President), Francisco Orrega Vicuna, Alexis Mourre	<b>USD 14,306,376</b> (para. 956) (sum of USD 12,612,455 + USD 1,654,824 + USD 39,097 (para. 956))	<b>USD 4,754,730</b> (paras. 959-960). (Total costs of USD 5,254,730 - ICSID costs of USD 500,000) (para. 959 - 960)	<b>USD 1,000,000</b> (paras. 958 - 959)	Claimant wins on the merits and awarded <b>USD 372,461,982</b> (compensation) + interest (para. 984 (5) - (6))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 5,750,000</b> (para. 984(6)). This includes the Claimant's costs of the proceedings (USD 500,000) and legal costs (USD 5,250,000) (para. 984 (6)).	23 (para. 954 - 976)
15-Dec-03	<a href="#">19-Nov-07</a>	OKO Pankki Oyj, VTB Bank (Deutschland) AG and Sampo Bank plc v. Republic of Estonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/6	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6822395557 / DEM 2.2630280334 n.b. Date used for DEM conversion was 27 February 2002 (i.e. last available DEM date)	According to calculations below, total maximum amount claimed would be USD 25,199,160 for outstanding principal amount of damages including interest to 15 July 2004, plus USD 1,758,913 in expenses and refund of sale proceeds excluding interest, i.e. <b>USD 26,958,073</b> (1) USD 3,959,529.67 plus DEM 14,179,564.12 claimed by Banks as outstanding principal amount of damages, i.e. USD 10,225,278 in principal only, plus contractual default interest from 16 December 1992 until 16 November 2001 at USD 2,156,672.47 and DEM 21,583,748.76, plus 6% interest compounded annually from 16 November 2001 amounting to USD 3,199,656.78 and DEM 21,897,728.82 as at 15 July 2004, totalling USD 9,315,858.92 plus DEM 35,763,312.88, i.e. USD 25,199,160 in principal and interest (paras 300-307). (2) In the alternative and as secondary claim, default interest claimed at annual compound rate of 6% p.a. from 16 December 1992 until date of payment, amounting to USD 3,316,678.14 and DEM 6,130,097.25 as at 15 July 2004, i.e. USD 2,708,803 in interest. Note that it is unclear whether this is intended to replace the claim for contractual default interest as well as the 6% interest. If this replaces both interest calculations, this would bring total alternative amount for principal and interest to USD 12,934,081 (para 308). Additional amounts claimed: EUR 1,200,000 in expenses incurred prior to arbitration proceedings for legal fees stamp duties, in-house counsel, and USD 622,143.32 as refund of sale proceeds, i.e. USD 1,758,913 in total, plus annual compound interest on both amounts at 6% from 30 August 2002 and 20 February 2002 respectively (paras 309-310).	ICSID	3. Mr O.L.O. de Witt Wijnen (President), Mr L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Mr V.V. Veeder QC	EUR 1,815,785.37 in fees and costs of external and internal counsel, witness fees and costs paid directly by Claimant, i.e. <b>USD 2,661,597</b> (para 370).	N/A	<b>USD 410,000</b> in fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID Secretariat (para 375).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay monetary damages of USD 3,837,801.49 plus EUR 7,320,315.80 (to be divided equally between three Claimants), i.e. USD 14,567,833, plus interest on those sums at 6% per annum compounded annually from 16 November 2001 to 15 November 2007 at an amount of USD 1,606,193.27 and EUR 736,882.50, totalling USD 17,243,920 in principal and interest, plus further interest on the amounts of USD 1,606,193.27 and EUR 736,882.50 at 6% per annum compounded annually from 16 November 2007 until date of payment (para 376). Respondent also ordered to pay further monetary damages of USD 622,143.32 and EUR 1,200,000 for Claimants' expenses incurred prior to arbitration proceedings (to be divided equally between three Claimants), i.e. USD 2,381,056, plus interest at 6% per annum compounded annually until date of payment as from 30 August 2002 for the EUR amount and as from 20 February 2002 for the USD amount (para 376). i.e. Total monetary damages of USD 16,948,689 excluding interest, or <b>USD 19,624,976</b> including quantified interest on the first set of damages.	Respondent to pay EUR 1,500,000 towards Claimants' legal costs, i.e. USD 2,198,641, plus post-award interest (para 374). Respondent to bear full amount of arbitration costs, amounting to USD 410,000 in total, i.e. an extra USD 205,000 (para 375).	8 of 376
19-Nov-10	<a href="#">05-Sep-13</a>	Ömer Dede and Serdar Eilüseyin v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/22	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7622	<b>USD 2,623,983.20</b> (converted from EUR 2,000,000) (para. 162)	ICSID	3. William W. Park (President), Professor Brigitte Stern, Dr. Nicolas Herzog	<b>USD 257,800</b> (para. 159)	<b>USD 1,846,066.33</b> (converted from EUR 1,407,087.00) (paras 169, 171)	<b>USD 307,029.77</b> (para. 277)	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 274).	The Tribunal decides (paras 276-277): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	11 (paras 265-277 excluding 274-275)
28-May-10	<a href="#">28-May-13</a>	OPIC Karimun Corporation v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/14	N/R	N/A Not disclosed in the award	ICSID	3. Doug Jones AO (President), Dr. Guido Santiago Tawil, Philippe Sands QC	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	N/A Not disclosed in the award.	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 181).	The Tribunal decides (para. 181): 1) The Parties shall bear on an equal basis the fees and expenses of the members of the Tribunal/ICSID; and 2) Each party shall bear the fees and expenses incurred by it in relation to this proceeding.	1 (para 180)
24-Oct-12	<a href="#">31-May-17</a>	Orascom TMT Investments S.à.r.l v People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/35	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8899	<b>USD 2,834,800,000</b> (paras 501, 506) Other heads of damages not quantified in the award (paras 509-518), although UNCTAD's Investment Policy Hub states that the claim was for USD 5 billion. ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/493">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/493</a> )	ICSID	3. Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President); Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg; Prof. Brigitte Stern	<b>USD 20,673,811.30</b> (para. 578)	<b>USD 5,816,832.63</b> (para. 579) (USD 5,685,622.02 + USD 131,210.61 (converted from EUR 116,764.32))	<b>USD 1,349,950</b> (USD 674,975 + USD 674,975) (paras 578-579)	Claimant's claims dismissed as the Tribunal held that it did not have jurisdiction (para. 587)	Claimant ordered to pay to the Respondent <b>USD 3,583,391.31</b> (para. 587) (i) USD 2,908,416.31 (USD 2,842,811.01 + USD 65,605.30 (EUR 58,382.16)) as part of its legal costs; (ii) USD 674,975 (Respondent's share of the Tribunal costs)	9 (paras. 577-585)
31-Aug-11	<a href="#">17-Dec-15</a>	Oxus Gold plc v Republic of Uzbekistan	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9254	Claimant claims in the amount of <b>USD 1,485,000,000</b> (para. 133) Explanation: adding all primary claims only, i.e., not counting alternative claims; Respondent counterclaims in the amount of USD 109 million (para. 135)	UNCITRAL	3. Pierre Tercier (Chairman), Brigitte Stern, Marc Lalonde	<b>USD 8,746,369.53</b> (para. 999) (USD 9,546,369.53 - USD 800,000 Costs and expense of Tribunal)	<b>USD 14,923,900.50</b> (para. 1014) (USD 15,672,698.10 + USD 31,178.41 (converted from EUR 28,852.50) - USD 779,976 Costs and expenses of Tribunal = USD 14,923,900.50 (para.1014))	<b>USD 2,297,768.70</b> (para. 1028)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 10,299,572</b> (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%, compounded annually) (page 396). Tribunal found it lacked jurisdiction over the Respondent's counterclaims (Award, paras. 3-5)	The Tribunal decides (para. 1046): (1) each party to pay 50% of Tribunal costs; (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	44 (para. 993 - 1046)
30-Apr-09	<a href="#">14-Oct-16</a>	Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/12	N/R	<b>USD 284,000,000</b> (para. 3.16)	ICSID	3. V.V. Veeder QC (President); Prof. Brigitte Stern; Prof. Dr. Guido Santiago Tawil	<b>USD 9,971,503.47</b> (includes the USD 2,500,000 as success fee) (para. 11.4)	<b>USD 11,910,696</b> (para. 11.5)	<b>USD 1,878,348.01</b> (para. 11.8)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 12.1)	The Tribunal decides that Claimant shall pay to the Respondent <b>USD 8,000,000</b> (para. 56): (1) each party shall pay their own tribunal costs; and (2) Claimant to pay Respondent USD 8,000,000 for their legal costs.	20 (11.1 to 11.20)
01-Aug-07	<a href="#">30-Jul-09</a>	Pantechniki S.A. Contractors & Engineers (Greece) v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7110150633 / ALL 92.1400000000	Claimant originally submitted claim for USD 4,893,623.93 on 29 May 1997 in Albania. It later accepted the General Road Directorate's valuation of its losses on 21 January 1998 of USD 1,821,796 plus ALL 25,890,356 (paras 14-15). Claimant commenced litigation in Albanian courts on 31 May 2001 on the basis of this settlement (para 21). Assuming that this was also the amount being claimed before ICSID, this would make the total amount claimed <b>USD 2,192,795</b>	ICSID	1. Jan Paulsson	EUR 154,523 in total costs, i.e. <b>USD 217,327</b> (para 103). n.b. Unclear whether this includes ICSID deposits.	EUR 269,657 in total costs, i.e. <b>USD 379,256</b> (para 103). n.b. Unclear whether this includes ICSID deposits.	N/A	Respondent win. Claims rejected on the merits, where Claimant was unable to prove breach of the BIT, or declared inadmissible due to Claimant's election to seise the Albanian courts (para 105).	Each party shall bear its own costs and 50% of the Tribunal's fees and expenses and ICSID charges (para 105).	2 of 104
11-Mar-05	<a href="#">11-Sep-07</a>	Parkerings-Compagniet AS v. Republic of Lithuania, ICSID No. ARB/05/8	US\$ 1 = NOK 5.6784113471 / EUR 0.7226363364	NOK 176,400,000, i.e. <b>USD 31,065,027</b> , plus interest at NIBOR rate compounded monthly from 22 January 2004 to date of payment (see para 213).	ICSID	3. Dr. Julian Lew QC, The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Dr. Laurent Lévy (President)	EUR 2,458,993.33 in total costs excluding ICSID deposit, i.e. <b>USD 3,402,809</b> (para 458)	EUR 1,144,124.68 in total costs excluding ICSID deposit, i.e. <b>USD 1,583,265</b> (para 459).	Parties paid each paid deposits of EUR 196,591.42 to ICSID in respect of Tribunal fees and expenses, i.e. <b>USD 544,095</b> in total deposits (paras 458-459).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed as Respondent's conduct held not to amount to expropriation or involve a violation of its obligations under the BIT (paras 447 + 456).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses. Costs and expenses of the Tribunal to be paid equally by both parties (para 464).	8 of 465
06-Oct-99	<a href="#">09-Feb-04</a>	Patrick H. Mitchell v Congo (Case No. ARB/99/7)	N/R	N/A (This was an excerpt and the amount Claimants asked for was not provided in the excerpt)	ICSID	3. Andreas Bucher (President), Yawovi Agboybo and Marc Lalonde	<b>USD 206,560</b> (see para. 98). However, this includes arbitrators' fees, which appears to be treated differently to the costs of the Tribunal.	<b>USD 307,907.50</b> (see para. 99). However, this includes arbitrators' fees, which appears to be treated differently to the costs of the Tribunal.	<b>USD 180,000</b> (added up from para. 100)	Claimant won on the merits in the amount of <b>USD 750,000</b> (para. 93)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 95,000</b> (para. 100) This amount comprises: (1) USD 35,000 to contribute to the Claimant's legal costs; (2) USD 60,000 in compensation for the Claimant's share of the Tribunal's costs.	4 (paras 98-101)
21-May-10	<a href="#">27-Jun-16</a>	Peter E. Allard v Government of Barbados (PCA Case No. 2012-06)	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3081	<b>USD 22,189,587.95</b> (para. 48)	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Gavan Griffith QC (President); Andrew Newcombe; Michael Reisman.	<b>USD 1,241,133</b> (para. 283)	<b>USD 5,850,857</b> (para. 283)	<b>USD 1,134,323</b> (para. 280)	The Claimant's claims are all dismissed (p. 91)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent <b>USD 3,075,306</b> (para. 316) (USD 567,162 for Tribunal costs and USD 2,508,144 for legal costs).	39 (paras. 278-316)
07-Oct-09	<a href="#">19-Sep-11</a>	Peter Franz Vocklinghaus v. Czech Republic	US\$1 = EUR0.7324 US\$1 = CZK17.9410	<b>USD 14,001,259.41</b> (converted from CZK 251,196,595 (para. 34))	UNCITRAL	John Beechey (President); Bohuslav Klein; Maitre Laurent Lévy	N/A	<b>USD 4,504,640.61</b> (converted from CZK 80,817,757.15 (para. 213).	<b>USD 347,618.45</b> (converted from EUR 254,595.75 (para. 212).	Respondent win on the merits (para. 214).	The Tribunal decides (para. 214): (1) the Claimants shall pay <b>USD 4,504,640.61</b> (converted from CZK 80,817,757.15) as the Respondent's legal costs; and (2) the Claimants shall pay <b>USD 347,618.45</b> (converted from EUR 254,595.75) as the costs of the arbitration.	3 of 214

01-Sep-03	<a href="#">29-Mar-05</a>	<b>Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic, SCC Case No. 126/2003</b>	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7744103850 / SEK 0.0708620991	USD 1,507,812.60 in compensatory damages, plus interest from 25 December 1998; USD 2,376,339.60 in lost profits, plus interest from 4 March 1999; USD 200,500 in outlays and related expenses incurred since 1998 in pursuing contractual performance (including travel costs, accommodation expenses, overheads and local courts and solicitors fees paid in the Kyrgyz Republic and travel and accommodation expenses in relation to the 2002 UNCITRAL arbitration in Stockholm), plus interest from 1 September 2003; i.e. principal sums totalling <b>USD 4,084,652.20</b> excluding interest (Section VI, pages 18, 36-37 +87).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3: Mr. Hans Danelius (Chairman), Prof. Ove Bring, Mr. Jeroen Smets	N/A	N/A	EUR 131,832 plus SEK 16,458 in arbitrators' fees and expenses inclusive of VAT; EUR 15,942 in administrative fee of the Arbitration Institute, i.e. total of <b>USD 193,149</b> (para 10).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 1,130,859</b> , plus interest at an annual rate to be determined according to UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts as from 25 December 1998 until payment is made (para 9(d)-(h)).	Each party to bear one half of the amounts due to the arbitrators and the Arbitration Institute. Each party shall bear its own costs (para 10).	N/A
02-Mar-00	<a href="#">13-Feb-03</a>	<b>Petrobart Limited v. Kyrgyz Republic, UNCITRAL</b>	N/R	USD 1,499,143 as principal debt owed, plus USD 83,020 in accrued legal costs for proceedings in Kyrgyzstan, i.e. <b>USD 1,582,163</b> , plus pre-award interest amounts from 15 February 1999 to 30 June 2002 (para 2.1).	UNCITRAL	3: Prof. Kaj Hober (President), Dr. Ahmed S. El-Kosheri, Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg	N/A USD 672,746 in "legal fees for the court actions in Kyrgyzstan and these arbitration proceedings" (para 2.1). n.b. It is not clear (a) how much of this amount represents the cost of the arbitration proceedings, or (b) whether this includes advance deposits on account of Tribunal fees. This figure has therefore been excluded from our calculations.	<b>USD 323,527</b> in costs and expenses of legal representation (paras 2.2 + 5.4).	<b>USD 231,504</b> in fees and disbursements of the arbitrators (para 5.4)	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant had not made a "foreign investment" (para 5.3.3.3).	Claimant ordered to pay USD 373,527 in reimbursement of Respondent's full legal costs of USD 323,527 and advance deposit of USD 50,000. Arbitration costs borne in full by Claimant having paid USD 150,000 in advance deposits - shortfall of USD 31,504 made up by interest accrued on the advance deposits (para 5.4).	N/A
22-Feb-10	<a href="#">08-Jul-16</a>	<b>Philp Morris Brands Sarl, Philip Morris Products SA and ABAL Hermanos SA v Uruguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/7)</b>	N/R	<b>USD 22,267,000</b> (para. 12)	ICSID	3: Piero Bernardini (President); Gary Born; James Crawford	<b>USD 16,906,045.46</b> (para. 583) - this includes the advances paid to ICSID.	<b>USD 10,319,833.57</b> (para. 583) - this includes advances paid to ICSID.	<b>USD 1,485,714.08</b> (para. 589)	The Claimant's claims are all dismissed (para. 590).	The Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent <b>USD 7,742,857.04</b> (para. 590): (1) USD 7,000,000 to cover the Respondent's legal costs. (2) reimburse all amounts advanced by the Respondent to ICSID (unclear how much advanced, but assumed 50% of Tribunal fees of USD 1,485,714.08).	8 (paras. 582-589)
16-Mar-99	<a href="#">27-Nov-00</a>	<b>Philippe Grusin v. Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/3</b>	N/R	Claimant claimed to have suffered losses of entire <b>USD 2,300,000</b> investment in securities listed on the KLSE, which he argued constituted an "investment" under the relevant BIT entitling him to recover the amount of his losses from the Respondent (paras 8.1-8.3).	ICSID	1: Gavan Griffith QC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction under ICSID Convention (para 26.4).	Each party should pay one-half of Tribunal fees and expenses of the Tribunal and charges for the use of Centre facilities. Each party pay its own expenses (para 27.12).	1 of 28
23-Mar-06	<a href="#">15-Apr-09</a>	<b>Phoenix Action Ltd. v. Czech Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/5</b>	US\$ 1 = CZK 20.3193307025	CZK 951,048,000 for losses relating to C&C; unquantified compensation for losses relating to loss of BP; unquantified compensation for all other BIT breaches; and compensation for corporate expenses including over CZK 2,000,000 in legal fees paid by BP in connection with customs assessments, i.e. minimum of <b>USD 46,903,513</b> , plus further unquantified damages (para 51).	ICSID	3: Prof. Brigitte Stern (President), Prof. Andreas Bucher, Prof. Juan Fernandez-Armesto	<b>USD 1,612,279.13</b> in legal fees and expenses (para 148).	CZK 21,417,199.13 in legal costs, i.e. <b>USD 1,054,031</b> (para 148).	<b>USD 356,000</b> in ICSID costs, being the fees and expenses of the Tribunal members and the ICSID Secretariat, excluding lodging fee (para 152).	Respondent win. Lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have a "protected investment" under the Washington Convention and the Israeli/Czech BIT (para 145).	Claimant to bear all ICSID costs estimated at USD 356,000, resulting in a payment to the Respondent of USD 196,000. Claimant also to pay Respondent's legal fees and expenses of CZK 21,417,199.13, i.e. USD 1,054,031 (para 152).	5 of 152
08-Nov-06	<a href="#">04-Aug-10</a>	<b>Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli &amp; Others v. Republic of South Africa, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/01</b>	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7600211259	N/A	ICSID	3: Prof. Vaughan Lowe QC (President), The Hon. Charles N. Brower, Mr. Joseph M. Matthews	EUR 4,374,200.11 in total costs and fees, i.e. <b>USD 5,755,366</b> (para 97). n.b. May include ICSID advances made by parties in period leading up to discontinuance.	EUR 5,333,146.91 in fees and costs (excluding costs of Mr Nihal who had engaged in bribery), i.e. <b>USD 7,017,104</b> (para 96). n.b. May include ICSID advances made by parties in period leading up to discontinuance.	N/A	Proceedings discontinued at Claimant's request pursuant to Article 50 of ICSID Arbitration Additional Facility Rules (paras 79 + 133).	Claimants to pay EUR 400,00 towards Respondent's legal costs and associated expenses, as well as fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the Centre, i.e. USD 526,301 (para 133).	51 of 133
07-Sep-12	<a href="#">30-Apr-15</a>	<b>Ping An Life Insurance Company of China, Limited and Ping An Insurance (Group) Company of China, Limited v Kingdom of Belgium (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/29)</b>	<b>USD 1 = EUR 0.8951</b>	<b>USD 1,127,248,352.14</b> (para. 89) (converted from EUR 1,009,000,000 - as the compensation requested including interest)	ICSID	3: Lord Collins of Mapeisbury (President), Professor Philippe Sands, David A.R. Williams	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 240)	The Tribunal decides (para. 240): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	6 (paras 234 - 239)
06-Jan-03	<a href="#">27-Aug-08</a>	<b>Piama Consortium Limited v. Republic of Bulgaria, ICSID No. ARB/03/24</b>	N/R	Claimed in Memorial on basis of DCF method: <b>USD 122,258,000</b> in compensation, plus compound interest at a commercial rate from 15 December 1999 (para 153). n.b. Unclear whether this amount includes amounts of USD 10 million (para 159), or USD 23 million referred to in Claimant's Post-Hearing Submission on the Merits. It has been assumed that it does.	ICSID	3: Carl F. Salans (President), Prof. Albert Jan van den Berg, V.V. Veeder	<b>USD 4,677,521.79</b> in legal and other costs excluding ICSID advances (para 310).	<b>USD 13,243,357</b> in legal and other costs excluding ICSID advances (para 310).	USD 803,866.04 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; USD 144,195.78 in ICSID administrative charges and expenses; i.e. total of <b>USD 948,061.82</b> (para 312)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits; Tribunal denied ECT protections to the Claimant because it had obtained its investment in Bulgaria by means of fraudulent misrepresentation. In any event, Respondent had not breached its obligations under the ECT (paras 306 + 321).	Claimant to bear all Tribunal's fees and expenses of Tribunal and ICSID's administrative charges of USD 919,985 (with shortfall of USD 28,076.82 being made up by interest accrued on parties' advances to ICSID), resulting in payment of USD 460,000 to Respondent on account of its advance on costs (paras 311-312 + 324). Claimant to pay USD 7,000,000 towards Respondent's legal fees and other costs (paras 323-324).	18 of 325
10-Aug-12	<a href="#">21-May-15</a>	<b>Pluspetrol Peru Corporation and others v Perupetro S.A. (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/28)</b>	N/R	Declaratory relief. N.B. Counterclaim of <b>USD 62,440,689</b> (para 111)	ICSID	3: Eduardo Siqueiros T. (Chairman), José Emilio Nunes Pinto, Bernardo M. Cremades	<b>USD 12,377,962</b> (para. 210 - total figure minus tribunal costs of USD 350,000)	<b>USD 3,026,174</b> (para. 212 - total figure minus tribunal costs of USD 350,000)	Not disclosed (although advances total <b>USD 700,000</b> )	The Tribunal ruled in favour of the Respondent and granted the counterclaim. The Claimant was ordered to pay <b>USD 61,517,429</b> in damages + interest. (paras. 215-219)	The Tribunal unanimously decided that all cost were to be borne by the Claimant in the amount of <b>USD 3,376,174</b> . (para. 220)	5 (paras 209-214)
17-Oct-13	<a href="#">05-May-15</a>	<b>PNG Sustainable Development Program Ltd. v Independent State of Papua New Guinea (ICSID No. ARB/13/33)</b>	<b>US\$ 1 = SGD1.3330</b> <b>US\$ 1 = AUSD1.2595</b> <b>US\$ 1 = EUR0.8948</b>	N/A Declaration of jurisdiction (para. 89)	ICSID	3: Gary Born (President), Duncan Kerr, Chev LH, Michael Pryles	<b>USD 1,611,645.98</b> (para. 386)	<b>USD 688,178.64</b> (para. 383) (sum of USD 628,376.78 (converted from SGD 837,626.25) + USD 31,219.09 (converted from EUR 28,000.00) + USD 28,582.77 (converted from AUS 36,000.00 (for the Respondent's legal costs and expenses)) (para. 383)	<b>USD 700,000</b> (sum of advance payments on account of the arbitration costs) (paras. 383, 386)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 417)	The Tribunal decides (para. 417): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	37 (paras 380 - 416)
24-Dec-98	<a href="#">26-Nov-02</a>	<b>Pope &amp; Talbot Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL</b>	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.5714379236	<b>USD 482,622</b> claimed in various heads of damages, plus interest (para 86 of Award in Respect of Damages). n.b. Quantified sum did not include two heads of damages which Tribunal concluded were not recoverable, being the value of management time devoted to the claim and alleged losses flowing from shutdown of three mills (para 81).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3: Lord Dervaid (Presiding Arbitrator), Benjamin J. Greenberg, Murray J. Beiman	USD 3,780,088 claimed in final phase, plus USD 465,044 awarded by Tribunal and paid to Claimant by Respondent, i.e. <b>USD 4,245,132</b> (para 6 of ARC).	CAD 3,953,231.22 claimed in final phase, i.e. <b>USD 2,515,678</b> (para 6).	<b>USD 1,474,359.50</b> in fees and expenses of Tribunal and its assistant (para 18 of ARC).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 407,646</b> as principal amount in damages, plus interest at 5% per annum compounded quarterly from 1 December 1999, bringing award to total of USD 461,565 as of 31 May 2002 (paras 88 + 90-91 of ARD).	Respondent to pay USD 120,000 to Claimant in respect of the portion of the Tribunal's costs relating to the Verification Review Episode (para 18 of ARC). Each party to bear its own legal costs (para 17 of ARC).	N/A
03-May-13	<a href="#">09-Apr-15</a>	<b>Poštová banka, a.s. and ISTROKAPITAL SE v Hellenic Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/8)</b>	<b>US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9369</b>	N/A Not disclosed in the award, but UNCTAD states the amount claimed as USD 533,674,885.26 (EUR 500,000,000) ( <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/551">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/551</a> )	ICSID	3: Eduardo Zuleta (President), John Townsend, Brigitte Stern	<b>USD 5,517,010.09</b> (para. 373)	<b>USD 4,963,432.84</b> (converted from EUR 4,650,232.73). (para. 374)	<b>USD 600,600</b> (para.375)	Respondent won on jurisdiction. (para. 379)	The Tribunal decides (para. 379): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	7 (paras 372-378)
02-May-02	<a href="#">19-Jan-07</a>	<b>PSEG Global Inc. and Konya Ilim Elektrik Uretim ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5</b>	N/R	Claimants submitted three amounts based on different approaches to damages assessment: (i) using first approach, USD 114,951,000 as fair market value of investment at time of expropriation, plus annually compounded interest at 10.6% bringing total FMV amount including interest to USD 171,986,000 as at end of 2006; (ii) using second approach, USD 223,742,000 based on lost profit valuation, plus interest at same rate as before, bringing total lost profit amount including interest to USD 334,756,000; or USD 301,677,000 in net present value of losses as at end of 2006, plus amounts for avoidance of tax effects bringing the total NPV of lost profits to <b>USD 494,552,000</b> ; or (iii), using the third approach, USD 27,941,740.30 in expenses estimated on the basis of opportunity cost of investment up to end of 2006 including pre-Award interest based on cost of equity; or USD 45,806,131.64 resulting from tax gross-up; or USD 29,050,241.68 and USD 47,623,347.01 respectively including pre-Award interest based on Turkish Bond Yield (paras 283-292).	ICSID	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), Mr. L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler	N/A n.b. Claimant paid USD 11,900,804.52 in both legal fees and advances towards arbitration costs (para 353).	N/A n.b. Respondent paid USD 8,95,832.10 in both legal fees and advances towards arbitration costs (para 353).	N/A n.b. USD 20,851,636.62 in total costs of the arbitration claimed by parties in post-hearing submissions, including legal costs and fees (para 352).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 9,061,479.34</b> plus interest at LIBOR rate plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 1 August 1998 until payment (para 337).	Respondent to bear 65% of the arbitration costs and legal costs and fees, being USD 13,553,563.80; Claimant to bear 35%, being USD 7,298,072.81; resulting in payment of USD 4,602,731.70 by Respondent to Claimant (para 353). n.b. It is not possible to calculate the true amount of costs 'shifted', as the respective Party Costs are not stated in the award (and it is therefore not known how much each party had initially paid for its legal fees, before costs were shifted). However, on the basis that an extra 15% of the total arbitration costs and legal fees were shifted to the Respondent, we have calculated the costs awarded at USD 3,127,745, being 15% of total arbitration costs (see Column J).	3 of 354
28-Dec-06	<a href="#">27-Oct-10</a>	<b>Quadrant Pacific Growth Fund L.P. and Canasco Holdings Inc. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/08/1</b>	N/R	Minimum of <b>USD 20,000,000</b> (para 8).	ICSID	3: Prof. Alejandro Garro (President), Prof. Andreas Lowenfeld, Dr. Bernardo Cremades	N/A	N/A	N/A	Proceedings discontinued, at Respondent's request, due to failure by Claimants to pay their share of the second advance payment requested by ICSID (paras 52, 58 + 62).	Claimants to pay USD 730,000 in respect of fees and costs claimed by Respondent (paras 70-72).	10 of 73
04-Oct-05	<a href="#">16-Sep-15</a>	<b>Quiborax S.A. and Non-Metallic Minerals S.A. v Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/2)</b>	N/R	<b>USD 150,848,827</b> (para. 73) (composed of USD 146,848,827 as of 30 June 2013 plus compound interest from that date + moral damages in the sum of USD 4,000,000 + declaratory relief (para. 73(a) and (b))	ICSID	3: Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Brigitte Stern, Marc Lalonde	<b>USD 7,660,375</b> (para.621)	<b>USD 1,844,051.57</b> (para. 622)	Not clear. However, <b>USD 1,650,000</b> has been advanced by the parties in total (para. 621). Claimant has advanced USD 1,500,000 and Respondent has advanced USD 150,000 (paras 621-622).	Claimant won on merits and entitled to damages amounting to <b>USD 48,619,578</b> (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%, compounded annually) (para. 626(d))	The Tribunal decides: (paras 626(f) and 626(g)): (1) in addition to its own half of the Tribunal costs, the Respondent shall also pay 50% of the Claimant's share of the Tribunal costs. (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	6 (paras 620-625)
15-Jan-10	<a href="#">10-Dec-10</a>	<b>Rachel S. Grynberg, Stephen M. Grynberg, Miriam Z. Grynberg and RSM Production Company v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/6</b>	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: J. William Rowley QC (President), Edward W. Nottingham, Prof. Pierre Tercier	<b>USD 31,092.50</b> in total costs, of which USD 27,292.50 attributable to the Objection and USD 3,800 attributable to Security Application (para 8.2.2). n.b. Likely to include Tribunal Costs.	<b>USD 205,126.83</b> in legal fees and disbursements in respect of Objection only (para 8.2.3).	<b>USD 187,315.94</b> in arbitration costs including arbitrators' fees, Tribunal expenses, and Secretariat's administration fees and expenses (para 8.3.3).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, and for being "no more than a contractual claim...dressed up as a Treaty case" and manifestly without legal merit (paras 7.3.7 + 9.1(a)).	Claimants to reimburse Respondent for its legal costs of USD 205,126.83 (para 8.3.5). Claimants to bear 100% of Tribunal fees and expenses plus 100% of administrative fees and expenses of the Centre, i.e. USD 187,314.94, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 93,605.62 (para 8.3.6).	N/A



05-Apr-11	<a href="#">16-Jul-13</a>	Rafat Ali Rizvi v. The Republic of Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/13	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Dr. Gavan Griffith QC (President) Judge Joan Donoghue, Professor Muthucumaraswamy Sornarajah	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant's claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (p. 74)	The Tribunal decides (p. 74): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras 229-233)
14-Jun-07	<a href="#">29-Jun-12</a>	Railroad Development Corporation v. Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/23  Award dated 29-Jun-12; Decision on Claimant's Request for Supplementation and Rectification of Award dated 18-Jan-13	N/R	USD 63,778,212 in damages plus compound pre-award interest at 9.34% (para 68).	ICSID	3. Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), Honorable Stuart E. Eizenstat, Prof. James Crawford	N/A	N/A	USD 384,854.01 in ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses relating to two jurisdictional phases only (para 283).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 11,306,740.93 plus compound interest at six-month LIBOR rate plus two percentage points as from the date of the Lesivo Declaration to the date of payment (paras 277-281).  n.b. Amount awarded was rectified by Tribunal on 18 January 2013 at Claimant's request due to original miscalculation by Tribunal in Award, increasing compensation awarded to USD 13,518,759.30 (para 43 + section IV of Decision on Rectification).	Respondent to bear ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses relating to the two jurisdictional phases, resulting in payment of USD 192,427 to Claimant in reimbursement of its half-share. In relation to the merits phase, each party to be responsible for 50% of ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses. Each party to bear its own counsel fees and expenses (para 282).  In relation to the rectification proceedings, each party to bear its own counsel fees and expenses and responsible for 50% of ICSID administrative expenses and Tribunal fees and expenses (Section IV of Decision on Rectification).	1 of 238
17-May-11	<a href="#">09-Jan-15</a>	Renee Rose Levy and Gremical S.A. v Peru (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/17)	N/R	USD 41,000,000,000 (para. 66)	ICSID	3. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President); Dr. Eduardo Zuleta; Raúl E. Vinuesa	USD 1,571,858.72 (para. 198)	USD 5,299,978.96 (para. 198)	Not clear, up to USD 1,150,000 which was the amount advanced by the parties in total (para. 198)	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 195).	The Claimants ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,146,858.72 (para. 203): (1) USD 1,571,858.72 as a contribution to the Respondent's legal costs/expenses; (2) Up to USD 575,000 as the amount advanced by the Respondent for the Tribunal costs (final amount not confirmed).	5 (para. 198 - 202)
22-Jun-10	<a href="#">26-Feb-14</a>	Renée Rose Levy de Levi v. The Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/17	N/R	USD 4,036,000,000 (para. 278)	ICSID	3. Rodrigo Oreamuno (President), Professor Bernard Hanotiau, Professor Joaquín Morales Godoy	USD 2,229,829.61 (including arbitration costs, as the award does not have bifurcation) (para. 514)	USD 5,238,568.81 (including arbitration costs, as the award does not have bifurcation) (para. 514)	N/A	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 519).	The tribunal decides (para. 519): 1) Claimant shall pay her own costs and fees and the costs of ICSID and the fees and expenses of the arbitrators. 2) The Republic of Peru shall bear its own costs and expenses.	7 (paras 511-517)
25-Mar-07	<a href="#">20-Jul-12</a>	Renta 4 S.V.S.A., Ahorro Corporación Emergente F.I., Ahorro Corporación Eurofondo F.I., Rovime Inversiones SICAV S.A., Casar de Valores SICAV S.A., Orgor de Valores SICAV S.A., GBI 9000 SICAV S.A. v. Russian Federation, SCC No. 242007	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8213104736	USD 2,625,810 in compensation to the four Claimants (para 187).  n.b. Party Costs appear unusually high for such a small claim (third party funded, with a multitude of other claimants "waiting in the wings").	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Charles N. Brower, Toby T. Landau, Jan Paulsson	USD 14,572,671.52 in claim for costs (para 220).  n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	USD 9,412,260.73 in claim for costs (para 220)  n.b. May include Tribunal Costs.	EUR 917,529 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 60,000 in SCC administrative fee, i.e. USD 1,190,206 (para 225).	Claimant win. Respondent to pay a total of USD 2,026,480 to four Claimants plus 6.434% interest compounded annually from 23 November 2007 until date of payment (paras 218 + 227).	EUR 837,655 of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 1,019,901, allocated to Claimants and EUR 139,874 of arbitration costs, i.e. USD 170,306, to the Respondent (para 225).  No award made in respect of Party Costs.	N/A
24-Mar-97	<a href="#">01-Nov-99</a>	Robert Azinian, Kenneth Davitian & Ellen Baca v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/97/2	N/R	USD 19,203,000 (being highest of alternatives submitted by Claimant) in respect of the enterprise and USD 360,000 for out of pocket expenses, i.e. USD 22,803,000 plus such additional amount as shall be fixed by the Tribunal to compensate for the loss of the chance or opportunity of making a commercial success of the project, plus interest at 10% per annum on all amounts awarded (para 75).  Claimant acknowledged as offset an amount of USD 500,000 from partial sale of assets, effectively reducing total claim to USD 22,303,000 (page 22).	ICSID	3. Jan Paulsson (President), Claus von Wobeser, Benjamin R. Civiletti	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim failed entirely on merits, as the Respondent's annulment of the contract did not violate its NAFTA obligations (para 124).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses and amounts paid to ICSID allocated equally (para 127).	3 of 128
29-Mar-06	<a href="#">26-Nov-09</a>	Romak S.A. v. Republic of Uzbekistan, PCA Case No. AA280	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6673331213	GAFTA tribunal awarded Claimant USD 10,510,629.12, plus interest, in damages for Respondent's breach of its obligation to pay for wheat delivered (para 58).  n.b. It is assumed that this amount of previous award was the subject of the Claimant's present claim before PCA.	UNCITRAL	3. Mr. Fernando Mantilla-Serrano (Chairman), Mr. Noah Rubins, Mr. Nicolas Mofessis	N/A	N/A	EUR 278,267.17 in Tribunal fees and expenses plus EUR 15,195.10 in fees and expenses of PCA acting as registrar, i.e. USD 439,754 (para 246).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have an "investment" under the BIT (paras 242-243).	Parties shall bear the arbitration costs in equal shares. Each Party shall bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 252).	9 of 252
19-Aug-99	<a href="#">03-Sep-01</a>	Ronald S. Lauder v. Czech Republic, UNCITRAL	N/R	N/A	UNCITRAL	3. Lloyd Cutler, Robert Briner (Chairman), Bohuslav Klein	N/A	N/A	USD 501,370.20 in arbitrators' fees and travel and other expenses (para 316).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits: although the Respondent had committed an arbitrary and discriminatory breach of the BIT, claims for damages failed on causation (para 235). In relation to all other claims, Respondent held not to have breached the BIT.	Each Party to pay one half of Tribunal fees and expenses, and one half of the direct costs involved in the London hearings including room hire and cost of court reporters. Each Party to bear its own costs for legal representation and assistance (para 319).	5 of 319
28-Oct-05	<a href="#">12-Sep-10</a>	RosInvestCo UK Ltd. v. Russian Federation, SCC Case No. V079/2005	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7864726754	Claimed in Statement of Claim: USD 276,100,000 or alternatively USD 220,400,000, plus compound interest at normal commercial rate as of 31 July 2008 or 15 August 2007 respectively (para 45).  Claimed in Reply: USD 232,700,000 or alternatively the value that investment would have had at date of award absent Respondent's unlawful expropriations of Yukos' assets, plus compound interest at normal commercial rate from date of valuation (para 46).  Claimed in First Post Hearing Brief and repeated in Second Post Hearing Brief: USD 232,700,000, or alternatively the value that investment would have had as at the date of the award, plus semi-annually compounded interest at LIBOR plus 4% from date of valuation (paras 47-48).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Prof. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), The Right Honourable The Lord Steyn, Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC	USD 13,398,764.82 in legal fees and other expenses (para 694).	USD 4,046,469.86 in attorneys' fees and expenses and disbursements including expert fees (para 697).	EUR 966,814 in fees and expenses of arbitrators; EUR 48,238 in SCC administrative fee, EUR 12,651 in fees and costs of Administrative Secretary, plus possible VAT on administrative fee; i.e. total of USD 1,306,724 (para 702).  n.b. Excludes possible VAT on administrative fee.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay principal amount of USD 3,500,000 plus actual LIBOR rates from 24 March 2007 until date of payment (para 692).	Each Party to bear its own costs of legal representation. Arbitration costs to be borne in equal shares (para 701).	10 of 702
28-Jan-07	<a href="#">11-Jul-11</a>	RSM Production Corporation v Central African Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/2)	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Azzedine Kettani (President); Philippe Merle; Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	The Tribunal requested advances totalling USD 480,000 (para. 48).	The Tribunal had already decided that the Claimant had the right to invoke the force majeure clause in its decision on jurisdiction and liability. In its award, the Tribunal ordered the Respondent to pay USD 27,752 to the Claimant. (page 10)	The Tribunal decided (para. 51): (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras. 48-51)
03-Jul-06	<a href="#">13-Mar-09</a>	RSM Production Corporation v. Grenada, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/14	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7159643242	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr V.V. Veeder (President), Prof. Bernard Audit, Dr David S. Berry	USD 1,881,316.66 (as quantified by Claimant), comprising of GBP 706,235.03 for Dewey LeBoeuf plus EUR 148,481.08 for Grand Auzas & Associates plus USD 259,850 in miscellaneous expenses including witnesses (para 484).	GBP 896,728.14 in fees for DLA Piper, Freshfields and Lord Mustill, and miscellaneous expenses including witnesses, i.e. USD 1,252,476 (para 486).	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits; there was no breach by the Respondent because its contractual obligations to the Claimant had lapsed on 28 March 2004. Respondent's counterclaims also dismissed (paras 502-504).	Each party to bear its own costs and 50% of Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID charges (para 498-499).	19 of 499
20-Jul-05	<a href="#">29-Jul-08</a>	Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri A.S. v. Republic of Kazakhstan, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16	N/R	USD 227,000,000 adopting the DCF method of valuation of Claimants' 60% shareholding as at 30 October 2003 (para 799).  Tribunal took this valuation as starting point for calculation of damages (para 813).	ICSID	3. Bernard Hanotiau (President), Stewart Boyd, Marc Lalonde	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 125,000,000 plus compound interest at 6-month average LIBOR rate plus 2% per year compounded semi-annually from 30 October 2003 until date of payment (paras 814 + 818).	Respondent to pay 50% of Claimant's legal fees and costs, excluding the arbitration costs (lodging fee and ICSID advances). Each party to bear 50% of the arbitration costs (ICSID advances) (para 819).	1 of 819
17-Jul-12	<a href="#">22-Aug-16</a>	Rusoro Mining Limited v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/5)	N/R	USD 2,318,898,825 (para. 196)	ICSID	3. Juan Fernandez-Armesto (President); Francisco Orrego Vicuna; Bruno Simma.	USD 8,670,914.43 (para. 860)	USD 15,063,559.26 (para. 862)	Not clear but USD 1,170,000 advanced in total by the parties (para. 860, footnote 689)	Respondent found liable and ordered to pay the Claimant USD 966,500,000 (para. 904(5))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 3,302,500 (para. 878)  (comprising USD 877,500 of Tribunal costs and USD 2,425,000 in legal costs).	23 (paras. 856-878)
04-Mar-99	<a href="#">30-Dec-02</a>	S.D. Myers Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = CAD 1.5787638714	Claimed at start of second stage of proceedings: between USD 70,921,421 and USD 80,002,421 (para 17 of Final Award).  Claimed in August 2001 before hearing: USD 53,000,000 (para 17 of Final Award).	UNCITRAL	3. Bryan P. Schwartz, Edward C. Chiasson QC, Prof. J Martin Hunter	CAD 3,740,964 claimed according to Claimant's itemised list of costs of representation and assistance, i.e. USD 2,369,553 (paras 37-38); though Tribunal considered that there had been an error in the Claimant's US\$ to CAN\$ conversions and that the Claimant had actually claimed CAD 3,549,863, i.e. USD 2,248,508, arrived at by deducting Claimant's claimed arbitration costs from its actual total costs claim (paras 31 + 38 of Final Award).	N/A	CAD 1,510,695 paid in total by parties in deposits to Tribunal, i.e. USD 956,885 (para 29).  n.b. Tribunal notified the parties that further payments would be necessary as the deposit would not be sufficient to cover Tribunal's unbilled fees and expenses, but these additional sums (to be shared equally between the parties) were unquantified as at the date of the Award (para 30 of Final Award).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay CAD 6,050,000 in compensation, i.e. USD 3,832,112, plus compound interest at Canadian prime rate plus 1% from date of Notice of Arbitration to date of payment (paras 301, 306-307 of Second Partial Award).	Respondent to pay CAD 500,000, i.e. USD 316,703, towards Claimant's costs of legal representation and assistance (para 49 of Final Award).  Of arbitration costs, Respondent to bear CAD 1,105,347.50 (USD 700,135) and Claimant to bear CAD 405,347.50 (USD 256,750). Respondent therefore bears an additional CAD 350,000, or USD 221,692 (para 29 of Final Award).  n.b. Dissenting opinion on apportionment of costs from Professor Bryan P. Schwartz.	N/A
13-Aug-07	<a href="#">14-Jul-10</a>	Saba Fakes v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/20	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7839613105	Preliminary assessment of damages at USD 19,000,000,000 (paras 2 + 43).	ICSID	3. Prof. Hans van Houtte, Dr. Laurent Pivoy, Prof. Emmanuel Gaillard (President)	EUR 756,156.10 in legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 964,532 (para 151).	USD 1,496,248.49 in legal fees and expenses (para 151).	USD 365,000 estimated by Tribunal as total arbitration costs (para 153).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant did not have an "investment" (paras 147 + 149).	Claimant to bear full arbitration costs of USD 365,000, resulting in a payment of USD 182,500 to Respondent in reimbursement of its ICSID costs. Claimant to pay Respondent's full legal costs of USD 1,496,248.49 (paras 153-155).	6 of 155

05-Oct-04	<a href="#">30-Jun-09</a>	Saipem S.p.A. v. People's Republic of Bangladesh, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/7	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7133063314 / BDT 68.9497569580	USD 5,883,770.80 for amount of ICC Award, plus 3.375% interest from 7 June 1993 until 9 May 2003 as set out in that award; USD 265,000 and EUR 110,995.92 for costs awarded in the ICC Award; interest on those amounts at six-month LIBOR BIT rate from 10 May 2003; USD 1,120,000 in costs and legal fees incurred in litigation in Bangladesh and Italy and maintaining the warranty bond, plus interest at six-month LIBOR BIT rate from 31 December 200; i.e. principal sums totalling USD 7,424,378 excluding interest; plus return or cancellation warranty bond in the amount of USD 869,903.50 and BDT 10,391,605 for payment of the amount of the warranty bond plus interest at LIBOR BIT rate; i.e. principal sums including warranty bond totalling <b>USD 8,444,994</b> excluding interest (para 85).  n.b. Tribunal dismissed Claim for return, cancellation or payment of the warranty bond (para 207).	ICSID	3: Prof. Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Prof. Christoph H. Schreuer, Sir Philip Otton	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,883,770.80 plus USD 265,000 plus EUR 110,995.92, i.e. <b>USD 6,304,378</b> (the equivalent of amount awarded in the ICC Award), plus interest at 3.375% per annum from 7 June 1993 (paras 202 + 216).	Each party to bear its own expenses. Costs of the proceedings including Tribunal fees and expenses and ICSID fees to be borne in equal shares by the parties (para 215).	1 of 216
12-Aug-02	<a href="#">31-Jan-06</a>	Salini Costruttori S.p.A. and Italstrade S.p.A. v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, ICSID Case No. No. ARB/02/13	N/R	USD 28,000,000 (being equivalent of JOD 20,885,424.823) plus interest and financing damages in an amount to be quantified (paras 22, 26 + 172 of Decision on Jurisdiction).	ICSID	3: H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Mr. Bernardo Cremades, Sir Ian Sinclair	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Majority of Claimants' claims dismissed on jurisdiction; remaining claims rejected on merits, as Claimants failed to prove that a binding agreement had been orally concluded between Italy and Jordan to submit the dispute to arbitration (para 100 of Award).	Each party shall bear its own expenses. Parties to bear equally the Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of the Centre (para 104 of Award).  n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Sir Ian Sinclair QC.	4 of 105	
17-Nov-03	<a href="#">22-May-14</a>	SAUR International SA v. Republic of Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/4	US\$ 1 = ARS 8.065 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7326	USD 143,900,000 (para. 80)	ICSID	3: Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (Chairman), Prof. Bernard Hanotiau, Prof. Christian Tomuschat	USD 4,056,776.52 (EUR 2,970,787.83 (USD 4,055,683.04) + USD 1,093.48) (para. 398)	USD 1,395,009.77 (ARS 8,971,504.16 (USD 1,112,399.77) + USD 282,610) (para. 400)	Not clearly set out, although parties' contributions amount to <b>USD 1,221,500</b>	Claimant win. Respondent to pay <b>USD 39,990,111</b> plus interest in compensation (para. 393).	The Tribunal decides that the Respondent shall pay to the Claimant <b>USD 2,716,500</b> :  1) Respondent shall pay Claimant's arbitration fees of USD 686,500 plus interest; and 2) Claimant's legal costs of EUR 1,486,975 (USD 2,030,000) plus interest (paras. 408 & 415).	20 (paras. 396-415)	
11-Sep-02	<a href="#">28-Sep-07</a>	Sempra Energy International v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/02/16	N/R	USD 209,380,000 in total amount claimed by investor (paras 198 + 406).	ICSID	3: Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (President), The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC QC QC, Dr. Sandra Morelli Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay <b>USD 128,250,462</b> plus interest at the 6-month successive LIBOR rate plus 2% compounded semi-annually from 1 January 2002 until date of the Award (paras 483 + 486).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and one half of the arbitration costs.	3 of 486	
30-Nov-07	<a href="#">28-Apr-11</a>	Sergei Paushok, CJSC Golden East Company and CJSC Vostokneftegaz Company v. Government of Mongolia	N/R	N/A  n.b. Damages issue was postponed to second phase of proceedings, not yet public (para 700).	UNCITRAL	3: The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC QC QC (President), Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naón, Prof. Brigitte Stern	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. All claims denied except one, which was partially accepted. Claimant entitled to damages arising out of Respondent's violation of FET standard and prohibition on expropriation under the BIT, such damages to be proven in next phase of proceedings (paras 593-597 and Section 9).	Arbitration costs to be borne equally between Claimants and Respondent. Each side to bear its own costs (para 701).	1 of 701	
19-Oct-07	<a href="#">10-Feb-12</a>	SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Republic of Paraguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29	N/R	USD 39,025,950.86 claimed in damages equal to sum of unpaid invoices, plus simple interest at US\$ 30-day LIBOR average monthly rate plus two percentage points from July 1999 amounting to USD 22,500,000 as at February 2011. I.e. principal and interest totalling <b>USD 61,525,951</b> as at February 2011 (paras 168-169).	ICSID	3: Dr. Stanimir A. Alexandrov (President), Mr. Donald Francis Donovan, Dr. Pablo Garcia Mexia	USD 1,792,605.95 in legal fees and USD 1,121,180.55 in other costs and disbursements, i.e. <b>USD 2,913,786.50</b> (para 189).	USD 696,985.20 in fees and USD 31,222.03 in costs, i.e. <b>USD 728,207.23</b> (para 190).	USD 1,347,846.56 in ICSID costs and fees (para 192 + 198).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 39,025,950.86 plus interest at USD 30-day LIBOR rate average plus one percentage point from 1 July 1999 until date of payment (para 197). According to Claimant's calculations, this would be <b>USD 61,525,951</b> as at February 2011 (para 169).	No award made as to costs, other than that the Respondent should reimburse the Claimant for its own share of the arbitration costs, so that each party bears half i.e. USD 673,923.28 (para 198).	4 of 198	
23-May-02	<a href="#">06-Feb-07</a>	Siemens A.G. v. Argentine Republic, ICSID No. ARB/02/8	N/R	USD 253,859,710 in book value of investment as at 17 May 2001; USD 124,541,000 on account of lucrum cessans; USD 44,678,462 for subcontractors' damages; USD 9,397,899 for other damages resulting from unlawful nature of expropriation measures; i.e. principal amounts totalling <b>USD 462,477,071</b> , plus compound pre-award interest at 6% from various dates on which damages caused (paras 328-330).	ICSID	3: Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda (President), Judge Charles N. Brower, Prof. Domingo Bello Janeiro	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totalling <b>USD 217,838,439</b> in compensation, plus interest compounded annually at 2.66% accruing from 18 May 2001 on the compensation for the value of the investment, from 1 January 2000 on the compensation for the unpaid bills, and from 1 January 2002 on the compensation for consequential damages, in each case until date of payment (paras 396-397, 401 + 403).  Respondent further ordered to deliver Contract performance bond to Claimant against payment of \$20 million.	Each party to bear its own costs and counsel fees. Respondent to bear 75%, and Claimant to bear 25% of the arbitrators' fees and expenses and the costs of the ICSID Secretariat (para 402).	1 of 403	
02-Sep-16	<a href="#">15-Mar-17</a>	Silverton Finance Service Inc. v Dominican Republic	N/R	N/A  Claimant withdrew its claim(s) midway through the arbitration (paras. 27, 48)	UNCITRAL	3: Bernardo M. Cremades (President); Prof. Franco Ferrari; Jose Eloy Anzola	N/A	N/A  Not clear, though the Claimant was ordered to reimburse USD 380,596.83 to the Respondent as costs of the arbitration (para. 61)	N/A  Not clear, though the total costs for the fees of the arbitrators is USD 27,000 (para. 61)	Claimant's claims dismissed in entirety (para. 61)	Claimant ordered to pay <b>USD 407,596.83</b> (para. 61) :  (i) USD 380,596.83 as costs of the arbitration to the Respondent; and  (ii) USD 27,000 as fees for the arbitrators	12 (paras. 49-60)	
30-Oct-03	<a href="#">21-Aug-07</a>	Sociedad Anónima Eduardo Vieira v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/7	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3: Claus Von Wobeser (President), Susana B. Czar de Zalkuendo, W. Michael Reisman	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 306).	Each party shall pay the half of the costs of the arbitration proceedings and their own legal costs.	N/A	
12-Nov-12	<a href="#">21-Dec-15</a>	Societe Civile Immobiliere de Gaeta v Republic of Guinea (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/36)	US\$1 = EUR 0.9155; US\$1 = CHF 0.9912	USD 91,400,000 (plus interest at LIBOR + 2%) (para. 103)	ICSID	3: Pierre Tercier (President); Horacio Grigera Naon; Laurent Levy	USD 2,216,089 (para. 291) (converted from USD 925,131 EUR 1,044,382.17 and CHF 152 plus USD 150,131)	USD 865,258.09 (para. 295) (converted from EUR 792,215.79)	USD 647,998.39 (para. 300) (USD 775,000 was advanced by the Claimant to cover this cost)	Tribunal found it had no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (page 79) (page 79)	The tribunal ordered the Claimant to pay <b>USD 1,210,550.58</b>  (1) the Claimant must pay 80% (i.e. USD 518,398.72) of the Tribunal's Costs, the Respondent must pay the remaining 20%;  (2) the Claimant must pay its own legal costs and 80% of the Respondent's legal costs - the Claimant was therefore ordered to pay the Respondent an indemnity of USD 692,151.86 (converted from EUR 633,772.63).	29 (paras. 288 to 316)	
07-Mar-12	<a href="#">21-May-14</a>	Société Industrielle des Boissons de Guinée v. Republic of Guinea, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/8	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7322	USD 120,000,000 (para. 2)	ICSID	3: Prof. Pierre Mayer (Chairman), Prof. Jean-Michel Jacquet, Alexis Mourre	USD 280,799 (para. 123)	USD 277,108.37 (EUR 201,894.75 + EUR 1,004 in disbursements) (para. 121)	N/A  USD 375,000 (incurred only by Claimant) (para. 124. Costs incurred by the Respondent unknown at the date of the award and to be invoiced later (para. 130)	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. (para. 130)	The Tribunal decides (para. 130):  1) Claimant shall pay 70% of Respondent's defense costs, i.e. EUR 142,029.12, (USD 193,975.85);  2) Claimant shall pay 70% of tribunal's costs.	10 (paras. 120-130)	
05-Nov-82	<a href="#">25-Feb-88</a>	Societe Ouest Africaine des Betons Industriels v Senegal, ICSID Case No. ARB/82/1	US\$ 1 = CFA Franc 318.02	USD 15,825,521.23 (para. 5.22) (converted from CFA 5,048,688,689)	ICSID	3: Aron Broches (President); Keba Mbaye, J.C. Schultz	N/A  Figures not disclosed in the award.	N/A  Figures not disclosed in the award.	USD 306,491.66 (page 215, para. 2)	The Tribunal found the Government of Senegal liable for breach of contract and awarded the Claimant <b>USD 2,972,673.72</b> (this figure includes interest) (page 213) (converted from CFA 958,927,006).	The Tribunal decided (para. 12.05(f)):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal fees;  (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. The Respondent was also ordered to pay interest at 10% on the reimbursements due to the Claimant for its share of the costs (interest calculated at USD 5,878.40) (page 216).  (3) the Claimant shall pay for the accountant expert appointed by the Tribunal (USD 47,341.35).	15 (para. 12.05(f) and paras. 1-14 on pages 215-216)	

24-Aug-84	<a href="#">20-May-92</a>	Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/84/3	N/R	Primary claim: USD 41,000,000 in investment value of ETDC plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 125,000,000 as at 31 August 1990; USD 1,650,000 in amount of loan to ETDC, plus interest at contractual rate amounting to USD 6,931,000 as at 31 August 1990; USD 623,000 in post-cancellation costs for 1978 and 1979, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 1,874,000 as at 31 August 1990; i.e. USD 43,273,000 excluding interest or USD 177,078,000 including quantified interest (para 33).  Secondary alternative claim based on out-of-pocket expenses: USD 1,650,000 in amount of loan to ETDC, plus interest at contractual rate amounting to USD 6,931,000; USD 408,000 in further monies lent at no interest; USD 1,310,000 in capital invested, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 4,303,000; USD 2,254,000 in pre-cancellation development costs, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 7,404,000; USD 623,000 in post-cancellation costs for 1978 and 1979, plus 12.6% interest compounded annually amounting to USD 1,874,000; i.e. USD 6,245,000 excluding interest or USD 26,757,000 including interest (para 33).  n.b. Both primary and secondary claims included USD 5,108,000 for post-cancellation legal, audit and arbitration costs from 1980 to 1990. The Tribunal did not distinguish between "costs" and "damages", but allocated USD 5,092,000 of that amount claimed to the current proceedings, which figure has been used in Column G. The remaining sum (excluded from calculations above) is USD 16,000.	ICSID	3. Dr. Eduardo Jimenez de Arechaga (President), Dr. Mohammed Amin El Mahd, Robert F Petrowski Jr. Esq.	Claimants sought reimbursement of USD 5,108,000 in post-cancellation legal, audit and arbitration costs from 1980 to 1990 (para 205).  At Tribunal's request, they submitted a detailed list showing that USD 4,242,000 had been incurred in fees and expenses for ICSID proceedings, with further fees and expenses of USD 1,701,000 incurred in the ICC arbitration and related court proceedings. Tribunal estimated that half of the latter figure was spent on work utilised directly in the ICSID proceedings, and concluded that Claimants' total costs for legal and accounting work relevant or useful to the ICSID proceedings was USD 5,092,000 (paras 210-211).	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totalling USD 22,568,000 in compensation, inclusive of pre-award interest and adjustments for monetary devaluation (para 257).	Respondent shall pay USD 5,093,000 to the Claimant for its legal, audit and arbitral costs.  n.b. These costs were included amongst the sums awarded in the Dispositif as damages.	7 of 257
13-May-04	<a href="#">07-Dec-11</a>	Spyridon Roussalis v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/1	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7470340152	Two alternative amounts claimed depending on contingent events:  In the event of loss of shares resulting from Romanian litigation, USD 85,252,032.34 claimed in compensation for expropriation of pro rata ownership interest, plus USD 25,000,000 for moral damages, i.e. USD 110,252,032.34 (paras 167 + 290).  In the event that Romanian litigation is suspended, USD 25,000,000 claimed in moral damages (paras 166 + 290).	ICSID	3. Andrea Giardina, Michael Reisman, Bernard Hanotiau (President)	N/A	EUR 10,089,072.98 in legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 13,505,507 (para 882).	USD 362,150 in estimated expended portion of Respondent's advance on costs (para 882).  n.b. There is no indication that the Claimant made any advances to ICSID.	Respondent win. Claims dismissed at merits stage as unfounded; Respondent's actions, even taken collectively, did not amount to violations of BIT (para 746). Respondent's counterclaim also dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 876).	Claimant to pay EUR 6,053,443.78 representing 60% of Respondent's legal fees and expenses, i.e. USD 8,103,304. Claimant to pay USD 217,290 representing 60% of estimated expended portion of Respondent's advance on arbitration costs (para 822).	5 of 882
17-Sep-10	<a href="#">18-Jul-16</a>	ST-AD GmbH v. Republic of Bulgaria, UNCITRAL, PCA Case No. 2011-06	US\$1 = EUR0.9028	N/A	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	Brigitte Stern (President); Bohuslav Klein, Christopher Thomas QC	USD 1,101,037.28 (converted from EUR 994,016.46 (para. 256)).	USD 1,245,773.54 (converted from EUR 1,124,384.35 (para. 253 and 431)).	N/A (unclear - Respondent's contribution was USD 175,000).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 431).	The Tribunal decides:  (1) the Claimant shall pay USD 1,245,773.54 (converted from EUR 1,124,384.35) as the Respondent's legal fees and expenses; and  (2) USD 193,841.38 (converted from EUR 175,000) as the Respondent's contribution to the cost of proceedings).	7 of 431 (paras 424-430)
15-Sep-10	<a href="#">12-Sep-16</a>	Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited v Tanzania Electric Supply Company Limited (TANESCO) (ICSID Case No. ARB/10/20)	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.7511 US\$ 1 = CHF 0.9735 US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8904	USD 214,600,000 (para. 250)	ICSID	3. Donald McRae (President); Zachary Douglas QC; Brigitte Stern	USD 4,718,815.43 (para. 402)	USD 16,724,417.92 (para. 403)	USD 1,133,516.43 (para. 412)	The Tribunal accepted jurisdiction and ordered the Respondent to pay the Claimant USD 148,400,000 (para. 414)	The Tribunal decided (para. 414(F)).  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;  (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	13 (paras. 401-413)
07-May-10	<a href="#">02-Nov-12</a>	Standard Chartered Bank v. United Republic of Tanzania, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/12	N/R	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 118,609,392.31 for value of Claimant's interest as at 30 April 2010 inclusive of interest and costs (paras 61 + 194).  Claimed in Reply Post-Hearing Brief: award dismissing Respondent's jurisdictional objections and order directing that arbitration proceed to hearing on the merits (para 195).	ICSID	3. Prof. William W. Park (President), Mr. Barton Lagum, Prof. Michael C. Pyles	USD 1,820,164.95 in Claimant's costs (para 12).	USD 8,606,316.25 estimated in counsel and expert costs (para 192).	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 277).	Each party to bear its own legal expenses including fees for attorneys and experts. Arbitration costs including arbitrators' fees and administrative expenses of Centre to be divided on an equal basis (para 276).	2 of 279
30-Oct-13	<a href="#">30-Jun-14</a>	Stans Energy v Kyrgyz Republic, A-2013/29	N/R	USD 117,853,000 (p. 1)	Moscow Chamber of Commerce and Industry (MCCI)	3. M.Z. Pak (President); NG Vilkoa, and LG Balayan	USD 308,142.50 (p. 96)	N/A Not mentioned.	USD 159,128 (first paragraph of p. 14)	Claimant wins in the amount of USD 117,738,940.30 (p. 98)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 467,117.74 (p. 98)  This amount comprises:  (1) USD 158,975.24 to reimburse the Claimant's payment for the Tribunal costs;  (2) USD 308,142.50 to reimburse the Claimant's legal costs.	8 (see pp. 96-97)
20-Dec-12	<a href="#">29-Jan-15</a>	State Enterprise "Energoynok" (Ukraine) v The Republic of Moldova, SCC Arbitration V (2012/175)	1 US\$ = EUR 0.8842 1 US\$ = SEK 8.2664	USD 1,745,754.84 + compound interest on this amount from 20 January 2003 (para. 54(b), 104)	SCC rules	3. Nancy B. Turck (Chairman), Rolf Knieper, Joseph Tirado	N/A Not mentioned.	N/A Not mentioned.	USD 178,193.74 (para. 117(b))  (= USD 152,461 (converted from EUR 134,806.89) + USD 25,732.74 (converted from SEK 212,717.13))	Claimant loses on jurisdiction (para. 117(a)).	The Tribunal decides (para. 117):  (1) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs;  (2) each party shall pay their own legal costs.	8 (paras. 109-116)
2003	<a href="#">09-Apr-15</a>	Suez, and Vivendi Universal v Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19)	N/R	USD 834,100,000 (USD 868,000,000 - USD 34,100,000) (para. 21)  (all amounts in dispute except for AWG's which are dealt in a separate award).	ICSID (see para. 106)	3. Jeswald W. Salacuse (President), Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Pedro Nikken	USD 20,732,568.74 (para. 114)  [This figure is for total expenses. No breakdown is provided vis. arbitration costs / legal costs]	Total amount (including the amount spent in the AWG case) = USD 2,651,444.90 (para. 21)	N/A Not mentioned	Claimants awarded USD 383,581,241 (para. 105)	The Tribunal decides: (para. 117):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs;  (2) the Claimants and Respondent shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras 114 - 117)
21-Dec-11	<a href="#">18-Jan-17</a>	Supervision y Control, S.A. v Costa Rica (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/4)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9363	USD 279,397,628.97 (para. 118)	ICSID	3. Claus von Wobeser (President); Joseph P. Klock Jr.; Eduardo Silva Romero.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Jurisdiction accepted but rejected all of Claimant's claims due to inadmissibility (para. 358).	The Tribunal decides (para. 358):  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; and  (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	4 (paras. 354-357)
24-Mar-99	<a href="#">23-Oct-00</a>	Swemab AB, Sweden v. Republic of Latvia, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = SEK 8.8573959260	USD 2,250,000 claimed in compensation for loss of ship (para 39), plus USD 158,258 in compensation for loss of furnishings and equipment (para 41), plus USD 400,000 in compensation for loss of income, i.e. USD 2,806,258 in total (para 42).	UNCITRAL	3. Allan Philip, Kaj Hober, Gustaf Moller	SEK 656,250 in duties including VAT, i.e. USD 64,837 (para 10).	N/A	DKK 662,266.45 in arbitrators' fees and expenses, i.e. USD 74,770 (Section VII).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 2,506,258 in compensation for loss of ship, plus interest at 10% per year from 9 April 1999 until date of payment (para 47 + Section VII).	Respondent to pay USD 1,345 plus SEK 1,406,250 (including 25% VAT), i.e. USD 140,282, in compensation for Claimant's duties and payments to solicitors, including duties and costs of Tribunal (para 49).	9 of 50
09-Jul-09	<a href="#">06-Jul-12</a>	Swisslion DOO Skopje v. Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/16	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8139439335	EUR 19,013,000 in compensation, i.e. USD 23,359,103, plus compound interest at 13.3% from 2 November 2010 to date of payment (para 73).	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Mr. Daniel M. Price, Mr. J. Christopher Thomas QC	EUR 1,687,404 in costs of legal representation, i.e. USD 2,073,121 (para 352).	USD 3,675,211.23 including advance ICSID fees and expenses (para 353).  n.b. Subtracting an assumed sum of USD 250,000, this would make Respondent's costs USD 3,425,211.23	USD 250,000 in advance fees and USD 25,000 in lodging fees advanced to ICSID by Claimant (para 352).  n.b. It is clear that the Respondent made an advance to ICSID but this was not quantified. It has therefore been assumed that it also made a USD 250,000 advance, bringing total ICSID advances to USD 525,000. This sum has correspondingly been deducted from the Respondent's Party Costs in Column I.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay compensation of EUR 350,000, i.e. USD 430,005, plus interest compounded semi-annually at LIBOR rate from 30 March 2007 until date of payment (paras 350 + 359).	Respondent to pay EUR 350,000 towards Claimant's costs of legal representation, i.e. USD 430,005. Otherwise, each party to bear its own costs and to share equally the arbitrators' fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre (para 357).	9 of 360
28-Jul-00	<a href="#">29-May-03</a>	Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed S.A. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2	N/R	USD 52,000,000 in damages as at 25 November 1998 (date of expropriation), plus interest (para 184).	ICSID	3. Dr. Horacio A. Grigera Naon (President), Prof. José Carlos Fernández Rozas, Mr. Carlos Bernal Vera	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 5,533,017.12 to the Claimant plus compound interest at annual rate of 6% from 25 November 1998 until date of payment (paras 195 + 197).	Each party to bear its own costs, expenses and legal counsel fees. Costs incurred by Tribunal and ICSID to be shared equally between parties (para 200).	1 of 201
20-Oct-10	<a href="#">19-Dec-13</a>	Teco Guatemala Holdings LLC v. The Republic of Guatemala, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/17	N/R	USD 243,600,000 (para. 434(3))	ICSID	3. Alexis Mourre, Prof. William W. Park, Dr. Claus von Wobeser	USD 9,277,593.86  (USD 6,327,903.29 + USD 2,932,690.33) + USD 17,087.24 (para. 773)	USD 4,525,047.43 (USD 4,370,638.10 + USD 154,409.33) (para. 774)	Not clear but USD 1,475,000 is the total costs advanced by the parties (para. 773-774)	Respondent to pay USD 21,100,552 as damages plus interest (para. 780)	The Tribunal orders the Respondent to pay USD 8,995,695.39 (para. 780):  1) The Respondent to pay the costs of the arbitration (1,475,000, on the basis of the amounts advanced by the parties);  2) The Respondent to reimburse 75 percent of the legal costs of the Claimant, i.e. USD 7,520,695.39	11 (paras 769-779)
16-Dec-03	<a href="#">13-Sep-06</a>	Telenor Mobile Communications A.S. v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID No. ARB/04/15	N/R	N/A  n.b. Damages were not fully addressed by Claimant. In Memorial it stated that there were preliminary indications by Deloitte that direct damage suffered was USD 67-152,000,000 (para 42).	ICSID	3. Prof. Sir Roy Goode CBE QC (President), Mr. Nicholas W. Allard, Mr. Arthur L. Marriott QC	N/A	USD 1,252,892.77 in counsel fees and expenses and travel costs for hearings (Schedule).	USD 300,000 in ICSID costs, including Tribunal fees and expenses (Schedule).	Respondent win. Claim failed for lack of jurisdiction, as Claimant failed to adduce a prima facie case of expropriation (para 102).	Claimant to bear all costs and reimburse Respondent for its share of ICSID fees and expenses, being USD 150,000, and for its own costs, being USD 1,252,892.77 (para 108).	5 of 108
24-Aug-11	<a href="#">29-Jan-16</a>	Tenaris S.A. and Talta - Trading e Marketing Sociedade Unipessoal Lda. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/26)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9231	USD 798,100,000  (USD 299,300,000 in principal, USD 499,800,000 in pre-award interest) (para. 99)	ICSID	3. John Beechey (Chairman), Judd Kessler, Toby Landau	USD 7,257,618.27 (para. 597)  Breakdown: USD 7,046,727.39 (USD 7.9m - USD 925,000 in Tribunal fees) + USD H52210,890.88 (converted from EUR 194,673.37) (see para. 597)	USD 6,870,028.82 (para. 604)	N/A Not clear - Claimant advanced USD 925,000, but Respondent did not pay third advance (para. 624)	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 172,801,213.7 (plus interest) (para. 625)  Breakdown: USD 87,300,000 principal + USD 85,501,213.7 interest + interest at 9% p.a. compounded at 6-monthly intervals	The Tribunal decides (para. 625):  (1) the parties shall each pay 50% of the Tribunal's costs;  (2) no other order for costs made.	29 (paras 596-624)

21-Aug-12	<a href="#">12-Dec-16</a>	Teneris S.A. and TALTA v Venezuela (II) (ICSID No ARB/12/23)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR0.9430</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = COP2983.8698</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = ARS16.0841</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = MXN20.2464</a>	USD 243,700,000 (para. 47(c))	ICSID	3. Juan Fernandez-Armesto (President), Enrique Gomez Pinzon, Brigitte Stern	USD 6,001,275.91 (para. 832)	USD 7,974,674 (para. 835)	USD 1,050,000 advanced in total, not clear how much actually incurred by the Tribunal (para. 832)	The Tribunal found the Respondent to have breached the BIT and ordered it to pay USD 137,017,887 (para. 892)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants USD 3,290,000 (para. 890). This comprises USD 1,050,000 (Tribunal costs) and USD 2,240,000 (legal costs).	27 (paras. 830-856)
29-Dec-10	<a href="#">09-Nov-16</a>	The Renco Group Inc. v Peru (UNCTAD/13/1)	N/A	N/A Not clear from the award but UNCTAD states the Claimant claimed USD 800,000,000. <a href="#">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/417</a>	UNCITRAL	3. Michael Moser (President); Yves Fortier QC; Toby Landau QC	USD 4,585,421.66 (para. 22)	USD 8,392,778.62 (para. 28)	USD 673,308.42 (para. 52)	The Claimant's claims are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para. 9(f))	The Tribunal decides (para. 56): (1) each party shall pay their own legal costs; and (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	56 (1-56 - it is a costs award)
14-Dec-05	<a href="#">06-May-13</a>	The Rompetrol Group n.v. v. Romania, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/3	N/R	USD 139,385,084 plus interest (para. 57)	ICSID	3. Franklin Berman, (President), Donald Francis Donovan, Marc Lalonde PC.	USD 9,000,000 (para. 296) Not clear from the Award whether this includes tribunal's costs	USD 10,750,000 (para. 296) Not clear from the Award whether this includes tribunal's costs	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant's claims are admissible but they failed to show any economic loss or damage suffered (para. 299)	The Tribunal decides (para. 299): (1) The costs of the Arbitration to be shared equally between the Parties; and (2) Parties to bear their own costs.	4 (paras. 295-298)
16-Feb-10	<a href="#">13-Mar-15</a>	Tidewater Inc. and others v. Venezuela (ICSID Case No ARB/10/5)	N/R	Up to USD 234,000,000 (para. 53) The Claimants provided a range of valuations, the highest being USD 234,000,000 (para. 53)	ICSID	3. Campbell McLachlan QC (President), Dr. Andrés Rigo Sureda, Professor Brigitte Stern.	USD 7,712,100.64 (para. 211)	USD 9,000,417 (para. 211)	USD 900,000 advanced in total, unclear how much actually incurred (para. 211)	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 46,400,000 (para. 217(3))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 2,500,000 (para. 216) for its legal costs. Each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs. (para. 217(5))	7 (paras 210 - 216)
16-Aug-02	<a href="#">26-Jul-07</a>	Tokios Tokelés v. Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18	N/R	Nearly USD 65,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs (para 4).	ICSID	3. Lord Mustill (President), Prof. Piero Bernardini, Mr. Daniel M. Price	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits, as majority of Tribunal found that no treaty breach had been committed by the Respondent (para 145).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses, and to contribute on half of the arbitration costs (para 146).	1 of 147
31-Oct-03	<a href="#">27-Nov-13</a>	Total S.A. v. The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/04/01)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7372</a>	USD 557,200,000 (para. 250) (if including interest then claim becomes USD 1,002,200,000 (para. 250))	ICSID	3. Professor Giorgio Sacerdoti (President), Henri Alvarez, Luis Herrera Marciano	USD 24,924,835.81 (para. 271) (arrived from USD 17,567,254.38 (conversion of EUR 12,950,579.93) + USD 7,357,581.03)	USD 2,434,243.49 (para. 272)	N/A Not disclosed.	USD 269,928,000 to be paid by Respondent (para. 281).	The Tribunal decides (para. 280): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	12 (paras. 269-280)
12-Apr-07	<a href="#">07-Jun-12</a>	Toto Costruzioni Generali S.p.A. v. Republic of Lebanon, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/12	N/R	USD 10,694,000 for additional costs; USD 545,590 for additional costs; USD 5,980,000 for loss of opportunities; USD 4,010,877 for moral damages; i.e. principal amounts totalling USD 21,230,467, plus interest on payments received after due date amounting to USD 538,000, plus compound interest on amounts claimed amounting to USD 11,769,590, i.e. USD 33,538,057 in total (para 7).  n.b. Not clear from the wording whether the latter two "interest" amounts represent the principal on which interest should be paid, or the amount of interest itself. The latter has been assumed.	ICSID	3. Prof. Hans van Houthe (President), Judge Stephen M. Schwebel, Mr. Fadi Moghaziel	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent held not to have breached its obligations under the BIT (para 259).	Parties to bear arbitration costs in equal shares. Each party to bear its own legal costs and fees (para 260).	5 of 261
02-Nov-94	<a href="#">29-Apr-99</a>	Tradex Hellas S.A. v. Republic of Albania, ICSID Case No. ARB/94/2	N/R	USD 1,847,813 for net fair market value of Tradex share, plus interest of USD 824,013, i.e. principal and interest totalling USD 2,671,826 (para 50).	ICSID	3. Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Böckstiegel (President), Mr. Fred F. Fielding Esq., Prof. Andrea Giardina	USD 328,248 in legal, valuation and other consulting fees and services, and in document production expenses (para 50). n.b. Claimant also paid further USD 107,000 in "arbitration fees" (para 50).	N/A	N/A n.b. Claimant paid USD 107,000 in "arbitration fees" (para 50). As it is not clear whether the Respondent also made an advance, the figure has been excluded from our calculations.	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on merits as Claimant unable to prove that expropriation had occurred or to shift the burden of proof to the Respondent (para 204).	Each party to bear its own expenses and costs of legal representation. Arbitration costs to be borne by parties equally in shares of 50% (para 207).	2 of 208
10-Oct-13	<a href="#">02-Jun-16</a>	Transglobal Green Energy, LLC and Transglobal Green Energy de Panama, S.A. v Panama (ICSID Case No. ARB/13/28)	N/A	N/A Not disclosed in the award (or on UNCTAD)	ICSID	3. Dr. Andres Rigo Sureda (President), Prof. Christoph Schreuer, Prof. Jan Paulsson	USD 802,587.68 (para. 120)	USD 2,393,355.05 (para. 120)	USD 400,000 advanced in total (split between the parties), but actual costs not specified: see para. 28).	Tribunal decides it has no jurisdiction to hear the claim. (para. 118)	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,609,532.70 including up to USD 400,000 in Tribunal costs). The Tribunal decides (paras. 127-130): (1) the Claimant shall pay all of the Tribunal's costs (USD 400,000 advanced); (2) the Claimant shall pay the Respondent's legal costs except for those relating to the interim applications for summary dismissal, cost-shifting and security for costs. In total, the Claimant shall pay USD 2,209,532.70, plus interest compounded interest at LIBOR + 2%. (3) the Claimants shall pay post-award interest at LIBOR + 2% compounded annually. N31	10 (paras. 120-129)
20-Dec-04	<a href="#">19-Dec-08</a>	TSA Spectrum de Argentina S.A. v. Argentina Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/5	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Judge Hans Danelius (President), Prof. Georges Abi-Saab, Mr. Grant D. Aldonas	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 176).	Each party to bear its own costs and expenses and pay one half of the fees and expenses of Tribunal and Centre (para 180).	4 of 180
11-Oct-11	<a href="#">10-Mar-14</a>	Tulip Real Estate and Development Netherlands B.V. v. Republic of Turkey, ICSID Case No. ARB/11/28	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.72077</a>	N/A Claims not quantified in the Award as the proceedings were bifurcated. Appears that the compensation claimed by the tribunal was USD 350,000,000 ( <a href="#">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/Details/414</a> )	ICSID	3. Dr. Gavan Griffith QC (President), Mr Michael Evan Jaffe, Professor Dr Rolf Knieper	USD 9,874,653.52 (USD 9,368,621.48 + USD 506,032.04 in connection with the Bifurcated Jurisdictional Issue) (paras 459-460)	USD 4,724,164.55 (USD 2,194,884 and EUR 1,605,082.00 + USD 300,000) (paras 461-462)	USD 1,024,847.86 (This reflects the amounts advanced by the parties. ICSID Secretariat asked to circulate detail on costs) (paras 459, 461)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (page 138).	Claimant to pay Respondent USD 750,000 (page 138): 1) USD 450,000 on account of the arbitration costs incurred the Respondent; and 2) USD 300,000 on account of legal costs of the Respondent.	12 (paras 458-469)
12-Feb-07	<a href="#">07-Jul-11</a>	Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/6	N/R	Approximately USD 25,000,000 (para 85).	ICSID	3. Judd Kessler (President), Hernando Otero, Prof. Juan Fernandez-Armesto	N/A	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 786,306.24 in compensation for expropriation, plus interest at US Treasury Bond rates amounting to USD 227,201.30 as at date of Award, i.e. USD 1,013,507.54 in total.	Parties bear their own costs and split the costs of the arbitration equally.	10 of 302
08-May-09	<a href="#">12-Jun-12</a>	Ulysseas Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8015351313	USD 56,100,000 in damages, plus pre-award compound interest at 15% from 1 January 2008 (para 370).	UNCITRAL	3. Prof. Piero Bernardini (Presiding Arbitrator), Prof. Michael Pryles, Prof. Brigitte Stern	USD 3,590,662.32 in legal fees plus USD 1,595,26635 in disbursements and other expenses, i.e. USD 5,185,928.67 in total (para 355).	USD 6,297,557.44 including arbitrators' fees and expenses, administrative costs, costs of legal representation and assistance and of producing expert and witness evidence (para 361). n.b. Respondent and Claimant each paid advances to Tribunal of EUR 425,000, i.e. USD 530,233 (para 366).	EUR 701,746.93 in arbitrators fees and expenses and Tribunal expenses; EUR 76,353.69 in PCA fees and expenses; i.e. total of USD 970,763 (para 368).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on merits as Respondent had not breached its obligations under the BIT in relation to Claimant's investment (para 351).	Each party to pay one half of fees and expenses of Tribunal and of PCA. Claimant to reimburse Respondent's costs of legal representation and assistance of USD 2,000,000 (para 365).	18 of 372
30-Nov-01	<a href="#">24-May-07</a>	United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL Award on Jurisdiction dated 22-Nov-02; Award on the Merits dated 24-May-07	N/R	USD 160,000,000 claimed by UPS in compensation for damages for Respondent's breach of its NAFTA obligations (para 120 of Award on Jurisdiction).  n.b. It is possible that this includes costs for professional fees and disbursements, costs of arbitration, interest, and tax consequences, but as these were not quantified, it was not possible to exclude them from the figure for amount claimed.	UNCITRAL	3. Dean Ronald A. Cass, L. Yves Fortier CC QC, Justice Kenneth Keith (President)	N/A	N/A	USD 950,000 in total costs of the arbitration (para 188).	Respondent win. Claim rejected as a whole on merits, as Claimant had not demonstrated sufficient interest or any substantive ground which could begin to show breach by the Respondent (paras 187 + 189).	Parties to bear costs of proceedings equally and each to bear its own costs (para 188).	1 of 189
20-Jul-07	<a href="#">08-Dec-16</a>	Urbaser S.A. v Argentina (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/26)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9411</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = ARS 15.9958</a>	USD 316,418,672 (para. 35)	ICSID	3. Andreas Bucher (President); Pedro J. Martinez-Fragas; Campbell McLachlan QC	In total, USD 4,316,138.77 but this includes the Tribunal costs and it not clear how much these were (paras 1223-1226)	In total, USD 2,475,607.83 but this includes the Tribunal costs and it not clear how much these were (paras 1224 and 1227)	N/A Not clear - the amount forms part of each party's costs.	The tribunal found there to be a breach of FET but did not order the Respondent to pay compensation (para. 1234)	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,047,000 (para. 1234). This amount comprises: USD 400,000 contribution to legal costs and USD 647,000 to reimburse the Claimant for its share of the Tribunal costs for the jurisdictional phase.	12 (paras. 1222-1233)
11-Jun-92	<a href="#">16-Feb-94</a>	Vacuum Salt Products Limited v. Government of the Republic of Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/92/1	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Sir Robert Y. Jennings (President), Charles N. Brower, Dr. Kamal Hossain	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 55).	Each party to bear its own expenses. Tribunal fees and expenses and charges for use of Centre facilities to be paid by parties in equal shares (para 58).	4 of 60
02-Aug-11	<a href="#">24-Oct-14</a>	Valeri Belokon v Kyrgyz Republic	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7889</a>	USD 33,000,000 (para. 277)	UNCITRAL	3. Kaj Hober; Niels Schiersing; Jan Paulsson (President)	USD 2,482,482 (converted from EUR 1,958,430.08) (para. 333)	USD 750,000 (para. 181(c))	Not clear (each party advanced EUR 250,000 = USD 633,793.89 total (para. 330)).	Claimant wins on merits in the amount of USD 15,020,000 (para. 335(C)).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 1,546,457.09 (para. 335)  This amount comprises: (1) USD 1,229,560.15 to pay for Claimant's legal costs; (2) USD 316,896.94 to reimburse the Claimant's share of the Tribunal costs.	7 (paras 328 - 334)
09-Jul-04	<a href="#">16-Jan-13</a>	Vannessa Ventures Ltd. v. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/04/6	N/R	USD 1,045,000,000 plus compound interest (para. 105)	ICSID	3. Professor Vaughan Lowe QC (President), Hon. Charles N. Brower, Professor Brigitte Stern	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	N/A Not disclosed	Claimant's claims dismissed on the merits (para. 237)	The Tribunal decides (para. 237): 1) Each Party to bear its own costs; and 2) Each party to pay one-half of the costs of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 234-236)

06-Sep-07	<a href="#">09-Oct-14</a>	Venezuela Holdings v Venezuela (formerly Mobil v. Venezuela) (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/27)	N/R	USD 14,679,000,000 (paras. 133-134)	ICSID	3. Gilbert Guillaume (President), Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Ahmed Sadek El-Koshery	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimants win on merits in the amount of USD 1,600,042,482 (paras 404 (c), (d), (f)).	The Tribunal decides (para. 403)  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras 401-403)
23-Jul-12	<a href="#">03-Apr-15</a>	Venoklim Holding B.V. v Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/12/22)	N/R	N/A Not stated in the award.	ICSID	3. Yves Derains (Chairman), Enrique Gómez Pinzón and Rodrigo Orenamuno Blanco.	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	N/A Not stated in the award.	The Tribunal decided that it lacked jurisdiction with respect to the dispute. (para. 165)	The parties shall bear its own costs and pay half of the fees and expenses of the Tribunal and the cost attributable to the use of the services of the Centre. Given that the Claimant had paid all the advances, the Respondent shall reimburse half of the costs to the Claimant (para. 164 and 165 (2) and (3))	5 (paras. 161-164 and 165 (2) and (3))
14-Mar-06	<a href="#">15-Apr-16</a>	Vestey Group Ltd. v Venezuela (ICSID Case No. ARB/06/4)	US\$ 1 = GBP0.7036	USD 157,363,348 (para. 357)	ICSID	3. Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (President), Professor Horacio Grigera Naon, Professor Pierre-Marie Dupuy	USD 12,130,907.44 (para. 457) (USD 1,920,310.85 - USD 875,000 + USD 10,885,596.59 (converted from GBP 7,659,105.78))  Note: the figure in para. 457 includes USD 675,000 of Tribunal costs advanced by the Claimant - this is explained in para. 468.	USD 5,063,310.35 (para. 465) (USD 4,989,157.45 + USD 74,152.90)	Parties advanced USD 1,200,000 in total (para. 468).	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant USD 98,145,325 (para. 472)	Respondent ordered to pay up to USD 1,200,000 as 100% of the tribunal's costs (final tribunal costs not confirmed);  The Tribunal decides (para. 472):  (1) the Respondent shall pay 100% of the Tribunal's costs (which could amount up to USD 1,200,000); (2) each party shall pay its own legal costs.	22 (paras. 450-471)
07-Nov-97	<a href="#">13-Sep-16</a>	Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8895	Primary claim of USD 338,300,000 (para. 109)	ICSID	3. Sir Frank Berman KCMG QC (President), Mr V. V. Veeder QC, Mr Alexis Moura,	USD 5,135,437.69 (USD 1,692 + USD 5,133,745.69 (EUR 4,566,466.79)) (para. 246)	USD 3,644,887.56 (para 248)	USD 638,037.75 (para. 253)	Claimant's claims dismissed on merits (para. 256)	The Tribunal decides: (para. 255)  1) Claimant to pay USD 478,528.29 (75% as tribunal's costs and Respondent to pay USD 159,509.43 (25%); and 2) Each party to bear its own legal costs.	7 (paras 249-255)
20-Apr-98	<a href="#">08-May-08</a>	Victor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v. Republic of Chile, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.6510831468	Claimed on 02-Nov-97: USD 500,822,969 (para 6). Claimed in Memorial dated 17-Mar-99: USD 515,193,400 including lost profits and compound interest from 11 September 1973 until date of award and provisionally estimated as at 11 September 1999, plus moral damages (para 11). Claimed on 11-Sep-02: USD 397,347,674 including lost profits from 11 September 1973 until date of award and provisionally estimated as at 11 September 2002, plus moral damages (para 27).  n.b. Unclear whether the last sum included interest, but it is assumed not. The tribunal awarded interest but did not quantify it, and so the figures taken in each case (see Column K) both exclude interest and are comparable.	ICSID	3. Pierre Lalive, Mohamed Chamlou and Emmanuel Gaillard	EUR 8,835,996 plus USD 1,032,253 in costs of representation and solicitors and expert fees and excluding costs of proceedings, i.e. USD 14,603,478 (para 723).	USD 4,389,111.56 in total costs excluding the costs of proceedings (para 724).  n.b. This figure is unusually large but may be explained by the length of the proceedings.	USD 4,182,524.45 in total costs of proceedings (para 731).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 10,132,690.18 plus compound interest at 5% from 11 April 2002 until date of award (page 235).	Respondent to pay USD 2,000,000 towards the Claimants' costs and expenses. Costs of the proceedings to be borne 3/4 by Respondent (USD 3,136,893.34) and 1/4 by Claimants (USD 1,045,631.11), i.e. Respondent bears an extra 25% of USD 1,045,631 in arbitration costs (paras 730-731).	14 of 732
18-Jul-11	<a href="#">01-Oct-14</a>	Vigotop Limited v Hungary (ICSID Case No. ARB/11/22)	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7928	Up to USD 394,447,949.53 depending on valuation method used.  (converted from EUR 312.6 million, EUR 293.5 million or EUR 278.3 million (depending on the valuation methodology used)) (para. 220(f))	ICSID	3. Klaus Sachs (President), Doak Bishop, Veijo Heiskanen	USD 10,951,616 (para. 635)  (converted from total expenses of EUR 8,969,010.73 - Tribunal costs EUR 289,855.07))	USD 8,583,150 (para. 636)  (converted from total expenses of USD 8,958,150 - Tribunal costs USD 375,000))	USD 740,747.72 (paras 635-636)  sum of USD 365,747.72 (converted from EUR 289,855.07) and USD 375,000)	Claimant loses on merits (para. 640)	The Tribunal decides (para. 639)  (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	5 (paras 635-639)
08-Apr-11	<a href="#">24-Nov-15</a>	Vincent J. Ryan, Schooner Capital LLC, and Atlantic Investment Partners LLC v Poland (ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/11/3)	US\$ 1 = PLN 4.0003	Declaratory relief and damages in the sum of USD 120,186,762.49 (para. 176)  (USD 99,092,568.06 (converted from PLN 396,400,000) + USD 549,194.43 (supplemented by interest calculated from 30 April 2012 until the date of the award) + USD 545,000 + USD 20,000,000) (para. 176)	ICSID	3. Francisco Orrego Vicuna, Claus von Wobeser, Mahdoom Ali Khan (President)	USD 7,036,487.52 (para. 513)	USD 2,320,549.48 (paras 514-515)  (USD 2,270,627 + USD 49,899.66 + USD 22.82)	USD 910,014.56 (para. 512)	Respondent won on merits. Claimants not entitled to damages (para. 527(6)).	Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,636,447.18 (paras. 522-523)  This amount comprises (paras. 522-523)  (1) Claimant ordered to pay the Respondent USD 2,725,657.10.  (2) Respondent ordered to pay USD 85,209.92 to the Claimant for the costs resulting from certain requests.	15 (paras 512-526)
12-Oct-06	<a href="#">15-Sep-11</a>	Vito G. Gallo v. Government of Canada, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = CAD 0.9872071678	CAD 104,919,250 in damages for interference with enterprise's use of mining site, i.e. USD 106,278,858, plus compound interest, plus payment of compensation for tax consequences (paras 121 + 337).	UNCITRAL (NAFTA)	3. Prof. Juan Fernández-Armesto (President), Prof. Jean Gabriel Castel OC QC, Dr. Laurent Lévy	N/A	N/A	USD 801,274.18 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; USD 60,486.02 in fees of Administrative Assistant; USD 1,818.10 in tribunal costs including transcription services and courier deliveries etc.; USD 36,447.70 in PCA fees for registry services; i.e. USD 900,006 in total (paras 350-351).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 341).	Claimant to bear full costs of arbitration of USD 900,000, resulting in payment to Respondent of USD 450,000 in reimbursement of deposit (para 354). Each party to bear its own costs of legal representation and assistance (para 358).	17 of 359
04-Nov-04	<a href="#">21-Apr-06</a>	Vladimir Berschader and Moise Berschader v. Russian Federation, SCC Case No. 080/2004  Award dated 21-Apr-06; Correction of the Award dated 09-Jun-06	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8103450490 / CAD 1.1375333786 / SEK 7.5564410233	USD 13,287,147 plus interest at rate of 1/300 of re-financing rate of the Central Bank of Russian Federation per day compounded quarterly (para 12).	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Advokat Bengt Sjöval, Prof. Sergei Lebedev, Prof. Todd Weiler	N/A	N/A	EUR 194,496; SEK 48,790 and CAD 11,572 in arbitration costs, plus EUR 26,661 and SEK 2,388 in SCC Institute fees and expenses, i.e. USD 289,862 (paras 213 + 217, as corrected).	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 212).	Each Party to bear its own legal representation costs and other expenses. Arbitration costs apportioned equally (para 217).	4 of 217
26-May-05	<a href="#">01-Jun-09</a>	Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/15	N/R	USD 230,000,000 (USD 200,000,000 for the damages and at least USD 30,000,000 for moral damages - see par. 504)  Claim for loss of expropriated property using three methodologies to establish market value of Property and Project as at date of unlawful expropriation: USD 181,350,000 (Comparable Sales Valuation), USD 191,357,357 (Residual Land Valuation), or USD 195,800,000 (Lost Business Opportunity); plus additional USD 30,000,000 in damages for construction and financing costs and costs expended in domestic legal proceedings; i.e. maximum of USD 225,800,000 in total, plus compound interest (paras 504 + 519).	ICSID	3. Mr. David A. R. Williams QC, Prof. Michael Fryles, Prof. Francisco Orrego Vicuña	USD 8,046,491.72 in fees and expenses of attorneys, testifying experts and consulting experts (para 605).  n.b. This figure included USD 1,035,814.02 in LECG fees, which was discounted by the Tribunal in the costs award for being unreasonable.	USD 3,612,673.24 in fees and expenses of attorneys and experts and travel costs (para 612).	USD 365,000 paid by Claimant and USD 500,000 paid by Respondent in ICSID advances, i.e. USD 865,000 in total (paras 605 + 613).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay amounts totalling USD 74,550,794.75 in compensation, plus interest at six-month LIBOR rate compounded six-monthly from 23 May 1996 to date of payment (paras 584, 587, 593, 595 + 598).	Respondent to pay USD 6,000,000 as a reasonable contribution towards Claimants' legal costs, expert witness expenses and other expenses together with interest. Tribunal reached this sum by deducting from the total amount claimed the LECG fee and a sum reflecting approximate legal costs likely to have been incurred in briefing LECG (paras 622 + 630).  Parties to bear 50% each of Tribunal's fees and expenses and ICSID's charges (para 631).  n.b. Dissenting opinion on costs from Francisco Orrego Vicuña.	32 of 631
21-Sep-05	<a href="#">01-Jul-09</a>	Walter Bau AG (In Liquidation) v. Kingdom of Thailand, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7064016009	Claimant indicated that claim would be in the region of EUR 120,000,000, i.e. USD 169,875,040 (para 1.82).	UNCITRAL	3. The Hon. Sir Ian Barker QC (President), The Hon. Marc Lalonde PC OC QC, Jayavadh Bunnag	EUR 3,374,596 in legal costs only, i.e. USD 4,777,164 (para 15.7).  Total costs claimed including contributions to Tribunal fees and expenses and hearing costs were EUR 5,606,443, i.e. USD 7,936,623.	EUR 1,121,000 in legal costs only, i.e. USD 1,586,916 (para 15.7).  Total costs claimed including contributions to Tribunal fees and expenses and hearing costs were EUR 1,993,331, i.e. USD 2,821,810.	N/A  n.b. Difference between total costs claimed by both parties and total legal costs for both parties was EUR 3,104,178. However, this appears to include various undefined Party Costs (which were not included as "legal costs"), and has therefore been excluded from our calculations.	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay EUR 29,210,000 in damages, i.e. USD 41,350,416, plus interest at 6-month EURIBOR rate plus 2% for each year compounded semi-annually from 3 December 2006 until date of payment (paras 14.44 + 16.1).	Respondent to pay the EUR 1,806,560 towards Claimant's costs and expenses, i.e. USD 2,557,412 (paras 15.6 + 15.8).	N/A
27-Sep-00	<a href="#">30-Apr-04</a>	Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/3	N/R	USD 36,630,000 in damages including recovery of demobilisation costs (para 74).	ICSID	3. Prof. James Crawford (President), Mr. Benjamin R. Chilcote, Mr. Eduardo Magallón Gómez	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed on the merits as Respondent's conduct did not involve any breach of NAFTA Articles 1105 or 1110 (paras 140 + 178).	Each party to bear its own legal costs and expenses. Tribunal costs and expense to be borne equally (para 184).	6 of 184
08-Nov-98	<a href="#">02-Jun-00</a>	Waste Management Inc. v. United Mexican States, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/2	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Bernardo Cremades, Keith Highet, Eduardo Siqueiros	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as Claimant had breached one of the requisites of NAFTA Article 1121(2)(b) (Section IV).	Claimant to bear arbitration costs. Each party to bear costs occasioned by its own defence (Section IV).	N/A
10-Jul-98	<a href="#">08-Dec-00</a>	Wena Hotels Ltd. v. Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4  n.b. There were multiple costs decisions. Tribunal denied Claimant's claim for costs of jurisdictional phase earlier in proceeding.	US\$ 1 = GBP 0.6909649562	Claimed in Request for Arbitration: USD 62,820,000 in damages, to be quantified precisely during the proceeding (Section I of Summary Minutes of Tribunal Session on 25 May 1999).  Claimed in Post-Hearing Brief: GBP 45,700,000, i.e. USD 66,139,389, plus interest; or, in the alternative, USD 8,819,466.93 (paras 119-120).	ICSID	3. Monroe Leigh, Ibrahim Fadlallah, Hamzeh Ahmad Haddad	N/A  USD 1,107,703 in attorney's fees and costs incurred in merits phase only (para 130).	N/A	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay USD 8,061,896.55 in damages, plus interest at 9% compounded quarterly from 1 December 2000 amounting to USD 11,431,386.88, bringing total award to USD 19,493,283.43 (paras 127-130).	Respondent to reimburse Claimant USD 1,107,703 for the portion of its attorney's fees and costs incurred in the merits phase (para 130).	13 of 136
27-Jul-10	<a href="#">30-Nov-11</a>	White Industries Australia Limited v. Republic of India, UNCITRAL	US\$ 1 = AUD 0.9763403748 / GBP 0.6368812375 / INR 52.2093881699 / SGD 1.2828307338	AUD 4,085,180 in compensation of total amount of ICC Award, plus interest at 8% rate set out in Award from 24 March 1998 amounting to AUD 4,033,397.07 as at 27 July 2010; USD 84,000 payable under ICC Award for arbitrators' fees and expenses; AUD 500,000 payable under ICC Award for costs in the arbitration; and unassessed costs incurred by Claimant in pursuing Indian court proceedings, settlement negotiations and this arbitration, i.e. principal amounts totalling USD 4,780,254, plus interest quantified at USD 4,131,104 as of 27 July 2010, bringing total principal and quantified interest to USD 8,911,358 (para 4.7.2).	UNCITRAL	3. J. William Rowley QC (Chairman), The Hon. Charles N. Brower, Christopher Lau SC	AUD 923,040.75 plus USD 52,374 for Mallesons' and Luthra & Luthra legal fees and disbursements and witness fees and expenses, i.e. USD 997,775 (para 15.1.3).	INR 15,544,279 plus GBP 477,650.44 plus USD 8,394 plus SGD 535 for Fox Mandal legal fees and expenses, counsel fees, witness fees and expenses and arbitration expenses, i.e. USD 1,056,525 (para 15.1.4).	N/A	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay: AUD 4,065,180 in compensation of the total amount of the ICC Award dated 27 May 2002, plus interest at 8% from 24 March 1998 until date of payment quantified by Claimant at AUD 4,033,397.07 as of 27 July 2010; USD 84,000 payable under the ICC Award for arbitrators' fees and expenses; and AUD 500,000 payable under the ICC Award for Claimant's costs in the ICC arbitration, i.e. minimum total of USD 8,911,358 awarded (i.e. including interest on first amount up to 27 July 2010 as quantified by Claimant) (paras 3.2.33, 14.3.6 + 16.1.1).	Each party to bear its own costs, with the exception that Respondent shall pay Claimant's witness fees and expenses in the amount of AUD 86,249.82, i.e. USD 88,339 (paras 15.1.3, 15.2.5 + 16.1.1).	N/A

27-May-02	<a href="#">09-Sep-03</a>	William Nagel v. Czech Republic, SCC Case No. 049/2002	US\$ 1 = EUR 0.893823006 / SEK 8.1689132047 / GBP 0.6286745290	In previous court proceedings the Claimant sought damages of <b>USD 27,000,000</b> (para 16). n.b. This figure has been used in our calculations on the basis that it appears likely from paragraph 45 of the award that this sum was the subject of the Claimant's claim before the SCC.	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3. Mr. Hans Danelius (Chairman), Prof. J. Martin Hunter, Prof. Dr. Herbert Kronke	USD 264,440 in "timekeeper hours" plus USD 71,958 in disbursements, i.e. <b>USD 336,398</b> in total (para 340). n.b. Unclear whether this represents Claimant's total costs.	USD 706,908 in "timekeeper hours" plus USD 168,010 in disbursements, i.e. <b>USD 874,918</b> in total (para 340). n.b. It has been assumed that this does not include the USD 118,041 sum for experts (para 339).	EUR 161,404 plus SEK 37,996.52 plus GBP 648.10 in arbitrators' fees and expenses; EUR 20,936 for administrative fee of Arbitration Institute, i.e. <b>USD 209,682</b> in total (para 344).	Respondent win. Claims dismissed on jurisdiction as Claimant did not possess an asset or an investment protected under the BIT (para 335).	Claimant to reimburse 80% of Respondent's "reasonable" costs, resulting in payment of USD 400,000 to Respondent (paras 338, 342-343). Claimant to bear 90% and Respondent to bear 10% of costs due to arbitrators and Arbitration Institute (para 345).	9 of 345
28-Jan-13	<a href="#">27-Sep-16</a>	Windstream Energy LLC v Canada PCA Case No. 2013-22	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = CAD 1.3245</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.8922</a>	<b>USD 367,383,918.46</b> (primary claim - converted from CAD 486,600,000) or USD 429,218,573.05 (alternative claim - CAD 568,500,000) (para. 8)	UNCITRAL (2010 Rules)	3. Veiho Heiskanen (President); Doak Bishop; Bernardo Cremades	<b>USD 4,397,783.95</b> (converted from CAD 5,824,864.84) (para. 487) (total costs minus arbitration costs)	<b>USD 5,746,358.89</b> (converted from CAD 7,611,052.35) (para. 498) (total costs minus arbitration costs)	<b>USD 908,727.36</b> (converted from EUR 810,766.55) (para. 511)	Respondent found to have breached FET standard and ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 19,013,137.03</b> (converted from CAD 25,182,900) (para. 515(e))	Respondent ordered to pay the Claimant <b>USD 2,198,891.66</b> (converted from CAD 2,912,432) (para. 514) 1) 50% of the Claimant's legal costs. 2) Tribunal costs shared equally between the parties	28 (paras. 487-514)
23-Dec-03	<a href="#">08-Dec-08</a>	Wintershall Aktiengesellschaft v. Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/14	N/R	N/A	ICSID	3. Mr. Fall S. Nariman (President), Dr. Santiago Torres Bernárdez, Prof. Piero Bernardini	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claim dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 197).	Costs and expenses of Centre to be borne by parties in equal shares. Each party to bear its own costs incurred in the proceedings (para 198).	N/A
26-Sep-14	<a href="#">22-Feb-17</a>	WNC Factoring Limited v. The Czech Republic, PCA Case No. 2014-34	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.9474</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = CZK 25.6026</a>	<b>USD 90,000,000</b> (with several alternative claims) (para. 58)	UNCITRAL (PCA)	3. Dr Gavan Griffith QC (President); Professor Robert Volterra; Judge James Crawford	<b>USD 5,664,815.06</b> (USD 6,117,315.06 minus USD 452,500) (includes costs of the arbitration) (paras 405, 412)	<b>USD 1,403,776.12</b> (converted from CZK 35,940,599.34) (para. 409)	<b>USD 905,000</b> (paras. 412, 415)	Claimant's claims dismissed as the Tribunal held that it did not have jurisdiction (page 135)	Claimant ordered to pay to the Respondent <b>USD 1,856,276.12</b> (page 135) (1) USD 452,500 as Respondent's share of the costs of the arbitration; and (2) USD 1,403,776.12 (converted from CZK 35,940,599.34) as Respondent's legal costs and expenses.	21 (paras. 404-424)
16-Jun-00	<a href="#">04-Oct-06</a>	World Duty Free Company v Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7	N/R	<b>USD 500,000,000</b> (para. 78)	ICSID	3. H.E. Judge Gilbert Guillaume (President), Hon Andrew Rogers QC, and VV Veeder QC	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	N/A Not disclosed.	Claimant's claim dismissed on the merits. (para. 192(1))	The Tribunal decides (paras. 190-191): (1) each party shall pay its own legal costs; (2) each party shall pay 50% of the Tribunal costs.	3 (paras. 189 - 191)
29-Jun-00	<a href="#">31-Mar-03</a>	Yaung Chi Oo Trading Pte Ltd. v. Government of the Union of Myanmar, ASEAN I.D. Case No. ARB/01/1	N/R	N/A	ASEAN Arbitral Tribunal	3. Mr. James Crawford, M. Francis Delon, Mr. Sompong Sucharitkul (President)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Respondent win. Claims dismissed for lack of jurisdiction (para 87).	Each party to bear its own costs. Fees, costs and expenses of Tribunal and Secretariat to be borne equally by the parties (para 87).	1 of 87
03-Feb-05	<a href="#">18-Jul-14</a>	Yukos, Veteran and Hulley v Russia (PCA Case No. AA 226)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7399</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = GBP 0.5858</a>	In total between the three Claimants: <b>USD 114,174,000,000</b> (para. 110(3)) Hulley = USD 93,229,000,000 (para. 110(3)) Yukos = USD 4,666,000,000 Veteran = USD 16,279,000,000	UNCITRAL/PCA	3. Yves Fortier (Chairman), Charles Poncet, Stephen Schwebel	<b>USD 81,448,578.09</b> (para. 1847) (in total between all three Claimants)	<b>USD 31,500,000</b> (para. 1856)	<b>USD 11,406,946.88</b> (converted from EUR 8,440,000) (para. 1866)	Claimants win in the amount of <b>USD 50,020,867,798</b> (para. 1888(f)). Hulley = USD 39,971,834,360 Yukos = USD 1,846,000,687 Veteran = USD 8,203,032,751	The Respondent ordered to pay the Claimants <b>USD 69,190,434</b> (para. 1888) Hulley = USD 52,525,453 (USD 47,946,190 + 4,579,263) Yukos = USD 2,462,760 (USD 2,214,217 + USD 212,483) Veteran = USD 14,236,221.39 (USD 13,298,463 + USD 939,758)	58 (paras 1830-1887)
05-Jul-12	<a href="#">16-Apr-16</a>	Yuri Bogdanov and Yulia Bogdanova v Republic of Moldova (IV), SCC Case No. V091/2012	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7670</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = MDL 12.5248</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = SEK 6.6859</a>	<b>USD 165,953.03</b> (converted from MDL 2,078,528.56) (paras 137-141) MDL 2,078,528.56 (MDL 1,524,145 + MDL 554,383.56)	SCC	1. Bengt Sjövall (Sole arbitrator)	N/A	N/A	<b>USD 19,381.10</b> (page 31; part 6 of the award) (USD 18,705.35 + USD 675.75 (converted from EUR 14,347 + SEK 4,518))	Claimant's claims are dismissed (page 31; part 6 of the award)	The Tribunal decides: (1) each party will bear its own legal costs (paras. 248-249); and (2) Claimant shall pay <b>USD 8,298.96</b> (USD 7,623.21 (converted from EUR 5,847) + USD 675.75) and Respondent shall pay <b>USD 11,082.14</b> (converted from EUR 8,500) as costs of the arbitration (page 31; part 6 of the award)	6 (paras. 247-252)
24-Jun-09	<a href="#">30-Mar-10</a>	Yury Bogdanov v Republic of Moldova (III), SCC Case No. V (114/2009)	<a href="#">US\$ 1 = EUR 0.7457</a> <a href="#">US\$ 1 = RON 3.0396</a>	<b>USD 224,113.07</b> (USD 217,407.96 + USD 6,705.11) (paras 36-37) RON 660,702.78 (RON 443,772.78 + RON 216,930) + EUR 5,000 as moral damages	SCC	1. Bo G.H. Nilsson (Sole Arbitrator)	N/A	N/A	<b>USD 24,138.39</b> (converted from EUR 18,000 (page 22))	Respondent asked to pay <b>USD 156,428.56</b> (converted from RON 475,386.41) to the Claimant (page 22)	The Tribunal decides (para. 100; page 22): (1) Each party will bear its own legal costs; and (2) Respondent to pay 2/3rd of the costs of arbitration i.e. <b>USD 16,092.26</b> (converted from EUR 12,000) and Claimant to pay <b>USD 8,046.13</b> (converted from EUR 6,000)	2 (paras. 99-100)
24-Jun-09	<a href="#">30-Mar-10</a>	Yury Bogdanov v. Republic of Moldova (II), SCC Arbitration No. V (114/2009)	US\$ 1 = MDL 12.3580086496 / EUR 0.7456956536	Principal sum of MDL 443,772.78, plus interest of MDL 216,930 as at 30 November 2009 (para 36). Further EUR 5,000 claimed as moral damages (para 37). i.e. Total sum claimed was USD 42,615 excluding interest, or <b>USD 60,169</b> including interest up to 30 November 2009.	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	1. Bo G.H. Nilsson	N/A	N/A	EUR 12,500 in fees of Sole Arbitrator; EUR 500 in Tribunal's expenses for use of hearing facilities; EUR 5,000 in administrative fee of Institute; i.e. total of <b>USD 24,138</b> in total (page 22).	Claimant win. Respondent ordered to pay MDL 475,386.41, i.e. <b>USD 38,468</b> . Claim for moral damages was rejected. (page 22). n.b. This was the total amount awarded - it appears that the Tribunal took interest into account in fixing the total sum (para 93).	Respondent to bear 2/3 of the arbitration costs, being EUR 12,000 (USD 16,092) in total, i.e. Respondent bears an extra EUR 3,000 (USD 4,023). Each party to bear its own costs in connection with the arbitration (para 100).	2 of 100